

A Note on A Coupled Reaction-diffusion System with Time Delays*

Yan Ziqian (严子谦)

(Jilin University, Changchun)

Abstract The global stability of the steady-state solution to a coupled reaction-diffusion system with time delays is investigated. All factors involved in the system, especially, the permeabilities and diffusion are taken into account, and the relevant results of the papers [1, 2] are thus improved.

Some biochemical reaction can be modeled by a coupled system of time-delayed ordinary differential equations and linear parabolic partial differential equations like this (cf. [1, 2]):

$$\begin{cases} u_1' + (a_1 + b_1)u_1 = a_1 u_2(0, t) + f(u_1(t - r_1)), \\ v_1' + (a_1 + b_2)v_1 = a_1 v_2(0, t), \\ (u_2)_t - D_1(u_2)_{xx} + b_1 u_2 = 0, \\ (v_2)_t - D_2(v_2)_{xx} + b_2 v_2 = 0, \\ u_3' + (a_2 + b_1)u_3 = a_2 u_2(l, t), \\ v_3' + (a_2 + b_2)v_3 = a_2 v_2(l, t) + g(u_3(t - r_2)), \end{cases} \quad 0 < x < l, t > 0 \quad (1)$$

where $u_1' = du_1/dt$, $(u_2)_t = \partial u_2 / \partial t$, $(u_2)_{xx} = \partial^2 u_2 / \partial x^2$, etc., a_i , b_i , D_i , r_i are positive constants corresponding to the membrane permeabilities, the reaction rates, the diffusion coefficients, the time delays respectively, and f , g are given reaction functions. The boundary conditions for u_2 and v_2 are

$$\begin{cases} -(u_2)_x(0, t) + \beta_1 u_2(0, t) = \beta_1 u_1(t), \\ (u_2)_x(l, t) + \beta_2 u_2(l, t) = \beta_2 u_3(t), \\ -(v_2)_x(0, t) + \beta_1^* v_2(0, t) = \beta_1^* v_1(t), \\ (v_2)_x(l, t) + \beta_2^* v_2(l, t) = \beta_2^* v_3(t), \end{cases} \quad t > 0, \quad (2)$$

where β_i and β_i^* are positive constants associated with the permeabilities. The initial conditions for the system are given by

$$\begin{cases} u_1(0) = \xi_1, & v_1(t) = \eta_1(t), & -r_1 \leq t \leq 0, \\ u_2(x, 0) = \xi_2(x), & v_2(x, 0) = \eta_2(x), & 0 < x < l, \\ u_3(t) = \xi_3(t), & v_3(0) = \eta_3, & -r_2 \leq t \leq 0, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where ξ_1 , η_3 are nonnegative constants and ξ_2 , ξ_3 , η_1 , η_2 are given continuous nonnegative functions of their respective arguments. Assuming

$$f(v) \geq 0, \quad f'(v) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } v \geq 0 \quad (4)$$

* Received May 3, 1986.

and

$$g(u) = c_2 u, \quad c_2 > 0,$$

J. M. Mahaffy and C. V. Pao [1, 2] have given a qualitative analysis of the problem (1)–(3). They proved the existence and uniqueness of solutions to this problem and to the corresponding steady-state problem. Under the additional restriction

$$0 \leq -f'(v) \leq c_1 < b_1 b_2 / c_2 \quad \text{for } v \geq 0, \quad (5)$$

they also proved the global stability of the steady-state solution.

It is clear that the restriction (5) fails to represent the effects of the parameters other than b_1 , b_2 and c_2 . The purpose of this note is to take into account the effects of all parameters and improve the stability result mentioned above.

Assuming (4) and

$$g(u) \geq 0, \quad g'(u) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } u \geq 0, \quad (6)$$

and using the argument similar to that used in [1, 2], we can prove that the problem (1)–(3) has a unique solution (u_i, v_i) and a unique steady-state solution (u_i^s, v_i^s) . Set

$$c_1 = -\inf_{v \geq 0} f'(v), \quad c_2 = \sup_{u \geq 0} g'(u).$$

The main result of this note is

Theorem Suppose that (4) and (6) hold and that

$$c_1 c_2 < K(a_1 + b_2)(a_2 + b_1)b_1 b_2 / a_1 a_2 \quad (7)$$

where $K = K(a_i, b_i, D_i, \beta_i, \beta_i^*) > 1$. Then for any initial data (ξ_i, η_i) , $i = 1, 2, 3$, there exist positive constants M and ε independent of the time delays r_1 and r_2 such that

$$\begin{cases} |u_i(t) - u_i^s|, |v_i(t) - v_i^s| \leq M e^{-\varepsilon t}, & i = 1, 3, \\ |u_2(x, t) - u_2^s(x)|, |v_2(x, t) - v_2^s(x)| \leq M e^{-\varepsilon t} \end{cases} \quad 0 \leq x \leq l, t \geq 0. \quad (8)$$

Proof It is easy to see that the differences $\hat{u}_i = u_i - u_i^s$ and $\hat{v}_i = v_i - v_i^s$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \hat{u}_1' + (a_1 + b_1)\hat{u}_1 = a_1 \hat{u}_2(0, t) + \int_0^1 f'(\tau v_1(t - r_1) + (1 - \tau)v_1^s) d\tau \hat{u}_1(t - r_1), \\ \hat{v}_1' + (a_1 + b_2)\hat{v}_1 = a_1 \hat{v}_2(0, t), \\ (\hat{u}_2)_t - D_1(\hat{u}_2)_{xx} + b_1 \hat{u}_2 = 0, \\ (\hat{v}_2)_t - D_2(\hat{v}_2)_{xx} + b_2 \hat{v}_2 = 0, \end{cases} \quad 0 < x < l, t > 0, \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{cases} \hat{u}_3' + (a_2 + b_1)\hat{u}_3 = a_2 \hat{u}_2(l, t), \\ \hat{v}_3' + (a_2 + b_2)\hat{v}_3 = a_2 \hat{v}_2(l, t) + \int_0^1 g'(\tau u_3(t - r_2) + (1 - \tau)u_3^s) d\tau \hat{u}_3(t - r_2), \\ \begin{cases} -(\hat{u}_2)_x(0, t) + \beta_1 \hat{u}_2(0, t) = \beta_1 \hat{u}_1(t), \\ (\hat{u}_2)_x(l, t) + \beta_2 \hat{u}_2(l, t) = \beta_2 \hat{u}_3(t), \\ -(\hat{v}_2)_x(0, t) + \beta_1^* \hat{v}_2(0, t) = \beta_1^* \hat{v}_1(t), \\ (\hat{v}_2)_x(l, t) + \beta_2^* \hat{v}_2(l, t) = \beta_2^* \hat{v}_3(t), \end{cases} \end{cases} \quad t > 0 \quad (10)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \hat{u}_1(0) = \xi_1 - u_1^*, & \hat{v}_1(t) = \eta_1(t) - v_1^*, & -r_1 \leq t \leq 0, \\ \hat{u}_2(x, 0) = \xi_2(x) - u_2^*(x), & \hat{v}_2(x, 0) = \eta_2(x) - v_2^*(x), & 0 < x < l, \\ \hat{u}(t) = \xi_D(t) - u_3^*, & \hat{v}_3(0) = \eta_3 - v_3^*, & -r_2 \leq t \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Set

$$u_i^\pm(t) = \pm p_i e^{-\varepsilon t}, \quad v_i^\pm(t) = \pm q_i e^{-\varepsilon t}, \quad i = 1, 3, \quad 0 \leq x \leq l, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (12)$$

$$u_2^\pm(x, t) = \pm p_2 \varphi(x) e^{-\varepsilon t}, \quad v_2^\pm(x, t) = \pm q_2 \psi(x) e^{-\varepsilon t} \quad (13)$$

where $\varepsilon < \min(b_1, b_2)$, $p_i, q_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, are positive constants and φ, ψ are positive functions to be determined later. According to the monotone method (see [2]), in order to prove (8) it suffices to show that (u_i^+, v_i^+) and (u_i^-, v_i^-) given by (12) and (13) are the upper and lower solutions of the problem (9)–(11), respectively. In other words, it suffices to show (u_i^+, v_i^+) and (u_i^-, v_i^-) satisfy (9)–(11) with “=” replaced by “ \geq ” and “ \leq ” respectively. It turns out that we need only to select $p_i, q_i, \varphi(x)$ and $\psi(x)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} (a_1 + b_1 - \varepsilon) p_1 \geq a_1 \varphi(0) p_2 + c_1 e^{\varepsilon r_1} q_1, \\ (a_1 + b_2 - \varepsilon) q_1 \geq a_1 \psi(0) q_2, \\ [(b_1 - \varepsilon) \varphi(x) - D_1 \varphi''(x)] p_2 \geq 0, \\ [(b_2 - \varepsilon) \psi(x) - D_2 \psi''(x)] q_2 \geq 0, & 0 < x < l, \\ (a_2 + b_1 - \varepsilon) p_3 \geq a_2 \varphi(l) p_2, \\ (a_2 + b_2 - \varepsilon) q_3 \geq a_2 \psi(l) q_2 + c_2 e^{\varepsilon r_2} p_3, \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{cases} [\beta_1 \varphi(0) - \varphi'(0)] p_2 \geq \beta_1 p_1, \\ [\beta_2 \varphi(l) + \varphi'(l)] p_2 \geq \beta_2 p_3, \\ [\beta_1^* \psi(0) - \psi'(0)] q_2 \geq \beta_1^* q_1, \\ [\beta_2^* \psi(l) + \psi'(l)] q_2 \geq \beta_2^* q_3. \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} p_1 \geq |\xi_1 - u_1^*|, & q_1 \geq |\eta_1(t) - v_1^*|, & -r_1 \leq t \leq 0, \\ p_2 \varphi(x) \geq |\xi_2(x) - u_2^*(x)|, & q_2 \psi(x) \geq |\eta_2(x) - v_2^*(x)|, & 0 < x < l, \\ p_3 \geq |\xi_3(t) - u_3^*|, & q_3 \geq |\eta_3 - v_3^*|, & -r_2 \leq t \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

Before going on we point out the following fact.

Lemma \forall positive a, b , and β , \exists a positive function $\varphi = \varphi(x; a, b, D, \beta)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} b\varphi(x) - D\varphi''(x) &\geq 0 \quad \text{for } 0 < x < l, \\ \varphi(0) &= \varphi(l) = 1, \\ \varphi'(0) &< 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi'(l) &\geq -\frac{b\beta}{a+b}. \end{aligned}$$

Actually, there are many such functions. For example,

$$\varphi_1(x) = 1 - \lambda x(l-x), \quad 0 < \lambda \leq 4b/(8D + b l^2),$$

$$\varphi_2(x) = e^{-\lambda x}(l-x), \quad 0 < \lambda \leq \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{bl^2}{D}} - 1 \right) / l,$$

$$\varphi_3(x) = 1 - \lambda \sin(2\pi x/l), \quad 0 < \lambda \leq \min \left\{ \frac{b}{b + 4\pi^2/l^2 D}, \frac{b\beta l}{2\pi(a+b)} \right\},$$

$$\varphi_4(x) = e^{-\lambda \sin(\pi x/l)}, \quad 0 < \lambda \leq \begin{cases} \left(\frac{l}{\pi}\right)^2 \frac{b}{D} & \text{if } \frac{b}{D} \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \left(\frac{l}{\pi}\right)^2 \sqrt{\frac{b}{D} - \frac{1}{4}} & \text{if } \frac{b}{D} > \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

$$\varphi_5(x) = \text{ch}(\lambda x) - 2 \frac{\text{sh}^2(\lambda l/2)}{\text{sh}(\lambda l)} \text{sh}(\lambda x), \quad 0 < \lambda \leq \sqrt{b/D},$$

etc. Now we choose

$$\varphi(x) = \varphi_\varepsilon(x) = \varphi(x; a_2, b_1 - \varepsilon, D_1, \beta_2),$$

$$\psi(x) = \psi_\varepsilon(x) = \varphi(l-x; a_1, b_2 - \varepsilon, D_2, \beta_1^*),$$

$$p_2/p_1 = \beta_1/[\beta_1 - \varphi'_\varepsilon(0)], \quad (17)$$

$$p_3/p_2 = a_2/(a_2 + b_1 - \varepsilon), \quad (18)$$

$$p_1/q_2 = a_1/(a_1 + b_2 - \varepsilon), \quad (19)$$

and $q_2/q_3 = \beta_2^*/[\beta_2^* + \psi'_\varepsilon(l)]. \quad (20)$

Then all the inequalities in (14) except for the first and the last ones, and (15) are fulfilled. In order that these two inequalities also hold we need

$$\left[b_1 - \varepsilon - \frac{\varphi'_\varepsilon(0)}{\beta_1} (a_1 + b_1 - \varepsilon) \right] p_2 \geq c_1 e^{\varepsilon r_1} \frac{a_1}{a_1 + b_2 - \varepsilon} q_2$$

and

$$\left[b_2 - \varepsilon - \frac{\psi'_\varepsilon(l)}{\beta_2^*} (a_2 + b_2 - \varepsilon) \right] q_2 \geq c_2 e^{\varepsilon r_2} \frac{a_2}{a_2 + b_1 - \varepsilon} p_2,$$

i. e.,

$$\frac{a_1 c_1 \beta_1 e^{\varepsilon r_1}}{(a_1 + b_2 - \varepsilon)[(b_1 - \varepsilon)\beta_1 - \varphi'_\varepsilon(0)(a_1 + b_1 - \varepsilon)]} \leq \frac{p_2}{q_2} \leq \frac{(a_2 + b_1 - \varepsilon)[(b_2 - \varepsilon)\beta_2^* + \psi'_\varepsilon(l)(a_2 + b_2 - \varepsilon)]}{a_2 c_2 \beta_2^* e^{\varepsilon r_2}}. \quad (21)$$

This is possible if

$$\frac{a_1 c_1 \beta_1}{(a_1 + b_2)[(b_1 - \varepsilon)\beta_1 - \varphi'_\varepsilon(0)(a_1 + b_1)]} < \frac{(a_2 + b_1)[(b_2 - \varepsilon)\beta_2^* + \psi'_\varepsilon(l)(a_2 + b_2)]}{a_2 c_2 \beta_2^*} \quad (22)$$

and ε sufficiently small. The inequality (22) is nothing but (7) with

$$K = \frac{[b_1 \beta_1 - \varphi'_\varepsilon(0)(a_1 + b_1)][(b_2 - \varepsilon)\beta_2^* + \psi'_\varepsilon(l)(a_2 + b_2)]}{b_1 r_1 \beta_1 \beta_2^*} > 1. \quad (23)$$

By taking p_i and q_i big enough and remaining (17)–(21) unchanged, (16) can also be satisfied, and the theorem is thus proved.

Remark From (7), (23) and the examples for $\varphi(x)$ we see that not

only small c_1, c_2 and big b_1, b_2 , as shown in (5), but also small $a_1, a_2, D_1, D_2, \beta_1, \beta_2^*$ and big β_1^*, β_2 can ensure the global stability.

References

- [1] J. M. Mahaffy and C. V. Pao, Models of genetic control by repression with time delays and spatial effects, J. Math. Biology, 20(1984), 39—57.
- [2] C. V. Pao and J. M. Mahaffy, Qualitative analysis of a coupled reaction-diffusion model in biology with time delays, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 109 (1985), 355—371.

(from 106)

integers n, m, m' such that $b^2 = na^2, ab = ma^2, ba = m'a^2$ and for which the congruence equation $X^2 + (m + m')X + n \equiv 0 \pmod{q_j}$ has no integer solution X .

(5) $R = P \oplus K$ where $P = \sum_{p_i \in I} \oplus F_{p_i}$ satisfies (1) and $K = \sum_{q_j \in J} R_{q_j}$ satisfies (4).

(6) $R = K + R_1$ where $K = \sum_{q_j \in J} R_{q_j}$ satisfies (4) and $R_1 = \{r_0\}$, $o(r_0) = \infty$, $r_0^2 = nr_0$ for $n \neq 0$. For each element x of K , $xr_0 = 0$, $r_0x = nx$.

(7) $R = K + A$, where $K = \sum_{q_j \in J} R_{q_j}$ satisfies (4) and $A = R_1 \oplus \sum_{p_i \in I} \oplus F_{p_i}$ satisfies (3), $R_1 = \{r_0\}$, $o(r_0) = \infty$, $r_0^2 = nr_0$. For each $x \in K$, $r_0x = nx$, and for each $x \in K$, $a \in A$, $b \in \sum_{p_i \in I} \oplus F_{p_i}$, $xa = 0$, $bx = 0$.

Reference

- [1] Liu Shaoxue, J. Beijing Normal Univ. (natural sci.), 1979, no. 3, 1—6.