

Some Theorems of Analytic Functions*

Hu Ke

(Jiangxi Teacher's University)

1 Introduction

We denote that: σ — the class of functions $\omega(z) = A_1z + A_2z^2 + \dots$ regular in the unit disk such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|A_n|^2 < \infty$; K_c — the class of close-to-convex function $f(z)$, that is, if $f(z) = a_1z + a_2z^2 + \dots$ there exists a starlike function $g(z) = b_1z + b_2z^2 + \dots$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ z \frac{f'(z)}{g(z)} \right\} > 0.$$

Milin^[1] proved that:

Theorem If the Taylor coefficients of a function $\omega(z) \in \sigma$ satisfy the condition $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n A_k \right\} = O(1) (n \rightarrow \infty)$, then for any $h > \frac{1}{2}$ the function $\varphi(z) = e^{\omega(z)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} D_k z^k$, satisfies the asymptotic equality

$$Q_n(h) = \frac{\{\varphi(z)(1-z)^{-h}\}}{d_n(h)} \sim \varphi(r) \sim \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n A_k \right\} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty), \quad (1)$$

where $r = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$, $d_n(h)$ defined by $\frac{1}{(1-x)^h} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n(h) x^n$,

The case $0 < h \leq \frac{1}{2}$ is open.

In this paper we have the following theorems.

Theorem 1 Let $\omega(z) \in \sigma$ such that (i) $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n A_k \right\} = O(1)$;
(ii) $Q_n(h) - Q_m(h) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\frac{n}{m} \rightarrow 1$. Then for any $h > 0$, the asymptotic equality (1) holds.

Theorem 2 Let $f(z) = z + a_2z^2 + \dots \in K_c$ and $\psi(z) = \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{z} \right\}^\lambda = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n^{(\lambda)} z^n$. If $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1} \frac{(1-\rho)^2}{\rho} |f(\rho e^{i\rho_0})| = a \neq 0$, then for $\frac{1}{2} < \lambda \leq 1$,

$$\|D_n(\lambda) - D_{n-1}(\lambda)\| \leq A n^{2\lambda-2}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots \quad (2)$$

* Received Jan. 3, 1983.

where constant A only depends on a . Moreover, for $\lambda > \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\frac{D_n(\lambda) - D_{n-1}(\lambda)e^{-i\varphi_0}}{d_n(2\lambda-1)} \sim a^i \exp\{i[\arg f(re^{i\varphi_0}) - (n+\lambda)\varphi_0]\}, \quad (3)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ ($r = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$).

2 Proof of Theorem 1

Lemma 1 [1] If $b_n \geq 0$, $g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n \sim C(1-x)^{-a}$, ($x \rightarrow 1$) and $a > 0$, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{b_k}{d_k(a)} \sim \frac{Cn}{\Gamma(a)}, \quad (4)$$

where C is an absolute constant.

Lemma 2 If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|A_n|^2 < \infty$, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\sum_{l=1}^k d_{k-l}(h) l^2 |A_l|^2}{d_k(h+1)} = o(n) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \quad (5)$$

To prove (5), we note that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 |A_n|^2 x^n = o\left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right),$$

implies

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n d_{n-k}(h) |kA_k|^2 \right) x^n = o\left(\frac{1}{(1-x)^{h+1}}\right). \quad (6)$$

Applying Lemma 1 to (6), (5) follows at once.

To derive Theorem 1, we begin with an identity

$$\left\{ \frac{\varphi(z)(1-z)^{-h}}{d_n(h)} \right\}_n - \left\{ \frac{\varphi(z)(1-z)^{-h-1}}{d_n(h+1)} \right\}_n = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n S_{n-k}^{(h)} k A_k}{(n+h)d_n(h)} = B_n, \quad (7)$$

where $S_l^{(h)} = \sum_{k=0}^l d_{l-k}(h) D_k$.

Next applying Cauchy's inequality to B , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=1}^n |B_l| &\leq \sum_{l=1}^n \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{k=1}^l |B_k^{(h)}|^2}{d_l(h)} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^l d_{l-k}(h) |kA_k|^2}{d_l(h+1)}} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\sum_{l=1}^n \frac{\sum_{k=1}^l |S_k^{(h)}|^2}{d_l(h)} \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{d_{l-k}(h) |kA_k|^2}{d_l(h+1)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

In [3] we proved that

$$\sum_{k=1}^l \frac{|S_k^{(h)}|^2}{d_k(h)} = O(d_l(h+1)) \quad (9)$$

and this, together with Lemma 2 and (8) yield the result

$$\sum_{l=1}^n |B_l| = o(n). \quad (10)$$

Milin Theorem says that $Q_n(h+1)$ converges to a fixed number. Hence $Q_n(h+1) - Q_m(h+1) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\frac{n}{m} \rightarrow 1$. By the assumption of Theorem we have

$$||B_n| - |B_m|| \leq |Q_n(h) - Q_m(h)| + |Q_n(h+1) - Q_m(h+1)| = o(1).$$

From (10) and Schmidt-Tauber Theorem we have $B_n \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). i. e.,

$$[Q_n(h) - Q_n(h+1)] \rightarrow 0, \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \quad (11)$$

The asymptotic equality (10) and above Milin Theorem in combination yield the required assertion.

3 Proof of Theorem 2

It is easy to see that: (i) in virtue of the known inequality $\left| \frac{f(z)}{z} \right|^2 (1 - |z|^2) \leq |f'(z)|$ and $\left| \frac{b_1 z f'(z)}{g(z)} \right| \leq \frac{1 + |z|}{1 - |z|}$. The assumption gives

$$\begin{aligned} a^2 &\leq \frac{(1-r)^4}{r^2} |f(re^{i\varphi_0})|^2 \leq \frac{(1-r)^3}{1+r} \left| \frac{f'(re^{i\varphi_0})}{g(re^{i\varphi_0})} b_1 r \right| \left| \frac{g(re^{i\varphi_0})}{b_1 r} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{(1-r)^2}{r} |g(re^{i\varphi_0})| |b_1|^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that φ_0 is also a direction of Maximum growth of the function $g(z)$.

Let $\log \frac{f(z)}{z} = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n z^n$ and $\log \frac{g(z)}{b_1 z} = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n z^n$. Then by Bazilevic Theorem, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |\alpha_k - \beta_k|^2 \leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left| \alpha_k - \frac{e^{-i n \varphi_0}}{k} \right|^2 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left| \alpha_k - \frac{e^{-i n \varphi_0}}{k} \right|^2 < 3 \log \frac{1}{a}.$$

The inequality has been proved by Pan Yi-Fei.

(ii) Since $\operatorname{Re} p(z) = \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{z f'(z)}{g(z)} \right) > 0$, the integral representation of $p(z)$ is

$$P(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} dr(t) + i \ln \left(\frac{1}{b_1} \right), \quad (12)$$

where $r(t)$ is an increasing function and $r(2\pi) - r(0) = \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{b_1} \right)$. Hence, for $a > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} |P_1(re^{i\theta})|^a d\theta &\leq \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{it} + re^{i\theta}}{e^{it} - re^{i\theta}} dr(t) \right|^a d\theta \\ &\leq 2^a \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{dr(t) d\theta}{|e^{it} - re^{i\theta}|^a} \leq \frac{A}{(1-r)^{a-1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

because $\int_0^{2\pi} |1 - re^{i\theta}|^{-a} d\theta \leq A(1-r)^{1-a}$ for $a > 1$. Then we consider the obvious identity

$$(1-z) \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{z} \right\}^{\lambda} = \frac{1}{(1-z)^{2\lambda-1}} \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{z} (1-z)^2 \right\}^{\lambda} = \varphi(z) (1-z)^{-h}, \quad h = 2\lambda - 1$$

and denoting

$$\omega(z) = \log \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{z} (1-z)^2 \right\}^{\lambda} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k z^k, \quad A_k = 2\lambda \left(\alpha_k - \frac{1}{k} \right),$$

$$\varphi(z) = e^{\omega(z)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} D_k^{(\lambda)} z^k,$$

Milin^[1] proved that the Taylor coefficients of $\omega(z)$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |A_k|^2 < \infty,$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n A_k \right\} = O(1), \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Therefore in order to prove (3) it is sufficient to prove $(Q_n - Q_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $Q_k = \{D_k(\lambda) - D_{k-1}(\lambda)\} / d_k(2\lambda - 1)$. To do this, we estimate some integrals. We assume that $\varphi_0 = 0$ since otherwise we need only consider the function $e^{-i\varphi_0} f(e^{i\varphi_0} z)$. Let δ such $(2\lambda - 1) > \delta > 0$, we observe that $\Phi(z) = \left\{ (1-z)^{-1+\delta} \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{z} \right\}^{\lambda-1} \left\{ \frac{g(z)}{b_1 z} \right\}^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \right\}$ is also regular and nonvanish in $|z| < 1$. Hence by Lebejev-Milin inequality, we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\Phi(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta \leq \exp \left\{ \beta^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left| \beta_k - (1-\lambda)\alpha_k - \frac{1+\delta}{2k} \right|^2 r^{2k} \right\}.$$

Since,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left| \beta_k - (1-\lambda)\alpha_k - \frac{1+\delta}{2k} \right|^2 r^{2k} &= (1-\lambda) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |\beta_k - \alpha_k|^2 r^{2k} \\ &\quad + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left| \beta_k - \frac{1}{k} \right|^2 r^{2k} - (1-\lambda) \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left| \alpha_k - \frac{1}{k} \right|^2 r^{2k} \\ &+ (2\lambda - 1 - \delta) \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\beta_k - \frac{1}{k} \right) r^{2k} (1 + \delta - 2\lambda)(1-\lambda) \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\alpha_k - \frac{1}{k} \right) r^{2k} + \left(\frac{2\lambda - 1 - \delta}{2} \right)^2 \log \frac{1}{1-r^2} \\ &\leq 4 \log \frac{1}{a} + \left(\frac{2\lambda - 1 - \delta}{2} \right)^2 \log \frac{1}{1-r^2}, \end{aligned}$$

by (13) and assumption of Theorem 2, i. e.,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\Phi(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta \leq \frac{A}{(1-r)^{\frac{(2\lambda-1-\delta)^2 \delta^2}{4}}} \quad (14)$$

It is clear that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{1 - (re^{i\theta})^k}{1 - re^{i\theta}} \right|^2 d\theta = \frac{1 - r^{2k}}{1 - r^2}. \quad (15)$$

Using the known inequality $\frac{(1-r)^2}{r} |f(re^{i\theta})| \leq \frac{(1-r^2)^2}{r^2} |f(r^2e^{i\theta})|$ and Goluzin's inequality $|r - r^2e^{i\theta}| |f(r^2e^{i\theta})| \leq \frac{2r^3}{\sqrt{(1-r^2)^2(1+r^2)}}$, we have the integral

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} |1 - z^k|^{\frac{\delta}{2}} |f|^{\lambda} d\theta &\leq \max_{|z|=r} (|r - r^2e^{i\theta}| |f(r^2e^{i\theta})|)^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{1 - z^k}{1 - z} \right|^{\frac{\delta}{2}} |f|^{\lambda - \frac{\delta}{2}} d\theta \\ &\leq \frac{A}{(1-r)^{\frac{\delta}{2}}} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{1 - z^k}{1 - z} \right|^2 d\theta \right)^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |f|^{\frac{2}{\lambda - \frac{\delta}{2}} (\lambda - \frac{\delta}{2})} d\theta \right)^{1 - \frac{\delta}{2}}, \quad (z = re^{i\theta}) \\ &\leq A \frac{(1 - r^k)^{\frac{\delta}{2}}}{(1 - r)^{2\lambda - 1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

The estimate $\int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^a d\theta \leq A \frac{1}{(1-r)^{2a-1}}$ is used $(a > \frac{1}{2})$.

Now let $S_n = D_n(\lambda) - D_{n-1}(\lambda) = Q_n d_n(2\lambda - 1)$, we shall show that $S_n - S_m \rightarrow 0$ ($d_n(2\lambda - 1)$) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $n \sim m$. Indeed, for $z = re^{i\theta}$, $k = n - m$ ($n > m$)

$$\begin{aligned} |nS_n - mS_m| &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_{|z|=r} \left(\frac{1}{z^n} - \frac{1}{z^m} \right) \frac{\Phi'(z)}{z} dz \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda r^{-n-\lambda-1}}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \{ |1 - z^k| |1 - z| |f'| |f|^{\lambda-1} + 3 |1 - z^k| |f|^{\lambda} \} d\theta \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda r^{-n-\lambda-1}}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{1 - z^k}{1 - z} \right|^{\delta} |1 - z|^{1+\delta} \left| \frac{f'}{g} b_1 z \right| \left| \frac{g(z)}{b_1 z} \right| d\theta \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda r^{-n-\lambda-1}}{z^{\frac{1}{2}\delta} \pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |1 - z^k|^{\frac{\delta}{2}} |f|^{\lambda} d\theta \\ &= A(I_1 + I_2). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Applying Holder's inequality to the first integral on the right of (24), we get

$$I_1 \leq \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{1 - z^k}{1 - z} \right|^2 d\theta \right)^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{b_1 z f'}{g} \right|^{\frac{2}{1-\delta}} d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\delta}{2}} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |\Phi(z)|^2 d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

where $\frac{1}{\beta} = \lambda - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\delta}{2}$.

From (13), (14) and (15), we have

$$I_1 \leq A \frac{(1 - r^k)^{\frac{\delta}{2}}}{(1 - r)^{2\lambda - 1}}. \quad (18)$$

Substituting (15) and (17) into (16), then

$$|nS_n - mS_m| \leq \frac{A}{r^{n+\lambda-1}} (n-m)^{\frac{\delta}{2}} (1-r)^{1+\frac{\delta}{2}-2\lambda}. \quad (19)$$

Obviously, if $m=0$, and $r=1-\frac{1}{n}$, then (19) gives

$$|S_n| \leq An^{2\lambda-2}.$$

Moreover,

$$n|S_n - S_m| \leq (n-m)|S_m| + A\left(\frac{n-m}{n}\right)^{\frac{\delta}{2}} n^{2\lambda-1}.$$

This means that $S_n - S_m = o(d_n(2\lambda-1))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $n \sim m$, which is the required result. The proof of Theorem 2 is completed.

References

- [1] Milin, I. M., Univalent Functions and Orthonormal Systems p. 44—68.
- [2] Hu Ke, *Chinese Annals of Mathematics*, 3 (3) 1982, p. 293—300.
- [3] Hu Ke, *Chinese Annals of Mathematics*, (to appear).