

Monotone Iterative Technique on Boundary Value Problems for Second Order Impulsive Integrodifferential Equations of Mixed Type in Banach Spaces*

Wei Zhongli

(Department of Fundamental Courses, Shandong Institute of Architectural and Engineering, Jinan 250014)

Abstract In this paper, the author uses the monotone iterative technique and cone theory to investigate the extremal solutions of two-point boundary value problems for nonlinear second order impulsive integrodifferential equations of mixed type in Banach spaces based on a comparison result

Keywords Monotone iterative technique, boundary value problem, impulsive integrodifferential equation

Classification AMS(1991) 34B15, 45J05/CCL O175.6

1 Introduction

The theory of impulsive differential equations is a new important branch of differential equations (see [1]). Consider the existence of extremal solutions of two point boundary value problems (BVP) for nonlinear second order impulsive integrodifferential equations of mixed type in Banach space E .

$$\begin{cases} -u'' = f(t, u, Tu, Su), & t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}), \\ u|_{t=t_k} = I_k(u(t_k)), \\ u|_{t=t_k} = \bar{I}_k(u(t_k)), & k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ au(0) - bu'(0) = x_0, & cu(1) + du'(1) = x_1, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $f \in C[J \times E \times E \times E, E]$, $J = [0, 1]$, E is a real Banach space, $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m < 1$, $I_k, \bar{I}_k \in C[E, E]$, $a \geq 0, b \geq 0, c \geq 0, d \geq 0$, $ac + ad + bc > 0$ are constant, $x_0, x_1 \in E$, $u|_{t=t_k} = u(t_k^+) - u(t_k^-)$, where $u(t_k^+)$ and $u(t_k^-)$ denote the right and left limits of $u(t)$ at $t = t_k$, respectively, $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$. $u|_{t=t_k}$ has a similar meaning for $u(t)$, and the operators T, S are given by

$$Tu(t) = \int_0^t k(t, s)u(s)ds, \quad Su(t) = \int_0^1 k_1(t, s)u(s)ds, \quad (2)$$

* Received October 20, 1995. Research supported by NNSF of China (grant No. 19771053) and Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province, and the Doctor Foundation of Shandong Institute of Architectural and Engineering

with $k \in C[D, R^+]$, $k_1 \in C[D_0, R^+]$, $D = \{(t, s) \in R^2 \mid 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1\}$, $D_0 = \{(t, s) \in R^2 \mid 0 \leq t, s \leq 1\}$, $R^+ = [0, +\infty]$. In the special case where $T = S = \Theta$, [2] discussed the existence of solutions of BVP (1) by means of the fixed point theory. In this paper, we shall obtain a comparison result for the BVP (1) and prove an existence theorem of minimal and maximal solutions of BVP (1) by means of the monotone iterative technique and cone theory.

2 Several Lemmas

Let $PC^1[J, E] = \{u: u \text{ is a map from } J \text{ into } E \text{ such that } u(t) \text{ is continuously differentiable at } t = t_k, \text{ left continuous at } t = t_k \text{ and } u(t_k^-), u(t_k), u(t_k^+) \text{ exist, } k = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Evidently, $PC^1[J, E]$ is a Banach space with norm $\|u\|_{PC^1} = \max\{\|u\|_{PC}, \|u'\|_{PC}\}$ where $\|u\|_{PC} = \sup_t \|u(t)\|$, $\|u'\|_{PC} = \sup_t \|u'(t)\|$. Notice that $PC[J, E] = \{u: u \text{ is a map from } J \text{ into } E \text{ such that } u(t) \text{ is continuous at } t = t_k, \text{ left continuous at } t = t_k, \text{ and } u(t_k^-) \text{ exists, } k = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ is also a Banach space with norm $\|u\|_{PC} = \sup_t \|u(t)\|$. Let the Banach space E be partially ordered by a cone P of E ; i.e., $u \leq v$ if and only if $v - u \in P$. P is said to be normal if there exists a positive constant N such that $\theta \leq u \leq v$ implies $\|u\| \leq N \|v\|$. Let $K = \{u \in PC[J, E]: u(t) \geq \theta \text{ for all } t \in J\}$. Then K is a cone in space $PC[J, E]$, and so $PC[J, E]$ is partially ordered by K : $u \leq v$ iff $v - u \in K$; i.e., $u(t) \leq v(t)$ for all $t \in J$. Evidently, if P is normal, then K is also normal. The properties of the cone and the partial order may be found in [3]. Let $J = J \setminus \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$. A map $u \in PC^1[J, E] \cap C^2[J, E]$ is called a solution of BVP (1) if it satisfies (1).

Consider the BVP

$$\begin{cases} -u'' + M^2 u = g(t), & t \in J, \\ u|_{t=t_k} = I_k(u(t_k)), \\ u|_{t=t_k} = \bar{I}_k(u(t_k)), & k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ au(0) - bu'(0) = x_0, & cu(1) + du'(1) = x_1, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where $M > 0$ is a constant, $g \in PC[J, E]$. For convenience, we denote $I_k = I_k(u(t_k))$, $\bar{I}_k = \bar{I}_k(u(t_k))$.

Lemma 1 $u \in PC^1[J, E] \cap C^2[J, E]$ is a solution of BVP (3) if and only if $u \in PC[J, E]$ is a solution of the following impulsive integral equation.

$$u(t) = H_0(t)x_0 + H_1(t)x_1 + \int_0^1 G(t, s)g(s)ds + \sum_{k=1}^m [G(t, t_k)(-\bar{I}_k) + H(t, t_k)I_k], \quad (4)$$

where

$$G(t, s) = \frac{\rho}{2M} \begin{cases} H_0(t)H_1(s), & 0 \leq s < t \leq 1, \\ H_0(s)H_1(t), & 0 \leq t \leq s < 1, \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

$$H(t, s) = \frac{\rho}{2M} \begin{cases} H_0(t)H_1(s), & 0 \leq s < t \leq 1, \\ H_0(s)H_1(t), & 0 \leq t \leq s < 1, \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

$$H_0(t) = \frac{1}{\rho} \left[(dM + c)e^{M(1-t)} + (dM - c)e^{-M(1-t)} \right], \quad (7)$$

$$H_1(t) = \frac{1}{\rho} \left[(bM + a)e^{Mt} + (bM - a)e^{-Mt} \right], \quad (8)$$

$$\rho = e^M (dM + c)(bM + a) - (dM - c)(bM - a)e^{-M} > 0 \quad (9)$$

Proof First suppose that $u \in PC^1[J, E] \cap C^2[J, E]$ is a solution of BVP (3), and let $D = d/dt$. Then

$$-(D - M)(D + M)u = g(t), \quad t \in J.$$

Let $y(t) = (D + M)u(t)$. Then

$$(e^{Mt}u(t))' = e^{Mt}y(t), \quad (D - M)y(t) = -g(t), \quad t \in J. \quad (10)$$

It is easy to see by integration of (10) that

$$u(t) = e^{-Mt} \left[u(0) + \int_0^t y(s) e^{Ms} ds + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} e^{Mt_k} I_k \right], \quad t \in J, \quad (11)$$

$$y(t) = e^{Mt} \left[u(0) + M u(0) - \int_0^t g(s) e^{-Ms} ds + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} e^{-Mt_k} (\bar{I}_k + M I_k) \right], \quad t \in J. \quad (12)$$

Substituting (12) into (11), by means of $au(0) - bu(0) = x_0$, $cu(1) + du(1) = x_1$, and some computations, we can obtain (4).

Conversely, assume that $u \in PC[J, E]$ is a solution of Equation (4). Direct differentiation on (4) implies, $u(t) \in PC^1[J, E] \cap C^2[J, E]$ is a solution of Equation (3).

Consider the linear BVP

$$\begin{cases} -(u + M^2 u)' = -N T u - N_1 S u + h(t), & t \in J, \\ u|_{t=t_k} = I_k(\eta(t_k)), \\ u|_{t=t_k} = \bar{I}_k(\eta(t_k)), & k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ au(0) - bu(0) = x_0, & cu(1) + du(1) = x_1, \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

where $M > 0$, $N \geq 0$, $N_1 \geq 0$ are constant, $h, \eta \in PC[J, E]$. In the following denote

$$k^* = \max_{(t,s) \in D} |k(t,s)|, \quad k_1^* = \max_{(t,s) \in D_0} |k(t,s)|$$

Lemma 2 If

$$N k^* + N_1 k_1^* < M^2, \quad (14)$$

then the linear BVP (13) has exactly one solution $u \in PC^1[J, E] \cap C^2[J, E]$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) = & H_0^*(t)x_0 + H_1^*(t)x_1 + \int_0^1 G^*(t,s)h(s)ds + \\ & \sum_{k=1}^m [G^*(t,t_k)(-\bar{I}_k) + H^*(t,t_k)I_k] \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Where

$$H_0^*(t) = H_0(t) + \int_0^* Q(t, r)H_0(r)dr, \quad H_1^*(t) = H_1(t) + \int_0^1 Q(t, r)H_1(r)dr, \quad (16)$$

$$G^*(t, s) = G(t, s) + \int_0^1 Q(t, r)G(r, s)dr, \quad H^*(t, s) = H(t, s) + \int_0^1 Q(t, r)H(r, s)dr, \quad (17)$$

$$Q(t, s) = \sum_{n=1} k_2^{(n)}(t, s),$$

$$k_2^{(n)}(t, s) = \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 k_2(t, r_1) k_2(r_1, r_2) \dots k_2(r_{n-1}, s) dr_1 \dots dr_{n-1},$$

$$k_2(t, s) = -N \int_s^1 G(t, r)k(r, s)dr - N \int_0^1 G(t, r)k_1(r, s)dr,$$

Moreover the following inequalities hold:

$$|k_2(t, s)| \leq \frac{1}{M^2} (Nk^* + N_1k_1^*) = k_2^*, \quad (t, s) \in D_0, \quad |Q(t, s)| \leq \frac{k_2^*}{(1 - k_2^*)}, \quad t, s \in J.$$

The proof is similar to that of the lemma in [4]. We omit it.

Lemma 3 If

$$Nk^* + N_1k_1^* \leq \min\{l_1, l_2\}, \quad (18)$$

where

$$l_1 = \frac{1}{4bdM} (-\rho + \sqrt{\rho^2 + 16(bdM)^2}), \quad l_2 = \frac{M}{2} \left(-(\rho^M - 1) + \sqrt{(\rho^M - 1)^2 + 4M^2} \right),$$

is satisfied, then (14) is satisfied and

$$H_0^*(t) \geq 0, \quad H_1^*(t) \geq 0, \quad G^*(t, s) \geq 0, \quad \forall (t, s) \in D_0,$$

where $H_0^*(t)$, $H_1^*(t)$ and $G^*(t, s)$ are given by (16) and (17).

The proof is analogous to that of the Corollary 1 in [4].

Corollary 1 (Comparison Result) Let (18) be satisfied, suppose that $u \in PC^1[J, E] \cap C^2[J, E]$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -u + M^2u \geq -Ntu - N_1Su, & t \in t_k, \\ u|_{t=t_k} = \theta, \\ u|_{t=t_k} \leq \theta, & k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ au(0) - bu(0) \geq \theta, \quad cu(1) + du(1) \geq \theta, \end{cases}$$

then $u(t) \geq \theta$ for $t \in J$.

Let $J_0 = [0, t_1]$, $J_1 = (t_1, t_2]$, \dots , $J_{m-1} = (t_{m-1}, t_m]$, $J_m = (t_m, 1]$. For $B \subset PC[J, E]$, we denote $B(t) = \{u(t) : u \in B\} \subset E(t, J)$.

Lemma 4^[5] If B is bounded and the elements of B are equicontinuous on each J_k ($k = 0, 1, \dots, m$), then $\alpha(B) = \sup_{t \in J} \alpha(B(t))$, where α denotes the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness.

3 Existence theorem

In the following, we shall use the ordered interval $[p, q]$ in space $PC[J, E]$, i.e.,

$$[p, q] = \{u \in PC[J, E] : p \leq u \leq q, \\ \text{i.e.,} \\ p(t) \leq u(t) \leq q(t) \text{ for all } t \in J\}.$$

Let us list some assumptions:

(H₁) there are $p, q \in PC^1[J, E] \cap C^2[J, E]$, $p(t) \leq q(t)$ for $t \in J$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & - p \leq f(t, p, Tp, Sp), \quad t \in t_k, \\ & p|_{t=t_k} = I_k(p(t_k)), \\ & p|_{t=t_k} \geq \bar{I}_k(p(t_k)), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ & ap(0) - bp(0) \leq x_0, \quad cp(1) + dp(1) \leq x_1; \\ & - q \geq f(t, q, Tq, Sq), \quad t \in t_k, \\ & q|_{t=t_k} = I_k(q(t_k)), \\ & q|_{t=t_k} \leq \bar{I}_k(q(t_k)), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ & aq(0) - bq(0) \geq x_0, \quad cq(1) + dq(1) \geq x_1. \end{aligned}$$

(H₂) there exist $M > 0$, $N \geq 0$ and $N_1 \geq 0$ such that

$$f(t, u, v, w) - f(t, \bar{u}, \bar{v}, \bar{w}) \geq -M^2(u - \bar{u}) - N(v - \bar{v}) - N_1(w - \bar{w}),$$

whenever

$$t \in J, p(t) \leq \bar{u} \leq u \leq q(t), Tp(t) \leq \bar{v} \leq v \leq Tq(t), Sp(t) \leq \bar{w} \leq w \leq Sq(t).$$

(H₃) $I_k(u) = x_0^{(k)}$, whenever $p(t_k) \leq u \leq q(t_k)$, where $x_0^{(k)}$ is a fixed element of E , $\bar{I}_k(u) \leq I_k(u)$ whenever $p(t_k) \leq u \leq q(t_k)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

(H₄) $N_k^* + N_{1k}^* \leq m \in \{l_1, l_2\}$, where l_1, l_2 are given by Lemma 3

Theorem Let $p \in E$ be a regular cone, (H₁), (H₂), (H₃) and (H₄) be satisfied, then there exist sequences $\{p_n(t)\}, \{q_n(t)\} \subset PC^1[J, E] \cap C^2[J, E]$ such that

$$p(t) = p_0(t) \leq p_1(t) \leq \dots \leq p_n(t) \leq \dots \leq q_n(t) \leq \dots \leq q_1(t) \leq q_0(t) = q(t). \quad (19)$$

and $p_n(t) \rightarrow u^*(t)$, $q_n(t) \rightarrow u^*(t)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in t , $u^*, u^* \in PC^1[J, E] \cap C^2[J, E]$. Moreover, u^* and u^* are minimal and maximal solutions of BVP (1) on the ordered interval $[p, q]$ respectively.

Proof For any $\eta \in [p, q]$ (i.e., $\eta \in PC[J, E]$ and $p(t) \leq \eta(t) \leq q(t)$ for $t \in J$). Consider linear BVP (13) where

$$h(t) = f(t, \eta(t), T\eta(t), S\eta(t)) + M^2\eta(t) + NT\eta(t) + N_1S\eta(t) \quad (20)$$

By lemma 2, (13) has exactly one solution $u \in PC^1[J, E] \cap C^2[J, E]$ given by (15). Define $A\eta = u$, then A is an operator from $[p, q]$ into $PC^1[J, E] \cap C^2[J, E] \subset PC[J, E]$ and η is a solution of BVP(1) if and only if $\eta = A\eta$.

By Corollary 1, we can show

$$p \leq Ap, \quad Aq \leq q \quad (21)$$

and

$$A\eta_k \leq A\eta_l, \quad \text{if } p \leq \eta_k \leq \eta_l \leq q \quad (22)$$

Now, let $p_0 = p$, $q_0 = q$, $p_n = Ap_{n-1}$, $q_n = Aq_{n-1}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). It follows from (21) and (22) that (19) holds. By definition of A , we have

$$p_n(t) = H_0^*(t)x_0 + H_1^*(t)x_1 + \int_0^1 G^*(t, s)h_{n-1}(s)ds + \sum_{k=1}^m [G^*(t, t_k)(-\bar{I}_k(p_{n-1}(t_k)) + H^*(t, t_k)I_k(p_{n-1}(t_k))], \quad (23)$$

where

$$h_{n-1}(t) = f(t, p_{n-1}(t), Tp_{n-1}(t), Sp_{n-1}(t)) + M^2p_{n-1}(t) + NTp_{n-1}(t) + N_1Sp_{n-1}(t).$$

Finally, we shall show that $p_n(t) \rightarrow u^*(t)$, $q_n(t) \rightarrow u^*(t)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) uniformly in t , $u^* \in PC^1[J, E] \cap C^2[J, E]$ are minimal and maximal solutions of BVP(1) on the ordered interval $[p, q]$ respectively.

Let $B = \{p_n\} \subset [p, q]$, $B(t) = \{p_n(t)\} \subset E$, $t \in J$. In the following, we shall show B is a relatively compact. By virtue of the regularity of P and (19), we first have $B(t)$ is a relatively compact, i.e.,

$$\alpha(B(t)) = 0, \quad t \in J \quad (24)$$

and then, we obtain that K is a normal cone in $PC[J, E]$. Therefore, $[p, q]$ is bounded set in $PC[J, E]$. By the normality of K , we can prove $\{p_n(t) | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a bounded set in $PC[J, E]$. Applying the mean value theorem, we obtain that the elements of $B = \{p_n\}$ are equicontinuous on each J_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$. By Lemma 4 and (24), we have $\alpha(B) = \sup_{t \in J} \alpha(B(t)) = 0$. Hence, $\{p_n\}$ is a relatively compact set in $PC[J, E]$. In view of the normality of K and (19), $\{p_n\}$ in $PC[J, E]$ converges to $u^* \in [p, q]$, i.e., $\{p_n(t)\}$ converges to $u^*(t)$ uniformly on J . Taking limits in (23) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get that u^* is a solution of BVP(1).

Similarly, we can show that $\{q_n\}$ in $PC[J, E]$ converges to $u^* \in PC[J, E]$ and that u^* is a solution of BVP(1). It follows by using standard arguments in [3] that u^* , u^* are minimal and maximal solutions BVP(1) on the ordered interval $[p, q]$ respectively.

Remark By the theorem 2.2 in [6], if E is weakly complete and P is normal, then P is regular. Hence, the main result in our paper for the case that E is weakly complete and P is normal still holds.

Acknowledgment The author would like to thank Professor Guo Dajun for his guidance and

References

- 1 Lakshmikantham V V, D D Bainov and P S Simeonov. *Theory of Impulsive Differential Equations* World Scientific, Singapore, 1989
- 2 Guo Dajun. *Existence of solution of boundary value problems for nonlinear second order impulsive differential equations in banach spaces* J. Math. Anal. Appl., 1994, **181**(2): 407- 421
- 3 Guo Dajun and V Lakshmikantham. *Nonlinear problems in abstract cones* Academic Press, New York, 1988
- 4 L H Erbe and Guo D. *Periodic boundary value problems for second order integrodifferential equations of mixed type* Appl Anal., 1992, **46**: 249- 258
- 5 Guo Dajun. *Impulsive integral equations in banach space and applications* J. Appl Math. Stochastic Anal., 1992, **5**(2): 111- 122
- 6 Y Du. *Fixed points of increasing operators in ordered banach spaces and application* Appl Anal., 1990, **38**: 1- 20

Banach 空间中二阶混合型脉冲积微分方程边值问题的 单调迭代技巧

韦 忠 礼

(山东建筑工程学院基础部, 济南250014)

摘 要

利用单调迭代技巧和锥理论研究了 Banach 空间中二阶混合型脉冲积微分方程的两点边值问题的极解