

On Properly Divergent Series *

Mu Lehua

(Dept. of Math., Shandong University, Jinan 250100)

Abstract In this paper, the classical concept of properly divergent is generalized, thereby a theorem of power series is extended into a very general case, and its applications in various series of complex functions are discussed.

Keywords properly divergent series, radius of convergence, limit point.

Classification AMS(1991) 30B30/ CCL O174

In [1, p215] there is a known result as follows^[1]

Let a power series of real variable $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ have a radius of convergence equal to 1. If a_n is real for all values of n and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ is properly divergent, i.e. $S_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \rightarrow +\infty$, then for its sum function $f(x)$, $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1-0} f(x) = +\infty$.

We shall give a generalization of this theorem.

Let D_ε denote the domain $-\frac{\pi}{2} + \varepsilon < \arg z < \frac{\pi}{2} - \varepsilon$ ($\varepsilon \geq 0$). The bounds of the terms " O " are the absolute constants, the bounds of the terms " O_z " are the constants which are only dependent of z .

Definition Let $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n$ be a complex series and $\{T_n\}$ its partial sums. If the sequence $\{T_n\}$ satisfies

$$T_n \rightarrow \infty; \quad T_n = O(n^{2-\delta}) \quad (0 < \delta < 1);$$

$$T_n = O_z(n^{\overline{N}}) \quad (n \geq \overline{N}),$$

then the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n$ is said to be properly divergent with a parameter ε .

Based on this definition, we give the following theorem.

Theorem 1 Let a series of complex functions $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega_n(z)$ be convergent in a domain G and a point set $S \subset G$. Again let a point z_0 on boundary ∂G be a limit point of the set S . Suppose that the functions $\omega_n(z)$ satisfy the following three conditions:

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0, z \in S} \omega_n(z) = \omega_n(z_0),$$

$$\frac{\omega_n(z)}{\omega_n(z_0)} = O_z\left(\frac{1}{n^3}\right), \quad z \in S,$$

* Received January 9, 1995.

$$\left(\frac{\omega_n(z)}{\omega_n(z_0)}\right) = \frac{\omega_n(z)}{\omega_n(z_0)} - \frac{\omega_{n+1}(z)}{\omega_{n+1}(z_0)} = P_n(z) Q_n(z) + O\left(\frac{1}{n^3}\right), \quad z \in S, \quad (5)$$

with the double limit

$$\lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ z \rightarrow z_0, z \in S}} Q_n(z) = 1 \text{ and } P_n(z) > 0, \quad z \in S, \quad (6)$$

then if at this point z_0 , the series $\sum_0^\infty \omega_n(z)$ is properly divergent with a parameter $\varepsilon > 0$, we have $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0, z \in S} f(z) = \infty$.

Proof Write

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \omega_k(z_0) = S_n(z_0) = \rho_n e^{i\varphi_n}, \quad S_{-1}(z_0) = 0. \quad (7)$$

Because the series $\sum_0^\infty \omega_n(z_0)$ is properly divergent with a parameter $\varepsilon > 0$, from (1), (2) we know for a given $G > 0$, there exists N_1 such that when $n \geq N_1 > \bar{N}$,

$$\rho_n > G, \rho_n = O(n^{2-\delta}) \quad (0 < \delta < 1), \quad |\varphi_n| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} - \varepsilon \quad (\varepsilon > 0).$$

Combining (7), (8) with (4), we see easily that

$$S_n(z_0) \frac{\omega_n(z)}{\omega_n(z_0)} = O_z\left(\frac{1}{n^{1+\delta}}\right) \quad (n \geq N_1, z \in S),$$

so the series $\sum_0^\infty S_n(z_0) \frac{\omega_n(z)}{\omega_n(z_0)}$ is convergent on S . Using Abel transformation, we get

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (S_n(z_0) - S_{n-1}(z_0)) \frac{\omega_n(z)}{\omega_n(z_0)} \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n(z_0) \left(\frac{\omega_n(z)}{\omega_n(z_0)} - \frac{\omega_{n+1}(z)}{\omega_{n+1}(z_0)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n(z_0) \left(\frac{\omega_n(z)}{\omega_n(z_0)} \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} S_n(z_0) \left(\frac{\omega_n(z)}{\omega_n(z_0)} \right), \quad z \in S, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

here N is a natural number to be determined later.

Let $f_N(z) = \sum_{n=0}^N S_n(z_0) \left(\frac{\omega_n(z)}{\omega_n(z_0)} \right)$. From (5), (7) and (8) it follows that

$$|f_N(z)| = \left| \sum_{n=0}^N S_n(z_0) P_n(z) Q_n(z) \right| + O(1), \quad z \in S. \quad (11)$$

However by the known condition (6), we can get $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0, z \in S} \operatorname{Re}(Q_n(z)) = 1$ and $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0, z \in S} \operatorname{Im}(Q_n(z)) = 0$, so there exist $N_2 \geq N_1$ and η such that when $n \geq N_2$, $|z - z_0| < \eta$ ($z \in S$),

$$\operatorname{Re}(Q_n(z)) > 0; \quad |\operatorname{Im}(Q_n(z))| \leq \frac{\sin \varepsilon}{2} \operatorname{Re}(Q_n(z)),$$

here ε is stated as above. From this and (7), (8). It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\{S_n(z_0) Q_n(z)\} &\geq \rho_n\{\cos\varphi_n\} \operatorname{Re}(Q_n(z)) - |\operatorname{Im}(Q_n(z))| \\ &\geq \rho_n\{\sin\varepsilon \operatorname{Re}(Q_n(z)) - \frac{\sin\varepsilon}{2}\} \operatorname{Re}(Q_n(z)) \\ &\geq \frac{\sin\varepsilon}{2} G \operatorname{Re}(Q_n(z)) > 0 \quad (n \geq N_2, |z - z_0| < \eta, z \in S). \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (6), we get from (10) when $N \geq N_2$

$$\begin{aligned} |f_N(z)| &\geq \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} P_n(z) \operatorname{Re}\{S_n(z_0) Q_n(z)\} + O(1) \\ &\geq \frac{\sin\varepsilon}{2} G \operatorname{Re}\left\{\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} P_n(z) Q_n(z)\right\} + O(1) \quad (|z - z_0| < \eta, z \in S). \end{aligned}$$

From this and (5),

$$|f_N(z)| \geq \frac{\sin\varepsilon}{2} G \left\{ \operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\omega_n(z)}{\omega_n(z_0)}\right)\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right) \right\} + O(1) \quad (N \geq N_2, |z - z_0| < \eta, z \in S). \quad (12)$$

But by (4) - (5),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\omega_n(z)}{\omega_n(z_0)}\right) &= \frac{\omega_N(z)}{\omega_N(z_0)} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\omega_n(z)}{\omega_n(z_0)} \\ &= \frac{\omega_N(z)}{\omega_N(z_0)} \quad (z \in S). \end{aligned}$$

Again by (3),

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0, z \in S} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\omega_n(z)}{\omega_n(z_0)}\right) = 1.$$

Furthermore, by (11) we have

$$\liminf_{z \rightarrow z_0, z \in S} |f_N(z)| \geq \frac{\sin\varepsilon}{2} G \left\{ 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right) \right\} + O(1).$$

If we choose a fixed $N (\geq N_2)$ so large that the expression in the curly brackets $> \frac{1}{2}$, we get finally

$$\liminf_{z \rightarrow z_0, z \in S} |f_N(z)| > \frac{\sin\varepsilon}{4} G + O(1) \quad (G \text{ is an arbitrarily large number}).$$

This implies that

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0, z \in S} f_N(z) = \infty. \quad (13)$$

On the other hand, for this fixed N , by (3), we also have

$$\sum_{n=N}^{N-1} S_n(z_0) \left(\frac{\omega_n(z)}{\omega_n(z_0)}\right) = o(1) \quad (z \rightarrow z_0, z \in S).$$

From this and (12), (9), we obtain that $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0, z \in S} f(z) = \infty$. Theorem 1 is proved.

Next, we shall give the applications of Theorem 1 in various series below.

Let a power series of complex variable $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ have a radius of convergence equal to 1 and let $z_0 = e^{i\theta_0}$, $S = \{z; z = re^{i\theta_0}, \frac{1}{2} \leq r < 1\}$. We easily prove that if at z_0 series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ is properly divergent with a parameter $\varepsilon > 0$, then $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0, z \in S} f(z) = \infty$.

Consider a counterexample: the point $z_0 = e^{i\theta_0}$ and the series

$$i \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n (n+1) \left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right)^n = i \left(1 + \frac{z}{z_0}\right)^{-2} \quad (|z| < 1),$$

we can see the condition $\varepsilon > 0$ can't be improved into $\varepsilon = 0$.

For a trigonometric series of complex variable:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (c_n \cos nz + d_n \sin nz) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n e^{inz}$$

$$(f_0 = c_0, f_n = \frac{1}{2}(c_n - id_n), f_{-n} = \frac{1}{2}(c_n + id_n), n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

if $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|f_n|} = \lambda (\lambda > 0)$, it is convergent on the half plane $\text{Im} z > \ln \lambda$.

Now take $z_0 = x_0 + i \ln \lambda$, $S = \{z, z = x_0 + iy, y > \ln \lambda\}$ and write $\omega_n(z) = f_n e^{inz}$. Since $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0, z \in S} \omega_n(z) = \omega_n(z_0)$,

$$\frac{\omega_n(z)}{\omega_n(z_0)} = e^{-n(y - \ln \lambda)} = O_z\left(\frac{1}{n^3}\right), \quad z \in S,$$

$$\left(\frac{\omega_n(z)}{\omega_n(z_0)}\right) = e^{-n(y - \ln \lambda)} (1 - e^{-(y - \ln \lambda)}) > 0, \quad z \in S$$

(correspondingly $P_n(z) = e^{-n(y - \ln \lambda)} (1 - e^{-(y - \ln \lambda)})$, $Q_n(z) = 1$), we see the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied. Hence if at the point z_0 the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (c_n \cos nz + d_n \sin nz)$ is properly divergent with a parameter $\varepsilon > 0$, then for its sum function $f(z)$, we have $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0, z \in S} f(z) = \infty$.

For a Bessel series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n J_n(z), \quad (14)$$

where Bessel function $J_n(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos(n\theta - z \sin \theta) d\theta$, $z \in C$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. If

$$\overline{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}} \left(\frac{e}{2} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|} \right) = R^{-1}, \quad (15)$$

it can be proved that the series (13) has a circle of convergence $|z| = R$.

Theorem 2 Suppose that $|z| = 1$ is the circle of convergence of Bessel series (13) and $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n J_n(z)$, $|z| < 1$. If at a point $z_0 = e^{i\theta_0}$ the series (13) is properly divergent with a parameter $\varepsilon > 0$, then we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1-0} f(re^{\theta_0}) = \infty$$

and $\varepsilon > 0$ can be improved into $\varepsilon = 0$.

Proof Take $G = \{z; |z| < 1\}$, $S = \{z; z = re^{\theta_0}, \frac{1}{2} \leq r < 1\}$ and write $\omega_n(z) = a_n J_n(z)$, it is clear that the condition (3) is valid. Using the known formula of Bessel functions^{[2],[3]}

$$J_n(z) = \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^n \left\{ 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k n!}{k!(n+k)!} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2k} \right\} \quad (16)$$

and noticing that

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k n!}{k!(n+k)!} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2k} \right| \leq \frac{1}{4(n+1)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \leq \frac{3}{4} \quad (z = re^{\theta_0}, \frac{1}{2} \leq r < 1, n = 0, 1, \dots),$$

we obtain

$$\frac{r^n}{n! 2^{n+2}} \leq |J_n(re^{\theta_0})| \leq \frac{r^n}{n! 2^{n-1}} \quad \left(\frac{1}{2} \leq r \leq 1, 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi\right),$$

so $\left| \frac{\omega_n(z)}{\omega_n(z_0)} \right| = \left| \frac{J_n(z)}{J_n(z_0)} \right| \leq 8r^n(z \in S)$, i.e., (4) is valid.

By (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{J_n(z)}{J_n(z_0)} &= r^n \left\{ 1 + \frac{e^{2\theta_0}}{4(n+1)} (1-r^2) + \frac{e^{4\theta_0}}{32(n+1)(n+2)} (1-r^2)^2 + \right. \\ &\quad \left. O\left(\frac{1}{n^3}\right) \right\} \quad (z_0 = e^{\theta_0}, z \in S). \end{aligned}$$

A direct calculation gives

$$\left(\frac{\omega_n(z)}{\omega_n(z_0)}\right)' = \frac{J_n(z)}{J_n(z_0)} - \frac{J_{n+1}(z)}{J_{n+1}(z_0)} = P_n(z) Q_n(z) + O\left(\frac{1}{n^3}\right) \quad (z_0 = e^{\theta_0}, z \in S),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(z) &= r^n (1-r), \quad z \in S, \\ Q_n(z) &= 1 + \frac{e^{2\theta_0}}{4(n+1)} (1-r^2) + \frac{e^{4\theta_0}}{32(n+1)(n+2)} (1-r^2)^2 + \\ &\quad \frac{r(1+r)e^{2\theta_0}}{4(n+1)(n+2)}, \quad z \in S, \end{aligned}$$

it is clear that $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0, z \in S} Q_n(z) = 1$ and $P_n(z) > 0, z \in S$, so the condition (5) is valid. Finally, by

Theorem 1, $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1-0} f(re^{\theta_0}) = \infty$.

Consider a Bessel series:

$$iO'_0(1)J_0(z) + 2i \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} O'_k(1)J_k(z), \quad (17)$$

where $O_k(z)$ are the Neumann polynomials^{[2],[3]}

$$O_0(z) = \frac{1}{z}, \quad O_k(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \frac{2^{k-2m-1} k(k-m-1)!}{m! z^{k-2m+1}} \quad (k \geq 1).$$

By the above formula we can get

$$-O'_k(1) = 2^{k-1}(k+1) \{1 + \frac{1}{4(k+1)} + O(\frac{1}{k^2})\} \quad (k \geq 2). \quad (18)$$

Hereafter the terms $O(\frac{1}{k^2})$ are real value. So by (14) the circle of convergence of the series (16) is $|z| = 1$.

By $S_n(z)$ denote the partial sums of the series (16), so

$$S_n(-1) = iO'_0(1)J_0(-1) + 2i \sum_{k=1}^n O'_k(1)J_k(-1). \quad (19)$$

Again from (15) we have

$$J_k(-1) = \frac{(-1)^k}{k!2^k} \{1 - \frac{1}{4(k+1)} + O(\frac{1}{k^2})\} \quad (k \geq 1), \quad (20)$$

Now combining (17) - (19), we have

$$iS_{2m}(-1) = -m - 2 + \sum_{k=1}^{2m} O(\frac{1}{k}) - O'_0(1)J_0(-1) - 2O'_1(1)J_1(-1)$$

and

$$iS_{2m+1}(-1) = m + \sum_{k=1}^{2m+1} O(\frac{1}{k}) - O'_0(1)J_0(-1) - 2O'_1(1)J_1(-1),$$

so there exists N such that $S_n(-1) = D_0$ ($n \geq N$) and $S_n(-1) = O(n)$. By the definition, at the point $z_0 = -1$ the series (16) is properly divergent with a parameter $\varepsilon = 0$.

On the other hand, applying a known formula^{[2],[3]}:

$$\frac{1}{1-z} = O_0(1)J_0(z) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} O_k(1)J_k(z) \quad (|z| < 1)$$

and the derivative formula of Bessel functions^{[2],[3]}

$$J'_0(z) = -J_1(z), \quad 2J'_k(z) = J_{k-1}(z) - J_{k+1}(z),$$

we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(1-z)^2} &= -O_0(1)J_1(z) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} O_k(1)(J_{k-1}(z) - J_{k+1}(z)) \\ &= O_1(1)J_0(z) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (O_{k+1}(1) - O_{k-1}(1))J_k(z). \end{aligned}$$

From this and $O'_0(z) = -O_1(z)$, $2O'_k(z) = O_{k-1}(z) - O_{k+1}(z)$ ^{[2],[3]}, we know that the sum function of the series (16) is $-i(1-z)^{-2}$. Hence the point $z_0 = -1$ is its regular point.

To sum up , we know that the condition $\varepsilon > 0$ can't be improved into $\varepsilon = 0$. Theroem 2 is proved.

In this paper , if the above domain D_ε is replaced by the domain :

$$\beta_1 < \arg(z - a) < \beta_2, 0 < \beta_2 - \beta_1 < \pi - \varepsilon,$$

where a is complex number and β_1, β_2 are real numbers , the above results still hold.

For a number of series , we can also give the correspoding theorem , here not say any longer.

References

- [1] C. Titchmarch , *Theory of Functions* , Oxford Univ. Press London and New York , 1932.
- [2] G. N. Watson , *A Treaties on the Theory of Bessel Functions* , Comdbridge Univ. Press. London and New York , 1966.
- [3] Wang Zhuxi and Guo Dunren , *Theory of Special Functions* , Science Press , Beijing China , 1979.

正 规 发 散 级 数

木 乐 华

(山东大学数学系, 济南 250100)

摘 要

本文推广了维数正规发散的古典概念,将幂级数的一个定理推广到很一般的情况,然后讨论其在各种复函数项级数中的应用.