

Rigidity Theorems of Riemannian Manifold with $\nabla^2 \text{Ric} = 0^*$

Xu Senlin Mei Jiaqiang

(Dept. of Math., Univ. of Sci. and Tech. of China, Hefei 230026)

Abstract Ricci curvature tensor is denoted by Ric . We study when the manifold which satisfy $\nabla^2 \text{Ric} = 0$ become a Einstein manifold or a space form.

Keywords Ricci curvature tensor, Riemann curvature tensor, Einstein space, weyl conformal curvature tensor, scalar curvature

Classification AMS (1991) 53C40/CCL O186.16

1 Introduction

Compact Riemannian manifolds whose Ricci curvature tensors are parallel have been studied in [1], the following two theorems are obtained by the authors of [1]:

Theorem A Suppose M^n is a compact manifold, $\nabla^2 \text{Ric} = 0$, Scalar curvature $R = n(n-1)$. If $2n(n-1) \leq R_M^2 < 2n(n-1) + (\frac{n}{3+\sqrt{n-2}})^2$, then M is a space form.

Theorem B Suppose M^n is a compact Einstein manifold, scalar curvature $R = n(n-1)$. If $0 \leq W_M^2 < \frac{4}{9}n(n-1)$, then M is a space form.

R_M and W_M in theorem A, B denote Riemann curvature tensor and Weyl conformal curvature tensor respectively. We will establish similar theorems on manifolds satisfy $\nabla^2 \text{Ric} = 0$ and find best constants for theorem A, B. We will also develop some rigidity theorems which observed in [2], [3].

2 Preliminaries

Suppose M is a complete manifold of dimension n . $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ are local orthonormal frames. We have structure equations:

$$dw_i = - \sum_j w_{ij} \Lambda w_j, \quad (1)$$

$$dw_{ij} = - \sum_k w_{ik} \Lambda w_{kj} + \Omega_{ij}, \quad (2)$$

* Received Jnly 5, 1995. Project supported by NNSFC and NECYSCF.

where $\Omega_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k R_{ijk} \omega_k \wedge \omega_l$, R_{ijkl} are Riemann curvature tensors of M . The indexes range from 1 to n .

Ricci curvature are defined by $R_{ij} = \sum_l R_{lilj}$. The covariant derivative are defined by

$$\sum_k R_{ij, k} \omega_k = dR_{ij} - \sum_m R_{mj} \omega_m^i - \sum_m R_{im} \omega_m^j, \quad (3)$$

$$\sum_l R_{ij, k} \omega_l = dR_{ij, k} - \sum_m R_{mj, k} \omega_m^i - \sum_m R_{im, k} \omega_m^j - \sum_m R_{ij, m} \omega_m^k, \quad (4)$$

$\nabla^2 \text{Ric} = 0$ denotes $R_{ij, kl} = 0$. From (3), (4) we have Ricci identity

$$\sum_m R_{mj} R_{mikl} + \sum_m R_{im} R_{mjkl} = 0$$

If we choose good frames so that $n \times n$ matrix (R_{ij}) is diagonal, then

$$(R_{ii} - R_{jj}) \cdot R_{ijkl} = 0 \quad (5)$$

(5) is very useful

Lemma 1 If M is connected, $\nabla^2 \text{Ric} = 0$; then the scalar curvature R is a constant

Proof From (3), (4) and $R = \sum_i R_{ii}$, $\nabla^2 \text{Ric} = 0$, we have

$$\sum_k R_{,m} \omega_k = dR, \quad (6)$$

$$dR_{,k} - \sum_m R_{,m} \omega_m^k = 0, \quad (7)$$

Taking exterior differential calculus on (7), by (2) we have

$$0 = ddR_{,k} = \sum_m dR_{,m} \omega_m^k + \sum_m R_{,m} (- \sum_i \omega_m^i \wedge \omega_{ik} + \Omega_{mk}).$$

By (7) we have

$$\sum_m R_{,m} \Omega_{mk} = 0,$$

$$\sum_n R_{,m} R_{mkij} = 0 \quad (8)$$

Using Bianchi identity

$$R_{ijkl, m} + R_{ijm, k} + R_{ijm, l} = 0$$

and $\nabla^2 \text{Ric} = 0$, by (8) we have

$$\sum_m R_{,m} R_{ijkl, m} = 0$$

Since $R = \sum_{ij} R_{ijij}$, we have

$$\sum_m R_{,m} R_{,m} = 0$$

From (6) we have $dR = 0$, R is a constant for connectivity of M .

Suppose λ is a constant, define

$$D_{ijkl} = R_{ijkl} - \lambda(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk}).$$

D_{ijkl} have the following qualities:

$$D^2 = \sum_{i,j,k,l} D_{ijkl}^2 = R_m^2 + 2\lambda^2 n(n-1) - 4\lambda R, \quad (9)$$

$$D_{ijkl,m} + D_{ijmk,l} + D_{ijlm,k} = 0, \quad (10)$$

$$\sum_i D_{ijil} = R_{jl} - (n-1)\lambda\delta_{jl} \quad (11)$$

Letting $i = m$ in (10), by (11) we have

$$\sum_m D_{mjkl,m} + R_{jk,l} - R_{jl,k} = 0$$

Using $\nabla^2 \text{Ric} = 0$ we have

$$\sum_m D_{ijkn,m} = 0 \quad (12)$$

From (10) we have

$$\sum_m D_{ijkl,mm} = \sum_m R_{ijkn,m} + \sum_m R_{ijm,l,kn}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \Delta D^2 &= \sum_{i,j,k,l,m} D_{ijkl,m}^2 + D_{ijk} D_{ijkl,mm} \\ &= \nabla D^2 + 2 \sum_{i,j,k,l,m} D_{ijk} D_{ijkn,m} \\ &= \nabla D^2 + 2 \sum_{i,j,k,l,m} D_{ijkl} (D_{ijkn,m} - D_{ijkm,l}) \\ &= \nabla D^2 + 2 \sum_{i,j,k,l,m} D_{ijkl} (D_{hjk m} R_{hilm} + D_{ihkm} R_{hijm} \\ &\quad + D_{ijlm} R_{hkm} + D_{ijkh} R_{hl}). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Using the method in [1], we have

$$\frac{1}{4} \nabla D^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \nabla D^2 + \frac{n}{2} \lambda D^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{n-2} + 3) D^3. \quad (14)$$

If M is an Einstein manifold whose Ricci curvature is $(n-1)\lambda$, then

$$\frac{1}{4} D^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \nabla D^2 + (n-1)\lambda D^2 - \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}\right) D^3. \quad (15)$$

One can obtain theorem A, B by (13), (14).

3 Pinching Problems

We will consider the condition for M to become a Einstein manifold. First we have

Theorem 1 Suppose M is a complete manifold of dimension $n (\geq 3)$, M is connected, $\nabla^2 \text{Ric} = 0$. If scalar curvature $R = 0$. If

$$R_M^2 \leq \frac{2R^2}{(n-1)(n-2)}$$

then M is a Einstein manifold or $R_M^2 = \frac{2R^2}{(n-1)(n-2)}$. And $R_M^2 = \frac{2R^2}{(n-1)(n-2)}$ implies that the universal covering space of M is isometry to $M^{n-1} \left(\frac{R^2}{(n-1)(n-2)} \right) \times \mathbf{R}$. Where $M^{n-1} \left(\frac{R^2}{(n-1)(n-2)} \right)$ denotes $(n-1)$ -dimensional simply connected space form which sectional curvature is $\frac{R^2}{(n-1)(n-2)}$. \mathbf{R} denotes Euclidean line.

Proof From Lemma 1 we know $R = \text{const}$, $\forall p \in M$, choose good frames around p such that (5) is true for p . Then the indexes from 1 to n are divided into s groups:

$$\begin{aligned} & \{1, 2, \dots, x_1\}, \{x_1 + 1, x_1 + 2, \dots, x_1 + x_2\}, \dots, \\ & \leq \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{s-1} x_m + 1, \sum_{m=1}^{s-1} x_m + 2, \dots, \sum_{m=1}^{s-1} x_m + x_s \right\}, 1 \leq s \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose

$$R_{jj} = a_i, \sum_{m=1}^{i-1} x_m + 1 \leq j \leq \sum_{m=1}^{i-1} x_m + x_i, 1 \leq i \leq s,$$

and a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s are different real numbers. From (5) we have

$$R_{ijkl} = 0, \quad \forall k, l = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (16)$$

if i, j are in different groups.

Clearly,

$$\sum_{i=1}^s x_i a_i = R, \quad (17)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^s x_i = n. \quad (18)$$

The square length of Ricci tensor is defined by $|\text{Ric}|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^s x_i a_i^2$. If $s = 1$, then $x_1 = n$, $a_1 = \frac{R}{n}$ and $|\text{Ric}|^2 = \frac{R^2}{n}$. If $s \geq 2$, we will prove $R_M^2 = \frac{2R^2}{(n-1)(n-2)}$. In this case $|\text{Ric}|^2 = \frac{R^2}{n-1}$. From continuity of $|\text{Ric}|^2$ and connectivity of M we know M is a Einstein manifold or $R_M^2 = \frac{2R^2}{(n-1)(n-2)}$. In fact, suppose $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, x_1\}$, from (16) we have

$$a_1 = R_{ii} = \sum_{l=1}^{x_1} R_{iil}$$

Then

$$\sum_{l=1}^{x_1} R_{lil}^2 \geq \frac{1}{x_1 - 1} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{x_1} R_{lil} \right)^2 = \frac{a_1^2}{x_1 - 1}. \quad (19)$$

If $x_1 = 1$, then by (16) we know $a_1 = 0$, define right hand of (19) equal to 0. So

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{x_1} R_{ijij}^2 \geq \frac{x_1}{x_1 - 1} a_1^2.$$

Similarly, we have

$$R_M^2 = \sum_{i,j,k,l} R_{ijkl}^2 \geq 2 \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{x_i}{x_i - 1} a_i^2. \quad (20)$$

$s \geq 2$ implies $x_i \leq n - 1$, then

$$R_M^2 \geq \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^s a_i^2. \quad (21)$$

From (17), (18) and $s \geq 2$, $x_i = 1$ implies $a_i = 0$, using Cauchy inequality we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^s a_i^2 \geq \frac{R^2}{(n-1)^2}, \quad (22)$$

and if $\sum_{i=1}^s a_i^2 = \frac{R^2}{(n-1)^2}$ then $s = 2$, $\{x_1, x_2\} = \{n-1, 1\}$.

From (21), (22) we know $R_M^2 \geq \frac{2R^2}{(n-1)(n-2)}$. So from the conditions in theorem we know $R_M^2 = \frac{2R^2}{(n-1)(n-2)}$. Then we can suppose $x_1 = n-1$, $x_2 = 1$ and $a_1 = \frac{R}{n-1}$, $a_2 = 0$. This implies $R_{ic}^2 = x_1 a_1^2 + x_2 a_2^2 = \frac{R^2}{n-1}$.

Now we suppose $R_M^2 = \frac{2R^2}{(n-1)(n-2)}$. From (19), (20) we have

$$-R_{ijji} = R_{ijij} = \frac{R}{(n-1)(n-2)}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n-1, \quad i \neq j,$$

other R_{ijkl} equal to 0. From (13), if $\lambda = 0$, computation gives

$$\nabla R_M^2 = 0,$$

then M is locally symmetric. By De Rham's decomposition theorem in [4], we know the universal covering space of M is isometry to $M^{n-1} \frac{R}{(n-1)(n-2)} \times \mathbf{R}$.

Corollary 1 Under the condition of theorem 1, if $R_M^2 < \frac{2R^2}{(n-1)(n-2)}$, then M must be a Einstein manifold. Specially, if $n \geq 11$, $R > 0$, M is compact, then M must be a space form.

Proof The first part is from theorem 1. If $n \geq 11$, then

$$\frac{2R^2}{(n-1)(n-2)} \leq \frac{2R^2}{n(n-1)} + \left(\frac{R}{n}\right)^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}\right]^2,$$

from (9), (15), where $\lambda = \frac{R}{n(n-1)}$. We know that if

$$R_M^2 < \frac{2R^2}{n(n-1)} + \left(\frac{R}{n}\right)^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{n(n-1)}}\right]^2,$$

then M is a space form. Now the second part is clear

Remark Corollary 1 is better than theorem A. If $n \geq 11$, the pinching constant $\frac{2R^2}{(n-1)(n-2)}$ is the best one

Next we will consider the case that $n = 3$ or 4

Theorem 2 $n = 3$, $\nabla^2 \text{Ric} = 0$. M is a complete connected manifold, then M is a space form or the universal covering space of M is isometry to $M^2(\frac{R}{2}) \times \mathbf{R}$. Where R is the scalar curvature of M .

Proof From Lemma 1 we have $R = \text{const}$. If $R = 0$, from (16), (17), (18) we know $\text{Ric} = 0$. Then M is flat because Weyl conformal curvature tensor of three-manifold is vanishing

If $R \neq 0$, from (16), (17), (18) we know (i) $s = 1$, $x_1 = n$, $a_1 = \frac{R}{n}$ or (ii) $s = 2$, $\{x_1, x_2\} = \{n-1, 1\}$, $\{a_1, a_2\} = \{\frac{R}{n-1}, 0\}$. From the proof of theorem 1 we know M is a Einstein manifold or the universal covering space is isometry to $M^2(\frac{R}{2}) \times \mathbf{R}$. One knows that three dimensional Einstein manifold must be a space form.

Theorem 3 $n = 4$, $\nabla^2 \text{Ric} = 0$, M is a complete connected manifold. If M is compact, then M is a Einstein manifold or the universal covering space is isometry to $M^3(\frac{R}{6}) \times \mathbf{R}$ or $M^2 \times N^2$, where M^2 and N^2 are manifolds whose Gauss curvature are constants, and they are simply connected.

Proof From (16), (17), (18) we know

$$(i) s = 1, R_{11} = R_{22} = R_{33} = R_{44} = \frac{R}{4};$$

$$(ii) s = 2, R_{11} = R_{22} = a, R_{33} = R_{44} = b, a \neq b, a + b = \frac{R}{2};$$

$$(iii) s = 2, R_{11} = R_{22} = R_{33} = \frac{R}{3}, R_{44} = 0.$$

From $\nabla^2 \text{Ric} = 0$ we know

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta \text{Ric}^2 = \nabla \text{Ric}^2$$

then $\text{Ric}^2 = \text{const}$ because M is compact

In case (ii), from (16) we know $R_{1212} = R_{2121} = -R_{1221} = -R_{2112} = a$, $R_{3434} = R_{4343} = -R_{3443} = -R_{4334} = b$, other R_{ijkl} equal to 0. In case (iii), from (16) we know $R_{ijij} = -R_{ijji} = \frac{R}{6}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$, $i \neq j$, other R_{ijkl} equal to 0. From (13), $\lambda = 0$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta R_M^2 = \nabla R_M^2 \quad (23)$$

for both (ii) and (iii).

If (i) happens for some point $p \in M$, then M is a Einstein manifold because Ric^2 is the smallest in the three cases. Now we suppose (ii) or (iii) is true, then from (23) we know $\nabla R_M = 0$ because M is compact. So M is locally symmetric.

If (ii) happens for some point $p \in M$, from $\text{Ric}^2 = 2(a^2 + b^2) = \text{const}$, $a + b = \frac{R}{2} = \text{const}$ we know $a = \text{const}$, $b = \text{const}$, $R_M^2 = 4(a + b)^2 = \text{const} \geq \frac{R^2}{2}$. If (iii) happens for some point $p \in M$, then $R_M^2 = \frac{R^2}{3} < \frac{R^2}{2}$. So (ii) happens for every point of M or (iii) happens for every point of M because of the connectivity of M . By De Rham's decomposition theorem in [4] we know that the universal covering space is isometry to $M^2 \times N^2$ in the case (ii) or $M^3(\frac{R}{6}) \times \mathbb{R}$ in the case (iii).

Another condition also can make M become a Einstein manifold. Suppose $p \in M$, V denotes $(r+1)$ -dimensional linear space of $T_p M$. If $\forall V$ and orthogonal unit vectors $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\} \subset V$, the following

$$\sum_{i=1}^r R_m(v, e_i, v, e_i) > 0$$

is true for every unit vector $v \in V$ then we say $\text{Ric}(r) > 0$ at $p \in M$. Similarly we can define $\text{Ric}(r) < 0$.

Theorem 4 M is a complete Riemannian manifold of dimension n , M is connected, $\nabla^2 \text{Ric} = 0$. If $\text{Ric}(r) > 0$ or $\text{Ric}(r) < 0$ for every point of M , where $r = [\frac{n+1}{2}]$, r denotes the integral part of $\frac{n+1}{2}$. Then M is a Einstein manifold. Specially, if the sectional curvature $K > 0$ or $K < 0$ for every point of M , then M is a Einstein manifold.

Proof Suppose M is not a Einstein manifold, then $\exists p \in M$, such that $R_{11} \neq R_{nn}$. We can suppose $R_{11} = R_{22} = \dots = R_{ii}$, $R_{jj} = \dots = R_{nn}$, $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. From (5) we have

$$R_{1k1k} = 0, \quad i+1 \leq k \leq n, \quad (24)$$

$$R_{nlnl} = 0, \quad 1 \leq l \leq j-1.$$

Since $(n-1) + (j-1) = (n-1) + (j-i) \geq n$, we can suppose $n-i \geq r = [\frac{n+1}{2}]$. Then from (24) we have

$$\sum_{k=n-r+1}^n R_{1k1k} = 0,$$

which contradicts with the condition in the theorem.

If $K > 0$ or $K < 0$, clearly $\text{Ric}(r) > 0$ or $\text{Ric}(r) < 0$, the theorem is proved.

4 Global Rigidity

We will establish some rigidity theorems similar to [2], [3] for connected manifold which satisfy $\nabla^2 \text{Ric} = 0$. If M is compact, then V_M denotes the volume of M and d_M denotes the diameter of M . We have Sobolev inequality holds in [5]

$$f - \frac{2n}{n-2} \leq c(n) \cdot V_M^{\frac{1}{n}} \cdot [d_M \cdot |\nabla f|^2 + f^2], \quad \forall f \in C(M), \quad (25)$$

where $c(n)$ is a constant depending only on n . Define $\sigma = D$, where $\lambda = \frac{R}{n(n-1)}$.

Theorem 5 Suppose M is a compact manifold of dimension $n (\geq 4)$, $\nabla^2 Ric = 0$. Scalar curvature $R = n(n-1)$. If

$$\sigma^2 \leq c_1(n) \cdot v_M^{\frac{2}{n}}, \quad c_1(n) = \min \left\{ 2 \sqrt{\frac{n(n-1)}{n-2}}, \frac{4(n-2)}{3\pi^2 n^2 \cdot c^2(n)} \right\},$$

then M is a space form.

Proof From the proof of theorem 3 we know $Ric^2 = \text{const}$, then from the proof of theorem 1 we know that if M isn't a Einstein manifold then $R_M^2 \geq 2 \cdot \frac{n-1}{n-2} \cdot n^2$. From (9) we have

$$\sigma \geq \sqrt{2 \cdot \frac{n-1}{n-2} n^2 - 2n(n-1)} = 2 \sqrt{\frac{n(n-1)}{n-2}}.$$

So M is a Einstein manifold under the condition of the theorem.

From (15) we have

$$\Delta \sigma + 3\sigma^2 - 2(n-1)\sigma \geq 0 \quad (26)$$

Multiply (26) by $\sigma^{\frac{n-2}{2}}$. Integration by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned} 3 \int_M \sigma \cdot \sigma^{\frac{n-2}{2}} &\geq 2(n-1) \int_M \sigma^{\frac{n-2}{2}} + \frac{n-2}{2} \int_M \sigma^{\frac{n-2}{2}} (\nabla \sigma)^2 \\ &= 2(n-1) \int_M \sigma^{\frac{n-2}{2}} + \frac{8(n-2)}{n^2} \int_M |\nabla \sigma^{\frac{n}{4}}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Holder's inequality, $\int_M \sigma \cdot \sigma^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \leq \left(\int_M \sigma^{\frac{n}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \cdot \left(\int_M \sigma^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot \frac{n-2}{n-2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}$, from (25) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 6c^2(n) \left(\int_M \sigma^{\frac{n}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \cdot v_M^{\frac{2}{n}} &\cdot \left(d_M^2 \int_M |\nabla \sigma^{\frac{n}{4}}|^2 + \int_M \sigma^{\frac{n}{2}} \right) \\ &\geq 2(n-1) \int_M \sigma^{\frac{n-2}{2}} + \frac{8(n-2)}{n^2} \int_M |\nabla \sigma^{\frac{n}{4}}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Myer's theorem, $d_M \leq \pi$. Then if $\left(\int_M \sigma^{\frac{n}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \cdot v_M^{\frac{2}{n}} \leq \frac{4(n-2)}{3\pi^2 n^2 c^2(n)}$, then $\int_M \sigma^{\frac{n}{2}} = 0$, $\sigma = 0$, M is a space form.

Theorem 6 Suppose M is a compact manifold of dimension $n (\geq 4)$, $\nabla^2 Ric = 0$, scalar curvature $R = -n(n-1)$. Then give $D > 0$, $\exists \epsilon \in \epsilon(n, D)$, such that if $d_M \leq D$ and $\int_M \sigma^2 \leq v_M \cdot \epsilon$ then $\sigma = 0$, M is a space form.

Proof Suppose $\epsilon < \left[2 \sqrt{\frac{n(n-1)}{n-2}} \right]^2$, from the proof of theorem 5, we know M is a Einstein manifold. Now from theorem 4 in [3], the conclusion is clear.

Theorem 7 Suppose M is an open manifold of dimension $n (\geq 10)$, $\nabla^2 Ric = 0$, scalar curvature $R = -n(n-1)$, if $\sigma \leq \frac{(n-1)(n-9)}{(n-1)^2 + 12} \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{9}e^2)$ and for some point $p \in M$, $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-\delta_n \cdot r} \int_{B(p, r)} \sigma^2 = 0$, where $\delta_n = \frac{1}{3} \sqrt{(n-1)(n-9)}$ and $B(p, r)$ denotes the geodesic ball of radius r around p , then $\sigma = 0$, M is a space form.

Proof Take $\epsilon = \frac{(n-1)(n-9)}{(n-1)^2 + 12} \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{9}e^2)$. Then if $\sigma \leq \epsilon$, the sectional curvature K satisfies

$$K \leq -(1 - \epsilon) < 0$$

From theorem 4 we know M is a Einstein manifold. From (15) we have

$$\Delta\sigma + 3\sigma^2 + 2(n-1)\sigma \geq 0$$

So

$$\Delta\sigma + (3\epsilon + 2(n-1))\sigma \geq 0 \quad (27)$$

Multiply (27) by $\sigma\eta$, where η is a cut off function with compact support in M . Integration by parts gives

$$(3\epsilon + 2(n-1)) \int_M (\sigma\eta)^2 \geq \int_M |\nabla(\sigma\eta)|^2 - \int_M |\nabla\eta|^2 \sigma^2. \quad (28)$$

By [6] we have

$$\int_M |\nabla(\sigma\eta)|^2 \geq \frac{1}{4}(n-1)^2(1-\epsilon) \int_M (\sigma\eta)^2.$$

By (28) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M |\nabla\eta|^2 \sigma^2 &\geq \left[\frac{1}{4}(n-1)(n-9) - \left(\frac{1}{4}(n-1)^2 + 3 \right) \cdot \epsilon \right] \int_M (\sigma\eta)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4}e^2 \mathcal{F}(n) \int_M (\sigma\eta)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\eta(x) = \eta(d(p, x))$, where $d(p, x)$ denotes distant function

$$\eta(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t \leq r, \\ \frac{R-t}{R-r}, & r \leq t \leq R, \\ 0, & t \geq R. \end{cases}$$

We obtained by (29)

$$\frac{1}{(R-r)^2} \int_{B(p,R)} \sigma^2 \geq \frac{1}{4}e^2 \mathcal{F}(n) \int_{B(p,r)} \sigma^2. \quad (30)$$

For any $r_0 > 0$, take $r_j = 2\sigma^{-1}(n) \cdot j + r_0$, $j \geq 0$, it then follows from (30) that

$$\int_{B(p,r_j)} \sigma^2 \geq e^2 \int_{B(p,r_{j-1})} \sigma^2 \geq e^{2j} \int_{B(p,r_0)} \sigma^2 = e^{\sigma(n)(r_j-r_0)} \int_{B(p,r_0)} \sigma^2,$$

$$\int_{B(p,r_0)} \sigma^2 \leq e^{-\sigma(n)(r_j-r_0)} \int_{B(p,r_j)} \sigma^2.$$

Letting $r_j \rightarrow +\infty$, One obtains $\sigma = 0$ on $B(p, r_0)$. It's easy to see $\sigma = 0$ on M . i.e., M is a space form.

The authors don't know the case $R = 0$. Perhaps there is no general rigidity theorems in this case.

References

- [1] Li Anmin and Zhao Guosong, *Isolation phenomena on Riemannian manifold whose Ricci curvature tensors are parallel*, Acta Mathematica Sinica (in Chinese), **1**(1994), 19—24.
- [2] Z Shen, *Some rigidity phenomena for Einstein metrics*, proc Amer Math Soc, **108**(1990), 981—987.
- [3] Z Shen, *Rigidity theorems for nonpositive Einstein metrics*, Proc Amer Math Soc, **116**(1992), 1107—1114.
- [4] S Kobayashi and K Nomizu, *Foundation of Differential Geometry*, New York Interscience, Vol I (1963), 187—192.
- [5] P. Berard, *From vanishing theorems to estimating theorems: the Bochner technique revisited*, Bull Amer Math Soc, **19**(1988), 371—406.
- [6] H. P. McKean, *An upper bound to the spectrum on a manifold of negative curvature*, J. Differential Geom., **4**(1970), 359—366.

$\nabla^2 R_{ic} = 0$ 的 Riemann 流形的刚性定理

徐森林 梅加强

(中国科技大学数学系, 合肥 230026)

摘 要

本文用 R_{ic} 表示里奇曲率张量, 研究了 $\nabla^2 R_{ic} = 0$ 的黎曼流形什么时候成为爱因斯坦流形或空间形式