# An Inequality of Matrix and Bayes Unbiased Estimates\*

#### Zhang Yaoting

(Shanghai University of Finance and Economics, 200433)

**Abstract** The inequality of arithmetic mean and harmonic mean is generalized to the positive definite matrix. With this inequality, we get the optimal properties of Bayes unbiased estimates

**Keywords** positive definite matrix, conditional expectation, inequality of arithmetic mean, Bayes estimator, unbiased estimate

Classification AM S (1991) 62G05/CCL O 212

#### 1 Introduction

If  $\Psi$  is a positive random variable and the expectation of  $\Psi$  exists, then the arithmetic-harmonic inequality implies

$$E\Psi \ge (E\Psi^{-1}) \quad \text{or} \quad E\Psi^{-1} \ge (E\Psi)^{-1}. \tag{1}$$

We will generalize this inequality to matrix. Now if X is a positive definite random matrix, and EX is finite, then

$$EX \ge (EX^{-1})^{-1}$$
 or  $EX^{-1} \ge (EX)^{-1}$ . (2)

This is equivalent to saying that  $EX - (EX^{-1})^{-1}$  and  $EX^{-1} - (EX)^{-1}$  are nonegative definite matrices

By the above inequality, the optimal propertices of Bayes unbiased estimates may be proved As in the book [1], the following results are known

Let  $\theta$  be a parameter and t be a statistic with

$$E\{t \mid \theta\} = \theta, \quad E\{\theta \mid t\} = t \tag{3}$$

and  $Et^2$  is finite Then

$$\theta = t \quad a \quad s,$$
 (4)

i e, the t is an ideal estimate of  $\theta$  In the same book, it is pointed out that the finiteness of  $Et^2$  is not necessary. In [2], the following results are proved

<sup>\*</sup> Received April 6, 1995.

- 1. If  $E \mid t \mid <$ , then (4) follows from (3).
- 2 If  $t \ge 0$  as, then (4) follows from (3).

In this paper, we extend the above results to the case that  $\theta$  and t are matrices

## 2 An extension of the inequality

For a matrix A, if it is non-negative, then it is denoted by  $A \ge 0$  So  $A \ge B$  means that  $A - B \ge 0$ 

**Lemma 2 1** If X is a  $p \times p$  random positive definite m atrix w ith f inite EX and  $EX^{-1}$ , then

$$EX \ge (EX^{-1})^{-1} \quad \text{or} \quad EX^{-1} \ge (EX)^{-1}.$$
 (5)

**Proof** Since for any matrix  $Y, Y^T X Y \ge 0$  Now let  $Y = (EX)^{-1} - X^{-1}$ , then

$$0 \leq ((EX)^{-1} - X^{-1})^{T}X((EX)^{-1} - X^{-1}) = (EX)^{-1}X(EX)^{-1} + X^{-1} - 2(EX)^{-1}.$$

Take expectation in both sides of the inequality we get

$$0 \le E(EX)^{-1}X(EX)^{-1}) + EX^{-1} - 2(EX)^{-1} = EX^{-1} - (EX)^{-1},$$
  
$$0 \le (EX)^{-1} + EX^{-1} - 2(EX)^{-1}.$$

The proof has been completed

From the above proof we have the following corollaries

**Corollary 2 1** 
$$(EX)^{-1} = EX^{-1}$$
 if  $f(X) = EX$  a. s.

**Corollary 2 2** The determ inants of EX and EX<sup>-1</sup> are related by  $det(EX^{-1}) det(EX) \ge 1$ .

Corollary 2.3 
$$E\{X^{-1} | \mathbf{F}\} \ge (E\{X | \mathbf{F}\})^{-1} f \text{ or any } \mathbf{O} f \text{ ield } \mathbf{F}.$$

## 3 Bayes unbiased estimator

Now we consider the case where  $\theta$  and  $t = R^p$ .

**Theorem 3 1** If  $E tt^T$  is f in ite and

$$E\{t \mid \mathbf{\theta}\} = A \mathbf{\theta}, E\{\mathbf{\theta} \mid t\} = B t w \text{ ith } BA = I_{p}, \tag{6}$$

then

$$\theta = B t \quad a. s \tag{7}$$

**Proof** By direct computations we have

$$B (E tt^{\mathsf{T}})B = B E (tE \{ \mathbf{\theta}^{\mathsf{T}} \mid t \}) = B E (E \{ \mathbf{\theta}^{\mathsf{T}} \mid t \}) = B E (t\mathbf{\theta}^{\mathsf{T}}) = E (B t\mathbf{\theta}^{\mathsf{T}}).$$

On the other hand,

$$B (E tt^{T})B = E (B t \mathbf{O}^{T})^{T} = E (\mathbf{O}^{T}B^{T}) = E (\mathbf{O}E \{t^{T} \mid \mathbf{O}\}B^{T}) = E (\mathbf{O}\mathbf{O}^{T}A^{T}B^{T}) = E \mathbf{O}\mathbf{O}^{T}.$$

Now combining the above equations we conclude that

$$E(\theta - B t)(\theta - B t)^{T} = E\theta\theta^{T} - EB t\theta^{T} - E\theta t^{T}B^{T} - EB tt^{T}B^{T} = 0$$
(8)

This results in  $\theta = B t$  as The proof has been completed

In the linear model,  $E\{y | \theta\} = A \theta$  and the corresponding least estimator of  $\theta$  is

$$(A^{T}A)^{-1}A^{T} = :By,$$

where B satisfies that BA = I. Now if the second moments of the components of y are finite, then from Theorem 3.1 we drive that

$$\theta = B y \quad a \quad s$$
 (9)

Note that we may get a similar conclusion if  $\theta$  and t in the Theorem 3.1 are matrices. The following lemma follows directly from the arithmetic-hamonic inequality.

**Lemma 3 1** If  $A = R^{p \times p}$  is a positive definite matrix satisfying that  $\frac{1}{p}$  tr(A = 1) = 1 and det(A = 1).

**Theorem 3 2** If  $\Theta$  and  $T = R^{p \times p}$  are positive definite matrices satisfying

$$E\{\Theta \mid T\} = T \quad and \quad E\{T \mid \Theta\} = \Theta, \tag{10}$$

then  $\Theta = T$  a.s.,

**Proof** From (10), we get

$$E(\Theta^{-1}T) = E(E\{\Theta^{-1}T \mid \Theta\}) = I,$$

and

$$E\left(T^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Theta T^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) = I.$$

Now let  $X = T^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Theta T^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . It is clear that  $X^{-1} = T^{\frac{1}{2}}\Theta^{-1}T^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and EX = I. Hence we have

$$1 = \frac{1}{p} \operatorname{tr} E(\Theta^{-1} T) = \frac{1}{p} \operatorname{tr} E(T^{\frac{1}{2}} \Theta^{-1} T^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \frac{1}{p} \operatorname{tr} EX^{-1}$$

$$\geq [\det(EX^{-1})]^{\frac{1}{p}} \geq [\det(EX)]^{-\frac{1}{p}} \geq [\det(I)]^{-\frac{1}{p}} = 1.$$

So we have proved that  $EX^{-1} = I = EX$ . By the Corollary 2 1 we obtain X = I a s. The proof has been completed

### References

- [1] D. Blackwell and M. A. Girshick, Theory of games and statistical decisions, John Wiley, 1954
- [2] P. J. Brickel and C. L. Mallows, A note on unbiased Bayes estimates, Statistical Rs Reports, AT &T, Bell Laboratory, 49 (1987).