

Cardinality of a Class of $(0,1)$ Matrices Covering a Given Matrix*

Wan Honghui

(Huazhong University of Science and Technology)

Let R and S be two vectors whose components are m and n non-negative integers, respectively. Let P be an $m \times n$ $(0,1)$ -matrix with column sums at most one. Let $\mathcal{X}_P(R, S)$ be the class consisting of all $m \times n$ $(0,1)$ -matrices with row sum vector R and column sum vector S , which cover P . In this paper we derive a lower bound to the cardinality of class $\mathcal{X}_P(R, S)$, which can be computed readily.

Let $R = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m)$ and $S = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$ be vectors with nonnegative integral entries and $r_1 + \dots + r_m = s_1 + \dots + s_n$. Let $\mathcal{X}(R, S)$ denote the class of all $m \times n$ $(0,1)$ -matrices with i^{th} row sum r_i and j^{th} column sum s_j for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Let $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij})$. Then we say $A \geq B$ or A covers B if and only if $a_{ij} \geq b_{ij}$ for all pair i, j . Let P be an $m \times n$ $(0,1)$ -matrix with j^{th} column sum ε_j ($\varepsilon_j = 0$ or 1) for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Define

$$\mathcal{X}_P(R, S) = \{A \in \mathcal{X}(R, S) | A \geq P\}.$$

So that the class $\mathcal{X}_P(R, S)$ is not trivially empty, we require that no row (column) sum of P is greater than the corresponding row (column) sum in R (S). Let A^* be a matrix with row sums r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m . Also, A^* has 1's wherever P has 1's. The remaining 1's in A^* are placed as far to the left as possible. Define S^* to be the j^{th} column sum of A^* . We call vector $S^* = (s_1^*, s_2^*, \dots, s_n^*)$ the P -required conjugate of the vector $R = (r_1, \dots, r_m)$. Anstee proved that $\mathcal{X}_P(R, S)$ is non-empty if and only if

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq t} s_i^* \geq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq t} s_i \quad (1 \leq t \leq n), \quad (1)$$

where $s_1 \geq s_2 \geq \dots \geq s_n$. A more difficult problem is to determine the card-

* Received Feb. 2, 1984.

inality of the class $\mathcal{X}_p(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S})$. In this paper we derive a lower bound on $|\mathcal{X}_p(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S})|$.

Let $\mathbf{S}' = (s'_1, s'_2, \dots, s'_n)$ and $\mathbf{S}'' = (s''_1, s''_2, \dots, s''_n)$ be two vectors with non-negative integral entries, we say that \mathbf{S}'' is weakly majorized by \mathbf{S}' , and write

$$\mathbf{S}'' \prec \mathbf{S}', \quad (2)$$

if

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq t} S'_i \geq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq t} S''_i \quad (1 \leq t \leq n-1), \quad \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} S'_i = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} S''_i. \quad (3)$$

Now suppose that $\mathbf{S}'' \prec \mathbf{S}'$, $\mathbf{S}'' \neq \mathbf{S}'$ and

$$s''_1 \geq s''_2 \geq \dots \geq s''_n. \quad (4)$$

Let i_p ($1 \leq p \leq q$) be all the subscripts for which

$$s''_{i_p} \geq s'_{i_p}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq q. \quad (5)$$

Let k be the largest subscript smaller than i_1 such that $s'_k > s''_k$. According to (3) and (4), k exists. Obviously,

$$s'_k > s''_k, \quad s''_j = s'_j, \quad k < j < i_1. \quad (6)$$

Let $d_{ki_1} = \min(s'_k - s''_k, s_{i_1}'' - s_{i_1}')'$ and $\mathbf{S}^{(1)} = (s_i^{(1)})$, where $s_i^{(1)} = s_i'$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) except that $s_k^{(1)} = s'_k - d_{ki_1}$ and $s_{i_1}^{(1)} = s_{i_1}' + d_{ki_1}$. Then

$$\mathbf{S}'' \prec \mathbf{S} \prec \mathbf{S}'.$$

Moreover, the number of corresponding components in \mathbf{S}'' and $\mathbf{S}^{(1)}$ which are equal is at least one more than those which are equal in \mathbf{S}'' and \mathbf{S}' .

We can obtain in a similar fashion a vector $\mathbf{S}^{(2)}$ such that

$$\mathbf{S}'' \prec \mathbf{S}^{(2)} \prec \mathbf{S}^{(1)},$$

and the number of corresponding components in \mathbf{S}'' and $\mathbf{S}^{(2)}$ which are equal is at least one more than those which are equal in \mathbf{S}'' and $\mathbf{S}^{(1)}$.

Repeating this process, we obtain a sequence of vectors:

$$\mathbf{S}'' = \mathbf{S}^{(t)} \prec \mathbf{S}^{(t-1)} \prec \dots \prec \mathbf{S}^{(2)} \prec \mathbf{S}^{(1)} \prec \mathbf{S}^{(0)} = \mathbf{S}', \quad (7)$$

The sequence of vectors is called the total chain from \mathbf{S}' to \mathbf{S}'' , whenever \mathbf{S}'' satisfies (4). If $q=0$ we have $t=0$ in (7).

As mentioned above the reader may also refer to [2] — [5].

We define ω_p , which is a function of \mathbf{S}' and \mathbf{S}'' satisfying (1) — (6), as follows:

$$\omega_p(\mathbf{S}'', \mathbf{S}') = \begin{pmatrix} s'_k - s'_{i_1} - d_k \\ d_{ki_1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Theorem Let \mathbf{P} be an $m \times n$ $(0, 1)$ -matrix with i^{th} column sum ε_i ($\varepsilon_i=0$ or 1).

for $1 \leq i \leq n$. If (1) holds, and $s_1 \geq s_2 \geq \dots \geq s_n$, then

$$|\mathcal{X}_P(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S})| \geq \prod_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} \omega_P(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S}^{(i)}) \geq 1, \quad (8)$$

where $\mathbf{S}^{(i)}$ ($0 \leq i \leq t-1$) are all the elements except $\mathbf{S}^{(t)}$ in the total chain from vector \mathbf{S}^* to \mathbf{S} .

Proof Let $\mathbf{S}'' \prec \mathbf{S}'$ be two vectors satisfying (4) — (6). Let $\mathbf{A}' \in \mathcal{X}_P(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S}')$, and \mathbf{A}'_{ki_1} be the $m \times 2$ matrix consisting of the k^{th} column \mathbf{A}'_k and the i_1^{th} column \mathbf{A}'_{i_1} of \mathbf{A}' . We define a 1 in an $m \times n$ (0,1) — matrix to be free if it is not in the same position as a 1 in \mathbf{P} .

There are at least $s'_k - s'_{i_1} - \varepsilon_k$ rows in \mathbf{A}'_{ki_1} that are of the form (1,0), in which the 1 is free. We exchange the elements in each of any d_{ki_1} rows in \mathbf{A}'_{ki_1} that are of the form (1,0), in which the 1 is free, such that at least one of the column sums of the resulting matrix \mathbf{A}''_{ki_1} is equal to the corresponding component of the vector (s''_k, s''_{i_1}) .

By (5) and (6), we have

$$s'_k - s'_{i_1} - \varepsilon_k \geq d_{ki_1}.$$

The number of ways to select d_{ki_1} rows in \mathbf{A}'_{ki_1} that are of the form (1,0), in which the 1 is free, is at least $\omega_P(\mathbf{S}'', \mathbf{S}')$. We change those elements in the k^{th} and i_1^{th} columns in \mathbf{A}' as we did in \mathbf{A}'_{ki_1} , and do not change other elements. Thus, we obtain a set of matrices which are different from each other, and the number of the matrices is at least $\omega_P(\mathbf{S}'', \mathbf{S}')$.

We apply the above method for $\mathbf{S} \prec \mathbf{S}'$ at first, and then for $\mathbf{S} \prec \mathbf{S}^{(1)}$, ..., finally for $\mathbf{S} \prec \mathbf{S}^{(t-1)}$. Let the λ^{th} and the μ^{th} component of $\mathbf{S}^{(t-1)}$ be changed when $\mathbf{S}^{(t-1)}$ are changed into $\mathbf{S}^{(t-2)} (= \mathbf{S}^{(t-1)} - \mathbf{e}_\lambda)$, and the λ^{th} and the η^{th} component of $\mathbf{S}^{(t)}$ when $\mathbf{S}^{(t)}$ are changed into $\mathbf{S}^{(t-1)} (= \mathbf{S}^{(t)} - \mathbf{e}_\eta)$. Then

$$\lambda \leq \lambda', \mu \leq \eta', \quad (9)$$

and at most one of equalities holds. If \mathbf{D} is a matrix, we denote its h^{th} column by \mathbf{D}_h . Now let \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} be two distinct intermediate matrices with j^{th} column sum $s_j^{(l)}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then there exist v and t ; $\lambda = v - t$, $\mu = t$, such that (see the lemma in [4])

$$\mathbf{A}_v \neq \mathbf{B}_v, \mathbf{A}_t = \mathbf{B}_t.$$

Thus the matrices with j^{th} column sum $s_j^{(l+1)}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$, which are obtained by \mathbf{A} , are different from those by \mathbf{B} . Therefore, the resulting matrices are all distinct, and (8) is true.

Simply take $\mathbf{P} = \emptyset$ and note that $\bar{\mathbf{S}} = \mathbf{S}^*$, our theorem reduces to Wei's

therom ^[3].

References

- [1] R. P. Anstee, Properties of a class of $(0,1)$ -matrices covering a given matrix, Can. J. Math., 34:2 (1982), 438—453.
- [2] C. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, G. Pólya, Inequalities, Cambridge University Press, 2nd Edition, 1952.
- [3] Wei Wand, The class $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S})$ of $(0,1)$ -matrices, Discrete Math., 39 (1982), 301—305.
- [4] Wan Honghui, Structure and cardinality of class $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S})$ of $(0,1)$ -matrices, J. of Math. Research & Exposition, 4(1984), No. 1, 87—93.
- [5] Wan Honghui, Cardinal function $f(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S})$ of the class $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S})$ and its non-zero-point set, J. of Math. Res. & Exposition, 5 (1985), No. 1, pp. 113—6.