

A Minimax Principle without Differentiability*

Tixiang Wang

(Institute of Mathematics, Nang University)

Abstract

By using the theory concerning with quasi-tangency stated by D. Motreanu and N. H. Pavel, and developed by author, we establish a minimax principle for locally Lipschitzian functionals on locally convex closed subsets of Banach spaces.

Introduction

The theory concerning with quasi-tangency stated by D. Motreanu and Parel [5,6], developed by author [11] extends our research areas from manifolds to subsets of manifolds, where a full manifold structure is not necessary. On the other hand Chang^[4] has generalized some well known critical point theorems to locally Lipschitzian functionals on Banach spaces. The purpose of the present paper is to establish a minimax principle for locally Lipschitzian functionals on locally convex closed subsets of a Banach space.

The paper is organized as follows. We will recall briefly the general theory of relative tangency first. Then we will prove the existence theorem of pseudo-gradient vector field for a locally Lipschitzian functional on a locally convex closed subset of a Banach space. As natural corollaries of the existence theorem we may give a existence theorem of the minimization, a strong deformation theorem, a mountain-pass theorem and a Lusternik-Schnirelman theorem, in this situation.

Through the paper we denote X to be a Banach space with a norm $\|\cdot\|$, S to be a nonempty subset of X , and f to be a locally Lipschitzian functional defined on an open neighborhood U of S .

Definition 1. A vector $v \in X$ is called tangent to the subset S of X at $x \in S$ if

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} d(x + hv, S) = 0 \quad \text{with } h \in R, \quad (1)$$

where $d(.,.)$ is the distance induced by the norm on X , i.e.,

* Received Jan.9, 1989. Research supported in part by the National Science Foundation of The People's Republic of China.

$$d(u; A) = \inf_{a \in A} \|u - a\|.$$

The following proposition proved in [11] is basic for further development.

Proposition 1 The following statements are true;

- (i) the set of tangent vectors to S at $x \in S$ is a cone,
- (ii) if the set S is locally convex then the set of tangent vectors to S at $x \in S$ is a vector subspace of X ,
- (iii) if S is locally convex, and if W is any convex subset of S then the set of tangent vectors to S at point $\lambda_1 x + (1 - \lambda_1)y$ is the same as the set of tangent vectors to S at point $\lambda_2 x + (1 - \lambda_2)y$ for all $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in (0, 1)$, where $I \equiv \{\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y; \lambda \in [0, 1]\} \subset W$. Furthermore, if $\{\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y; \lambda \in [0, 1]\} \subset W$, and if v is tangent to S at y then v is also tangent to S at any point $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y, \lambda \in (0, 1)$.

It is well known that for any locally Lipschitzian functional f defined on an open neighborhood U of S in X we may define a generalized derivative of f at any point $x \in U$, which is denoted by $\partial f(x)$.

$\partial f(x)$ is W^* -compact subset of the dual space X^* of X . We define $\lambda_S(x) = \inf_{w \in \partial f(x)} \{\|w\|_{*,S}\}$, where $\|w\|_{*,S} = \sup\{\langle w, v \rangle; \|v\| = 1, v \text{ is tangent to } S \text{ at } x\}$.

Definition 2 A point $x \in S$ is called a generalized critical point of f relative to S provided the equation $\lambda_S(x) = 0$.

We may also introduce a compactness condition as follows.

Definition 3 f is satisfied Condition (C) of Palais and Smale relative to S if for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in S with bounded $\{f(x_n)\}$ and $(\lambda_S, x_n) \rightarrow 0$, then there is a convergent subsequence of $\{x_n\}$.

We use the following usual notations.

$$A_c = \{x \in S; f(x) \leq c\}, \quad K_c = \{x \in S; 0 = \lambda_S(x), f(x) = c\},$$

$$B(c, \varepsilon, \delta) = A_{c+\varepsilon} - A_{c-\varepsilon} - N_\delta(K_c),$$

where $N_\delta(K_c)$ is the δ -neighborhood of K_c .

It is easy to see that if f satisfies Condition (C) of Palais and Smale relative to S , then K_c is a compact subset of X .

The following lemma is immediately obtained.

Lemma 1 Suppose that f satisfies Condition (C) of Palais and Smale relative to S , then for each $\delta > 0$ there exist $\eta, \varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\lambda_S(x) \geq \eta \text{ for all } x \in B(c, \varepsilon, \delta).$$

Lemma 2 For each $x \in S$ there exists a $w_0 \in \partial f(x)$ such that $\|w_0\|_{*,S} = \lambda_S(x)$.

Proof It is easy to see that the map from $\partial f(x)$ to \mathbb{R} defined by $w \mapsto \|w\|_{*,S}$ is a weak*-lower semicontinuous mapping. Indeed, we assume that it is not true.

Then there exists a weak*-convergent sequence $\{w_n\}$ in $\partial f(x)$ with weak* limit w_0 such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_n\|_{*,S} < \|w_0\|_{*,S}. \text{ Denote } \delta = \|w_0\|_{*,S} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_n\|_{*,S}.$$

By the definition of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{*,S}$ we may pick a point $v \in X$ such that v is tangent to S at x with $\|v\| = 1$ and

$$w_0(v) \geq \|w_0\|_{*,S} - \frac{\delta}{3}. \quad (2)$$

For each sufficient large n we have

$$w_n(v) \leq \|w_n\|_{*,S} < \|w_0\|_{*,S} - \frac{2\delta}{3}. \quad (3)$$

Combining (2) and (3) we obtain an inequality $w_n(v) < w_0(v) - \frac{\delta}{3}$. This contradicts to the weak*-convergence of $\{w_n\}$.

Let $\{w_n\} \subset \partial f(x)$ such that $\{\|w_n\|_{*,S}\}$ is a monotonically decreasing sequence of numbers with limit $\lambda_S(x) = \inf_{w \in \partial f(x)} \|w\|_{*,S}$. Since $\partial f(x)$ is a non-empty weak*-compact convex subset of X^* , we may assume that $\{w_n\}$ is weak*-convergent to $w_0 \in \partial f(x)$. By the lower semi-continuity of $w \mapsto \|w\|_{*,S}$, we have

$$\lambda_S(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_n\|_{*,S} \geq \lambda_S(x).$$

Thus $\|w_0\|_{*,S} = \lambda_S(x)$.

Now we can prove the following lemma which is concerning with the existence of a pseudo-gradient vector field.

Lemma 3 Suppose that f satisfies Condition (C) of Palais and Smale relative to S , and S is locally convex closed subset of X . Then for each closed subset S_1 of $S^\# = \{x \in S; \lambda_S(x) \neq 0\}$ there exists a locally Lipschitzian vector field V on S_1 such that for each $x \in S_1$, $V(x)$ is tangent to S at x , $\|V(x)\| \leq 1$ and $\langle w, V(x) \rangle \geq \frac{\eta}{2}$ for each $w \in \partial f(x)$, where $\eta = \inf\{\lambda_S(x); x \in S_1\}$.

Proof First of all, we may see that $\eta > 0$ because of Condition (C) of Palais and Smale relative to S . For $x_0 \in S_1$ there exists a $w_0 \in \partial f(x_0)$ such that $\|w_0\|_{*,S} = \lambda_S(x_0)$, by Lemma 2. Since $\partial f(x_0)$ is a weak*-compact convex subset of X^* , and since for any $0 < r < \|w_0\|_{*,S}$ $\overline{B}(0, r) \cap \partial f(x_0) = \emptyset$, where $\overline{B}(0, r)$ denotes the weak*-closure of the r -ball in X^* with center 0, there exists a $h \in (X_w^*)^*$ such that $\langle h, w \rangle > \langle h, x^* \rangle$, for all $w \in \partial f(x_0)$ and $\|x^*\| \leq r$ by Hahn-Banach theorem. On the other hand, we can find a $v \in X$, such that

$$\langle h, x^* \rangle = \langle x^*, v \rangle, \text{ for each } x^* \in X^* \text{ (see p.155(12)).}$$

Then we have $\langle w, v \rangle > \langle x^*, v \rangle$, for each $w \in \partial f(x_0)$ and $\|x^*\| \leq r$. It follows that

$$\inf_{w \in \partial f(x_0)} \langle w, v \rangle > r \|v\|.$$

Let $r = \frac{\eta}{2}$ and $\|v\| = 1$. By the weak*-upper semicontinuity of $\partial f(x)$, and by using

proposition 1 we can find a convex neighborhood $N(x_0)$ of x_0 such that $\inf_{w \in \partial f(x)} \langle w, v \rangle$

$> \frac{\eta}{2}$, for all $x \in N(x_0) \cap S_1$ and v is tangent to S at x .

Let $\{\beta_a(x)\}_{a \in J}$ be a partition of unity of a locally finite refinement of the open covering $\{N(x) \cap S_1\}$ of S_1 and let $v(x) = \sum_{a \in J} \beta_a(x) v_a$. Then V is required.

Lemma 4 Suppose that S is a locally convex closed subset of X , and that f satisfies Condition (C) of Palais and Smale relative to S . Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $\bar{\varepsilon}, \delta$ and $\eta \geq 0$ such that $\lambda_S(x) \geq \eta$ for all $x \in B(c, \bar{\varepsilon}, \delta)$. For each $\varepsilon \in (0, \bar{\varepsilon})$ we define a vector field on S as $W_\varepsilon(x) = g(x) \cdot \bar{g}(x) \cdot V_\varepsilon(x)$ where g and \bar{g} are continuous functions defined on S with range $[0, 1]$ and satisfy

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in A_{c+\varepsilon} - A_{c-\varepsilon} \\ 0, & x \notin A_{c+\varepsilon} - A_{c-\varepsilon} \end{cases},$$

$$\bar{g}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \notin N_{4\delta}(K_c) \\ 0, & x \in N_{2\delta}(K_c) \end{cases}, \text{ respectively}$$

and the vector field V_ε is constructed in $\overline{B(c, \varepsilon, \delta)}$ as in Lemma 3. Such a defined W_ε may be seen as a vector field on whole S .

Let $\varphi(x, t)$ be the flow of the following Cauchy problem:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \varphi(x, t) = -W_\varepsilon(\varphi(x, t)), \quad \varphi(x, 0) = x.$$

Then the following statements hold:

- (i) the function f along $\varphi(x, t)$ is non-increasing on t ,
- (ii) $\|\varphi(x, t) - x\| \leq t$,
- (iii) $f(x) - f(\varphi(x, t)) \geq (\frac{\eta}{2})t$, for $\varphi(x, t) \in B(c, \varepsilon, 4\delta)$ and $s \in [0, t]$.

Proof

$$\|\varphi(x, t) - x\| = \left\| \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \varphi(x, s) ds \right\| \leq \int_0^t \|W_\varepsilon(\varphi(x, s))\| ds \leq \int_0^t ds = t.$$

Let $h(t) = f(\varphi(x, t))$. The following calculation

$$\begin{aligned} h'(t) &\leq \max \left\{ \left\langle w, \frac{d}{ds} \varphi(x, s) \right\rangle; w \in \partial f(\varphi(x, s)) \right\} \quad \text{a.e.} \\ &= -\min \left\{ \langle w, W_\varepsilon(\varphi(x, s)) \rangle; w \in \partial f(\varphi(x, s)) \right\} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} -\eta/2, & \varphi(x, s) \in B(c, \varepsilon, 4\delta) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

shows that the function f along $\varphi(x, t)$ is non-increasing and

$$f(x) - f(\varphi(x, t)) = - \int_0^t h'(s) ds > \frac{\eta}{2}t, \text{ if } \varphi(x, t) \in B(c, \varepsilon, 4\delta), s \in [0, t].$$

The following theorem is the key step in developing the minimax principle.

Theorem 1 (Deformation Lemma) Suppose that S is a locally convex closed subset of X , and that f satisfies Condition (C) of Palais and Smale relative to S . If c is a real number and N is any neighborhood of K_c then for any $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ there exists $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ and a homomorphism $\psi: S \rightarrow S$ such that

- (i) $\psi(x) = x$ for $x \notin A_{c+\varepsilon_0} - A_{c-\varepsilon_0}$,

(ii) $\psi(A_{c+\varepsilon}/N) \subset A_{c-\varepsilon}$,

(iii) if $K_c = \varnothing$, then $\psi(A_{c+\varepsilon}) \subset A_{c-\varepsilon}$.

Proof We choose $\delta > 0$ such that $N_{6\delta}(K_c) \subset N$. Let η and $\bar{\varepsilon}$ be the numbers determined in Lemma 1. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\varepsilon < \min(\varepsilon_0, \eta\delta/4)$ and take $\varepsilon \in (0, \bar{\varepsilon})$. For the flow $\varphi(x, t)$ generated by W , in Lemma 4 we have

$$\varphi(x, t) = x \text{ for all } x \in A_{c+\varepsilon_0} - A_{c-\varepsilon_0} \text{ and all } t.$$

We now observe those trajectories $\varphi(x, t)$ emanating from x in $A_{c+\varepsilon} \setminus N_{6\delta}(K_c)$. Let $t_0 = (\frac{4}{\eta})\bar{\varepsilon}$. If $x \in A_{c-\varepsilon}$, it is obvious that $\varphi(x, t_0) = x \in A_{c-\varepsilon}$, so we may restrict x only on the subset $B(c, \varepsilon, 6\delta)$.

We expect to prove $\varphi(x, t_0) \in A_{c-\varepsilon}$. If not, then $\varphi(x, s) \in A_{c+\varepsilon} - A_{c-\varepsilon}$ for all $s \in [0, t_0]$. The inequalities $\|\varphi(x, s) - x\| \leq s \leq \frac{2}{\eta}(f(x) - f(\varphi(x, s))) < \frac{2}{\eta} \cdot 2\bar{\varepsilon} < \delta$, imply that if $x \in N_{6\delta}(K_c)$ then $\varphi(x, s) \in N_{4\delta}(K_c)$ for each $s \in [0, t_0]$. Then $\varphi(x, s) \in B(c, \varepsilon, 4\delta)$ for all $s \in [0, t_0]$. By Lemma 4 again we have $f(x) - f(\varphi(x, t_0)) \geq (\frac{\eta}{2}) \cdot t_0 = 2\bar{\varepsilon} > 2\varepsilon$. It contradicts to the fact that $x \in A_{c+\varepsilon} - A_{c-\varepsilon} - N_{6\delta}(K_c)$ and $\varphi(x, t_0) \in A_{c+\varepsilon} - A_{c-\varepsilon} - N_{4\delta}(K_c)$. Taking $\psi(x) = \varphi(x, t_0)$ we find ψ satisfies all requirements in the theorem.

Remark The proof of the above theorem is along the line of the proof given by Chang [4].

The minimax principle, which is a series of existence theorems of critical points based on, is derived by the deformation lemma. A lot of theorems, such as minimization theorem, Lusternik-Schnirelman category theory and mountain-pass type theorems hold true for locally Lipschitzian functionals on locally convex closed subsets of Banach spaces. We only list some of them and omit the detail proofs.

Theorem 2 (minimization) Suppose that S is a locally convex closed subset of X , and that f satisfies Condition (C) of Palais and Smale relative to S and is bounded from below on S . Then $f|_S$ attains its greatest lower bound.

Theorem 3 (Lusternik-Schnirelman) Suppose that f satisfies Condition (C) of Palais and Smale relative to S , where S is a locally convex closed subset of X . If $-\infty < c = c_{m+1} = c_{m+2} = \dots = c_{m+k} < \infty$, then f has at least k distinct critical points relative to S in the level $f^{-1}(c)$, where $c_i = \inf_{A \in \mathcal{P}_i} \sup_{x \in A} f(x)$, $\mathcal{P}_i = \{A \subset S, \text{cat}(A, X) \geq i\}$, $\text{cat}(A, X)$ denotes the Lusternik-Schnirelman category of A in X .

Corollary Under the assumptions of Theorem 3, f has at least $\text{cat}(S, X)$ distinct critical points relative to S . ■

Theorem 4 (Rabinowitz) Let S be a locally convex closed subset of X , which contains the origin of X . Suppose that f satisfies Condition (C) of Palais

and Smale relative to S . If there exists a decomposition of $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$ with a finite-dimensional X_1 and if there exist constants b_1 and b_2 with $b_1 < b_2$ and a neighborhood N of X_1 , such that $f|_{X_2 \cap S} \geq b_2$, $f|_{\partial N \cap S} \leq b_1$, then f has a critical point relative to S .

All of results in [1], [2] and [7] can be extended in the same way.

References

- [1] H. Amann, Math. Z. 196 (1979), 127—166.
- [2] A. Ambrosetti and P. H. Rabinowitz, J. Functional Analysis, 14 (1973), 349—381.
- [3] V. Benci and P. H. Rabinowitz, Invent. Math., 52 (1979), 241—273.
- [4] K. C. Chang, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 80 (1981) 102—129.
- [5] D. Motreanu and N. H. Pavel, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 88 (1982) 116—132.
- [6] I. Motreanu, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 117 (1986), 128—137.
- [7] W. M. Ni, J. Analyse Math., 37 (1980), 248—275.
- [8] R. S. Palais, Topology, 2 (1963), 299—340.
- [9] R. S. Palais, Topology, 5 (1966), 115—132.
- [10] P. H. Rabinowitz, CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. in Math., No. 65, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1986.
- [11] T. Wang, A minimax principle on closed subsets of Banach manifolds and its applications, to appear.
- [12] J. L. Kelley and I. Namioka, Linear Topological Spaces, Van Norstrand (1963).