

Proof For any root subgroup $U_\alpha(Q)$, by Lemma 3.3 $\pi(U_\alpha(Q))$ is a unipotent subgroup of $SL(V)$, hence $\pi(U_\alpha(Q))$ is conjugate in $SL(V)$ to a subgroup of the unipotent upper triangular matrix group. Since the exponential map Exp of nilpotent upper triangular matrices to unipotent upper triangular matrices is bijective, for any i there exist nilpotent linear transformations $d\pi(e_i)$ and $d\pi(f_i)$ on V such that

$$\pi(U_{\alpha_i}(t)) = \exp td\pi(e_i), \quad \pi(U_{-\alpha_i}(t)) = \exp td\pi(f_i)$$

for any $t \in Q$. By Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 the theorem follows

References

- [1] O. Gabber, V. G. Kac, *On defining relations of certain infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **5**(1981), 185-189.
- [2] V. G. Kac and D. H. Peterson, *Infinite flag varieties and conjugacy theorems*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, **80**(1983), 1778-1782.
- [3] V. G. Kac and D. H. Peterson, *Defining relations of certain infinite dimensional groups*, Proceedings of the Cartan conference, Lyon 1984, Asterisque, Numero hors serie (1985), 165-208.
- [4] V. G. Kac, *Constructing groups associated to infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, Proceedings of the conference on infinite dimensional Lie groups, Berkley 1984, MSRI Publ. **4**(1985), 167-216.
- [5] V. G. Kac, *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, Progress in Math. **44**, Birkhauser, Boston, 1983.
- [6] G. P. Hochschild, *Basic theory of algebraic groups and Lie algebras*, GTM **75**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981.
- [7] R. Steinberg, *Some consequences of the elementary relations in SL_n* , Cont. Math. **45**(1985), 335-350.

Kac- Moody 群上可微分模的刻画

查建国 黄临文

(上海同济大学应用数学系, 200092)

摘要

对 Kac- Moody 代数 g 上的任意可积模 $(V, d\pi)$, 通过指数可以把它提升为同 g 关联的 Kac- Moody 群 G 上的模 (V, π) , G 上的这种模称为可微分模. 本文将刻画 G 上的可微分模并且证明, 模 (V, π) 是可微分模当且仅当 V 到每个根子群 U_α 的限制都是 U_α 的一个有理表示. 依据这种刻画, 得到一个有趣的结果: 有理数域 Q 上的 Chevalley 群 $G(Q)$ 的所有有限维模都是可微分模.

A Characterization of Differentiable Modules over Kac- Moody Groups*

Zha Jianguo Huang Linwen

(Dept of Appl Math , Tongji University, Shanghai 200092)

Abstract For any integrable module $(V, d\pi)$ over a Kac- Moody algebra g we can lift it to a module (V, π) over the Kac- Moody group G associated to g . The present paper characterizes such modules and shows that a G - module (V, π) is a such module lifted from an integrable g - module $(V, d\pi)$ if and only if the restriction of V to any root subgroup U_a is rational. By the characterization, we obtain an interesting result which says that all finite dimensional modules over $G(Q)$ are differentiable for the Chevalley group $G(Q)$.

Keywords Kac- Moody groups, Chevalley groups, Modules

Classification AMS(1991) 17B67, 20G35/CCL O153.3

1. Introduction

Throughout the paper the base field F is of characteristic zero.

The Kac- Moody algebra $g = g(A)$ associated to a symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$ is the Lie algebra over F generated by $3n$ generators h_i, e_i and $f_i (1 \leq i \leq n)$ with defining relations:^[1]

1. 1) $[h_i, h_j] = 0, [e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij} h_i;$
1. 2) $[h_i, e_j] = a_j(h_i) e_j, [h_i, f_j] = -a_j(h_i) f_j;$
1. 3) $(ade_i)^{1-a_{ij}}(e_j) = 0, (adf_i)^{1-a_{ij}}(f_j) = 0 \quad (i \neq j),$

where $a_j (1 \leq j \leq n)$ is defined to be $a_j(h_i) = a_{ij}$. Corresponding to the Kac- Moody algebra g , we can construct the group $G = G(F)$, called the Kac- Moody group over F , associated to g by representation approach^[2]. We now recall the structure of the group G associated to g . G is generated by $U_{\pm a_i}(t), 1 \leq i \leq n, t \in F$, and the following fundamental relations hold^[3].

R1) $U_a = \{U_a(t); t \in F\}$, called the root subgroup corresponding to the root a , is isomorphic to the additive group of the field F , where $a = a_i$ or $a = -a_i$.

R2) $(U_{a_i}, U_{-a_i}) = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

R3) The subgroup G_{a_i} generated by $U_{\pm a_i}$ is isomorphic to $SL_2(F)$ and the isomorphism $\phi_i: SL_2(F) \rightarrow G_{a_i}$ is given by

$$\phi_i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = U_{a_i}(t), \quad \phi_i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix} = U_{-a_i}(t).$$

* Received Sep. 26, 1994

R4) Let $H_{a_i}(t) = n_{a_i}(t)n_{a_i}(1)^{-1}$, where

$$n_{a_i}(t) = U_{a_i}(t)U_{-a_i}(-t^{-1})U_{a_i}(t).$$

The subgroup $H_{a_i} = \{H_{a_i}(t); t \in F^*\}$ is isomorphic to the multiplicative group F^* and the subgroup H generated by H_{a_i} , $1 \leq i \leq n$ is the directed product of the group H_{a_i} .

R5) $H_{a_i}(t)U_{\pm a_j}(u)H_{a_i}(t)^{-1} = U_{\pm a_j}(t^{\pm a_{ij}}u)$ for any $t \in F^*$, $u \in F$.

Definition 1.1 A module (V, π) over G is called differentiable if there exists an integrable action $d\pi$ of \mathfrak{g} on V such that

$$\pi U_{a_i}(t) = \exp th \pi e_i; \quad \pi U_{-a_i}(t) = \exp th \pi f_i$$

for any $t \in F$.

Kac^[4] conjectured that a G -module (V, π) is differentiable if the linear transformations on V

$$d\pi e_i = \frac{d}{dt} \pi U_{a_i}(t) \Big|_{t=0}, \quad d\pi f_i = \frac{d}{dt} \pi U_{-a_i}(t) \Big|_{t=0}$$

can be defined. The present paper will justify the conjecture and show that a module (V, π) over G is differentiable if and only if the restriction of V to the subgroups $U_{\pm a_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ is a rational representation of $U_{\pm a_i}$.

2 Integrable modules over the Kac-Moody algebra \mathfrak{g}

Let \mathfrak{h} denote the subspace spanned by h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n . By Kac^[5], an integrable module over \mathfrak{g} is defined as follows:

Definition 2.1 A module $(V, d\pi)$ over \mathfrak{g} is called integrable if the following conditions are satisfied:

- i) $d\pi(\mathfrak{h})$ is diagonalizable;
- ii) all $d\pi(e_i)$ and $d\pi(f_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, are locally nilpotent on V .

Proposition 2.2 A module $(V, d\pi)$ over \mathfrak{g} is integrable only if the above condition ii) is satisfied.

Proof Since \mathfrak{h} is an abelian Lie algebra, it will suffice to prove that all $d\pi(h_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, is diagonalizable. Let \mathfrak{g}_i denote the subalgebra spanned by h_i, e_i and f_i , which is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}_2(F)$. By the finite dimensional representation theory of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(F)$, h_i is diagonalizable on any finite dimensional $\mathfrak{sl}_2(F)$ -modules. So it is enough to show that the action of \mathfrak{g}_i on V is locally finite. For any $v \in V$, there exists a positive integer n such that $d\pi(e_i)^n v = 0$. We use induction on n to assert that there exists a non-zero polynomial $f(x) \in F[x]$ such that $f(d\pi(h_i))v = 0$, which is equivalent to the fact that the subspace spanned by $d\pi(h_i)^k v$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, is finite dimensional.

Assume $n = 1$. By the above condition ii), there exists a positive integer m such that $d\pi(f_i)^m v = 0$. The fundamental commutator relation in the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2(F))$

$$\left[\frac{e_i^m}{m!}, \frac{f_i^m}{m!} \right] = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{f_i^{m-j}}{(m-j)!} \left(h_i - 2m + 2j \right) \frac{e_i^{m-j}}{(m-j)!}$$

show that

$$[d\pi(e_i)^m d\pi(f_i)^m] = \begin{pmatrix} d\pi(h_i) \\ m \end{pmatrix} v = 0,$$

where for any positive integer j and any integer k ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_i - k \\ j \end{pmatrix} = \frac{(h_i - k)(h_i - k - 1) \dots (h_i - k - (j - 1))}{j!}.$$

So our assertion follows when $n = 1$. For any $v \in V$, by the induction hypothesis, the subspace W spanned by $d\pi(h_i)^k d\pi(e_i)v$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, is finite dimensional. Since it is easy to observe that for any k

$$d\pi(e_i) d\pi(h_i)^k v = d\pi(h_i)^k d\pi(e_i)v + d\pi[e_i h_i^k]v \in W,$$

there exists a non-zero polynomial $f(x) \in F[x]$ such that $d\pi(e_i)f(d\pi(h_i))v = 0$. Our conclusion follows by applying the result obtained in the case of $n = 1$ to $f(d\pi(h_i))v$. Then we deduce that the g -invariant subspace

$$U(g)v = \sum_{k,m,n \geq 0} d\pi(f_i)^k d\pi(e_i)^m d\pi(h_i)^n v$$

is finite dimensional for any $v \in V$, since $d\pi(f_i)$ and $d\pi(e_i)$ are locally nilpotent on V , and $d\pi(h_i)$ is locally finite.

Let \overline{g} denote the Lie algebra on generators e_i, f_i and h_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, with defining relations 1.1) and 1.2). We need the following proposition in order to differentiate modules over the Kac-Moody group G .

Proposition 2.3 Let $(V, d\pi)$ be a \overline{g} -module such that all $d\pi(e_i)$ and $d\pi(f_i)$ are locally nilpotent. Then $d\pi(e_i)$ and $d\pi(f_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, satisfy relations 1.3) so that we may regard $(V, d\pi)$ as an integrable g -module.

Proof We will prove that the second relation in 1.3)

$$(ad d\pi(f_i))^{1-a_{ij}} d\pi(f_j) = 0$$

is satisfied if $i \neq j$. The first one in 1.3) follows by the same way. For any $v \in V$, by the relations in 1.1) and 1.2), we have

$$[d\pi(e_i) d\pi(f_j)]v = 0, [d\pi(h_i) d\pi(f_j)]v = -a_{ij} d\pi(f_j)v.$$

Since $d\pi(f_i)$ is locally nilpotent on V , there exists the least positive integer m such that

$$(ad d\pi(f_i))^m d\pi(f_j)v = \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^j \begin{pmatrix} m \\ j \end{pmatrix} d\pi(f_i)^{m-j} d\pi(f_j) d\pi(f_i)^j v = 0$$

The fundamental commutator relation in $U(sl_2(F))$

$$[e_i f_i^m] = -m(m-1)f_i^{m-1}i_+ m f_i^{m-1}h_i$$

implies that

$$add\pi(e_i)(add\pi(f_i))^m d\pi(f_j)v = m(1 - m - a_{ij})d\pi(f_i)^{m-1}d\pi(f_j)v = 0,$$

which means $m = 1 - a_{ij}$.

3 Differentiable modules over the Kac- Moody group G

To emphasize the fields, over which the Kac- Moody group and the Kac- Moody algebra are defined, we use $G(F)$ to denote the Kac- Moody group over a field F associated to the Kac- Moody algebra $g(F)$ over F . Let K be an extension field of F . If $(V, d\pi)$ is an integrable representation of $g(K)$, it is well known, by the construction of $G(F)$, that there exists the representation $\pi: G(F) \rightarrow GL(V)$ of $G(F)$ given by

$$\pi(U_{a_i}(t)) = \exp td\pi(e_i), \quad \pi(U_{-a_i}(t)) = \exp td\pi(f_i)$$

for any $t \in F$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then the module (V, π) becomes a differentiable module over $G(F)$. In the following, we want to find conditions to determine which modules over $G(F)$ are differentiable.

Lemma 3.1 Let V be a vector space over K , generally speaking, infinite dimensional, and let $\pi: G(F) \rightarrow GL(V)$ be a non-trivial homomorphism. If there exist locally nilpotent linear transformations $d\pi(e_i)$ and $d\pi(f_i)$ on V such that

$$\pi(U_{a_i}(t)) = \exp d\pi(te_i), \quad \pi(U_{a_i}(t)) = \exp d\pi(tf_i)$$

for any $t \in F$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$, $d\pi$ can be extended to an integrable representation of $g(K)$. Then π can be extended to the homomorphism, denoted again by π , of $G(K)$ into $GL(V)$ so that (V, π) is a differentiable module over $G(K)$.

Proof Set $d\pi(h_i) = [d\pi(e_i), d\pi(f_i)]$. What we need to do is to verify that $d\pi(h_i)$, $d\pi(e_i)$ and $d\pi(f_i)$ satisfy relations 1.1), 1.2) and 1.3) for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let

$$n_i(t) = U_{a_i}(t)U_{-a_i}(-t^{-1})U_{a_i}(t)$$

for any $t \in F^*$. By relation R3),

$$n_i(t)U_{a_i}(u)n_i(t)^{-1} = U_{-a_i}(-t^{-2}u)$$

for $t \in F^*$ and $u \in F$, and

$$U_{-a_i}(-t^{-1})U_{a_i}(u)U_{-a_i}(t^{-1}) = U_{a_i}(-t)U_{-a_i}(-t^{-2}u)U_{a_i}(t).$$

Note that for any locally nilpotent linear transformations $d\pi(x)$ and $d\pi(y)$,

$$(\exp d\pi(x))d\pi(y)(\exp d\pi(x))^{-1} = \exp ad\pi(x)(d\pi(y)),$$

so we have

$$\exp(u \exp ad(-t^{-1}d\pi(f_i))d\pi(e_i)) = \exp(u \exp ad(-td\pi(e_i))(-t^{-2}d\pi(f_i))),$$

which yields

$$\exp \operatorname{ad}(-t^{-1}d\pi(f_i))d\pi(e_i) = -t^{-2}\exp \operatorname{ad}(-td\pi(e_i))d\pi(f_i).$$

Expanding two sides and comparing the coefficients of t^{-2} and t^0 , we obtain

$$[d\pi(e_i) [d\pi(e_i) d\pi(f_i)]] = -2d\pi(e_i),$$

$$[d\pi(f_i) [d\pi(f_i) d\pi(e_i)]] = -2d\pi(f_i),$$

which implies that

$$[d\pi(h_i) d\pi(e_i)] = 2d\pi(e_i), [d\pi(h_i) d\pi(f_i)] = -2d\pi(f_i).$$

Recalling that the subalgebra $g_i(K)$ spanned by e_i , f_i and h_i over K is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}(K)$, so $d\pi$ is a representation of $g_i(K)$, and by Proposition 2.2), $d\pi$ is an integrable representation of $g_i(K)$ on V . Let $V_m = \{v \in V; d\pi(h_i)v = mv\}$. Then V admits a direct sum decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} V_m$ by eigenspaces V_m with respect to $d\pi(h_i)$, and it is clear that $v \in V$ if and only if $\pi(H_{a_i}(t))v = t^m v$ for any $t \in F^*$. Applying R5) to $v \in V_m$, we have

$$t^{-m}\pi(H_{a_i}(t))(v + ud\pi(e_j)v + \dots) = v + t^{a_{ij}}ud\pi(e_j)v + \dots,$$

which yields $\pi(H_{a_i}(t))d\pi(e_j)v = t^{a_{ij}+m}d\pi(e_j)v$, for any $t \in F^*$. Then

$$d\pi(h_i)d\pi(e_j)v = (a_{ij} + m)d\pi(e_j)v,$$

which implies that $[d\pi(h_i) d\pi(e_j)] = a_{ij}d\pi(e_j) = a_j(h_i)d\pi(e_j)$.

By the same argument, we may deduce

$$[d\pi(h_i) d\pi(f_j)] = -a_{ij}d\pi(f_j) = -a_j(h_i)d\pi(f_j).$$

Since the subgroup $H(F)$, generated by $H_{a_i}(t)$ with $t \in F^*$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$, is abelian, and each $H_{a_i}(t)$ is diagonalizable on V , the subgroup $H(F)$ is diagonalizable on V . Then $d\pi(h_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, are diagonalizable simultaneously, which means

$$[d\pi(h_i) d\pi(h_j)] = 0$$

At last, using the same way as it is used at the beginning, we know

$$U_{a_i}(t)U_{-a_j}(u)U_{a_i}(-t) = U_{-a_j}(u)$$

implies that

$$[d\pi(e_i) d\pi(f_j)] = 0$$

for $i \neq j$. Hence $d\pi$ can be extended to a representation of $\bar{g}(K)$, and by Proposition 2.3), $d\pi$ is an integrable representation of $\bar{g}(K)$.

We now can give a characterization of differentiable modules over the Kac-Moody group $G(F)$.

Theorem 3.2 Let V be a vector space over F . (V, π) is a differentiable module over the

Kac- Moody group $G(F)$ if and only if the restriction of π to each U_a with $a = \pm a_i$ is a rational representation of U_a .

Proof The root subgroup U_a , which is isomorphic to the additive group of the field F , is a unipotent algebraic group over F . Hence, for any finite dimensional polynomial representation ρ ,

$$\beta(U_a(t)) = \exp(A)$$

for some unique nilpotent linear transformation A . (see [6], Ch. 8, Th. 1.2) Then there exist locally nilpotent linear transformations $d\pi(e_i)$ and $d\pi(f_i)$ on V such that

$$\pi(U_{a_i}(t)) = \exp td\pi(e_i), \quad \pi(U_{-a_i}(t)) = \exp td\pi(f_i)$$

for any $t \in F$. By Lemma 3.1, the theorem follows.

It is well known that the Kac- Moody group $G(F)$ associated to a Cartan matrix is the universal Chevalley group. For the Chevalley group $G(Q)$ over the rational field Q , an interesting result is that all finite dimensional modules over $G(Q)$ are differentiable. For $G = SL_n(Q)$, the result was established by Steinberg^[7]. In the following, we will establish the result for any Chevalley group $G(Q)$. Let $G(F)$ denote the universal Chevalley group over a field F of characteristic zero. Following the standard notation, we write $U(F)$ for the maximal unipotent subgroup of $G(F)$ generated by root subgroups corresponding to positive roots, and $B(F)$ for the Borel subgroup of $G(F)$ generated by $H(F)$ and $U(F)$.

Lemma 3.3 Let F and K be any fields of characteristic zero. For any homomorphism

$$\pi: G(F) \rightarrow SL_n(K),$$

$\pi(U(F))$ is a unipotent subgroup in $SL_n(K)$.

Proof A subgroup A in $SL_n(K)$ is unipotent if and only if there exists a positive integer r such that whenever $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r \in A$, then

$$(a_1 - 1)(a_2 - 1) \dots (a_r - 1) = 0$$

So, without loss of generality, we may assume that K is algebraically closed. Write $\pi(B(F)) = A$. Since $B(F)$ is solvable, so is A . Let \bar{A}^0 denote the connected component of the Zariski closure of A in $SL_n(K)$. Then \bar{A}^0 is a connected solvable algebraic subgroup of $SL_n(K)$, and its commutator subgroup is unipotent. Let $S = \pi^{-1}(A \cap \bar{A}^0)$. Then S is a subgroup of $B(F)$ of finite index. Since each root subgroup $U_a(F)$ is isomorphic to the additive group of F , $U_a(F)$ has no proper subgroup of finite index, hence $U(F) \subseteq S$. Then it will suffice to show that $U(F) \subseteq S$, but by relation R5) this fact is clear since $H(F) \cap S$ is a subgroup of $H(F)$ of finite index.

Theorem 3.4 Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over F and

$$\pi: G(Q) \rightarrow SL(V)$$

a nontrivial homomorphism. Then π can be extended to a homomorphism, denoted again by π , of $G(F)$ into $SL(V)$; moreover the module (V, π) over $G(F)$ is differentiable.