

On ∂ -reducible Heegaard Splittings

MA Ji-ming¹, LEI Feng-chun²

(1. Dept. of Math., Jilin University, Changchun 130012, China;

2. Dept. of Math., Harbin Institute of Technology, Heilongjiang 150001, China)

(E-mail: majiming2000@sohu.com)

Abstract: In this paper, we shall prove that any Heegaard splitting of a ∂ -reducible 3-manifold M , say $M = W \cup V$, can be obtained by doing connected sums, boundary connected sums and self-boundary connected sums from Heegaard splittings of n manifolds M_1, \dots, M_n , where M_i is either a solid torus or an irreducible, ∂ -irreducible manifold.

Key words: connected sum; boundary connected sum; self-boundary connected sum.

MSC(2000): 57N10, 57M50.

CLC number: O189.3

1. Introduction

Let M be a compact 3-manifold such that each component of ∂M is not a 2-sphere. If there is a 2-sphere in M which does not bound any 3-ball, then we say M is reducible; otherwise, M is irreducible. If there is an essential disk D in M , then we say M is ∂ -reducible; otherwise, M is ∂ -irreducible.

Let M be a compact 3-manifold. If there is a closed surface S which separates M into two compression bodies W and V with $\partial_+ W = \partial_+ V = S$, then we say M has a Heegaard splitting, denoted by $M = W \cup_S V$ or $M = W \cup V$. In this case, S is called a Heegaard surface of M . We call $g(M) = g(S)$ the genus of M if $g(S)$ is minimal among all Heegaard surfaces of M .

A Heegaard splitting $M = W \cup_S V$ is said to be reducible if there are two essential disks $D_1 \subset W$ and $D_2 \subset V$ such that $\partial D_1 = \partial D_2$; otherwise, it is irreducible. A Heegaard splitting $M = W \cup_S V$ is said to be ∂ -reducible if there is an essential disk D which intersects S in only one essential simple closed curve in S ; otherwise, it is ∂ -irreducible. A Heegaard splitting $M = W \cup_S V$ is said to be weakly reducible if there are two essential disks $D_1 \subset W$ and $D_2 \subset V$ such that $\partial D_1 \cap \partial D_2 = \emptyset$; otherwise, it is strongly irreducible.

Now there are some results on reducibilities of Heegaard splittings. For example, W. Haken proved that any Heegaard splitting of a reducible 3-manifold is reducible; A. Casson and C. Gordon gave a disk version of Haken's lemma, that say, any Heegaard splitting of a ∂ -reducible 3-manifold is ∂ -reducible, they also show that if M has a weakly reducible Heegaard splitting $W \cup V$ then either $W \cup V$ is reducible or M contains an essential closed surface of genus at least one.

Received date: 2004-04-10

Foundation item: the National Natural Science Foundation of China (10171024, 10171038)

In this paper, we shall consider Heegaard splittings of ∂ -reducible manifolds, and give a more fined disk version of Haken's lemma as follows:

Theorem 1 1) Any Heegaard splitting of a ∂ -reducible manifold M , say $M = W \cup V$, can be obtained by doing connected sums, boundary connected sums and self-boundary connected sums from Heegaard splittings of n manifolds M_1, \dots, M_n , where M_i is either a solid torus or an irreducible, ∂ -irreducible manifold.

2) The set $\{M_1, \dots, M_n\}$ is unique up to homeomorphism.

2. The proof of Theorem 1

The definitions of connected sum and boundary connected sum are standard. Now we define self-boundary connected sum.

Let M be a compact, ∂ -reducible 3-manifold, and D be an essential disk in M . Suppose that D is non-separating in M , but ∂D is separating in ∂M . Now $M' = M - D \times (0, 1)$ is a connected manifold such that $\partial M'$ contains at least two components F_1 and F_2 . We may assume that $D \times \{0\} \subset F_1$ and $D \times \{1\} \subset F_2$. In this case, we say that M is a self-boundary connected sum of M' , denoted by $M = M' \#_{\partial}$.

let $M' = W' \cup V'$ be a Heegaard splitting of M' , such that $F_1, F_2 \subset \partial_- V'$. Now suppose that α_1, α_2 are two unknotted, properly embedded arcs in V' and β is a unknotted, properly embedded arc in $D \times [0, 1]$ such that $\partial_1 \alpha_1, \partial_2 \alpha_2 \subset \partial_+ V'$, and $\partial_2 \alpha_1 = \partial_1 \beta$ and $\partial_1 \alpha_2 = \partial_2 \beta$. Then $\gamma = \alpha_1 \cup \beta \cup \alpha_2$ is a properly embedded arc in $V' \cup D \times [0, 1]$. Let $N(\gamma)$ be a regular neighborhood of γ in $V' \cup D \times [0, 1]$. It is easy to see that $W = W' \cup N(\gamma)$ is a compression body and the closure of $V' \cup D \times [0, 1] - N(\gamma)$, denoted by V , is also a compression body. Hence $M = W \cup V$ is a Heegaard splitting of M . We say $W \cup V$ is a self-boundary connected sum of $W' \cup V'$, denoted by $W \cup V = (W' \cup V') \#_{\partial}$.

Lemma 2.1^[1] Any Heegaard splitting of a ∂ -reducible 3-manifold is ∂ -reducible.

The proof of Theorem 1 We first prove Theorem 1(1).

Suppose that $M = W \cup_S V$ is a Heegaard splitting of a ∂ -reducible 3-manifold. If the genus of $M = W \cup_S V$ is one, then M is a solid torus and $M = W \cup_S V$ is a trivial Heegaard splitting of M . So we may assume that the genus of $M = W \cup_S V$ is at least two.

By Lemma 2.1, there is an essential disk D such that D intersects S in an essential simple closed curve in S . We may assume that $D_W = D \cap W$ is a disk and $A_V = D \cap V$ is an annulus. That means that $\partial D \subset \partial_- V$. Now there are three cases:

Case 1 D is separating in M .

Now $M - D \times (0, 1)$ contains two components M_1 and M_2 , D_W separates W into two compression bodies W_1 and W_2 and A_V separates V into two components V_1 and V_2 . We assume that $W_1, V_1 \subset M_1$, $W_2, V_2 \subset M_2$, $D \times \{0\} \subset \partial M_1$, $D \times \{1\} \subset \partial M_2$. Let $N((D \cap W) \times \{0\})$ be a regular neighborhood of $(D \cap W) \times \{0\}$ in W_1 and $N(D_W \times \{1\})$ be a regular neighborhood

of $D_W \times \{1\}$ in W_2 . Then $V' = V_1 \cup N((D \cap W) \times \{0\})$ and $V'' = V_2 \cup N(D_W \times \{1\})$ are two compression bodies. We denote by W' the closure of $W_1 - N(D_W \times \{0\})$ and W'' the closure of $W_2 - N(D_W \times \{1\})$. Then W' and W'' are two compression bodies. Hence $W' \cup V'$ is a Heegaard splitting of M_1 and $W'' \cup V''$ is a Heegaard splitting of M_2 . By definition, $W \cup V$ is a boundary connected sum of $W' \cup V'$ and $W'' \cup V''$.

Case 2 D is non-separating in M , but ∂D is separating in ∂M .

Claim 1 D_W is non-separating in W .

Proof Suppose, otherwise, that D_W is separating in W . Then ∂D_W is separating in $\partial_+ W = \partial_+ V$. Since W and V are two compression bodies, D_W is separating in W and A_V is separating in V . Hence D is separating in M , a contradiction. \square

Now $M - D \times (0, 1)$ is a manifold M' . Since D_W is a non-separating disk in W , $W - D_W \times (0, 1)$ is a compression body, say W^* . Let $N(D_W \times \{0\})$ be a regular neighborhood of $D_W \times \{0\}$ and $N(D_W \times \{1\})$ be a regular neighborhood of $D_W \times \{1\}$ in W^* . Then $(V - D \times (0, 1)) \cup N(D_W \times \{0\}) \cup N(D_W \times \{1\})$ is a compression body, say V' , in M' . Note that the closure of $W^* - (N(D_W \times \{0\}) \cup N(D_W \times \{1\}))$, say W' , is also a compression body. By definition, $W \cup V$ is a self-boundary connected sum of $W' \cup V'$.

Case 3 D is non-separating in M , and ∂D is non-separating in ∂M .

Claim 2 ∂D_W is non-separating in $S = \partial_+ V = \partial_+ W$.

Proof Suppose, otherwise, that ∂D_W is separating in S . Let V^* be the manifold obtained by attaching a handlebody H to V along $\partial_- V$ such that ∂D bounds a disk D^* in H . Then V^* is also a compression body and $A_V \cup D^*$ is a disk in V^* . Since ∂D_W is separating in S , $A_V \cup D^*$ is separating in V^* , but D^* is non-separating in H , a contradiction. \square

Claim 3 There is an annulus A such that

- 1) one boundary component of A lies in $\partial_+ V$ and the other lies in $\partial_- V$, and
- 2) A intersects the annulus A_V in only one essential arc in both A and A_V .

Proof Suppose that $\partial_1 A_V = \partial D$ and $\partial_2 A_V = \partial D_W$.

Now since $\partial_1 A_V$ in $\partial_- V$ is a non-separating curve, there is a curve in $\partial_- V$, say c , such that $|\partial_1 A_V \cap c| = 1$. Then c , together with a simple closed curve in $\partial_+ V$, cobound an annulus, say A such that $\partial_1 A = c$ and $\partial_2 A \subset S = \partial_+ V$. We may assume that $|A \cap A_V|$ is minimal among all such annuli. Now we prove $|A \cap A_V| = 1$.

Note that A and A_V are incompressible in V . Hence $A \cap A_V$ is a set of arcs. Since c intersects $\partial_1 A_V$ in one point, there is only one arc, say a , in $A \cap A_V$. which is essential in both A and A_V .

Suppose that $|A \cap A_V| > 1$. Let b be an arc in $A \cap A_V$ which is outermost in A_V , then it, with a sub-arc of $\partial_2 A_V$, cobound a disk E in A_V such that $\text{int} E$ is disjoint from A . Now b , with

a sub-arc of $\partial_2 A$, cobound a disk E' in A . Thus $A' = (A - E) \cup E'$ is also an annulus, but A' can be isotoped so that $|A' \cap A_V| < |A \cap A_V|$, a contradiction. \square

By Claim 3, there is an annulus A which intersects the annulus A_V in only one arc. We may assume that $\partial D \subset F \subset \partial_- V$. Now let $N = N(A \cup A_V)$ and A^* be the closure of $\partial N(A \cup A_V) - \partial_- V \cup \partial_+ V$. Then A^* is also an annulus in V . We may assume that $\partial_1 A^* \subset \partial_+ V$ and $\partial_2 A^* \subset F$. Since the genus of $M = W \cup_S V$ is at least two, $\partial_1 A^*$ is an essential, separating, simple closed curve in $\partial_+ V$. Since $\partial_1 A^*$ is coplanar to ∂D_W in S , $\partial_1 A^*$ bounds a disk B in W . Now there are two subcases:

Case 3.1 F is a torus.

In this case, $\partial_2 A^*$ bounds a disk B^* in F . Now let $P = B \cup A^* \cup B^*$. Then P is a 2-sphere which intersects $\partial_+ V$ in an essential simple closed curve. That means that $M = W \cup V$ is a connected sum of two Heegaard splittings $M_1 = W_1 \cup V_1$ and $M_2 = W_2 \cup V_2$.

Case 3.2 $g(F) \geq 2$.

Now $\partial_2 A^*$ is an essential, separating, simple closed curve in $\partial_- V$. $A^* \cup B$ is an essential disk which intersects $\partial_+ V$ in an essential, simple closed curve. By Case 1 and Case 2, $W \cup V$ is a boundary connected sum or a self-boundary connected sum of Heegaard splittings.

Now by induction, we can prove Theorem 1(1).

Now we prove that Theorem 1(2).

By Kneser-Milnor's theorem, we may assume that M is irreducible. By (1), $M = W \cup V$ can be obtained by doing boundary connected sums and self-boundary connected sums from Heegaard splittings of n manifolds M_1, \dots, M_n along n^* disks D_1, \dots, D_{n^*} where M_i is either a solid torus or an irreducible, ∂ -irreducible manifold. In this case, n is the number of boundary connected sums and $n^* - n$ is the number of self-boundary connected sums. Note that D_1, \dots, D_{n^*} are essential disks in M . Furthermore, ∂D_i is separating in ∂M .

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\partial_- V$ contains only one component F . Then $\partial D_i \subset F$ for $1 \leq i \leq n^*$ satisfying the following conditions:

- 1) ∂D_i is separating in F ,
- 2) each component of $F - \cup \partial D_i$ is not a planar surface; otherwise, one component of $M - \cup D_i$ is a 3-ball, and
- 3) if c is a separating, simple closed curve in F such that c bounds a disk in M and $c \cap (\cup_{i=1}^{n^*} \partial D_i) = \emptyset$, then one component of $F - c \cup \cup \partial D_i$ is a planar surface.

By Dehn's lemma, $V = V^* \cup_{\partial_- V^*} M^*$ where V^* is a compression body with $\partial_+ V^* = F$ and M^* is irreducible, ∂ -irreducible. Furthermore, $D_i \subset V^*$. Hence D_i is separating in V^* . In this case, it is possible that M^* is not connected. Since $\partial_- V^*$ is incompressible in M , D_i can be isotoped so that D_i is disjoint from $\partial_- V^*$. Hence each component of $V^* - \cup_i D_i$ is either a solid torus or $F_j \times I$ where F_j is a component of $\partial_- V^*$.

Now if $M = W \cup V$ can be obtained by doing boundary connected sums and self-boundary connected sums from Heegaard splittings of m manifolds M'_1, \dots, M'_m along m^* disks D'_1, \dots, D'_{m^*} where M'_i is either a solid torus or an irreducible, ∂ -irreducible manifold. By the above argument,

D'_i is separating in V^* such that

- 1) $\partial D'_i$ is separating in F ,
- 2) each component of $F - \cup \partial D'_i$ is not a planar surface, and
- 3) if c is a separating, simple closed curve in F such that c bounds a disk in M and $c \cap (\cup_i \partial D'_i) = \emptyset$, then one component of $F - c \cup_i \partial D'_i$ is a planar surface.

Since V^* is a compression body, $n = m$ and $n^* = m^*$ and $V^* - \cup D_i$ is homeomorphic to $V^* - \cup D'_i$. □

References:

- [1] CASSON A J, GORDON C McA. *Reducing heegaard splittings* [J]. *Topology Appl.*, 1987, **27**: 275–283.
- [2] HAKEN W. *Some Results on Surfaces in 3-Manifolds* [M]. 1968 *Studies in Modern Topology* pp. 39–98 Math. Assoc. Amer.
- [3] KNESER H. *Geschlossene Flächen in dreidimensionalen Mannigfaltigkeiten*, *Jahresbericht der Deut. Math. Verein.*, 1929, **38**: 248–260.
- [4] MILNOR J. *A unique factorization theorem for 3-manifolds* [J]. *Amer. J. Math.*, 1962, **84**: 1–7.

边界可约的 Heegaard 分解

马继明¹, 雷逢春²

(1. 吉林大学数学学院, 吉林 长春 130012; 2. 哈尔滨工业大学数学系, 黑龙江 哈尔滨 150001)

摘要: 本文证明了任意边界可约流形的 Heegaard 分解都是 n 个不可约的、边界不可约的三维流形的 Heegaard 分解通过连通和、边界连通和及边界自连通和运算而得到.

关键词: 连通和; 边界连通和; 边界自连通和.