

## Some Algebraic Relations Between $p$ -models\*

Song Fangmin

(Dept. Math., Nanjing University)

### Abstract

We define the partial models as the models of 3-valued logic. The Kleene semantics for the first-order language is given. Some algebraic relations between  $p$ -models, such as isomorphism, homomorphism and extension, are discussed. Using the method of diagram, we give another description of these relations. The fixed point theorem is proved for certain operators on  $p$ -models.

We shall study some algebraic relations between the partial models which are defined as the models of three-valued logic. About the syntax of the first-order language and some notations in model theory, readers can refer to [1] and [3].

We first define the partial model. Let  $L$  be a language, we write  $L = \{C_i\}_{i \in I} \cup \{R_j\}_{j \in J}$ , where  $C_i$ 's are constants and  $R_j$ 's are predicates.

**Definition 1** A partial model ( $p$ -model) for  $L$  is a structure  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, \{C_i^{\mathfrak{A}}\}_{i \in I}, \{R_j^{\mathfrak{A}}\}_{j \in J} \rangle$  such that

- 1)  $A \neq \emptyset$  and  $A$  is denoted by  $|\mathfrak{A}|$ .
- 2) For all  $i \in I$ ,  $C_i^{\mathfrak{A}} \in A$ .
- 3) For all  $j \in J$ ,  $R_j^{\mathfrak{A}}$  is a partial function from  $A^k$  to  $\{0, 1\}$  if  $R_j$  is  $K$ -ary.

Some relations between  $p$ -models define as follows:

**Definition 2** Let  $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}$  be two  $p$ -models for  $L$ , we write  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, \{C_i^{\mathfrak{A}}\}_{i \in I}, \{R_j^{\mathfrak{A}}\}_{j \in J} \rangle$ ,  $\mathfrak{B} = \langle B, \{C_i^{\mathfrak{B}}\}_{i \in I}, \{R_j^{\mathfrak{B}}\}_{j \in J} \rangle$ .

2.1) We say that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a substructure of  $\mathfrak{B}$  ( $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{B}$ ) iff (1)  $A \subseteq B$ , (2) for all  $i \in I$ ,  $C_i^{\mathfrak{A}} = C_i^{\mathfrak{B}}$ , (3) for all  $j \in J$ , if  $R_j$  is  $K$ -ary, then for all  $\bar{a} \in A^k$ ,  $R_j^{\mathfrak{A}}(\bar{a}) = R_j^{\mathfrak{B}}(\bar{a})$ .

2.2) We say that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is homomorphic to  $\mathfrak{B}$  ( $\mathfrak{A} \simeq \mathfrak{B}$ ) iff there is a function  $f$  mapping  $A$  onto  $B$  such that (1) for all  $i \in I$ ,  $f(C_i^{\mathfrak{A}}) = C_i^{\mathfrak{B}}$ , (2) for all  $j \in J$ , if  $R_j$  is  $K$ -ary, then  $R_j^{\mathfrak{A}}(\bar{a}) = R_j^{\mathfrak{B}}(f(\bar{a}))$  for all  $\bar{a} \in A^k$ .

2.3) We say that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{B}$  ( $\mathfrak{A} \cong \mathfrak{B}$ ) iff there is a function  $f$  such that  $f$  is 1-1 and  $f$  is a homomorphic mapping from  $A$  onto  $B$ .

\* Received Mar. 2, 1989.

2.4) We say that  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a extension of  $\mathfrak{A}$  ( $\mathfrak{A} \leq \mathfrak{B}$ ) iff (1)  $|\mathfrak{A}| = |\mathfrak{B}|$ , (2) for all  $i \in I$ ,  $C_i^{\mathfrak{A}} = C_i^{\mathfrak{B}}$ , (3) for all  $j \in J$ , if  $R_j$  is  $K$ -ary, then for all  $\bar{a} \in A^k$ ,  $R_j^{\mathfrak{A}}(\bar{a}) = 1 \Rightarrow R_j^{\mathfrak{B}}(\bar{a}) = 1$  and  $R_j^{\mathfrak{A}}(\bar{a}) = 0 \Rightarrow R_j^{\mathfrak{B}}(\bar{a}) = 0$ .

**Definition 3** Suppose that  $L \subset L'$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a  $p$ -model for  $L$  and  $\mathfrak{A}'$  is a  $p$ -model for  $L'$ . We say that  $\mathfrak{A}'$  is an expansion of  $\mathfrak{A}$  iff  $|\mathfrak{A}| = |\mathfrak{A}'|$  and for all  $s \in L$ ,  $s^{\mathfrak{A}} = s^{\mathfrak{A}'}$ .

Using the Kleene semantics, we have the following:

**Definition 4** Let  $\varphi$  be an expression of  $L$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a  $p$ -model of  $L$  and  $z \in {}^V|\mathfrak{A}|$ , where  $V = \{x_0, x_1, \dots\}$  which is the set of all variables of  $L$ , we define the value  $\varphi^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle$  by induction on the length of  $\varphi$ :

- (1)  $\varphi \equiv x \in V$ ,  $\varphi^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = z(x)$
- (2)  $\varphi \equiv C_i$ ,  $\varphi^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = C_i^{\mathfrak{A}}$
- (3)  $\varphi \equiv t_1 = t_2$ ,  $\varphi^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & t_1^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = t_2^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle \\ 0 & t_1^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle \neq t_2^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle \end{cases}$
- (4)  $\varphi \equiv R_j(t_1, \dots, t_k)$ ,  $\varphi^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } R_j^{\mathfrak{A}}(t_1^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle, \dots, t_k^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle) = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } R_j^{\mathfrak{A}}(t_1^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle, \dots, t_k^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle) = 0 \\ u & \text{o. w.} \end{cases}$
- (5)  $\varphi \equiv \neg \psi$ ,  $\varphi^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = 1$  if  $\psi^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = 0$ ,  $\varphi^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = 0$  if  $\psi^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = 1$  and  $\varphi^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = u$  if  $\psi^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = u$
- (6)  $\varphi \equiv \psi_1 \wedge \psi_2$ ,  $\varphi^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \psi_1^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = \psi_2^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } \psi_1^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = 0 \text{ or } \psi_2^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = 0 \\ u & \text{o. w.} \end{cases}$
- (7)  $\varphi \equiv \exists x \psi$ ,  $\varphi^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if for some } a \in A, \psi^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z(\frac{x}{a}) \rangle = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if for all } a \in A, \psi^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z(\frac{x}{a}) \rangle = 0 \\ u & \text{o. w.} \end{cases}$

In this definition, we adopt Kleene's strong three-valued connectives. (See [2]).

**Theorem 5** If  $\mathfrak{A} \cong \mathfrak{B}$ , then  $\varphi^{\mathfrak{A}} = \varphi^{\mathfrak{B}}$  for any sentence  $\varphi$ .

**Proof** Let  $g$  be an isomorphism from  $\mathfrak{A}$  to  $\mathfrak{B}$ , we prove by induction on formulas that for all  $z \in {}^V|\mathfrak{A}|$  and all formulas  $\varphi$ ,  $\varphi^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = \varphi^{\mathfrak{B}}\langle g \circ z \rangle$ . We consider only the case  $\varphi$  has the form  $\exists x \psi$ . Let  $\varphi$  be  $\exists x \psi$ ,  $\varphi^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = 1$  iff for some  $a \in |\mathfrak{A}|$ ,  $\psi^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z(\frac{x}{a}) \rangle = 1$  iff for some  $a \in |\mathfrak{A}|$ ,  $\psi^{\mathfrak{B}}\langle g \circ z(\frac{x}{a}) \rangle = 1$  (by I.H.) iff for some  $a \in |\mathfrak{A}|$ ,  $\psi^{\mathfrak{B}}\langle (g \circ z)(\frac{x}{g(a)}) \rangle = 1$  iff  $\varphi^{\mathfrak{B}}\langle g \circ z \rangle = 1$ . In the same way,  $\varphi^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = 0$  iff  $\varphi^{\mathfrak{B}}\langle g \circ z \rangle = 0$ , whence  $\varphi^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = u$  iff  $\varphi^{\mathfrak{B}}\langle g \circ z \rangle = u$ , hence  $\varphi^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = \varphi^{\mathfrak{B}}\langle g \circ z \rangle$ . When  $\varphi$  is a sentence, we have  $\varphi^{\mathfrak{A}} = \varphi^{\mathfrak{B}}$ .

**Theorem 6** If  $\mathfrak{A} \leq \mathfrak{B}$ , then  $\varphi^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = 1 \Rightarrow \varphi^{\mathfrak{B}}\langle z \rangle = 1$  and  $\varphi^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow \varphi^{\mathfrak{B}}\langle z \rangle = 0$ .

**Proof** By induction on  $\varphi$ , we prove  $\varphi^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = (\frac{1}{0}) \Rightarrow \varphi^{\mathfrak{B}}\langle z \rangle = (\frac{1}{0})$ .

Case 1:  $\varphi \equiv t_1 = t_2$ ,  $\varphi^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = 1 \Rightarrow t_1^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = t_2^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle \Rightarrow t_1^{\mathfrak{B}}\langle z \rangle = t_2^{\mathfrak{B}}\langle z \rangle \Rightarrow \varphi^{\mathfrak{B}}\langle z \rangle = 1$ . In the

same way,  $\varphi^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow \varphi^{\mathfrak{B}}\langle z \rangle = 0$ .

Case 2  $\varphi \equiv R_j(t_1, \dots, t_k)$ ,  $\varphi^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = 1 \Rightarrow R_j^{\mathfrak{A}}(t_1^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle, \dots, t_k^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle) = 1 \Rightarrow R_j^{\mathfrak{B}}(t_1^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle, \dots, t_k^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle) = 1 \Rightarrow R_j^{\mathfrak{B}}(t_1^{\mathfrak{B}}\langle z \rangle, \dots, t_k^{\mathfrak{B}}\langle z \rangle) = 1 \Rightarrow \varphi^{\mathfrak{B}}\langle z \rangle = 1$ . In the same way,  $\varphi^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow \varphi^{\mathfrak{B}}\langle z \rangle = 0$ .

Case 3  $\varphi \equiv \neg \psi$ ,  $\varphi^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = 1 \Rightarrow \psi^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow \psi^{\mathfrak{B}}\langle z \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow \varphi^{\mathfrak{B}}\langle z \rangle = 1$ . In the same way,  $\varphi^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow \varphi^{\mathfrak{B}}\langle z \rangle = 0$ .

Case 4  $\varphi \equiv \psi_1 \wedge \psi_2$ ,  $\varphi^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = 1 \Rightarrow \psi_i^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = 1$  ( $i = 1, 2$ )  $\Rightarrow \psi_i^{\mathfrak{B}}\langle z \rangle = 1$  (by I. H.)  $\Rightarrow \varphi^{\mathfrak{B}}\langle z \rangle = 1$ .

Case 5  $\varphi \equiv \exists x \psi$ ,  $\varphi^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = 1 \Rightarrow$  for some  $a \in A$ ,  $\psi^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z, a \rangle = 1 \Rightarrow$  for some  $a \in A$ ,  $\psi^{\mathfrak{B}}\langle z, a \rangle = 1 \Rightarrow \varphi^{\mathfrak{B}}\langle z \rangle = 1$  (since  $A = B$ ). In the same way,  $\varphi^{\mathfrak{A}}\langle z \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow \varphi^{\mathfrak{B}}\langle z \rangle = 0$ .

**Definition 7** Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a  $p$ -model for  $L$ ,  $L_A = L \cup \{C_a : a \in A\}$ , we expand  $\mathfrak{A}$  to  $\mathfrak{A}_A = (\mathfrak{A}, C_a^{\mathfrak{A}})$   $a \in A$  where  $A = |\mathfrak{A}|$  and  $C_a^{\mathfrak{A}} = a$ , so  $\mathfrak{A}_A = (\mathfrak{A}, a)$   $a \in A$ . We define the positive diagram of  $\mathfrak{A}$  ( $P\mathfrak{A}$ ), the negative diagram of  $\mathfrak{A}$  ( $N\mathfrak{A}$ ) and the diagram of  $\mathfrak{A}$  ( $\Delta\mathfrak{A}$ ) as the following:  $P\mathfrak{A} = \{\sigma : \sigma \text{ is an atomic sentence of } L_A \text{ and } \sigma^{\mathfrak{A}_A} = 1\}$ .  $N\mathfrak{A} = \{\neg \sigma : \sigma \text{ is an atomic sentence of } L_A \text{ and } \sigma^{\mathfrak{A}_A} = 0\}$ .  $\Delta\mathfrak{A} = P\mathfrak{A} \cup N\mathfrak{A}$ .

**Theorem 8** Let  $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}$  be  $p$ -models for  $L$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}$  is homomorphic to a submodel of  $\mathfrak{B}$  iff some expansion of  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a model of  $P\mathfrak{A}$ .

**Proof** " $\Rightarrow$ ". Suppose that  $\mathfrak{A} \simeq_g \mathfrak{B}' \subseteq \mathfrak{B}$ , we expand  $\mathfrak{B}$  to  $\mathfrak{B}^+ = (\mathfrak{B}, C_a^{\mathfrak{B}^+})$   $a \in A$ ,  $\mathfrak{B}^+$  is a  $p$ -model for  $L_A$  and  $C_a^{\mathfrak{B}^+} = g(a)$  ( $a \in A$ ), so  $\mathfrak{B}^+ = (\mathfrak{B}, g(a))$   $a \in A$ . since  $g$  is a homomorphism,  $\sigma^{\mathfrak{A}_A} = 1 \Rightarrow \sigma^{\mathfrak{B}^+} = 1$  for any atomic sentence  $\sigma$ , hence  $\mathfrak{B}^+$  is a model of  $P\mathfrak{A}$ .

" $\Leftarrow$ ". Suppose that some expansion of  $\mathfrak{B}$ , say  $\mathfrak{B}^+$ , is a model of  $P\mathfrak{A}$ , then for all  $a \in A$ ,  $C_a^{\mathfrak{B}^+} \in B$ , so we define a mapping  $g$  from  $A$  to  $B$ :  $g(a) = C_a^{\mathfrak{B}^+}$ . It is easy to verify that  $g$  is a homomorphic mapping. Since  $R_j^{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, \dots, a_k) = 1 \Rightarrow R_j^{\mathfrak{A}_A}(C_{a_1}^{\mathfrak{A}}, \dots, C_{a_k}^{\mathfrak{A}}) = 1 \Rightarrow (R_j(C_{a_1}, \dots, C_{a_k}))^{\mathfrak{A}_A} = 1 \Rightarrow R_j(C_{a_1}, \dots, C_{a_k}) \in P\mathfrak{A} \Rightarrow (R_j(C_{a_1}, \dots, C_{a_k}))^{\mathfrak{B}^+} = 1 \Rightarrow R_j^{\mathfrak{B}^+}(g(a_1), \dots, g(a_k)) = 1$ ,  $g$  is homomorphic.

**Theorem 9**  $\mathfrak{A}$  is isomorphic to a submodel of  $\mathfrak{B}$  iff some expansion of  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a model of  $\Delta\mathfrak{A}$ .

The proof of this theorem is similar to that of th. 8.

**Theorem 10**  $\mathfrak{A} \leq \mathfrak{B}$  iff  $\mathfrak{B}_A$  is a model of  $\Delta\mathfrak{A}$  where  $\mathfrak{B}_A = (\mathfrak{B}, a)$   $a \in A$ .

**Proof** " $\Rightarrow$ ". Assume  $\mathfrak{A} \leq \mathfrak{B}$ , then  $\mathfrak{A}_A \leq \mathfrak{B}_A$ . By Thm 6, we have  $\sigma^{\mathfrak{A}_A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \sigma^{\mathfrak{B}_A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  hence  $\mathfrak{B}_A$  is a model of  $\Delta\mathfrak{A}$ .

" $\Leftarrow$ ". Assume  $\mathfrak{B}_A$  is a model of  $\Delta\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\forall i \in I$ , If  $C_i^{\mathfrak{A}} = a$ , then  $(C_i = C_a)^{\mathfrak{A}} = 1$ , whence  $(C_i = C_a) \in \Delta\mathfrak{A}$  hence  $(C_i = C_a)^{\mathfrak{B}} = 1$  i.e.,  $C_i^{\mathfrak{B}} = a$ . We have  $C_i^{\mathfrak{A}} = C_i^{\mathfrak{B}}$ . In addition, if  $R_j^{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, \dots, a_k) = 1$ , then  $R_j(C_{a_1}, \dots, C_{a_k}) \in \Delta\mathfrak{A}$ , so  $(R_j(C_{a_1}, \dots, C_{a_k}))^{\mathfrak{B}_A} = 1$  whence  $R_j^{\mathfrak{B}}(a_1, \dots, a_k) = 1$ . In the same way, we have if  $R_j^{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, \dots, a_k) = 0$ , then  $R_j^{\mathfrak{B}}(a_1, \dots, a_k) = 0$ . Whence  $R_j^{\mathfrak{A}}(\bar{a}) = R_j^{\mathfrak{B}}(\bar{a})$  hence  $\mathfrak{A} \leq \mathfrak{B}$ .

In artificial intelligence, we need to discuss operators on  $\mathcal{A}$ -models. Now, we study certain operators over  $\mathcal{A}$ , where  $\mathcal{A} = \{ \mathcal{U} : |\mathcal{U}| = A \}$ .

**Definition 11** Let  $\{ \mathcal{U}_n \}_{n \in \omega}$  be a  $\leq$ -chain of  $\mathcal{A}$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{U}_0 \leq \mathcal{U}_1 \leq \dots$ . We say that  $\mathcal{U}$  is the supremum of  $\{ \mathcal{U}_n \}_{n \in \omega}$  iff  $\mathcal{U}_n \leq \mathcal{U}$  for all  $n \in \omega$  and for any  $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{A}$  if  $\mathcal{U}_n \leq \mathcal{B}$  for all  $n$ , then  $\mathcal{U} \leq \mathcal{B}$ .  $\mathcal{U}$  is denoted by  $\sup \{ \mathcal{U}_n \}$ .

**Proposition 12** If  $\{ \mathcal{U}_n \}_{n \in \omega}$  is a  $\leq$ -chain of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $\{ \mathcal{U}_n \}_{n \in \omega}$  has a supremum.

**Proof** Let  $\mathcal{U}_n = \langle A, \{ C_i^{\mathcal{U}_n} \}_{i \in I}, \{ R_j^{\mathcal{U}_n} \}_{j \in J} \rangle$ . We define  $\mathcal{U} = \langle A, \{ C_i^{\mathcal{U}} \}_{i \in I}, \{ R_j^{\mathcal{U}} \}_{j \in J} \rangle$  as follows:  $C_i^{\mathcal{U}} = C_i^{\mathcal{U}_0}$  for all  $i \in I$ , let  $R_j$  be  $K$ -ary. For  $\bar{a} \in A^k$ ,

$$R_j^{\mathcal{U}}(\bar{a}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for some } n \in \omega, R_j^{\mathcal{U}_n}(\bar{a}) = 1 \\ 0 & \text{for some } n \in \omega, R_j^{\mathcal{U}_n}(\bar{a}) = 0 \\ \uparrow & \text{for all } n \in \omega, R_j^{\mathcal{U}_n}(\bar{a}) \uparrow. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to verify  $\mathcal{U} = \sup \{ \mathcal{U}_n \}$ .

**Definition 13** Let  $G$  be an operator over  $\mathcal{A}$ .  $G$  is said to be monotonic iff  $\mathcal{U} \leq \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow G(\mathcal{U}) \leq G(\mathcal{B})$ .  $G$  is said to be continuous iff for any  $\leq$ -chain  $\{ \mathcal{U}_n \}_{n \in \omega}$ ,  $G(\sup \{ \mathcal{U}_n \}) = \sup \{ G(\mathcal{U}_n) \}$ .

**Theorem 14** Let  $G$  be a continuous operator over  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $G$  has a least fixpoint.

**Proof** Let  $\Lambda = \langle A, \{ a_i \}_{i \in I}, \{ R_j^\Lambda \}_{j \in J} \rangle$  where  $a_i \in A$  for all  $i \in I$  and  $R_j^\Lambda$  is a now-here defined function. Let  $\mathcal{U}_0 = \Lambda$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_{n+1} = G(\mathcal{U}_n)$ . We show  $\mathcal{U}_n \leq G(\mathcal{U}_n)$  by induction on  $\omega$ . Because  $\Lambda \leq G(\Lambda) \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}_0 \leq G(\mathcal{U}_0)$  and  $\mathcal{U}_n \leq G(\mathcal{U}_n) \Rightarrow G(\mathcal{U}_n) \leq G^2(\mathcal{U}_n) \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{n+1} \leq G(\mathcal{U}_{n+1})$  hence  $\mathcal{U}_n \leq G(\mathcal{U}_n)$  for all  $n \in \omega$ , so  $\{ \mathcal{U}_n \}_{n \in \omega}$  is a  $\leq$ -chain. By Pro. 12,  $\{ \mathcal{U}_n \}$  has a supremum  $\mathcal{U}$ . Because  $G$  is continuous,  $G(\mathcal{U}) = G(\sup \{ \mathcal{U}_n \}) = \sup \{ G(\mathcal{U}_n) \} = \sup \{ \mathcal{U}_{n+1} \} = \mathcal{U}$ , hence  $\mathcal{U}$  is a fixed point of  $G$ . In addition, if  $G(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{B}$ , then we have  $\mathcal{U}_n \leq \mathcal{B}$  for all  $n \in \omega$  (easy to prove it by induction on  $\omega$ ), so  $\sup \{ \mathcal{U}_n \} \leq \mathcal{B}$  i.e.  $\mathcal{U} \leq \mathcal{B}$ , hence  $\mathcal{U}$  is the least fixpoint of  $G$ .

## References

- [1] Chang, C. C. et al (1973), Model Theory, N. H. P. C.
- [2] Kleene, S. (1952), Introduction of metamathematics, Van Nostrand.
- [3] Malitz, J. (1979), Introduction to Mathematical Logic, Springer-Verlag.

## 部分模型之间的一些代数关系

宋 方 敏

(南京大学数学系)

### 摘 要

我们把部分模型定义成三值逻辑的模型。对于 Kleene 语义, 我们讨论部分模型之间的一些代数关系, 并用图方法刻画了这些关系。证明了部分模型上某些算子的不动点定理。文中所讨论的代数关系对发展三值逻辑的模型起一定的作用。