

The Algebra of Pseudo-Differential Operator on the Functional Space $W_\lambda S_{\rho,\delta}^m$

Xu Zhao Chang (徐肇昌)

(The Worker's College of Shanghai Tractor and Automobile Corporation)

For popularizing the functional space $S_{\rho,\delta}^m$ which is common in use, a Frechet functional space $W_\lambda S_{\rho,\delta}^m$ is defined in this paper and an exploration is attempted on the algebraic characteristics of the pseudo-differential operators stipulated by the functional space $W_\lambda S_{\rho,\delta}^m$.

Definition 1 We say $P(x, \xi) \in C^\infty(R_x^n \times R_\xi^n)$ is a symbol of the functional set $W_\lambda S_{\rho,\delta}^m$ ($-\infty < m < +\infty$, $0 \leq \delta \leq \rho \leq 1$, $\delta < 1$), if $P(x, \xi)$ has the property that for any multi-indices $\alpha, \beta \in N_0^n$ there exists a constant $C_{\alpha,\beta}$ such that

$$|P^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \equiv |\partial_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta P(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta} [\lambda(\xi)]^{m+\delta|\beta|-\rho|\alpha|} \quad \xi \in R_\xi^n$$

in which the function $\lambda(\xi)$ must satisfy the conditions below:

$$(*) \quad \begin{cases} \langle \xi \rangle \equiv (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \lambda(\xi) \leq K \langle \xi \rangle^{2m_0}, & \xi \in R_\xi^n \\ |\lambda(\xi) - \lambda(\xi')| \leq N |\xi - \xi'|, & \xi, \xi' \in R_\xi^n \end{cases}$$

here $k > 0$, $N > 0$, $\frac{1}{2} < m_0 < \frac{1}{2\delta}$ are constants.

Assume $P(x, \xi) \in W_\lambda S_{\rho,\delta}^m$, its semi-norms will be defined by

$$|P|_l^{(m)} = \max_{|\alpha+\beta| \leq l} \sup_{x, \xi} |P^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \cdot [\lambda(\xi)]^{-(m+\delta|\beta|-\rho|\alpha|)} \quad (l = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

In addition, we say a set $B \subset W_\lambda S_{\rho,\delta}^m$ is a bounded subset of $W_\lambda S_{\rho,\delta}^m$, if

$$\sup_{P \in B} |P|_l^{(m)} < +\infty \quad \text{for every } l \in N_0.$$

Theorem 1 Suppose $P(x, \xi) \in W_\lambda S_{\rho,\delta}^m$, then the operator P defined by

$$(1) \quad Pu(x) = \int e^{ix\xi} P(x, \xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi \quad u \in S(R_x^n)$$

is a linear continuous operator of S into S .

Proof Write $r(x, \xi) = e^{ix\xi} P(x, \xi) \hat{u}(\xi)$, we have

$$|r(x, \xi)| \leq C |P|_0^{(m)} |u|_{2(m_0+m+1)} \langle \xi \rangle^{-m-1} \in L_1(R_\xi^n) \quad (m_+ = \max\{0, m\})$$

which shows $Pu(x)$ is meaningful for $u \in S(R_x^n)$. In view of $\xi_i P(x, \xi) \in W_\lambda S_{\rho,\delta}^{m+1}$, $\partial_{x_i} P(x, \xi) \in W_\lambda S_{\rho,\delta}^{m+\delta}$, $\partial_{\xi_i} P(x, \xi) \in W_\lambda S_{\rho,\delta}^{m-\rho}$, it is easy to see that there is no fun-

* Received Mar. 30. 1982.

damental difference between $x^r \partial_x^\nu P u(x)$ and $P u(x)$. We can assert for any $\tau, \nu \in N_0^n$ constants $C_{\tau, \nu}$ and $l_{\tau, \nu}$ will be found, such that

$$|x^r \partial_x^\nu P u(x)| \leq C_{\tau, \nu} |u| l_{\tau, \nu},$$

which means P is no other than a continuous operator of S into S .

Definition 2 A linear continuous operator P of S into S defined by (1) is called a pseudo-differential operator with a symbol $P(x, \xi) \in W_\lambda S_{\rho, \delta}^m$, which is denoted by $P(x, D_x)$. An operator set consisting of the whole of such operators will be denoted by $W_\lambda S_{\rho, \delta}^m$.

Definition 3 Assume that a function $a(y, \eta)$ is well defined on $R_{y, \eta}^{2n}$, $\chi(y, \eta) \in S(R_{y, \eta}^{2n})$, $\chi(0, 0) = 1$, if we have, for any of such $\chi(y, \eta)$,

$$\left| \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty} \int \int e^{-i y \eta} a(y, \eta) \chi(\varepsilon y, \varepsilon \eta) dy d\eta \right| = C < +\infty,$$

then the limit C is called an oscillatory integral of the function $a(y, \eta)$ which is expressed as

$$Os - \int \int e^{-i y \eta} a(y, \eta) dy d\eta.$$

Definition 4 We say a function $P(x, \xi, x', \xi') \in C(R^{4n})$ is a symbol of the functional set $W_\lambda S_{\rho, \delta}^{m, m'} (-\infty < m, m' < +\infty, 0 \leq \delta \leq \rho \leq 1, \delta < 1)$, if a constant $C_{a, a', \beta, \beta'}$ and a function $\lambda(\xi)$ can be found for any multi-indices $\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta' \in N_0^n$ such that

$$(2) \quad |P_{(\beta, \beta')}^{(\alpha, \alpha')}(x, \xi, x', \xi')| \equiv |\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_{\xi'}^{\alpha'} D_x^\beta D_{x'}^{\beta'} P| \leq C_{a, a', \beta, \beta'} [\lambda(\xi)]^{m+\delta(\beta)-\rho|\alpha|} \cdot [\lambda(\xi) + \lambda(\xi')]^{\delta|\beta|} \cdot [\lambda(\xi')]^{m'-\rho|\alpha'|},$$

where the function $\lambda(\xi)$ satisfies the condition (*) listed in definition 1.

For $P(x, \xi, x', \xi') \in W_\lambda S_{\rho, \delta}^{m, m'}$, we define its semi-norms by

$$|P|_l^{(m, m')} = \max_{|\alpha + \alpha' + \beta + \beta'| \leq l} \inf \{C_{a, a', \beta, \beta'}\} \quad (l = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

in which $C_{a, a', \beta, \beta'}$ satisfies the inequality (2).

The following conditions are common to theorem 2-5: Suppose $P(x, \xi, x', \xi') \in W_\lambda S_{\rho, \delta}^{m, m'}$, $\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta' \in N_0^n$ arbitrarily, denote $\tau = m + m' + \delta|\beta + \beta'| - \rho|\alpha + \alpha'|$ and $q(x, \xi, x', \xi') = P_{(\beta, \beta')}^{(\alpha, \alpha')}(x, \xi, x', \xi')$, then we have

Theorem 2 A function $q_\theta(x, \xi)$ is well defined on $R_{x, \xi}^{2n}$ with $|\theta| \leq 1$ by

$$q_\theta(x, \xi) = Os - \int \int e^{-i y \eta} q(x, \xi + \theta \eta, x + y, \xi) dy d\eta.$$

Proof Choose $\chi(y, \eta) \in S$, $\chi(0, 0) = 1$, put

$$r_{\theta, \varepsilon}(x, \xi, y, \eta) = e^{-i y \eta} q(x, \xi + \theta \eta, x + y, \xi) \cdot \chi(\varepsilon y, \varepsilon \eta),$$

$$(3) \quad I_\varepsilon(x, \xi) = \int \int r_{\theta, \varepsilon}(x, \xi, y, \eta) dy d\eta.$$

Having observed that ξ , $|\theta| \leq 1$, $|\varepsilon| < 1$, $\varepsilon \neq 0$ are fixed, we get

$$|r_{\theta, \varepsilon}| \leq C |\chi(\varepsilon y, \varepsilon \eta)| \langle \eta \rangle^{2m_1(m_1 + \delta|\beta + \beta'|)} \in L_1(R_{y, \eta}^{2n}).$$

Otherwise, by making use of the identical relations

$$e^{-i y \eta} = \langle \eta \rangle^{-2l} \langle D_y \rangle^{2l} e^{-i y \eta} = \langle y \rangle^{-2l} \langle D_\eta \rangle^{2l} e^{-i y \eta},$$

to integrate (3) by parts, we obtain

$$(4) \quad I_\varepsilon(x, \xi) = \int \int e^{-i y \eta} \langle y \rangle^{-2l} \langle D_\eta \rangle^{2l} \{ \langle \eta \rangle^{-2l} \langle D_y \rangle^{2l} [q(x, \xi + \theta \eta, x + y, \xi) \chi(\varepsilon y, \varepsilon \eta)] \} dy d\eta.$$

Furthermore, we can verify the integrand in (4) belongs to $L_1(R_{y,\eta}^n)$, if and only if we take so large an l , then

$$(5) \quad q_\theta(x, \xi) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} I_t(x, \xi) =$$

$$\iint e^{-iy\eta} \langle y \rangle^{-2l} \langle D_y \rangle^{2l} \{ \langle \eta \rangle^{-2l} \langle D_y \rangle^{2l} [q(x, \xi + \theta\eta, x + y, \xi)] \} dy d\eta,$$

Theorem 3 $\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta q_\theta(x, \xi) = O_s - \iint e^{-iy\eta} \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta q(x, \xi + \theta\eta, x + y, \xi) dy d\eta$, for $\alpha, \beta \in N_0^n$.

Proof We only point out that we can differentiate (5) under the integral sign, so this theorem clearly holds true.

Theorem 4 There exist constants $C > 0$ and $l \in N_0$ (both being independent of $|\theta| \leq 1$), such that

$$(6) \quad |q_\theta(x, \xi)| \leq C |P|_{l, (m, m')}^{(m, m')} [\lambda(\xi)]^l.$$

Proof By making use of the identity $e^{-iy\eta} = (1 + [\lambda(\xi)]^{2\delta} |y|^2)^{-l} (1 + [\lambda(\xi)]^{2\delta} (-\Delta\eta))^l$, $e^{-iy\eta}$ to integrate $q_\theta(x, \xi)$ by parts, we obtain

$$q_\theta(x, \xi) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \iint e^{-iy\eta} (1 + [\lambda(\xi)]^{2\delta} |y|^2)^{-l} (1 + [\lambda(\xi)]^{2\delta} (-\Delta\eta))^l [q(x, \xi + \theta\eta, x + y, \xi) \cdot \chi(\epsilon y, \epsilon \eta)] dy d\eta.$$

Denoting $r_\theta(x, \xi, y, \eta) = (1 + [\lambda(\xi)]^{2\delta} |y|^2)^{-l} (1 + [\lambda(\xi)]^{2\delta} (-\Delta\eta))^l q(x, \xi + \theta\eta, x + y, \xi)$, then $r_\theta(x, \xi, y, \eta)$ is absolutely integrable to y for $l_0 > \frac{n}{2}$. Now, we divide the integral region into three parts: $\Omega_1 = \{\eta: |\eta| \leq [\lambda(\xi)]^\delta / 2N\}$, $\Omega_2 = \{\eta: [\lambda(\xi)]^\delta / 2N \leq |\eta| \leq \lambda(\xi) / 2N\}$ and $\Omega_3 = \{\eta: |\eta| \geq \lambda(\xi) / 2N\}$, writing $I_i(x, \xi) = \int_{\Omega_i} \left[\int e^{-iy\eta} r_\theta(x, \xi, y, \eta) dy \right] d\eta$, ($i = 1, 2, 3$), we have

(A) When $\eta \in \Omega_1$, it can be shown that

$$(7) \quad |I_1(x, \xi)| \leq \int_{\Omega_1} \left[\int (1 + [\lambda(\xi)]^{2\delta} |y|^2)^{-l} \cdot \bar{C}_1 |P|_{l, (m, m')}^{(m, m')} [\lambda(\xi)]^l dy \right] d\eta = C_1 |P|_{l, (m, m')}^{(m, m')} [\lambda(\xi)]^l, \text{ here } C_1 \text{ is a constant, } l_1 = 2l_0 + |\alpha + \alpha' + \beta + \beta'|.$$

(B) We take an $l_2 = 2l_0 + l_1$, then

$$(8) \quad |I_2(x, \xi)| \leq \bar{C}_2 |P|_{l, (m, m')}^{(m, m')} [\lambda(\xi)]^{l_1 + (2l_0 - n)\delta} \cdot \int_{\Omega_2} |\eta|^{-2l_1} d\eta \leq C_2 |P|_{l, (m, m')}^{(m, m')} [\lambda(\xi)]^l.$$

(C) If we take so large an l that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau' + 2l_0\delta - 2l(1 - \delta) &< -n \\ m' + \tau' + 2l_0\delta - 2l(1 - \delta) + (1 - \delta)n &< \tau \end{aligned}$$

in which $\tau' = m_+ + \delta|\beta + \beta'|$, $l_3 = l_1 + 2l$, then

$$(9) \quad |I_3(x, \xi)| \leq \int_{\Omega_3} |\eta|^{-2l} \left[\int |e^{-iy\eta} (-\Delta y)^l r_\theta(x, \xi, y, \eta)| dy \right] d\eta \leq C_3 |P|_{l, (m, m')}^{(m, m')} [\lambda(\xi)]^l.$$

Clearly, (7), (8) and (9) imply (6).

Theorem 5 $\{q_\theta(x, \xi)\}_{|\theta| \leq 1}$ is a bounded subset of the functional space $W_{\lambda}S_{\rho,\delta}^m$. Moreover, for every $l_0 \in N_0$, there exist constants $C_0 > 0$ and $l'_0 \in N_0$, which are independent of $|\theta| \leq 1$, such that

$$(10) \quad |q_\theta(x, \xi)|_{L^1}^{(r)} \leq C |P|_{L^1}^{(m, m')}.$$

Proof Because of the linearity of the oscillatory integral, (10) follows from Theorem 3 and Theorem 4.

Theorem 6 Suppose the symbols of the pseudo-differential operators $P_1(x, D_x)$ and $P_2(x, D_x)$ are $P_1(x, \xi) \in W_{\lambda} S_{\rho, \delta}^m$, $P_2(x, \xi) \in W_{\lambda} S_{\rho, \delta}^{m'}$ respectively, then the product of these two operators is also a pseudo-differential operator with a symbol $P(x, \xi) \in W_{\lambda} S_{\rho, \delta}^{m+m'}$ defined by

$$P(x, \xi) = Os - \iint e^{-iy\eta} P_1(x, \xi + \eta) \cdot P_2(x + y, \xi) dy d\eta.$$

Proof It is obvious that $P(x, \xi) \in W_{\lambda} S_{\rho, \delta}^{m+m'}$ and that, for any $u(x) \in S$, we have

$$P(x, D_x)u(x) = \int e^{ix\xi} [Os - \iint e^{-iy\eta} P_1(x, \xi + \eta) \cdot P_2(x + y, \xi) dy d\eta] \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi.$$

By applying the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P(x, D_x)u(x) &= \int e^{ix\eta} \left\{ e^{-iy\eta} \left[\int e^{iy\xi} P_1(x, \eta) P_2(y, \xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi \right] dy \right\} d\eta \\ &= P_1(x, D_x) [P_2(x, D_x)u(x)] \quad \text{for } u \in S. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 7 Suppose $P(x, \xi) \in W_{\lambda} S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ is a symbol of a pseudo-differential operator $P(x, D_x)$, we define its conjugate operator P^* as $(Pu, v) = (u, P^*v)$ for $u, v \in S$, then P^* is also a pseudo-differential operator with a symbol $P^*(x, \xi) \in W_{\lambda} S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ defined by

$$P^*(x, \xi) = Os - \iint e^{-iy\eta} \overline{P(x + y, \xi + \eta)} dy d\eta.$$

Proof There is no doubt that $P^*(x, \xi) \in W_{\lambda} S_{\rho, \delta}^m$. Furthermore, for any $u, v \in S$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (u, P^*(x, D_x)v) &= \iint \left\{ e^{ix\xi} \left[Os - \iint e^{-iy\eta} \overline{P(x + y, \xi + \eta)} dy d\eta \right] \hat{v}(\xi) d\xi \right\} u(x) dx \\ &= \iint \left\{ e^{iy\eta} \overline{P(y, \eta)} \hat{u}(\eta) d\eta \right\} \hat{v}(y) dy = (Pu, v). \end{aligned}$$

This paper was written under the supervision of my teacher Mr. Wei Guang-zu. Meanwhile I received a great deal of good advice from Professor Qi Min-you of Wuhan University, Associate Professor Cao Ce-wen of Zhengzhou University and Associate Professor Jian Su-wen of Wuhan University. To all of them I hereby express my heartfelt gratitude.

References

- [1] Hormander, L., Pseudo-differential operators, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 18 (1965).
- [2] Hormander, L., On the existence and regularity of linear pseudo-differential equations, *Enseign. Math.*, 17 (1971).
- [3] Kohn, J. J. and Nirenberg, L., An algebra of pseudo-differential operators, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 18 (1965).
- [4] Kumano-go, Pseudo-differential operators.