

Meromorphic Functions with Two Radially Distributed Values*

Zheng Jianhua

(Anhui University, Hefei)

The purpose of this paper is to exhibit an extension of the results of Edrei [1] and Kimura [2], respectively. That is, we point out that the assumption of the radial distribution of poles may be removed. Thus our main results take the following forms.

Theorem 1 Let $f(z)$ be meromorphic and such that all but at most a finite number of the roots of the two equations

$$f(z) = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$f^{(s)}(z) = 1 \quad (s > 1) \quad (2)$$

be distributed on the radial $\arg z = \omega_k$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, q$; $q \geq 1$; $0 < \omega_1 < \omega_2 < \dots < \omega_q < 2\pi$). Let $\rho(f)$ denote the order of $f(z)$ and

$$\beta = \max\left\{\frac{\pi}{\omega_2 - \omega_1}, \dots, \frac{\pi}{\omega_{q+1} - \omega_q}\right\} (\omega_{q+1} = 2\pi + \omega_1).$$

(i) If

$$\delta(0, f) + \delta(1, f^{(s)}) > 0, \quad (3)$$

then $\rho(f) < \beta$.

(ii) If the roots of one of the two equations (1) and (2) have a finite exponent of convergence ρ_1 , then $\rho(f) = \rho_1$ or else $\rho_1 < \rho(f) < \beta$.

Remark It is clear that the condition $s > 0$ in the above theorem is necessary.

Corollary Let $f(z)$ be an entire function. If the roots of the equation

$$f'(z) + f^2(z) = 1.$$

are all real, then $\rho(f) < 1$. If, in the above statement, the word "real" is replaced by the word "positive", then $\rho(f) < 1/2$.

Theorem 2 Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function of finite lower order and such that for any real number $\varepsilon > 0$, all but at most a finite number of the roots of the equations (1) and (2) be distributed in the angles $|\arg z - \omega_k| < \varepsilon$

* Received Oct., 11, 1988.

($k = 1, 2, \dots, q; q \geq 1; 0 < \omega_1 < \omega_2 < \dots < \omega_q < 2\pi$).

(i) If (3) holds, then $\rho(f) < \beta$.

(ii) If the roots of one of the two equations (1) and (2) have a finite exponent of convergence ρ_1 , then either $\rho(f) = \rho_1$ or else $\rho_1 < \rho(f) < \beta$.

Remark Analysing the proof of the above theorems, we know that the theorems are still true, even if “(2) $f^{(s)}(z) = 1$ ” in the theorems is replaced by “(2) $f^{(s)}(z) = p(z)$ ($p(z)$: a non-zero polynomial)” and “ $\delta(1, f^{(s)})$ ” by “ $\delta(p, f^{(s)})$ ”.

References

- [1] A. Edrei, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 78(1955), pp. 276—293.
- [2] W. K. Hayman, Meromorphic functions, Oxford, 1964.
- [3] S. Kimura, Bull. Fac. Educ. Utsunomiya Univ, No. 24(1974), 1—5.

具有两个射线分布值的亚纯函数

郑建华

(安徽大学, 合肥)

摘 要

本文目的是把 Edrei [1] 和 Kimura [2] 中的结论进行推广, 即指出即使除去极点的射线分布的假设, 他们的结论仍然成立. 我们主要定理是:

定理 I 设 $f(z)$ 是亚纯的且使两方程

$$f(z) = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$f^{(s)}(z) = 1 \quad (s \geq 1) \quad (2)$$

的根至多除去有限个外都位于射线组 $\arg z = \omega_k$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, q; q \geq 1; 0 < \omega_1 < \omega_2 < \dots < \omega_q < 2\pi$). 设 $\rho(f)$ 表示 $f(z)$ 的级且

$$\beta = \max \left\{ \frac{\pi}{\omega_2 - \omega_1}, \dots, \frac{\pi}{\omega_{q+1} - \omega_q} \right\} \quad (\omega_{q+1} = 2\pi + \omega_1)$$

(i) 若

$$\delta(0, f) + \delta(1, f^{(s)}) > 0, \quad (3)$$

则 $\rho(f) < \beta$.

(ii) 若两方程 (1) 和 (2) 之一的根有有限的收敛指数 ρ_1 , 则 $\rho(f) = \rho_1$ 或者 $\rho_1 < \rho(f) < \beta$.

同时, 我们还讨论了几何射线分布的情况.