

On Conditional Beleaf Functions *

Yu Chunhai

(Jinzhou Teachers College, China)

Abstract The criteria for establishing conditional beleaf function are suggested, and the advantages and disadvantages of the suggested conditional beleaf functions are discussed. Finally a new formula, which satisfies all the desired conditions, is given for computing conditional beleaf.

§1. Preliminary

Beleaf function is a generalization of probability measure. It was introduced by Dempster [2], and has been developed in great detail by Shfer [5,6,7]. Conditional beleaf functions, just like conditional probability in the theory of probability, is a important part of the theory of beleaf functions. The main goal of this paper is to find out a best formula for computing conditional beleaf.

Let Ω be a nonempty set, J be a *sigma*-algebra of subsets of Ω , and F a real-valued function defined on J . We shall call F a beleaf function on (Ω, J) iff

(*) $F(\emptyset) = 0, F(\Omega) = 1$. (**) If I is a finite subset of the set N of all natural numbers and $\{A\} \cup \{A_i : i \in I\} \subset J$, then

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \subset A \implies F(A) \geq \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset I} (-1)^{|J|+1} F\left(\bigcap_{j \in J} A_j\right).$$

If this is the case we call (Ω, J, F) a beleaf space.

For a given beleaf space (Ω, J, F) , there is a probability space $(X, 2^X, P)$ and a mapping $\Gamma : X \rightarrow J$ such that $F(A) = P\{x : \Gamma(x) \subset A\}$. Conversely, if for (Ω, J) there is a probability space $(X, 2^X, P)$ and a set-valued mapping Γ which takes points in X to nonempty subsets of Ω , then the real-valued function F defined above is a beleaf function on (Ω, J) [4]. We call $(X, 2^X, P, \Gamma)$ a source of (Ω, J, F) .

Furthermore if Ω is finite, we define mapping $m : 2^\Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $m(A) = P\{x : \Gamma(x) = A\}$. The function m satisfies:

- (i) $m(\emptyset) = 0$; (ii) $\sum_{A \subset \Omega} m(A) = 1$; (iii) $F(B) = \sum_{A \subset B} m(A)$.

Conversely, if m is a function which satisfies (i) and (ii), then the function F defined

*Received Jan. 22 1991.

by (iii) is a beleaf function on (Ω, \mathcal{J}) [4]. We call m the mass function of F .

§2. Some examples of beleaf functions

We suggest here three kinds of beleaf functions which will be used to check some certain facts about conditional beleaf functions.

2.1 Let $(\Omega, 2^\Omega, P)$ be a finite probability space. Then for any $r \geq 1$, $F = P^r$ is a beleaf function.

Proof First we suppose $r = n$ be a integer, and $\Omega = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$. Then from $P(x_1) + \dots + P(x_m) = P(\Omega) = 1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & [P(x_1) + \dots + P(x_m)]^n \\ &= \sum_{\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subset \{1, \dots, m\}} \sum_{\substack{0 < j_{i_1}, \dots, j_{i_k} \leq n \\ j_{i_1} + \dots + j_{i_k} = n}} \frac{n!}{j_{i_1}! \dots j_{i_k}!} P^{j_{i_1}}(x_{i_1}) \dots P^{j_{i_k}}(x_{i_k}). \end{aligned}$$

Now define $m : 2^\Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$, by $m(\emptyset) = 0$,

$$m(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\substack{0 < j_{i_1}, \dots, j_{i_k} \leq n \\ j_{i_1} + \dots + j_{i_k} = n}} \frac{n!}{j_{i_1}! \dots j_{i_k}!} P^{j_{i_1}}(x_{i_1}) \dots P^{j_{i_k}}(x_{i_k}), & k \leq n \\ 0, & k > n. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that $\sum_{A \subset \Omega} m(A) = 1$. Then for any $B \subset \Omega$, we define $F(B) = \sum_{A \subset B} m(A)$,

F is a beleaf function on $(\Omega, 2^\Omega)$, and it is easy to check that $F(B) = P^n(B)$ for all $B \subset \Omega$.

We next consider $r \geq 1$ be any real number. To prove $F(B) = P^r(B)$ is a beleaf function on $(\Omega, 2^\Omega)$, we need to show

$$B \subset \Omega \Rightarrow \sum_{A \subset B} (-1)^{|B-A|} P^r(A) \geq 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Since B is finite we can write $\{A : A \subset B\} = \{A_1, \dots, A_t, A_{t+1}, \dots, A_s\}$ with $|B - A_i|$ is even for $1 \leq i \leq t$, and $|B - A_i|$ is odd for $t+1 \leq i \leq s$. Then we can rewrite (2.1) as

$$P^r(A_1) + \dots + P^r(A_t) \geq P^r(A_{t+1}) + \dots + P^r(A_s).$$

Let $g(r) = P^r(A_1) + \dots + P^r(A_t)$ and $h(r) = P^r(A_{t+1}) + \dots + P^r(A_s)$. Since $g(1) = h(1)$ and $g(n) \geq h(n)$ for all integer n , and $g(r)$ and $h(r)$ are convex functions of r , we can conclude that $g(r) \geq h(r)$ for all real numbers $r \geq 1$, which implies $F = P^r$ is a beleaf function.

2.2 Let $\Omega = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$, and $g : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that

$$\prod_{i=1}^m (1 + tg(x_i)) = 1 + t \text{ for some } t > 0.$$

Then we can define $m : 2^\Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $m(\emptyset) = 0$ and $m(\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}\}) = t^{k-1} \prod_{j=1}^k g(x_{i_j})$.
Then

$$\sum_{A \subset \Omega} m(A) = \sum_{\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subset \{1, \dots, m\}} t^{k-1} \prod_{j=1}^k g(x_{i_j}) = \frac{1}{t} [\prod_{i=1}^n (1 + tg(x_i)) - 1] = 1.$$

So $F(B) = \sum_{A \subset B} m(A)$ is a beleaf function on $(\Omega, 2^\Omega)$. For example $\Omega = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$, $g(x_1) = \frac{1}{2}$, $g(x_2) = \frac{1}{4}$, $g(x_3) = \frac{1}{16}$, $g(x_4) = \frac{1}{255}$ and $t = 1$, then $F(B) = \sum_{A \subset B} m(A) = \prod_{x_i \in B} (1 + g(x_i)) - 1$ is a beleaf function.

2.3 Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, P be a probability measure on $(X, 2^X)$ corresponding to the random choice $P(a) = P(b) = P(c) = \frac{1}{3}$. Let $\Omega = \{(a, b), (a, c), (b, c), (c, b)\}$ and $\Gamma : X \rightarrow 2^\Omega$ given by $\Gamma(a) = \{(a, b), (a, c)\}$, $\Gamma(b) = \{(b, c)\}$, $\Gamma(c) = \{(c, b)\}$. We define $F : 2^\Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $F(A) = P\{x : \Gamma(x) \subset A\}$ then $(\Omega, 2^\Omega, F)$ is a beleaf space with source $(X, 2^X, P, \Gamma)$.

§3. The criteria for beleaf conditioning

Let (Ω, J, F) be a beleaf space. Given a conditional operator $F(\cdot/\cdot)$ we wish it satisfies the following properties which are reasonable by taking a serious consideration.

- (I) If F is an additive probability measure, $F(\cdot/\cdot)$ is as the same as probability conditioning.
- (II) For any B with $F(B) \neq 0$, $F(\cdot/B)$ remains a beleaf function on (Ω, J) .
- (III) $F(\cdot/\cdot)$ is commutative, i.e. $F_B(A/C) = F_C(A/B)$, where $F_B(\cdot) = F(\cdot/B)$, $F_C(\cdot) = F(\cdot/C)$.
- (IV) $F(\cdot/\cdot)$ satisfies the sand which principle, i.e. $F(A) \geq \min(F(A/B), F(A/\bar{B}))$, where \bar{B} is the complement of B .

The following conditional beleaf operators were proposed by some authors, none of which satisfies all the conditions above.

- (1) $F^s(A/B) = F(A \cap B)/F(B)$ [1];
- (2) $F^d(A/B) = [F(A \cup \bar{B}) - F(\bar{B})]/[1 - F(\bar{B})]$ [2];
- (3) $F^w(A/B) = [F(A) - F(A \cap \bar{B})]/[1 - F(\bar{B})]$ [1];
- (4) $F^h(A/B) = F(A \cap B)/[F(A \cap B) + 1 - F(A \cup \bar{B})]$ [3].

Proposition 3.1 $F^s(\cdot/\cdot)$, $F^d(\cdot/\cdot)$ and $F^w(\cdot/\cdot)$ satisfy all the conditions but (IV).

Proof (I) It is easy to check.

- (II) See [1] for $F^s(\cdot/\cdot)$ and $F^w(\cdot/\cdot)$, and see [2] for $F^d(\cdot/\cdot)$.

(III) Since

$$\begin{aligned} F_B^s(A/C) &= F_B^s(A \cap C)/F_B^s(C) = \{F(A \cap C \cap B)/F(B)\}/\{F(B \cap C)/F(B)\} \\ &= F(A \cap C \cap B)/F(B \cap C), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_B^d(A/C) &= \{F_B^d(A \cup \bar{C}) - F_B^d(\bar{C})\}/\{1 - F_B^d(\bar{C})\} \\ &= \{F(A \cup \bar{C} \cup \bar{B}) - F(\bar{B})\}/(1 - F(\bar{B})) - \{F(\bar{C} \cup \bar{B}) - F(\bar{B})\}/(1 - F(\bar{B})) \\ &\quad / \{1 - (F(\bar{C} \cup \bar{B}) - F(\bar{B}))\}/(1 - F(\bar{B}))\} \\ &= \{F(A \cup \bar{B} \cup \bar{C}) - F(\bar{B} \cup \bar{C})\}/\{1 - F(\bar{B} \cup \bar{C})\}, \\ F_B^w(A/C) &= \{F_B^w(A) - F_B^w(A \cap \bar{C})\}/(1 - F_B^w(\bar{C})) \\ &= \{(F(A) - F(A \cap \bar{B}))\}/(1 - F(\bar{B})) - (F(A \cap \bar{C}) - F(A \cap \bar{C} \cap \bar{B})) \\ &\quad / \{1 - (F(\bar{C}) - F(\bar{B} \cap \bar{C}))\}/(1 - F(\bar{B}))\} \\ &= \{F(A) - F(A \cap \bar{B}) - F(A \cap \bar{C}) + F(A \cap \bar{C} \cap \bar{B})\} \\ &\quad / \{1 - F(\bar{B}) - F(\bar{C}) + F(\bar{B} \cap \bar{C})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by the symmetry of B and C , it is easy to see that $F_B^s(A/C) = F_C^s(A/B)$, $F_B^d(A/C) = F_C^d(A/B)$, and $F_B^w(A/C) = F_C^w(A/B)$.

(IV) Let $(\Omega, 2^\Omega, F)$ be the belief space given in 2.3. First we choose $A = \{(a, b), (b, c), (c, b)\}$, and $B = \{(a, c), (b, c)\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} F(A) &= P\{x : \Gamma(x) \subset A\} = P(\{b, c\}) = 2/3, \\ F^s(A/B) &= F(A \cap B)/F(B) = P\{x : \Gamma(x) \subset A \cap B\}/P\{x : \Gamma(x) \subset B\} \\ &= P(\{b\})/P(\{b\}) = 1, \\ F^s(A/\bar{B}) &= F(A \cap \bar{B})/F(\bar{B}) = P(\{c\})/P(\{c\}) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

So $F(A) < \min(F^s(A/B), F^s(A/\bar{B}))$.

Second we choose $A = \{(a, b), (a, c)\}$, and $B = \{(a, b), (c, b)\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} F(A) &= P\{x : \Gamma(x) \subset A\} = P(\{b\}) = 1/3, \\ F^d(A/B) &= [F(A \cup \bar{B}) - F(\bar{B})]/[1 - F(\bar{B})] = [P(\{a, b\}) - P(\{b\})]/[1 - P(\{b\})] \\ &= (2/3 - 1/3)/(1 - 1/3) = 1/2, \\ F^d(A/\bar{B}) &= [F(A \cup B) - F(B)]/[1 - F(B)] = [P(\{b, c\}) - P(\{c\})]/[1 - P(\{c\})] \\ &= (2/3 - 1/3)/(1 - 1/3) = 1/2, \\ F^w(A/B) &= [F(A) - F(A \cap \bar{B})]/[1 - F(\bar{B})] = [P(\{a\}) - P(\emptyset)]/[1 - P(\{b\})] \\ &= (1/3)/(1 - 1/3) = 1/2, \\ F^w(A/\bar{B}) &= [F(A) - F(A \cap B)]/[1 - F(B)] = [P(\{a\}) - P(\emptyset)]/[1 - P(\{c\})] \\ &= (1/3)/(1 - 1/3) = 1/2. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$F(A) < \min(F^d(A/B), F^d(A/\bar{B})), F(A) < \min(F^w(A/B), F^w(A/\bar{B})).$$

Lemma 3.1 Let (Ω, J, F) be a beleaf space, and $P = \{p : p \text{ is a probability on } (\Omega, J) \text{ with } p(A) \geq F(A) \text{ for all } A \in J\}$. If $F(\cdot/\cdot)$ satisfies $F(A/B) \leq \inf_{p \in P} p(A/B)$, then $F(A) \geq \min(F(A/B), F(A/\bar{B}))$.

Proof Since $F(A) = \inf_{p \in P} p(A)$ [5], and for any $p^* \in P$

$$\begin{aligned} p^*(A) &\geq \min(p^*(A/B), p^*(A/\bar{B})) \\ &\geq \min(\inf_{p \in P} p(A/B), \inf_{p \in P} p(A/\bar{B})) \geq \min(F(A/B), F(A/\bar{B})). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$F(A) \geq \min(F(A/B), F(A/\bar{B})).$$

Proposition 3.2 $F^h(\cdot/\cdot)$ satisfies the conditions (I)-(IV) but (III).

Proof (I) It is easy to check. (II) See [3].

(IV) Let P be the set given in Lemma 3.1. Then for any $p \in P$

$$1 - p(A \cup \bar{B}) = 1 - p(\overline{(\bar{A} \cap B)}) = p(\bar{A} \cap B).$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} F^h(A/B) &= F(A \cap B) / [F(A \cap B) + 1 - F(A \cup \bar{B})] \\ &= 1 / [1 + (1 - F(A \cup \bar{B})) / F(A \cap B)] \leq 1 / [1 + (1 - p(A \cup \bar{B})) / p(A \cap B)] \\ &= p(A \cap B) / [p(A \cap B) + 1 - p(A \cup \bar{B})] = p(A \cap B) / [p(A \cap B) + p(\bar{A} \cap B)] \\ &= p(A \cap B) / p(B) = p(A/B). \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 3.1 $F(A) \geq \min(F^h(A/B), F^h(A/\bar{B}))$.

(III) Let $(\Omega, 2^\Omega, F)$ be a beleaf space given in 2.2 with $\Omega = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $g(x_1) = 1/2, g(x_2) = 1/4, g(x_3) = 1/16, g(x_4) = 1/255$ and $t = 1$. Now choose $A = \{x_1\}, B = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ and $C = \{x_1, x_2\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} F_B^h(A/C) &= F_B^h(A \cap C) / \{F_B^h(A \cap C) + 1 - F_B^h(A \cup \bar{C})\} \\ &= \{F(A \cap C \cap B) / (F(A \cap C \cap B) + 1 - F((A \cap C) \cup \bar{B}))\} \\ &\quad / \{F(A \cap C \cap B) / (F(A \cap C \cap B) + 1 - F((A \cap C) \cup \bar{B})) + 1 \\ &\quad - F((A \cup \bar{C}) \cap B) / (F((A \cup \bar{C}) \cap B) + 1 - F(A \cup \bar{C} \cup \bar{B}))\} \\ &= 13515/27019, \\ F_C^h(A/B) &= F_C^h(A \cap B) / [F_C^h(A \cap B) + 1 - F_C^h(A \cup \bar{B})] \\ &= \{F(A \cap B \cap C) / (F(A \cap B \cap C) + 1 - F((A \cap B) \cup \bar{C}))\} \\ &\quad / \{F(A \cap B \cap C) / (F(A \cap B \cap C) + 1 - F((A \cap B) \cup \bar{C})) + 1 \\ &\quad - F((A \cup \bar{B}) \cap C) / (F((A \cup \bar{B}) \cap C) + 1 - F(A \cup \bar{B} \cup \bar{C}))\} \\ &= 5/9. \end{aligned}$$

So $F_B^h(A/C) \neq F_C^h(A/B)$.

§4. A desirable conditional beleaf operator

Lemma 4.1 For any beleaf space (Ω, J, F) we have

$$F(A) \geq \min[\min(F^s(A/B), F^s(A/\bar{B})), \min(F^w(A/B), F^w(A/\bar{B}))].$$

Proof Suppose $F(A) \leq F^s(A/B) = F(A \cap B)/F(B)$, then $F(A \cap B) \geq F(A)F(B)$. It follows that

$$F^w(A/\bar{B}) = [F(A) - F(A \cap B)]/[1 - F(B)] \leq [F(A) - F(A)F(B)]/[1 - F(B)] = F(A).$$

Now the result follows.

From Lemma 4.1 one will guess that if $F(\cdot/\cdot)$ is the operator which we wish to find out, the value of $\min(F(A/B), F(A/\bar{B}))$ may lie between $\min(F^s(A/B), F^s(A/\bar{B}))$ and $\min(F^w(A/B), F^w(A/\bar{B}))$. So it is reasonable to consider $F(A/B)$ lie between $F^s(A/B)$ and $F^w(A/\bar{B})$. Based on this fact we suggest the following

$$F^n(A/B) = [F(A \cap B) + F(A) - F(A \cap \bar{B})]/[F(B) + 1 - F(\bar{B})].$$

Theorem $F^n(\cdot/\cdot)$ satisfies all the conditions of (I)- (IV).

Proof (I) It is easy to check.

(II) We need to prove $F^n(\cdot/B)$ satisfies (*) and (**).

(*) Straightforward. (**) Let I be a finite subset of N and $\{A\} \cup \{A_i : i \in I\} \subset J$ with $A \supset \cup_{i \in I} A_i$. Since $F^s(\cdot/B)$ and $F^w(\cdot/B)$ are beleaf functions on (Ω, J) we have

$$F(A \cap B) \geq \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset I} (-1)^{|J|+1} F((\bigcap_{j \in J} A_j) \cap B)$$

and

$$F(A) - F(A \cap \bar{B}) \geq \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset I} (-1)^{|J|+1} (F(\bigcap_{j \in J} A_j) - F((\bigcap_{j \in J} A_j) \cap \bar{B})).$$

Then it follows

$$\begin{aligned} F(A \cap B) + F(A) - F(A \cap \bar{B}) &\geq \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset I} (-1)^{|J|+1} (F(\bigcap_{j \in J} A_j) + F((\bigcap_{j \in J} A_j) \cap B) \\ &\quad - F((\bigcap_{j \in J} A_j) \cap \bar{B})). \end{aligned}$$

Deviding both sides by $1 + F(B) - F(\bar{B})$ we get

$$F^n(A/B) \geq \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset I} (-1)^{|J|+1} F^n((\bigcap_{j \in J} A_j)/B).$$

Hence $F^n(\cdot/B)$ satisfies (**).

(III) From

$$F_B^n(A/C) = [F_B^n(A \cap C) + F_B^n(A) - F_B^n(A \cap \bar{C})]/[1 + F_B^n(C) - F_B^n(\bar{C})]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_B^n(A \cap C) &= [F(A \cap C \cap B) + F(A \cap C) - F(A \cap C \cap \bar{B})]/[1 + F(B) - F(\bar{B})], \\ F_B^n(A) &= [F(A \cap B) + F(A) - F(A \cap \bar{B})]/[1 + F(B) - F(\bar{B})], \\ F_B^n(A \cap \bar{C}) &= [F(A \cap \bar{C} \cap B) + F(A \cap \bar{C}) - F(A \cap \bar{C} \cap \bar{B})]/[1 + F(B) - F(\bar{B})], \\ F_B^n(C) &= [F(B \cap C) + F(C) - F(\bar{B} \cap C)]/[1 + F(B) - F(\bar{B})], \\ F_B^n(\bar{C}) &= [F(\bar{C} \cap B) + F(\bar{C}) - F(\bar{C} \cap \bar{B})]/[1 + F(B) - F(\bar{B})], \end{aligned}$$

we can get

$$\begin{aligned} F_B^n(A/C) &= [F(A) + F(A \cap B) + F(A \cap C) - F(A \cap \bar{B}) - F(A \cap \bar{C}) + F(A \cap B \cap C) \\ &\quad - F(A \cap \bar{B} \cap C) - F(A \cap B \cap \bar{C}) + F(A \cap \bar{B} \cap \bar{C})]/[1 + F(B) \\ &\quad - F(\bar{B}) + F(C) - F(\bar{C}) + F(B \cap C) + F(\bar{B} \cap \bar{C}) - F(B \cap \bar{C}) - F(\bar{B} \cap C)]. \end{aligned}$$

Then by the symmetry of B and C it is easy to see that $F_B^n(A/C) = F_C^n(A/B)$.

(IV) If

$$F(A) < F^n(A/B) = [F(A) + F(A \cap B) - F(A \cap \bar{B})]/[1 + F(B) - F(\bar{B})], \quad (4.1)$$

$$F(A) < F^n(A/\bar{B}) = [F(A) + F(A \cap \bar{B}) - F(A \cap B)]/[1 + F(\bar{B}) - F(B)]. \quad (4.2)$$

hold at the same time, then since $1 + F(B) - F(\bar{B}) > 0$ and $1 + F(\bar{B}) - F(B) > 0$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &F(A)[1 + F(B) - F(\bar{B})] + F(A)[1 + F(\bar{B}) - F(B)] \\ &< F(A) + F(A \cap B) - F(A \cap \bar{B}) + F(A) + F(A \cap \bar{B}) - F(A \cap B). \end{aligned}$$

Then $2F(A) > 2F(A)$, which is impossible. So we have $F(A) \geq F^n(A/B)$ or $F(A) \geq F^n(A/\bar{B})$. Hence

$$F(A) \geq \min(F^n(A/B), F^n(A/\bar{B})).$$

Acknowledgement The author would like to thank Dr. Nguyen. H. T for encouraging discussion.

References

- [1] Benard Planchet, *Credibility and conditioning*, Journal of Theoretical Probability, Vol.2, **3**(1989), 289-298.
- [2] A.P. Dempster, *Upper and lower probability induced by a multivalued mapping*, J. R. Stat. Soc. B **30**(1967), 205-217.
- [3] R.Fagin and J.Y. Halpern, *Updating belief vs. combining beliefs*, Proc. Intl. Joint Conf. on AI (IJCAI-89), 1161-1167.
- [4] H.T. Nguyen, *On Random sets and belief functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **65**(1978), 539-542.
- [5] G.Shafer, *Allocations of probability*, Ann. Prob. **7**(1979), 827-839.
- [6] G.Shafer, *Belief functions and parametric models*, J. R. Stat. Soc. B **44**(1982), 322-352.
- [7] G. Shafer, *Dempster's rule of combination*, J. Th. Prob., Vol. **1**(1985), 121-132.

关于条件信任函数

于 纯 海

(锦州师范学院数学系, 121003)

摘 要

本文首先基于理论和实践两方面的考虑, 给出了建立条件信任函数公式的准则; 然后依据给定的准则, 讨论了已有的几类条件信任函数公式的优点和弊病; 最后我们给出了一种新的条件信任函数公式, 其具备所期望的所有条件. 同时为验证条件信任函数的性质的需要, 我们还首次建立了几类有限集上信任函数的实例.