

Stability of Solution of a Scalar Differential Equation*

Kang De

(Southwestern Jiaotong University)

Lyapunov's second method in fact is that which may be described as follows: applying the comparison principle to the V-function, we may render the stability of the solution of a vector differential equation to that of the scalar differential equation

$$\frac{du}{dt} = \omega(t, u), \quad \omega(t, 0) = 0, \quad 0 \leq u \leq \rho(t), \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \quad (1)$$

[cf. C. Corduneanu, 1960]. Unfortunately, the stability of the solutions of scalar differential equations had not been well-discussed. In literatures, ρ is assumed to be a positive constant and $\omega(t, u)$ is nondecreasing for a fixed t . In this paper the author drops these two limitations and obtains several sufficient conditions for the stability and unstability of the solutions.

Consider the d. e. (1). Let $\omega \in C[D, R_+]$, where $D = \{(t, u) \in R^2; 0 \leq u \leq \rho(t), t \in \mathcal{J}\}$, $\rho(t)$ is continuous on \mathcal{J} . Assumed that the solutions of d. e. (1) is unique and continuous with respect to initial values.

Theorem 1 We consider the scalar d. e.,

$$\frac{du}{dt} = F(t, u)u + f(t, u). \quad (2)$$

Suppose that: 1) $F, f \in C[D, R_+]$, $f(t, 0) = 0$ and $\int_{t_0}^t F(\tau, u(\tau))d\tau \leq \int_{t_0}^t F(\tau, \rho(\tau))d\tau$, $\int_{t_0}^t f(\tau, u(\tau))d\tau \leq \int_{t_0}^t f(\tau, \rho(\tau))d\tau$, for $0 \leq u \leq \rho(t)$; 2) $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} F(t, \rho(t))dt < +\infty$, $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} f(t, \rho(t))dt < +\infty$ for $t \in \mathcal{J}$. Then the trivial solution $u=0$ of (2) is stable. Assume that the hypothesis of theorem 1 holds expect that $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} F(t, \rho(t))dt < +\infty$ is replaced by $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} F(t, \rho(t))dt = -\infty$. Then the solution $u=0$ is asymptotically stable.

Proof By assumption 2), $\exists G > 0$, $\exists \cdot \int_{t_0}^t F(\tau, \rho(\tau))d\tau < \ln G$, $t > t_0$. For $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\varepsilon < \rho(t)$, $\exists t_1 > t_0 \exists \cdot \int_{t_1}^t f(\tau, \rho(\tau))d\tau < \varepsilon/2G$, $t > t_1$. Choose $u_1 < \varepsilon/2G$. We shall prove $u(t, t_1, u_1) < \varepsilon$, whenever $t > t_1$. For otherwise, there would exist $t_2 < t_3 \exists \cdot u(t_2) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2G}$

* Received Sept. 12, 1981.

$u(t) \leq \varepsilon = u(t_3) < \rho(t)$, for $t_2 \leq t \leq t_3$. We obtain an inequality

$$u(t) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{G} \exp \int_{t_2}^t F(\tau, \rho(\tau)) d\tau < \varepsilon, \text{ and then } u(t_3) = \varepsilon < \varepsilon. \quad (3)$$

This contradiction proves the solution $u=0$ is stable.

Finally, under the assumptions $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} F(t, \rho(t)) dt = -\infty$, we prove that the solution $u=0$ is asymptotically stable. By (2), $u(t) \leq 2u(t_2) + \int_{t_2}^t F(\tau, u(\tau)) u(\tau) d\tau$, where $\int_{t_2}^t f(\tau, \rho(\tau)) d\tau < u(t_2)$. Thus, $u(t) \leq 2u(t_2) \exp \int_{t_2}^t F(\tau, \rho(\tau)) d\tau \rightarrow 0$, $t \rightarrow \infty$.

If $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} F(t, \rho(t)) dt$, $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} f(t, \rho(t)) dt$ is uniformly convergent for t_0 , then solution $u=0$ is uniformly stable.

Theorem 2 Consider the d. e.

$$\frac{du}{dt} = F(t, u)g(u) + f(t, u). \quad (4)$$

Suppose that: 1) Let $g(u)$ be continuous, positive and nondecreasing with respect to $u \in [0, \rho_0]$, $g(0) = 0$, $G(u) = \int_{0+}^u \frac{ds}{g(s)}$ be convergent. 2) There exist positive number $H \leq \rho_0$ $\ni \int_{t_0}^{\infty} f(t, u(t)) dt \leq \int_{t_0}^{\infty} f(t, H) dt < +\infty$ and $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} F(t, u(t)) dt \leq \int_{t_0}^{\infty} F(t, H) dt < +\infty$ for $u \leq H$. Then, the solution $u=0$ of (4) is stable. Assume that the hypothesis 2) holds expect that $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} F(t, H) dt < +\infty$ is replaced by $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} F(t, H) dt = -\infty$. Then the solution $u=0$ is asymptotically stable.

Proof Let $G(0) = 0$ and $G(u)$ be continuous in $u=0$. Choose positive $\eta < H$, $\ni G(\eta) \leq G(H)/2$. By the hypothesis 2), $\exists t_1 > t_0 \ni \int_{t_1}^t f(\tau, H) d\tau < \eta/2$ and $\int_{t_1}^t F(\tau, H) d\tau < G(H)/2$. Choose $u_1 < \eta/2$. At first, that proves $u(t, t_1, u_1) < H$, for $t > t_1$. For otherwise there would exist $t_1 > t_0 \ni \int_{t_1}^t f(\tau, H) d\tau < \eta/2$ and $\int_{t_1}^t F(\tau, H) d\tau < G(H)/2$. If $u_1 < \eta/2$, prove that $u(t, t_1, u_1) < H$ for $t > t_1$. Otherwise, $\exists t_1 < t_2 < t_3 \ni u(t_2) = \eta/2 \leq u(t) \leq H = u(t_3)$, By (4) and Bihari's inequalities, we obtain

$$G(H) = G(u(t_3)) < G(\eta) + G(H)/2 < G(H),$$

where $G(u) = \int_{u_0}^u \frac{ds}{g(s)}$. This contradiction proves the solution $u=0$ is stable.

Finally, under the assumptions $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} F(t, \rho(t)) dt = -\infty$, applying Bihari's inequalities we can prove easily that the solution $u=0$ is asymptotically stable.

Lemma Suppose that: 1) $u, \varphi \in C[\mathcal{J}, R_+]$, where R_+ denotes the nonnegative real line; 2) $g \in C[R_+, R_+]$, $g(u)$ monotone in u and $g(0) = 0$ and $kg(u) \leq g(ku)$, $k > 0$, 3) $f(t)$ monotone in t and $f(t) > 0$, $t \in \mathcal{J}$. Assume that $u(t) \leq f(t) + \int_{t_0}^t \varphi(\tau) g(u(\tau)) d\tau$.

Then $u(t) \leq f(t)G^{-1}[G(1) + \int_0^t \varphi(\tau)d\tau]$, $t > 0$, where G^{-1} is the inverse function of G and $G(1) + \int_0^t \varphi(\tau)d\tau \in \text{Dom}(G^{-1})$.

The last two theorem can be obtained as consequences of the preceding lemma.

Theorem 3 Suppose that: 1) $g(u)$ is monotonic nondecreasing and continuous for u , $g(0) = 0$ and $kg(u) \leq g(ku)$, $k > 0$; 2) the hypothesis 2) of theorem 2 holds. Then the solution $u = 0$ of (4) is stable. Assume that the hypothesis 2) $\int_{t_0}^t F(t, H)dt < +\infty$ is replaced by $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} F(t, H)dt = -\infty$. Then the solution $u = 0$ is asymptotically stable.

Theorem 4 Consider d. e. (4). Suppose that: 1) $g(0) = 0$, g is continuous non-negative and monotonic nondecreasing in u ; 2) for sufficiently small b_1, b_2 , $0 < b_1 < b_2 \Rightarrow \int_{t_0}^t F(\tau, b_1)d\tau \leq \int_{t_0}^t F(\tau, b_2)d\tau$, $\int_{t_0}^t f(\tau, b_1)d\tau \leq \int_{t_0}^t f(\tau, b_2)d\tau$. 3) $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} F(t, b)dt < +\infty$, $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} f(t, b)dt < +\infty$ for small $b > 0$. Then the solution $u = 0$ of (4) is stable. Assume that the hypothesis of theorem holds expect that $\int_{t_0}^t F(\tau, b)d\tau < +\infty$ is replaced by $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} F(\tau, b)d\tau = -\infty$. Then $u = 0$ is asymptotically stable.

Theorem 5 Consider $\frac{du}{dt} = F(t, u)$, $t \in \mathcal{J}$, $0 \leq u \leq \rho_0$. Suppose that: 1) $\exists H \leq \rho_0$, $\Rightarrow \int_{t_0}^t F(\tau, u(\tau))d\tau \geq \int_{t_0}^t F(\tau, H)d\tau$; 2) $\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t F(\tau, H)d\tau = +\infty$. Then $u = 0$ is unstable. This theorem is the extension of unstable theorem of Persidskiĭ.

References

- [1] Pachpatte, B. G.. A note on Grenwall-Bellman inequality. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **44** (1973), 758-762.
- [2] Grimmer, R.. Stability of a scalar differential equation. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **32-2** (1972), 452-456.