L^p-orthogonality in Banach Spaces*

Liu Zheng (刘 证)

(Anshan Institute of Iron and Steel, Anshan)

The notion of L^p -orthogonality was posed by F. Sullivan [1], but it had never been studied. The purpose of this paper is to give some results concerning the L^p -orthogonality in Banach spaces.

Throughout this paper, X is a real Banach space,

Definition 1 Let p>1 be a fixed real number and let $x,y \in X$. Then x is called L^r -orthogonal to y $(x \perp_{L^p} y)$ iff $||x + y||^p = ||x||^p + ||y||^p$.

Clearly, L^2 -orthogonality is just the Pythagokean orthogonality.

For L^p -orthogonality in Banach spaces to have a useful meaning, it is necessary to know that there exist nonzero L^p -orthogonal elements.

Theorem 1 Let p>1 be a fixed real number. If $x\neq 0, y\in X$, then there exists a number a such that $x\perp_{L^p}(ax+y)$.

Proof Set $f(t) = ||x + (tx + y)||^p - ||x||^p - ||tx + y||^p$. Clearly, f is a continuous function on $-\infty < t < +\infty$, and we have

$$f(t) = \|t\|^p \|\|x + \frac{1}{t}(x+y)\|^p - \|x\|^p \| - \|t\|^p \|\|x + \frac{1}{t}y\|^p - \|x\|^p \| - \|x\|^p \|$$

Then, for $t \neq 0$,

$$\frac{f(t)}{|t|^{p-1}\operatorname{sgn}t} = \frac{\|x + \frac{1}{t}(x+y)\|^{p} - \|x\|^{p}}{\frac{1}{t}} - \frac{\|x + \frac{1}{t}y\|^{p} - \|x\|^{p}}{\frac{1}{t}} - \frac{\|x\|^{p}}{|t|^{p-1}\operatorname{sgn}t}$$

and

$$\lim_{t\to\pm\infty} \frac{f(t)}{|t|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgnt}} = p \|\mathbf{x}\|^{p-1} N_{\pm}(x, x+y) - p \|\mathbf{x}\|^{p-1} N_{\pm}(x, y).$$

Here $N_{+}(x,y)$ and $N_{-}(x,y)$ are respectively the right and left Gâteaux derivatives of the norm at x in the direction of y, since $N_{+}(x,x+y) = ||x|| + N_{\pm}(x,y)$ [2] we have

^{*}Received Mar. 17; 1982.

$$\lim_{t\to\pm\infty} \frac{f(t)}{|t|^{p-1}\operatorname{sgn}t} = p \|x\|^{p} > 0.$$

Therefore $f(t) \to +\infty$ as $t \to +\infty$ and $f(t) \to -\infty$ as $t \to -\infty$. Hence there is a number a such that f(a) = 0, which was to be proved.

An orthogonality \perp is called left (right) unique if for any elements $x \neq 0$, $y \in X$, there exists only one number a such that $ax + y \perp x$ $(x \perp ax + y)$. For L^p -orthogonality, left and right uniqueness are equivalent, since L^p -orthogonality is symmetric.

Theorem 2 Let p>1 be a fixed real number. Then L^p -orthogonality in X is unique.

Proof Let us assume that L^p -orthogonality in X is not unique. Then there must exist $x \neq 0$, $z \in X$, and two numbers a_1 , a_2 such that $x \perp_{L^p} a_1 x + z$ and $x \perp_{L^p} a_2 x + z$, without loss of generality, we can assume $a_2 > a_1$. Set $y = a_1 x + z$ and $a = a_2 - a_1$, we have a > 0 and $x \perp_{L^p} y$ and $x \perp_{L^p} ax + y$.i.e.

$$||x + y||^p = ||x||^p + ||y||^p \text{ and } ||x + ax + y||^p = ||x||^p + ||ax + y||^p.$$
 (1)

Setting $g(t) = ||y + tx||^p$, we have, by (1),

$$g(1) = ||x||^p + g(0), \tag{2}$$

$$g(a+1) = ||x||^p + g(a). (3)$$

Clearly g is a convex function on $-\infty < t < +\infty$ [3].

Suppose 0 < a < 1, for t_1 and t_2 such that $g(t_1) \neq g(t_2)$, we have

$$g[at_1 + (1-a)t_2] = ||a(y+t_1x) + (1-a)(y+t_2x)||^p \le [a||y+t_1x|| + (1-a)||y+t_2x||]^p$$

$$\langle a \| y + t_1 x \|^p + (1-a) \| y + t_2 x \|^p = ag(t_1) + (1-a)g(t_2),$$
 (4)

Therefore, by using (2), (3) and (4) we get

$$g(a) = g[a \cdot 1 + (1-a) \cdot 0] < ag(1) + (1-a)g(0),$$
 (5)

and

$$g(1) = g[a \cdot a + (1-a)(1+a)] < ag(a) + (1-a)g(a+1)$$
$$= ag(a) + (1-a)(g(a) + g(1) - g(0)),$$

and that yields

$$ag(1) + (1-a)g(0) < g(a)$$
,

contradicting (5).

Now suppose a>1, we use convexity of g, and (2) and (3) to obtain $g(0)\neq g(a)$, and $g(1)\neq g(a+1)$, and then by (4) we get

$$g(1) = g\left(\frac{a-1}{a} \cdot 0 + \frac{1}{a} \cdot a\right) < \frac{a-1}{a}g(0) + \frac{1}{a}g(a), \tag{6}$$

and

$$g(\mathbf{c}) = \left[\frac{1}{a} \cdot 1 + \frac{a-1}{a} (a+1) \right] < \frac{1}{a} g(1) + \frac{a-1}{a} g(a+1)$$
$$= \frac{1}{a} g(1) + \frac{a-1}{a} [\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{a}) + \mathbf{g}(1) - \mathbf{g}(0)],$$

contradicting(6).

In the case a=1 we have

$$g(2) = g(1) + ||x||^p = g(0) + 2||x||^p$$

and hence $g(0) \neq g(2)$, and then by (4) we get

$$g(1) = g\left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot 0 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2\right) < \frac{1}{2} - [g(0) + g(2)] = g(0) + ||x||^{p},$$

which is false. Thus in all cases we get a contradiction. Hence L^p -orthogonality is unique in X.

Obviously L^p -orthogonality is not homogeneous or additive in a general Banach space. However, the assumption of these properties will be shown to imply that the space is uniformly convex.

Definition 2 (Clarkson [4]), A Banach space X will be said to be uniformly convex iff to each ε , $0 < \varepsilon \le 2$, there corresponds a $\delta(\varepsilon)$ such that the conditions

$$||x|| = ||y|| = 1, \qquad ||x - y|| \ge \varepsilon$$

imply

$$\left\|\frac{x+y}{2}\right\| \leq 1-\delta(\varepsilon).$$

Recall that x is called isosceles orthogonal to $y(x \perp_l y)$ iff ||x+y|| = ||x-y||, and if $x \neq 0$, $y \in X$ then there exists a number b such that $x \perp_l bx + y$, and if $||y|| \leq ||x||$ then $|b| \leq \frac{||y||}{||x||}$ (James [5]). We now turn to some sufficient

Theorem 3 Let p>1 be a fixed real number. If $x,y \in X$ and ||x|| = ||y|| implies $x+y \perp_{L^p} x-y$, then X is a uniformly convex space:

Proof Let ||x|| = ||y|| = 1. Then $x + y \perp_{L^2} x - y$, and therefore

$$||x+y||^p + ||x-y||^p = ||(x+y)| + (x-y)||^p = 2^p.$$

Thus if $||x-y|| \ge \varepsilon$ $(0 < \varepsilon \le 2)$, we see that

conditions for uniformly convex spaces.

$$\left\|\frac{x+y^{\frac{1}{4}}}{2}\right\| \leq \left[1-\left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

so that an admissible value for $\delta(\epsilon)$ is $1 - \left[1 - \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)^{\beta}\right]^{\frac{1}{\rho}}$.

Corollary 4 Let p>1 be a fixed real rumber. If isosceles orthogonality implies L^p -orthogonality in X, then X is a uniformly convex space.

It is clear since if $x,y \in X$ then ||x|| = ||y|| implies $x + y \perp_{I} x - y$, and so $x + y \perp_{I} x - y$.

Lemma 5 Let p>1 be a fixed real rumber, and $x, y \in X$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) $x \perp_{L^p} y$ implies $x \perp_l y$;
- (2) $x \perp_{I} y$ implies $x \perp_{L} y$.

Proof To prove $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ let us first show that if (1) holds, then X is strictly convex $(X \text{ is called strictly convex if } 0 < k < 1, x \neq y \in X \text{ imply } ||kx + (1 - k)y|| < k ||x|| + (1 - k) ||y||$). If not then there exist $x \neq y \in X$ such that $||x|| = ||y|| = \left|\left|\frac{x + y}{2}\right|\right|$ = 1, and it follows $x + \frac{1}{2}y$. By Theorem 1 there exists a number $a \neq 0$ such that $x + \frac{1}{2}x + y$, i.e.,

$$\|x + ax + y\|^p = \|x\|^p + \|ax + y\|^p = 1 + \|ax + y\|^p.$$
 (7)

Therefore $x \perp_1 ax + y$, and since ||x|| = ||y||, $|a| \le \frac{||y||}{||x||} = 1$. From equation (7) we get

$$(2+a)^{p} = (2+a)^{p} \left\| \frac{(1+a)x+y}{2+a} \right\|^{p} = 1 + \|ax+y\|^{p} \ge 1.$$

That means $a \ge -1$. Thus a = -1. Then, from (7)

$$1 = ||y||^p = ||x - y||^p + 1,$$

which contradicts the assumption that $x \neq y$. Thus X is strictly convex. Now suppose that (1) does not imply (2), Then there exist $x,y \in X$ such that $x \perp_I y$ but $x \perp_L y$. By Theorem 1 we can choose $a \neq 0$ such that $x \perp_L x ax + y$. But then, by (1) $x \perp_I ax + y$. Thus $x \perp_I y$ and $x \perp_I ax + y$, contradicting the uniqueness of isosceles orthogonality in strictly conver spaces proved by Kapoor and Prasad ([6], Theorem 3). Hence $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$.

We now prove $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$. If not then there exist $x,y \in X$ such that $x \perp_{L^p} y$ but $x \perp_{L^p} y$, We can choose $b \neq 0$ such that $x \perp_{L^p} bx + y$. But then by $(2) x \perp_{L^p} bx + y$. Thus $x \perp_{L^p} y$ and $x \perp_{L^p} bx + y$, contradicting the uniqueness of L^p -orthogonality. Hence $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$.

Corollary 6 Let p>1 be a fixed real number. If L^p -orthogonalty implies isosceles orthogonality in X, then X is a uniformly convex space.

It follows immediately from Lemma 5 and corollary 4.

Corollary 7 Let p > 1 be a fixed real number. If L^p -orthogonality is homogeneous in X, $i.e.,x \perp_{L^p}y$ implies $ax \perp_{L^p}by$ for all real numbers a and b, then X is a uniformly convex space.

Theorem 8 Let p>1 be a fixed real number. If L^p -orthogonality is additive, i.e., $x \perp_{L^p} y$ and $x \perp_{L^p} z$ implies $x \perp_{L^p} y + z$, then it is also homogeneous.

Proof Suppose L^p-orthogonality is additive and $x \perp_{L^p} y$, where x and y are arbitrary elements. Then Theorem 1 gives the existence of a number c such that $x \perp_{L^p} cx - y$. Additivity then gives $x \perp_{L^p} cx$, and hence c = 0 if $x \neq 0$. Thus $x \perp_{L^p} - y$. Also, $y \perp_{L^p} x$ because of symmetry of L^p -orthogonality. Using additivity, we find it now follows that $nx \perp_{L^p} my$ for all integers m and n. Thus $||nx||^p$

$$+ \|my\|^p = \|nx + my\|^p$$
, or $\|x\|^p + \left\| -\frac{m}{n}y \right\|^p = \left\| x + \frac{m}{n}y \right\|^p$. From the continuity

of $\|\cdot\|^p$ it follows that $\|x\|^p + \|ky\|^p = \|x + ky\|^p$ for all numbers k, or $x \perp_{L^p} ky$ for all k. Thus L^p -orthogonality is homogeneous if it is additive.

Corollary 9 Let p>1 be a fixed real number. If L^p -orthogonality is additive in X, then X is a uniformly convex space.

Remark It has been proved by Day [7] and James [5] that X is a Hilbert space further in the case of p=2 in these above corollaries.

References

- [1] Sullivan, F.E., Structure of real LP-spaces, J. Math. Anal. and Appl. 32(1970), 621-629.
- [2] James, R. C., Orthogonality and linear functionals in normed linear spaces, Trans Amer. Math. Soc. 61 (1947), 265-292.
- [3] Holmes, R.B., Geometric Functional Analysis and its Applications, springer verlag (1975).
- [4] Clarkson, J. A., Uniformly convex spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 40 (1936), 396-414.
- [5] James, R.C., Orthogonality in normed linear spaces, Dake Math. J. 12(1945), 291-302.
- [6] Kapoor, O. P. and Prasad, J., Orthogonality and characterizations of inner product spaces, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. Vol. 19 (1978), 403-416.
- [7] Day, M. M.. Some characterizations of inner-product spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 62 (1947), 320-337.