

On Some Distributional Multiplicative Products*

Susana Elena Trione

(Instituto Argentino de Matematica, Buenos Aires, Argentina)

Summary

We extend the unidimensional distributional multiplicative products due to B. Fisher (of $[1]$, $[2]$, $[3]$ and $[4]$) to certain kinds of n -dimensional distributions called "anticausal" and "causal" distributions.

We evaluate some multiplicative products, such as $P'_+ \cdot \delta^{(r)}(P)$, $P_+^{-r-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot P_-^{-r-\frac{1}{2}}$, $\{(\operatorname{sgn} P) | P | \lambda\} \cdot P^{2r+1}$, where r and λ verify certain conditions (of formulae (I, 3; 2), (I, 3; 4) and (I, 3; 10), respectively).

I. Introduction

I. 1. Brian Fisher proves the validity of the following formulae (of $[1]$, formula (1), P. 296, formula (2), P. 297 and 298, respectively)

$$x_+^\lambda \cdot x_-^{-1-\lambda} = -\frac{1}{2} \pi \operatorname{cosec} \pi \lambda \delta, \quad (\text{I, 1; 1})$$

when $\lambda \neq 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$

$$x_+^r \cdot \delta^{(r)} = \frac{1}{2} (-1)^r r! \delta, \quad (\text{I, 1; 2})$$

when $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$x_+^r \cdot \delta^{(r)} = \frac{1}{2} r! \delta, \quad (\text{I, 1; 3})$$

when $r = 1, 2, \dots$

Then following theorems were established by Fisher in $[2]$, P. 125,

$$x_+^{-r-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot x_-^{-r-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{(-1)^r}{2(2r)!} \pi \delta^{(2r)}(x), \quad (\text{I, 1; 4})$$

when $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, and, in $[3]$, P. 202,

$$x_+^{-r} \cdot \delta^{(r-1)}(x) = \frac{(-1)^r (r-1)!}{2(2r-1)!} \delta^{2r-1}(x), \quad (\text{I, 1; 5})$$

when $r = 1, 2, \dots$

In the paper $[4]$ Fisher shows that

$$\{\operatorname{sgn} x | x | \lambda\} \cdot \delta(x) = 0, \quad (\text{I, 1; 6})$$

for $\lambda > -1$;

$$\{\operatorname{sgn} x | x | \lambda\} \cdot \delta^{(2r)}(x) = 0, \quad (\text{I, 1; 7})$$

for $\lambda > 2r - 1$ and $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$;

* Received May 5, 1984.

$$|x|^\lambda \cdot \delta^{(2r+1)}(x) = 0, \quad (\text{I}, 1; 8)$$

when $\lambda > 2r$ and $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$;

$$|x|^\lambda \cdot x_\pm^{2r} = x_\pm^{2r+\lambda}, \quad (\text{I}, 1; 9)$$

for $\lambda > -2r - 2$, $\lambda \neq -2r - 1$ and $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$;

$$\{\operatorname{sgn} x |x|^\lambda\} \cdot x_\pm^{2r+1} = x_\pm^{2r+1+\lambda}, \quad (\text{I}, 1; 10)$$

for $\lambda > -2r - 2$, $\lambda \neq -2r - 2$ and $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$;

$$x_+^\lambda \cdot x_+^{-\lambda-1} - x_-^\lambda \cdot x_-^{-\lambda-1} = x^{-1}, \quad (\text{I}, 1; 11)$$

for $\lambda \neq 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$;

$$(x \pm i0)^\lambda \cdot (x \pm i0)^{-\lambda-1} = (x \pm i0)^{-1} \\ = x^{-1} \mp i\pi \delta(x) \quad (\text{I}, 1; 12)$$

when $\lambda \neq 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$;

$$\{\operatorname{sgn} x |x|^\lambda\} \cdot |x|^{-\lambda-1} = x^{-1}, \quad (\text{I}, 1; 13)$$

for $\lambda \neq 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$; (of. formulae (2.1), P. 317; (3.1) P. 318; (3.2), P. 318; (4.1), P. 319; (4.2), P. 319; (5.1), P. 321; (6.1), P. 324 and (6.2), P. 325, respectively).

In those formulae $\delta^{(r)}$ is the r -th derivative of the one-dimensional δ -measure and $x_+^\lambda, x_-^\lambda, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, are the distributions defined by the formulae

$$x_+^\lambda = \begin{cases} x^\lambda & \text{for } x \leq 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } x > 0. \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad x_-^\lambda = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x > 0, \\ |x|^\lambda & \text{for } x \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

We extend the formulae (I, 1; 1) – (I, 1; 13) to certain kinds of distributions called “anticausal” and “causal” distributions.

I.2. We begin with some definitions. Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be a point of the n -dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Consider a nondegenerate quadratic form in n variables of the form

$$P = P(x) = x_1^2 + \dots + x_p^2 - x_{p+1}^2 - \dots - x_{p+q}^2, \quad (\text{I}, 2; 1)$$

where $n = p + q$.

The distributions $(P \pm i0)^\lambda$ are defined by

$$(P \pm i0)^\lambda = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \{P \pm i\varepsilon |x|^2\}^\lambda, \quad (\text{I}, 2; 2)$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$, $|x|^2 = x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

The distributions $(P \pm i0)^\lambda$ are analytic in λ everywhere except at $\lambda = -\frac{n}{2} - k$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where they have simple poles (of. [5], P. 275). These distributions are called, respectively, “anticausal” and “causal” distributions.

Furthermore, we can write (cf. [5], formulae (2) and (2'), P. 206),

$$(P \pm i0)^\lambda = P_+^\lambda + e^{\pm i\pi} P_-^\lambda, \quad (\text{I}, 2; 3)$$

where

$$P_+^\lambda = \begin{cases} P^\lambda & \text{for } P \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } P \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad P_-^\lambda = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } P \geq 0, \\ (-P)^\lambda & \text{for } P \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (\text{I}, 2; 4)$$

The distributions P_+^λ and P_-^λ have two sets of singularities namely $\lambda = -1, -2, \dots, -k, \dots$ and $\lambda = -\frac{n}{2}, -\frac{n}{2}-1, \dots, -\frac{n}{2}-k, \dots$.

When $\lambda = r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, it follows that

$$(P \pm i0)^r = P_+^r + e^{\pm i\pi r} P_-^r = P^r. \quad (\text{I}, 2; 5)$$

We shall define (cf. [5], P. 211, formulae (7) and (8))

$$\langle \delta(P), \phi \rangle = \int \delta(P) \phi \, dx = \int_{P=0} \psi(0, u_2, \dots, u_n) du_2 \dots du_n, \quad (\text{I}, 2; 6)$$

where

$$\psi = \phi_1(u) D\left(\frac{x}{u}\right) \text{ and } \phi_1(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \phi(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

We write $P = u_2$ and choose the remaining u_i coordinates (with $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$) arbitrarily except that the Jacobian of the x_i with respect to the u_i , which we shall denote by $D\left(\frac{x}{u}\right)$, fails to vanish.

Similarly we put

$$\langle \delta^{(k)}(P), \phi \rangle = \int \delta^{(k)}(P) \phi \, dx = (-1)^k \int_{P=0} \psi_{u_1}^{(k)}(0, u_2, \dots, u_n) du_2 \dots du_n. \quad (\text{I}, 2; 7)$$

Finally we observe that the following formulae are valid

$$(\text{sgn } P) |P|^\lambda = P_+^\lambda - P_-^\lambda, \quad (\text{I}, 2; 8)$$

and

$$|P|^\lambda = P_+^\lambda + P_-^\lambda. \quad (\text{I}, 2; 9)$$

I.3. In this article we obtain the following results,

$$P_+^\lambda \cdot P_-^{1-\lambda} = -\frac{1}{2} \pi \operatorname{cosec}(\pi \lambda) \cdot \delta(P), \text{ when } \lambda \text{ and } -\lambda-1 \neq -\frac{n}{2}-k, n \neq 2,$$

$$k = 0, 1, 2, \dots; \lambda \text{ and } -\lambda-1 \neq -1, -2, \dots, -k, k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (\text{I}, 3; 1)$$

$$P_+^r \cdot \delta^{(r)}(P) = \frac{1}{2} (-1)^r r! \delta(P), \text{ when } r \in \mathbb{Z}^+, n \neq 2, r \neq \frac{n}{2}-1, \frac{n}{2}, \dots, \frac{n}{2}+k,$$

$$k = -1, 0, 1, \dots \quad (\text{I}, 3; 2)$$

$$P_-^r \cdot \delta^{(r)}(P) = \frac{1}{2} r! \delta(P), \text{ when } r \in \mathbb{Z}^+, n \neq 2, r \neq \frac{n}{2}-1, \frac{n}{2}, \dots, \frac{n}{2}+k,$$

$$k = -1, 0, 1, \dots \quad (\text{I}, 3; 3)$$

$$P_+^{-r-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot P_-^{-r-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{(-1)^r}{2 (2r)!} \pi \delta^{(2r)}(P), \text{ when } -r-\frac{1}{2} \neq -\frac{n}{2}-k, -2r-1 \neq -\frac{n}{2}-$$

$$k; k = 0, 1, 2, \dots; r \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \quad (\text{I}, 3; 4)$$

$$P^{-r} \cdot \delta^{(r-1)}(P) = \frac{(-1)^r (r-1)!}{2 (2r-1)!} \delta^{(2r-1)}(P), \text{ when } r \in \mathbb{Z}^+, 2r \neq \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}+1, \dots;$$

$$r \neq \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}+1, \dots \quad (\text{I}, 3; 5)$$

$$\{(\text{sgn } P) |P|^\lambda\} \cdot \delta(P) = 0, \text{ when } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda \neq -k, \lambda \neq -\frac{n}{2}-k, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots. \quad (\text{I}, 3; 6)$$

$$\{(\text{sgn } P) |P|^\lambda\} \cdot \delta^{(2r)}(P) = 0, \text{ when } -r-\frac{1}{2} \text{ and } -2r-1 \neq -\frac{n}{2}-k; k = 0, 1, 2, \dots; r \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda, -r-\frac{1}{2}+\lambda \text{ and } -2r-1+\lambda \neq -1, -2, \dots, -k \text{ and } \lambda, -r-\frac{1}{2}+\lambda$$

$$\text{and } -2r-1+\lambda \neq -\frac{n}{2}-k. \quad (\text{I}, 3; 7)$$

$$|P|^\lambda \cdot \delta^{(2r+1)}(P) = 0, \text{ when } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, -r-1 \neq -\frac{n}{2}-k, k=0, 1, 2, \dots; -2r-2 \neq -\frac{n}{2}-k; \text{ and } \lambda, -r-1+\lambda \neq -k \wedge \neq -\frac{n}{2}-k, -2r-2+\lambda \neq -1, r \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \quad (\text{I}, 3; 8)$$

$$|P|^\lambda \cdot P_+^{2r} = P_+^{2r+\lambda}, \text{ when } r \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \lambda \neq -1, -2, \dots, -k; \lambda \neq -\frac{n}{2}-k, k=0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (\text{I}, 3; 9)$$

$$\{(\text{sgn } P) | P|^\lambda\} \cdot P_+^{2r+1} = P_+^{2r+1+\lambda}, \text{ when } r=0, 1, 2, \dots; \lambda \in \mathbb{C}; 2r+\lambda+1 \neq -1; \lambda \neq -k \wedge -\frac{n}{2}-k, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \quad (\text{I}, 3; 10)$$

$$P_+^\lambda \cdot P_+^{-1-\lambda} = P_-^\lambda \cdot P_-^{-1-\lambda} = P^{-1}, \text{ when } \lambda \text{ and } -\lambda-1 \neq -\frac{n}{2}-k, n \neq 2, k=0, 1, \dots; \lambda \text{ and } -\lambda-1 \neq -1, -2, \dots, -k, k=1, 2, \dots \quad (\text{I}, 3; 11)$$

$$(P \pm i0)^\lambda \cdot (P \pm i0)^{-\lambda-1} = (P \pm i0)^{-1} = P^{-1} \mp i\pi \delta(P), \text{ when } \lambda \text{ and } -\lambda-1 \text{ different from } -\frac{n}{2}-k, n \neq 2, k=0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (\text{I}, 3; 12)$$

$$\{(\text{sgn } P) | P|^\lambda\} \cdot |P|^{-\lambda-1} = P^{-1}, \text{ when } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda \neq -\frac{n}{2}-k, k=0, 1, 2, \dots; -\lambda-1 \neq -\frac{n}{2}-k; \lambda \text{ and } -\lambda-1 \neq -1, -2, \dots, -k; n \neq 2. \quad (\text{I}, 3; 13)$$

I.4. First we shall prove formulae (I, 3; 1) and (I, 3; 11).

Taking into account formula (I, 2; 3), we have ($\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$)

$$(P+i0)^\lambda = P_+^\lambda + e^{i\pi\lambda} P_-^\lambda, \quad (\text{I}, 4; 1)$$

and

$$(P+i0)^{-\lambda-1} = P_+^{-\lambda-1} + e^{i\pi(-\lambda-1)} P_-^{-\lambda-1}. \quad (\text{I}, 4; 2)$$

By multiplying the left-hand members of (I, 4; 1) and (I, 4; 2), one verifies that

$$(P+i0)^\lambda \cdot (P+i0)^{-\lambda-1} = (P+i0)^{-1}. \quad (\text{I}, 4; 3)$$

This last formula is valid when λ and $-\lambda-1$ are complex numbers different from $-\frac{n}{2}-k, k=0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $n \neq 2$ (of [6], Theorem 9, P. 28).

We have also, from formula (4, 6), P. 576, [7], for $n \neq 2$,

$$(P+i0)^{-1} = \frac{1}{P} - i\pi \delta(P). \quad (\text{I}, 4; 4)$$

From (I, 4; 3) and (I, 4; 4) we get

$$(P+i0)^\lambda \cdot (P+i0)^{-\lambda-1} = \frac{1}{P} - i\pi \delta(P), \quad (\text{I}, 4; 5)$$

λ and $-\lambda-1$ different from $-\frac{n}{2}-k, k=0, 1, 2, \dots, n \neq 2$.

We obtain, by multiplying the right-hand members of (I, 4; 1) and (I, 4; 2), the formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \{P_+^\lambda + e^{i\pi\lambda} P_-^\lambda\} \cdot \{P_+^{-\lambda-1} + e^{i\pi(-\lambda-1)} P_-^{-\lambda-1}\} \\ &= P_+^\lambda \cdot P_+^{-\lambda-1} - P_-^\lambda \cdot P_-^{-\lambda-1} + \{2i \operatorname{sen} \pi\lambda\} P_-^\lambda \cdot P_+^{-\lambda-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{I}, 4; 6)$$

valid when λ and $-\lambda-1$ different from $-\frac{n}{2}-k$ and different from $-1, -2, \dots, -k, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Note. The “heterodox” multiplicative products which appear in (I, 4; 6) and the other products in what follows will be justified in paragraph I.14.

From (I, 4; 5) and (I, 4; 6) we get

$$\frac{1}{P} - i\pi \delta(P) = P_+^\lambda \cdot P_+^{-\lambda-1} - P_-^\lambda \cdot P_-^{-\lambda-1} + \{2i \operatorname{sen} \pi\lambda\} P_+^{-\lambda-1} \cdot P_-^\lambda \quad (\text{I, 4; 7})$$

when λ and $-\lambda-1 \neq -\frac{n}{2}-k$, $n \neq 2$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \lambda$ and $-\lambda-1 \neq -1, -2, \dots, -k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$

It is immediately seen by equalizing the real and the imaginary parts of both members of (I, 4; 7), that

$$P_+^\lambda \cdot P_+^{-\lambda-1} - P_-^\lambda \cdot P_-^{-\lambda-1} = \frac{1}{P}, \quad (\text{I, 4; 8})$$

when λ and $-\lambda-1 \neq -\frac{n}{2}-k$, $n \neq 2$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$; λ and $-\lambda-1 \neq -1, -2, \dots, -k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and

$$\{2i \operatorname{sen} \pi\lambda\} P_+^{-\lambda-1} \cdot P_-^\lambda = -i\pi \delta(P),$$

or, equivalently ,

$$P_+^{-\lambda-1} \cdot P_-^\lambda = -\frac{1}{2} \pi \operatorname{cosec}(\pi\lambda) \delta(P), \quad (\text{I, 4; 9})$$

when λ and $-\lambda-1 \neq -\frac{n}{2}-k$, $n \neq 2$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$; λ and $-\lambda-1 \neq -1, -2, \dots, -k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$

Formulae (I, 4; 8) and (I, 4; 9) are identical with formulae (I, 3; 11) and (I, 3; 1). ■

I. 5. In this paragraph we shall prove formulae (I, 3; 2) and (I, 3; 3).

We begin by considering the formula (cf. [7], P. 577, formula (4.9))

$$(P+i0)^{-(r+1)} = \frac{1}{P^{r+1}} + \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{r!} \pi i \delta^{(r)}(P), \quad (\text{I, 5; 1})$$

for $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $r \neq \frac{n}{2}-1, \frac{n}{2}, \dots, \frac{n}{2}+k$, $k = -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Taking into account (I, 4; 5), with $\lambda = r$, we obtain

$$(P+i0)^r (P+i0)^{-(r+1)} = (P+i0)^{-1} = \frac{1}{P} - i\pi \delta(P), \quad (\text{I, 5; 2})$$

where $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$; $-r-1 \neq -\frac{n}{2}-k$, $n \neq 2$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

From the right-hand member of (I, 4; 1), when $\lambda = r$, and (I, 5; 1) we obtain, taking into account formula (I, 2; 5),

$$\{P_+^r + e^{i\pi r} P_-^r\} \cdot \{P^{-(r+1)} + \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{r!} \pi i \delta^{(r)}(P)\} = P^r \cdot P^{-(r+1)} + \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{r!} \pi i \delta^{(r)}(P) \cdot P^r, \quad (\text{I, 5; 3})$$

for $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $r \neq \frac{n}{2}-1, \frac{n}{2}, \dots, \frac{n}{2}+k$, $k = -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots$

We get, taking into account the imaginary parts of the right-hand members of (I, 5; 2) and (I, 5; 3),

$$P^r \delta^{(r)}(P) = (-1)^r r! \delta(P), \quad (\text{I, 5; 4})$$

for $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots; r \neq \frac{n}{2} - 1, \frac{n}{2}, \dots, \frac{n}{2} + k, k = -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots$

We know that for r a nonnegative integer,

$$P^r = P_+^r + (-1)^r P_-^r. \quad (\text{I}, 5; 5)$$

Then, from (I, 5; 4) and (I, 5; 5), we get

$$P_+^r \cdot \delta^{(r)}(P) = \frac{1}{2} (-1)^r r! \delta(P), \quad (\text{I}, 5; 6)$$

for $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+, n \neq 2, r \neq \frac{n}{2} - 1, \frac{n}{2}, \dots, \frac{n}{2} + k, k = -1, 0, 1, \dots$, analogously we get

$$P_-^r \cdot \delta^{(r)}(P) = \frac{1}{2} r! \delta(P), \quad (\text{I}, 5; 7)$$

for $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+, n \neq 2, r \neq 2, r \neq \frac{n}{2} - 1, \frac{n}{2}, \dots, \frac{n}{2} + k, k = -1, 0, 1, \dots$

Formulae (I, 5; 6) and (I, 5; 7) are identical with formulae (I, 3; 2) and (I, 3; 3). ■

I.6. Formula (I, 2; 3) for $\lambda = -r - \frac{1}{2}, r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, reads

$$(P \pm i0)^{-r - \frac{1}{2}} = P_+^{-r - \frac{1}{2}} + e^{\pm i\pi(-r - \frac{1}{2})} P_-^{-r - \frac{1}{2}}. \quad (\text{I}, 6; 1)$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\{(P \pm i0)^{-r - \frac{1}{2}}\}^2 = \{P_+^{-r - \frac{1}{2}}\}^2 + \{e^{\pm i\pi(-r - \frac{1}{2})} P_-^{-r - \frac{1}{2}}\}^2 + 2 P_+^{-r - \frac{1}{2}} e^{\pm i\pi(-r - \frac{1}{2})} P_-^{-r - \frac{1}{2}} \quad (\text{I}, 6; 2)$$

for $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots; -r - \frac{1}{2} \neq -\frac{n}{2} - k, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots; -2r - 1 \neq -\frac{n}{2} - k$.

The left-hand member of (I, 6; 2) reads (of [6], P. 28, Theorem 9 and [7], P. 577, formula (4.9)),

$$\begin{aligned} \{(P \pm i0)^{-r - \frac{1}{2}}\}^2 &= (P \pm i0)^{-r - \frac{1}{2}} (P \pm i0)^{-r - \frac{1}{2}} = (P \pm i0)^{-(2r+1)} = \\ &= P^{-(2r+1)} \mp \frac{i\pi(-1)^{2r}}{(2r)!} \delta^{(2r)}(P), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{I}, 6; 3)$$

when $-r - \frac{1}{2} \neq -\frac{n}{2} - k; -2r - 1 \neq -\frac{n}{2} - k; k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$; and $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Taking into account the imaginary parts of the right-hand members of (I, 6; 2) and (I, 6; 3), we obtain

$$P_+^{-r - \frac{1}{2}} P_-^{-r - \frac{1}{2}} = \frac{(-1)^r}{2(2r)!} \pi \delta^{(2r)}(P), \quad (\text{I}, 6; 4)$$

for $-r - \frac{1}{2} \neq -\frac{n}{2} - k; -2r - 1 \neq -\frac{n}{2} - k; k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, and $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Formula (I, 6; 4) is identical with formula (I, 3; 4). ■

I.7. Now we shall obtain formula (I, 3; 5). From (I, 5; 1) we get

$$(P + i0)^{-2r} = \text{Pf} P^{-2r} - \frac{(-1)^{2r-1}}{(2r-1)!} i\pi \delta^{(2r-1)}(P), \quad (\text{I}, 7; 1)$$

for $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+, 2r \neq \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2} + 1, \dots$

Also we have

$$(P \pm i0)^{-2r} = [(P \pm i0)^{-r}]^2 = (P \pm i0)^{-r} (P \pm i0)^{-r} = \left\{ \text{Pf } \frac{1}{Pr} \right\}^2 - \frac{\pi^2}{[(r-1)!]^2} \{\delta^{(r-1)}(P)\}^2 + 2 \left\{ \text{Pf } \frac{1}{Pr} \right\} \left\{ \frac{(-1)^r}{(r-1)!} i \pi \delta^{(r-1)}(P) \right\}, \quad (\text{I}, 7; 2)$$

when $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$; $-r$ and $-2r \neq -\frac{n}{2} - k$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$

By comparing the real and imaginary parts of the right-hand members of (I, 7; 2), and (I, 7; 2), we get

$$\text{Pf } P^{-2r} = \left\{ \text{Pf } \frac{1}{Pr} \right\}^2 - \frac{\pi^2}{[(r-1)!]^2} \{\delta^{(r-1)}(P)\}^2, \quad (\text{I}, 7; 3)$$

and

$$\text{Pf } \frac{1}{Pr} \delta^{(r-1)}(P) = \frac{1}{2} (-1)^r \frac{(r-1)!}{(2r-1)!} \delta^{(2r-1)}(P), \quad (\text{I}, 7; 4)$$

for $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$; $2r \neq \frac{n}{2}$, $\frac{n}{2} + 1, \dots$; $r \neq \frac{n}{2}$, $\frac{n}{2} + 1, \dots$

Formula (I, 7; 4) is a generalization of the formula

$p \nabla \frac{1}{x} \cdot \delta(x) = -\frac{1}{2} \delta'(x)$ due to A. González Domínguez and R. Scarfiello (cf. [8]) and is identical with formula (8.1), P. 17, [9] ■

I. 8. From (I, 3; 4) we get

$$\delta^{(2r)}(P) = (-1)^r 2^{(2r)}! \pi P_+^{-(r+\frac{1}{2})} P_-^{-(r+\frac{1}{2})}, \quad (\text{I}, 8; 1)$$

for $-r - \frac{1}{2} \neq -\frac{n}{2} - k$; $-2r - 1 \neq -\frac{n}{2} - k$; $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$; and $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

The following formula is also valid ($\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$),

$$(\text{sgn } P) | P |^\lambda = P_+^\lambda - P_-^\lambda. \quad (\text{I}, 8; 2)$$

By multiplying the left and the right-hand members of the preceding formulae, we get

$$\{(\text{sgn } P) | P |^\lambda\} \cdot \delta^{(2r)}(P) = (-1)^r 2^{(2r)}! \pi \cdot \{P_+^{-r-\frac{1}{2}} P_-^{-r-\frac{1}{2}}\} \{P_+^\lambda - P_-^\lambda\} = 0, \quad (\text{I}, 8; 3)$$

for $-r - \frac{1}{2}$ and $-2r - 1 \neq -\frac{n}{2} - k$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$; and $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$; $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$; λ , $-r - \frac{1}{2} + \lambda$ and $-2r - 1 + \lambda \notin \mathbb{Z}^-$ and λ , $-r - \frac{1}{2} + \lambda$ and $-2r - 1 + \lambda \neq -\frac{n}{2} - k$.

The last formula is identical with (I, 3; 7). ■

If we put $r = 0$ in (I, 8; 4), we get formula (I, 3; 6). ■

I. 9. We shall establish now the formula (I, 3; 8).

From (I, 3; 4) we have, for $-r - 1 \neq -\frac{n}{2} - k$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$; $-2r - 2 \neq -\frac{n}{2} - k$; $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

$$\delta^{(2r+1)}(P) = ((-1)^{r+\frac{1}{2}} 2^{(2r+1)}! \pi P_+^{-r-1} P_-^{-r-1}). \quad (\text{I}, 9; 1)$$

We know also that ($\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$)

$$| P |^\lambda = P_+^\lambda + P_-^\lambda. \quad (\text{I}, 9; 2)$$

Multiplying the two last equalities, we obtain

$$|P|^\lambda \cdot \delta^{(2r+1)}(P) = 0, \quad (\text{I}, 9; 3)$$

when $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$; $-r-1 \neq -\frac{n}{2}-k$, $k=0, 1, 2, \dots$; $-2r-2 \neq -\frac{n}{2}-k$; and λ , $-r-1+\lambda \neq -k \wedge \neq -\frac{n}{2}-k$, $-2r-2+\lambda \neq -1$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Formula (I, 9; 3) proves our thesis (I, 3; 8). ■

I.10. We shall obtain the formula (I, 3; 9).

First, we register the formula (cf. [6], P. 28, Theorem 9)

$$(P+i0)^{2r} \cdot (P+i0)^\lambda = (P+i0)^{2r+\lambda}, \quad (\text{I}, 10; 1)$$

when λ and $2r+\lambda$ are complex numbers different from $-\frac{n}{2}-k$, $r, k=0, 1, 2, \dots$

From (I, 4; 1) and (I, 9; 2) we have

$$(P+i0)^\lambda = (|P|^\lambda - P_-^\lambda) + e^{i\pi\lambda} P_-^\lambda, \quad (\text{I}, 10; 2)$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, and

$$(P+i0)^{2r} = P_+^{2r} + e^{i2\pi r} P_-^{2r}, \quad (\text{I}, 10; 3)$$

for $r=0, 1, 2, \dots$

Multiplying the left-hand members of (I, 10; 2) and (I, 10; 3) we obtain

$$(P+i0)^\lambda (P+i0)^{2r} = (P+i0)^{\lambda+2r} = P_+^{\lambda+2r} + e^{i\pi(\lambda+2r)} P_-^{\lambda+2r} \quad (\text{I}, 10; 4)$$

for $\lambda \neq -\frac{n}{2}-k$; $k=0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

By multiplying the right-hand members of (I, 10; 2) and (I, 10; 3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (|P|^\lambda - P_-^\lambda) + e^{i\pi\lambda} P_-^\lambda \cdot [P_+^{2r} + e^{i2\pi r} P_-^{2r}] = \\ & = |P|^\lambda P_+^{2r} + e^{i2\pi r} |P|^\lambda P_-^{2r} - (e^{i2\pi r} - e^{i\pi(\lambda+2r)}) P_-^{\lambda+2r}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{I}, 10; 5)$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$; $r=0, 1, 2, \dots$; $\lambda \neq -1, \dots, -k$; $\lambda \neq -\frac{n}{2}-k$ and $k=0, 1, 2, \dots$

From (I, 10; 4) and (I, 10; 5) we conclude

$$|P|^\lambda \cdot P_+^{2r} = P_+^{\lambda+2r}, \quad (\text{I}, 10; 6)$$

when $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $\lambda \neq -1, -2, \dots, -k$; $\lambda \neq -\frac{n}{2}-k$, $k=0, 1, 2, \dots$ ■

I.11. Taking into account formula (I, 2; 8) we have, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$(\text{sgn } P) |P|^\lambda = P_+^\lambda - P_-^\lambda. \quad (\text{I}, 11; 1)$$

Then

$$\{(\text{sgn } P) |P|^\lambda\} \cdot P_+^{2r+1} = \{P_+^\lambda - P_-^\lambda\} \cdot P_+^{2r+1} = P_+^{\lambda+2r+1}, \quad (\text{I}, 11; 2)$$

when $r=0, 1, 2, \dots$; $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\lambda+2r+1 \neq -1$;

$$\lambda \neq -k \wedge \neq -\frac{n}{2}-k, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

The last formula is identical with (I, 3; 10). ■

I.12. Formula (I, 3; 12) is a particular case of the following formula (cf.

[6], p. 23, formula (I, 3; 17))

$$(P \pm i0)^\lambda \cdot (P \pm i0)^\mu = (P \pm i0)^{\lambda+\mu}, \quad (\text{I}, 12; 1)$$

valid for all λ, μ and $\lambda + \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ and different from $-\frac{n}{2} - k, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Therefore

$$(P \pm i0)^\lambda \cdot (P \pm i0)^{-\lambda-1} = (P \pm i0)^{-1},$$

when λ and $-\lambda - 1$ different from $-\frac{n}{2} - k, n \neq 2, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

The second equality of the formula (I, 3, 12) is due to D. Bresters [7], P. 577, formula (4.9).

I. 13. Finally, we shall prove the formula (I, 3, 13).

We have, taking into account the (I, 2, 8), (I, 2, 9) and (I, 3, 11)

$$\{(\operatorname{sgn} P) |P|^\lambda\} \cdot |P|^{-\lambda-1} = P^{-1}, \quad (\text{I, 1, 31})$$

which is valid for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda \neq -\frac{n}{2} - k, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, -\lambda - 1 \neq -\frac{n}{2} - k$, and λ and $-\lambda - 1 \neq -1, -2, \dots -k, n \neq 2$ ■

I. 14. If S and T are distributions we shall define their multiplicative product by the formula

$$S \cdot T = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{S * g_n(x)\} \cdot \{T * g_n(x)\} \quad (\text{I, 14; 1})$$

if the limit exists for every mollifier $g_n(x)$. The symbol $*$ denotes, as usual, convolution. By a mollifier we mean a sequence $g_n(x) = n g(nx)$, where the function $g(x)$ has the properties

1) $g(x) \geq 0$, 2) $g \in C_0^\infty$, 3) $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) dx = 1$, 4) $g(x) = g(-x)$, 5) $\operatorname{supp} g(x) \subset [-1, 1]$, 6) $g(x)$ is increasing for $-1 \leq x \leq 0$ and decreasing for $0 \leq x \leq 1$.

In order to give a sense to all products evaluated in the paragraphs I. 1 to I. 13 (or "regularize" them) we deal with the multidimensional generalizations obtained by means of a change of variable

Let ϕ_s be a distribution of one variable s and let $u(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_n)$ be such that the $(n-1)$ -dimensional manifold $u(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$ has no critical point; $\phi_{u(x)}$ denotes the distribution defined on $\mathbb{R}_n$ by the formula (called the Leray formula, (cf. [10], p. 102))

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_n} \phi_{u(x)} f(x) dx_1 \cdots dx_n = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_s ds \int_{u(x)=s} f(x) w_u(x, dx); \quad (\text{I, 14; 2})$$

here w_u is an $(n-1)$ -dimensional form on u defined as follows:

$$du \wedge dw = dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n;$$

the manifold $u(x) = s$ has the orientation such that $w_u(x, dx) > 0$.

We remark that the one-dimensional products we have considered, when applying Leray's formula are due to A. Brédimas [11] and B. Fisher [1], [4].

We remit, mainly, to González Domínguez's Note (of. [9], especially Theorems 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12).

References

- [1] B. Fisher, The product of distributions, Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, Oxford Series, S.2, 22, 1971, 291—298.
- [2] B. Fisher, The product of the distributions $x_+^{-r-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $x_-^{-r-\frac{1}{2}}$, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 1972, 71, 123—130.
- [3] B. Fisher, The product of the distributions x^{-r} and $\delta^{(r-1)}(x)$, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 1972, 72, 201—204.
- [4] B. Fisher, Some results on the product of distributions, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 1973, 73, 317—325.
- [5] I.M. Gelfand and G.E. Shilov, Generalized Functions, Volume 1, Academic Press, New York, 1964.
- [6] S.E. Trione, Distributional Products, Cursos de Matemática N° 3, Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, Instituto Argentino de Matemática (I.A.M.), Buenos Aires, Argentina, 1980.
- [7] D. Bresters, On distributions connected with quadratic forms, S.I.A.M. J. Appl. Math., Vol. 16, No 13, May 1968, 563—581.
- [8] A. González Domínguez and R. Scarfiello, Nota sobre la fórmula $\text{vp } \frac{1}{x} \cdot \delta = -\frac{1}{2}\delta'$, Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina, 1955, Vol. XVII, 53—56.
- [9] A. González Domínguez, On some heterodox distributional multiplicative products, Serie 1, Trabajos de Matemática, preprint, No 17, Instituto Argentino de Matemática, I.A.M., 1978.
- [10] J. Leray, Hyperbolic Differential Equations, mimeographed lecture notes, Institute of Advanced Study, Princeton, 1952.
- [11] A. Bredimas, La différentiation d'ordre complexe, le produit de convolution généralisé et le produit canonique de distributions au sens de Gelfand Chilov, preprint No 129, Orsay-Mathématiques, 1975.