

# Finite Groups whose Abelian Subgroup Orders Are Consecutive Integers\*

Feng Yanquan

(Dept. of Math., Northern Jiaotong University, Beijing 100044)

**Abstract** In this paper we give a complete classification of finite groups whose proper abelian subgroup orders are consecutive integers

**Keywords** Sylow's theorem, solvable group, Frobenius group.

**Classification** AMS(1991) 20B25/CCL O152.2

In [1] the finite groups all of whose element orders are consecutive integers have been classified. ShiWujie<sup>[2]</sup> has determined all finite groups whose proper subgroup orders are consecutive integers. In [2], ShiWujie posed the following problem: To classify all groups in which proper abelian subgroup orders are consecutive integers. In this paper we answer the above question and obtain the following conclusion:

**Theorem** Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $\pi_b(G)$  the set of proper abelian subgroup orders in  $G$ . If  $\pi_b(G) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , then  $n \leq 6$  and one of the following holds

- (1)  $n = 1$  and  $G \cong Z_p$ ,  $p$  prime
- (2)  $n = 2$  and  $G \cong Z_2 \rtimes Z_2$ , or  $G \cong Z_4$
- (3)  $n = 3$  and  $G \cong S_3$ , or  $G \cong Z_6$
- (4)  $n = 4$  and  $G \cong A_4$ , or  $G \cong S_4$
- (5)  $n = 5$  and  $G \cong A_5$
- (6)  $n = 6$  and  $G \cong S_5$

All groups discussed will be assumed to be finite and all our notation is standard as can be found in Gorenstein<sup>[3]</sup>. Moreover,  $A < G$  indicates that  $A$  is a proper subgroup of  $G$  and  $P_q$  denotes a  $q$ -Sylow subgroup of  $G$ , where  $q$  is a prime. For convenience, we call a group  $G$  whose proper abelian subgroup orders are consecutive integers an  $OA_n$  group, where  $n$  is the maximal integers in  $\pi_b(G)$ .

**Lemma 1** Let  $n$  be a positive integer and  $p_i$  the  $i$ -th prime of the prime series,  $p_k = \max\{p_j \mid p_j \leq n\}$ . If  $n \geq 5$ , then following inequalities hold.

- (1)  $2p_{k-1} + 1 > p_k$
- (2)  $p_{k-1}^2 > n$

\* Received May 16, 1995. Supported by the Postdoctoral Science Foundation of China and Morningside Center of Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Sciences

**Proof** We can immediately check (1) and (2) for  $n \leq 20$ . For  $n > 20$  we have  $p_{k-1} > (p_{k-1})/2$  by [2, Lemma 1] and (1) follows. Again by [2, Lemma 1] we see that

$$p_k > n/2$$

and

$$p_{k-1}^2 > ((p_{k-1})/2)^2 > n^2/16 - n/4 \geq n$$

and (2) follows.

**Lemma 2** Let  $G$  be a 2-group and  $|G| \geq 16$ . Then  $G$  has an abelian subgroup of order 8.

**Proof** Let  $A$  be a maximal abelian normal subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $A = C_G(A)$  from [3, p. 185].

If  $|A| = 4$ , then

$$|\text{Aut}(A)| = (2^2 - 1)(2^2 - 2) \text{ or } 2(2 - 1)$$

and

$$|G/A| = |G/C_G(A)| \mid |\text{Aut}(A)|,$$

we have

$$|G| = |A| \text{ or } |G| = 2|A| = 4 \text{ or } 8,$$

a contradiction.

**Lemma 3** Let  $G$  be an  $O A_n$  group. Then  $G$  is solvable if and only if  $n \leq 4$ .

**Proof** If  $n \leq 4$ , then  $G$  is obviously solvable.

If an  $O A_n$  group  $G$  is solvable and  $n > 4$ , by Lemma 1 we can suppose that  $|G| = 2^{\alpha_1} 3^{\alpha_2} \dots p_{k-2}^{\alpha_{k-2}} p_{k-1} p_k$  because a group of order  $p^2$  ( $p$  prime) is abelian. Since  $G$  is solvable, there exists a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  such that  $|H| = p_{k-1} p_k$ . By Sylow's theorem and Lemma 1,  $H$  is cyclic and  $|H| = p_{k-1} p_k > p_{k-1}^2 > n$ . This is a contradiction against the fact that  $G$  is an  $O A_n$  group.

**Theorem 1** Let  $G$  be an  $O A_n$  group where  $n \leq 4$ . Then one of the following holds:

- (1)  $n = 1$  and  $G \cong Z_p$ ,  $p$  prime
- (2)  $n = 2$  and  $G \cong Z_2 \rtimes Z_2$ , or  $G \cong Z_4$
- (3)  $n = 3$  and  $G \cong S_3$ , or  $G \cong Z_6$
- (4)  $n = 4$  and  $G \cong A_4$ , or  $G \cong S_4$

**Proof** The conclusions (1) and (2) are obvious. For  $n = 3$  we have  $|G| = 6$  and (3) holds. For  $n = 4$ , Lemma 2 implies that  $|G| = 12$  or  $24$ . First, we shall show that  $P_3 \ntriangleleft G$ .

Assume that  $P_3 \triangleleft G$ . Since  $G$  is an  $O A_4$  group, obviously  $C_G(P_3) = P_3$ . As  $|\text{Aut}(P_3)| = 2$ , we see that  $|G/P_3| = 1$  or  $2$  and hence  $|G| = 3$  or  $6$ . It is plainly impossible.

Considering the minimal normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$ , we have  $|N| = 2$  or  $4$ . If  $|N| = 2$ , then  $NP_3$  is cyclic and  $|NP_3| = 6$ , a contradiction. Thus  $|N| = 4$  and  $|NP_3| = 12$ . As  $NP_3$  can not have any element of order 6, we infer that  $NP_3 \cong A_4$ .

If  $|G| = 12$ , then it follows that

$$G = NP_3 \cong A_4$$

If  $|G| = 24$ , it is clear that  $|G:N P_3| = 2$  and hence  $N P_3 \triangleleft G$ . It follows that  $G \cong S_4$ .

**Theorem 2** Let  $G$  be an  $O A_n$  group and  $n > 4$ . Then:

- (1) We have  $n \leq 6$
- (2)  $n = 5$ , then  $G \cong A_5$  and if  $n = 6$ , then  $G \cong S_5$

**Proof** We first deal with the case where  $n = 5$  and 6. If  $G$  exists, then by Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 we can suppose that  $|G| = 2^k \cdot 3 \cdot 5$  ( $k < 4$ ) and  $G$  is nonsolvable.

Let  $S$  be the maximal solvable normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $N/S$  a minimal normal subgroup of  $G/S$ . Then  $N/S$  is a nonabelian simple group and

$$\pi(N/S) = \{2, 3, 5\}.$$

Therefore  $S$  is 2-group and  $S = O_2(G)$ . From [4, p. 12] we have  $N/S \cong A_5$ . Also,  $G/S$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(N/S)$ . And  $G/S \cong A_5$  or  $S_5$ .

By Lemma 2 it is clear that

$$|S| = |O_2(G)| = 1 \text{ or } 2$$

If  $|O_2(G)| = 2$ , then  $O_2(G)P_5$  is a cyclic group of order 10. Thus

$$O_2(G) = S = 1$$

and

$$G \cong A_5 \text{ or } S_5$$

(2) follows

Let  $G$  be an  $O A_n$  group, where  $n \geq 7$ ,

$$|G| = 2^{\alpha_1} 3^{\alpha_2} \dots p_j^{\alpha_j} p_{j+1} \dots p_k, \quad 2p_{j+1} > n \geq 2p_j,$$

where  $p_i$  is the  $i$ th prime of the prime series. As 9 does not divide the order of  $SL(2, 5)$ , the proof of [1, Lemma 7] implies that  $G$  is neither Frobenius nor 2-Frobenius and a proof similar to [1, Lemma 8] yields that  $G$  has a normal series which contains a nonabelian simple factor group  $G_1$  such that  $p_{j+1}, \dots, p_k \nmid \pi(G_1)$ .

In the case where  $7 \leq n \leq 10$ , the above description implies that  $G$  has a nonabelian simple factor group  $\underline{G}_1 = G_1/S$  such that  $5, 7 \nmid \pi(G_1)$ . According to the first part of the proof of [1, Lemma 9],  $G_1$  can only be isomorphic to  $A_7$  or  $\text{PSL}(3, 4)$ . Since  $G$  is an  $O A_n$  group where  $7 \leq n \leq 10$ , we can suppose that  $|G| = 2^{\alpha_1} 3^{\alpha_2} \cdot 5 \cdot 7$  and so  $\pi(S) \subseteq \{2, 3\}$ . Because  $S$  has a fixed-point-free automorphism of order 7,  $S$  is a nilpotent  $\{2, 3\}$ -group.

Assume that 3 divides the order of  $S$ . Considering the 3-Sylow subgroup  $Q_3$  of  $S$ , we have  $Q_3 \triangleleft G_1$  because  $S$  is nilpotent. Obviously  $|Z(Q_3)| = 3$  or 9. By Sylow's theorem  $Z(Q_3)P_7$  is abelian and  $|Z(Q_3)P_7| \geq 21$  where  $P_7$  denotes the 7-Sylow subgroup of  $G_1$ , a contradiction. Thus  $S$  is a 2-group and  $S = O_2(G_1)$ .

As  $Z(O_2(G_1))$  is abelian, it is clear that  $|Z(O_2(G_1))| \leq 8$ . When  $|Z(O_2(G_1))| = 2$  or 4, from Sylow's theorem we can easily prove that  $Z(O_2(G_1))P_7$  is abelian and

$$|Z(O_2(G_1))P_7| \geq 14,$$

a contradiction. When  $|Z(O_2(G_1))| = 8$ , we similarly have  $Z(O_2(G_1))P_5$  is abelian and

$$|Z(O_2(G_1))P_5| = 40,$$

where  $P_5$  denotes the 5-Sylow subgroup of  $G_1$ , a contradiction. Thus  $|Z(O_2(G_1))| = 1$  and  $O_2(G_1) = S = 1$ . Therefore  $G_1$  is a nonabelian normal simple subgroup of  $G$  and  $G_1 \cong A_7$  or  $\text{PSL}(3, 4)$ .

As  $G_1 \triangleleft G$  and  $C_G(G_1) = 1$  we infer that

$$A_7 \leq G \leq S_7 \text{ or } \text{PSL}(3, 4) \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(\text{PSL}(3, 4)).$$

When  $A_7 \leq G \leq S_7$ , we conclude that

$$G \cong A_7 \text{ or } S_7$$

But both  $A_7$  and  $S_7$  are not  $OA_n$  groups because  $A_7$  contains an abelian subgroup of order 9 and does not contain any abelian subgroup of order 8 and  $S_7$  contains elements of order 12. When  $\text{PSL}(3, 4) \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(\text{PSL}(3, 4))$ ,  $G$  is not an  $OA_n$  group because  $\text{PSL}(3, 4)$  has a subgroup which is isomorphic to  $Z_4 \times Z_4$ . Therefore there does not exist any  $OA_n$  group with  $7 \leq n \leq 10$ .

For  $n \geq 11$  we can also prove that there does not exist any  $OA_n$  group using an argument similar to [1, Lemma 10].

**Acknowledgments** The author is indebted to Professor ShiWujie and Professor R. Brandl for several helpful suggestions.

## References

- 1 Brandl R and ShiWujie. *Finite groups whose element orders are consecutive integers*. J. Algebra, 1991, **143**: 388- 400
- 2 ShiWujie. *Finite groups whose proper subgroup orders are consecutive integers*. J. Mathematical Research & Exposition, 1994, **14**: 165- 166
- 3 Gorenstein D. *Finite groups*, Harper & Row. New York/London, 1968
- 4 Gorenstein D. *Finite simple groups*. Plenum, New York/London, 1982

## Abel 子群的阶为连续整数的有限群

冯衍全

(北方交通大学数学系, 北京100044)

### 摘 要

本文给出了所有有限群它的 Abel 子群的阶为连续整数的分类