## Note on the Paper "A Note on the SVD of Matrices" \*

HUANG Li-ping
(Inst. of Math., Xiangtan Polytechnic University, Hunan 411201, China)

Abstract: We correct two errors of the paper [1].

Key words: F-algebra with involution; singular value decomposition; quaternion field.

Classification: AMS(2000) 15A33,15K20/CLC O153.3, O151.23

**Document code:** A Article ID: 1000-341X(2002)01-0066-01

Let F be a field, R be an F-algebra having SVD property<sup>[2]</sup>,  $R^+ = \{a \in R | \bar{a} = a\}$ . In [2], we proved that

**Lemma 1** Let R be an F-algebra which having SVD property. Then  $R^+$  is a subfield in F,  $R^+$  is a formally real field, and  $R^+$  is Pythagorean with no zero-divisor.

In the proof of Lemma 1 of [2], thereis this step: "For any  $a \in R^+$ , clearly, there exists  $\sigma \in R^+ \cap F$  such that  $a^2 = a\bar{a} = \sigma^2$ , thus  $a = \pm \sigma \in F$ , is follows that  $R^+ \subseteq F$ ".

Recently, paper [1] shows that "The proof of this step is not right over logic. For example, in real quaternion division ring, if  $i^2 = j^2 = -1$ , then  $j \neq \pm i, \cdots$ ".

We show that this criticism is wrong. This step in [2] is right over logic. In fact, for any  $a \in R^+$ , since R has the SVD property, thus there exist  $1 \times 1$  unitary matrices  $u, v \in R$  such that  $a = u\sigma v$ , where  $\sigma \in F \cap R^+$ . Note that  $\sigma$  in center, it is easy to see that  $a = \sigma uv$ ,  $a^2 = a\bar{a} = \sigma uv\bar{u}\sigma = \sigma^2$ ,  $a^2 - \sigma^2 = (a - \sigma)(a + \sigma) = 0$ , thus  $a = \pm \sigma \in F$ .

Paper [1] proposed a correction of Lemma 1 as follows:

**Proposition**<sup>[1]</sup> Let R be an F-algebra which have SVD property. Then  $R^+ = F$ , and  $R^+$  is a real Pythagorean field.

In fact, let C be complex field with involution to be usual conjugate. Then C is a C-algebra with involution and having SVD property. Clearly,  $C^+$  =real number field but  $C \neq C^+$ . Thus the Proposition is wrong. In fact, the first step of its proof in [1]: "By the definition of involution, it is clear that  $F = F1 \subset R^+$ " is wrong.

## References:

- [1] LI Yang-ming. A note on the singular value decomposition of matrices [J]. J. of Math. Res., & Expo., 2000, 20(2): 311-312. (in Chinese)
- [2] HUANG Li-ping. The algebra having singular value decomposition property [J]. Acta. Math. Sinica, 1997, 40(2): 161-166. (in Chinese)

<sup>\*</sup>Received date: 2000-09-06

Biography: HUANG Li-ping (1954-), male, professor.