

## Some Results about Derivations of Prime Rings

CHENG Hui-wen

(Adults University of Beijing Haidian, Beijing 100083, China )

(E-mail: chenghw2002@sina.com)

**Abstract:** Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free noncommutative prime ring and  $d$  be a derivation of  $R$ . If  $[x^d, x]x^d = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ , then  $d = 0$ . Furthermore, if  $[[x^d, x], x^d] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ , then  $d = 0$ .

**Key words:** derivation; prime ring; semiprime ring.

**MSC(2000):** 16W25, 16N60

**CLC number:** O153.5

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper,  $R$  will represent an associative ring with center  $Z(R)$ . As usual the commutator  $xy - yx$  will be denoted by  $[x, y]$ . We often use basic commutator identities  $[xy, z] = [x, z]y + x[y, z]$  and  $[x, yz] = [x, y]z + y[x, z]$ . Recall that  $R$  is prime if  $aRb = 0$  implies  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ , and is semiprime if  $aRa = 0$  implies  $a = 0$ . An additive map  $d : R \rightarrow R$  is called a derivation if  $(xy)^d = x^d y + xy^d$  holds for all  $x, y \in R$ . A derivation  $d$  is inner if there exists  $a \in R$  such that  $x^d = [a, x]$  for all  $x \in R$ .

The theory of commuting and centralizing maps on (semi-)prime rings was motivated by the results of Posner<sup>[1]</sup> and was developed by Vukman<sup>[2]</sup> and Brešar<sup>[3-5]</sup>. Posner's second theorem states that if there exists a nonzero centralizing derivation on a prime ring  $R$ , then  $R$  is commutative. Many people have extended this result in various ways and obtained many powerful results. In the representative works, the work of Vukman<sup>[2]</sup> and Brešar<sup>[3-5]</sup> should be mentioned at least. Vukman<sup>[2]</sup> proved that if  $d$  is a derivation of a 2-torsion free prime ring such that  $[[x^d, x], x] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ , then  $d = 0$  or  $R$  is commutative. Brešar<sup>[4]</sup> generalized this result by showing that the same conclusion holds for each additive map. Moreover, Brešar<sup>[5]</sup> described all commuting traces of biadditive maps on certain prime rings. I.N.Herstein<sup>[6]</sup> proved that if there exists a nonzero derivation  $d$  on a prime ring  $R$  such that the map  $x \rightarrow (x^d)^2$  is commuting on  $R$ , then  $R$  may be noncommutative. That is, the following relation

$$[x^d, x]x^d + x^d[x^d, x] = 0, \quad x \in R$$

does not imply that  $d = 0$ . There arises the question of whether we can obtain some similar results when the Jordan Version of the above relation holds on a noncommutative prime ring  $R$ . This leads to our work, which can be considered as an extension of Posner's second theorem.

Received date: 2003-06-25

## 2. Main results

**Theorem 1** Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free noncommutative prime ring and  $d$  be a derivation of  $R$ . If  $[x^d, x]x^d = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ , then  $d = 0$ .

**Proof** We define a map  $F(\cdot, \cdot) : R \times R \longrightarrow R$  by the relation

$$F(x, y) = [x^d, y] + [y^d, x], \quad x, y \in R.$$

Obviously,  $F(x, y) = F(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in R$  and  $F(\cdot, \cdot)$  is additive in two variables. Moreover, a simple calculation shows that the relation

$$F(xy, z) = F(x, z)y + xF(y, z) + x^d[y, z] + [x, z]y^d$$

holds for all  $x, y, z \in R$ . Let us write  $f(x)$  for  $F(x, x)$  briefly. Then

$$f(x) = 2[x^d, x], \quad x \in R.$$

It is easy to see that  $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) + 2F(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . Now the assumption of the theorem can be written as follows:

$$f(x)x^d = 0, \quad x \in R. \quad (1)$$

The linearization of (1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= f(x+y)(x+y)^d = (f(x) + f(y) + 2F(x, y))(x^d + y^d) \\ &= f(x)x^d + f(x)y^d + f(y)x^d + f(y)y^d + 2F(x, y)x^d + 2F(x, y)y^d, \end{aligned}$$

which reduces to

$$f(x)y^d + f(y)x^d + 2F(x, y)x^d + 2F(x, y)y^d = 0, \quad x, y \in R. \quad (2)$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $-x$  in (2), we obtain

$$f(x)y^d - f(y)x^d + 2F(x, y)x^d - 2F(x, y)y^d = 0 \quad x, y \in R, \quad (3)$$

since  $f(x) = f(-x)$ . Combining (2) with (3), we have

$$f(x)y^d + 2F(x, y)x^d = 0, \quad (4)$$

since  $R$  is 2-torsion free.

Let  $y$  be  $yz$  in (4), we obtain  $f(x)(yz)^d + 2F(x, yz)x^d = 0$ . Expanding it, we have

$$f(x)y^dz + f(x)yz^d + 2F(x, y)zx^d + 2yF(x, z)x^d + 2y^d[z, x]x^d + 2[y, x]z^dx^d = 0.$$

It follows from (4) that  $f(x)y^d = -2F(x, y)x^d$  and  $2F(x, z)x^d = -f(x)z^d$ . Hence, we get

$$2F(x, y)[z, x^d] + [f(x), y]z^d + 2y^d[z, x]x^d + 2[y, x]z^dx^d = 0. \quad (5)$$

Replacing  $z$  by  $x^d$  in (5), we obtain

$$[f(x), y]x^{d^2} + 2[y, x]x^{d^2}x^d = 0, \quad x, y \in R. \quad (6)$$

Substituting  $yz$  for  $y$  in (6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= ([f(x), y]z + y[f(x), z])x^{d^2} + 2y[z, x]x^{d^2}x^d + 2[y, x]zx^{d^2}x^d \\ &= [f(x), y]zx^{d^2} + 2[y, x]zx^{d^2}x^d + y([f(x), z]x^{d^2} + 2[z, x]x^{d^2}x^d). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (5) with (6), we obtain

$$[f(x), y]zx^{d^2} + 2[y, x]zx^{d^2}x^d = 0, \quad x, y, z \in R. \quad (7)$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $x$  in (7), we have

$$[f(x), x]zx^{d^2} = 0, \quad x, z \in R. \quad (8)$$

Substituting  $x^d$  for  $y$  in (7) and using  $f(x)x^d = 0$ , we get

$$f(x)zx^{d^2}x^d - x^df(x)zx^{d^2} = 0. \quad (9)$$

Now we are ready to prove that

$$x^{d^2}x^d = 0, \quad x \in R. \quad (10)$$

Suppose on the contrary that  $r^{d^2}r^d \neq 0$  for some  $r \in R$ . Obviously, we have  $r^{d^2} \neq 0$ . Since  $R$  is a prime ring,  $[f(r), r] = 0$  by (8).

Replacing  $y$  by  $x$  in (5), we obtain

$$2f(x)[z, x^d] + [f(x), x]z^d + 2x^d[z, x]x^d = 0, \quad x \in R.$$

Particularly  $2f(r)[z, r^d] + 2r^d[z, r]r^d = 0$ , which reduces to

$$f(r)zr^d + r^d[z, r]r^d = 0, \quad z \in R. \quad (11)$$

Replacing  $z$  by  $r^dx$  in (11), we get

$$f(r)r^dxr^d + r^d[r^dx, r]r^d = 0.$$

By  $f(r)r^d = 0$ , we have

$$0 = r^d[r^d, r]xr^d + (r^d)^2[x, r]r^d = 2(r^d)^2[x, r]r^d + r^df(r)xr^d, \quad x \in R. \quad (12)$$

On the other hand left multiplication by  $r^d$  of (11) and putting  $z$  for  $x$ , we obtain

$$(r^d)^2[x, r]r^d + r^df(r)xr^d = 0, \quad x \in R. \quad (13)$$

Combining (12) with (13), we have

$$r^df(r)xr^d = 0, \quad x \in R. \quad (14)$$

Since  $R$  is a prime ring,  $r^d f(r) = 0$ . Substituting  $r$  for  $x$  in (9), we get

$$f(r)zr^{d^2}r^d = 0, \quad z \in R. \quad (15)$$

Since  $r^{d^2}r^d \neq 0$  and  $R$  is a prime ring,  $f(r) = 0$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $r$  in (7), we obtain  $[y, r]zr^{d^2}r^d = 0, y, z \in R$ , which reduces to  $[y, r] = 0, y \in R$ . We therefore prove that  $x^{d^2}x^d = 0$  in the case  $x \notin Z$ . It remains to prove that  $x^{d^2}x^d = 0$  also holds in the case of  $x \in Z$ . Let  $x \in Z$  and  $y \notin Z$ . We have  $x + y \notin Z$ . We note that  $(x + y)^{d^2}(x + y)^d = 0$  and  $y^{d^2}y^d = 0$ . Then

$$x^{d^2}x^d + y^{d^2}x^d + x^{d^2}y^d = 0. \quad (16)$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $-x$  in (16), we have

$$x^{d^2}x^d - y^{d^2}x^d - x^{d^2}y^d = 0. \quad (17)$$

It follows from (16) and (17) that  $x^{d^2}x^d = 0$ , which completes the proof of (10). The linearization of (10) leads to

$$x^{d^2}y^d + y^{d^2}x^d = 0, \quad x, y \in R. \quad (18)$$

Substituting  $yz$  for  $y$  in (18), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= x^{d^2}(yz)^d + (yz)^{d^2}x^d \\ &= x^{d^2}y^dz + x^{d^2}yz^d + y^{d^2}zx^d + 2y^dz^dx^d + yz^{d^2}x^d. \end{aligned}$$

which reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -y^{d^2}x^dz + x^{d^2}yz^d + y^{d^2}zx^d + 2y^dz^dx^d - yx^{d^2}z^d \\ &= y^{d^2}[z, x^d] + [x^{d^2}, y]z^d + 2y^dz^dx^d. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Putting  $x^d$  for  $z$ , we obtain  $[x^{d^2}, y]x^{d^2} + 2y^dx^{d^2}x^d = 0$ . Since  $x^{d^2}x^d = 0$ , we get

$$[x^{d^2}, y]x^{d^2} = 0, \quad x, y \in R. \quad (20)$$

For a fixed  $x \in R$ , the map  $y \rightarrow [x^{d^2}, y]$  is an inner derivation of  $R$ . Then (20) and [1, Lemma 1] imply that  $[x^{d^2}, y] = 0$  or  $x^{d^2} = 0$  for all  $y \in R$ . Therefore,  $x^{d^2} \in Z$  for all  $x \in R$ .

Left multiplication by  $y$  of (10) and since  $x^{d^2} \in Z$ , we obtain  $yx^{d^2}x^d = x^{d^2}yx^d = 0$  for all  $y \in R$ . Since  $R$  is a prime ring,  $x^{d^2} = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ . Applying [3, Theorem 1] yields that  $x^d = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ . The proof of Theorem 1 is completed.

By Theorem 1, we can prove the following result, which can be viewed as an extension of Posner's second theorem.

**Theorem 2** Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free noncommutative prime ring and  $d$  be a derivation of  $R$ . If  $[[x^d, x], x^d] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ , then  $d = 0$ .

**Proof** We define a map  $F(\cdot, \cdot) : R \times R \rightarrow R$  by the relation

$$F(x, y) = [x^d, y] + [y^d, x], \quad x, y \in R.$$

By Theorem 1, we know that

$$F(xy, z) = F(z, xy) = F(x, z)y + xF(y, z) + x^d[y, z] + [x, z]y^d$$

holds for all  $x, y, z \in R$ . Let us write  $f(x)$  for  $F(x, x)$ . Then  $f(x) = F(x, x) = 2[x^d, x]$  for all  $x \in R$ . Thus

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y) + 2F(x, y). \quad (21)$$

Now the assumption of the theorem can be written as follows

$$[f(x), x^d] = 0, \quad x \in R. \quad (22)$$

Obviously,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [[f(x), x^d], x] = [f(x)x^d - x^d f(x), x] \\ &= f(x)x^d x - x^d f(x)x - x f(x)x^d + x x^d f(x), \\ 0 &= [[x^d, x], f(x)] = [x^d x - x x^d, f(x)] \\ &= x^d x f(x) - x x^d f(x) - f(x)x^d x + f(x)x x^d. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above two equations, we obtain

$$0 = x^d x f(x) - x^d f(x)x + f(x)x x^d - x f(x)x^d = [[f(x), x], x^d].$$

So

$$[[f(x), x], x^d] = [[f(x), x^d], x] = 0. \quad (23)$$

The linearization of (22) gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [f(x + y), (x + y)^d] \\ &= [f(x), y^d] + [f(y), x^d] + 2[F(x, y), x^d] + 2[F(x, y), y^d]. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $-x$ , we have

$$0 = [f(x), y^d] - [f(y), x^d] + 2[F(x, y), x^d] - 2[F(x, y), y^d].$$

Combining the above two equations, we get  $2[f(x), y^d] + 4[F(x, y), x^d] = 0$ . Since  $R$  is 2-torsion free, we obtain

$$[f(x), y^d] + 2[F(x, y), x^d] = 0, \quad x, y \in R. \quad (24)$$

Substituting  $xy$  for  $y$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [f(x), (xy)^d] + 2[F(x, xy), x^d] \\ &= [f(x), x^d y + xy^d] + 2[f(x)y + xF(x, y) + x^d[y, x], x^d] \\ &= [f(x), x^d]y + x^d[f(x), y] + [f(x), x]y^d + x[f(x), y^d] + \\ &\quad 2[f(x), x^d]y + 2f(x)[y, x^d] + 2[x, x^d]F(x, y) + \\ &\quad 2x[F(x, y), x^d] + 2x^d[[y, x], x^d]. \end{aligned}$$

Using (24) and  $[f(x), x^d] = 0$ , we obtain

$$0 = x^d[f(x), y] + [f(x), x]y^d + 2f(x)[y, x^d] - f(x)F(x, y) + 2x^d[[y, x], x^d]. \quad (25)$$

Putting  $yx$  for  $y$  in (25), then by (25), we get

$$0 = x^dy[f(x), x] + [f(x), x]yx^d - 2f(x)yf(x) - f(x)[y, x]x^d - x^d[y, x]f(x). \quad (26)$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $x^dy$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= x^dx^dy[f(x), x] + [f(x), x]x^dyx^d - 2f(x)x^dyf(x) - \\ &\quad f(x)[x^dy, x]x^d - x^d[x^dy, x]f(x) \\ &= (x^d)^2y[f(x), x] + [f(x), x]x^dyx^d - 2f(x)x^dyf(x) - \\ &\quad f(x)[x^d, x]yx^d - f(x)x^d[y, x]x^d - (x^d)^2[y, x]f(x) - \\ &\quad x^d[x^d, x]yf(x). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Left multiplication by  $x^d$  of (26) gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (x^d)^2y[f(x), x] + x^d[f(x), x]yx^d - \\ &\quad 2x^df(x)yf(x) - x^df(x)[y, x]x^d - (x^d)^2[y, x]f(x). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Subtracting (28) from (27) and using  $[[f(x), x], x^d] = 0$  and  $[x^d, f(x)] = 0$ , we have

$$0 = f(x)[x^d, x]yx^d + x^d[x^d, x]yf(x) = f(x)^2yx^d + x^df(x)yf(x). \quad (29)$$

Right multiplication by  $f(x)$  of (29) yields that

$$0 = f(x)^2yx^df(x) + x^df(x)yf(x)^2, \quad x, y \in R. \quad (30)$$

Now we intend to prove that

$$x^df(x) = 0, \quad x \in R. \quad (31)$$

Suppose on the contrary that  $r^df(r) \neq 0$  for some  $r \in R$ . By [7, Lemma], we obtain  $f(r)^2 = 0$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $r$  in (29), we obtain  $r^df(r)yf(r) = 0$ ,  $y \in R$ . Since  $R$  is a prime ring, we have  $r^df(r) = 0$ . This is a contradiction to the assumption, which completes the proof of (31). Using  $[x^d, f(x)] = 0$ , we get

$$f(x)x^d = x^df(x) = 0, \quad x \in R.$$

Then Theorem 1 implies that  $d = 0$ . The proof of Theorem 2 is completed.

By Theorem 2, we can give an alternative proof of the following result which was first proved by Lanski<sup>[9]</sup>.

**Theorem 3** Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free noncommutative prime ring and  $d, g$  be derivations of  $R$ . If  $[x^d, x^g] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$  and  $d \neq 0$ , then there exists  $\lambda \in C$ , such that  $g = \lambda d$ , where  $C$  is the extended centroid of  $R$ .

**Proof** The linearization of  $[x^d, x^g] = 0$  gives

$$0 = [(x+y)^d, (x+y)^g] = [x^d, y^g] + [y^d, x^g], \quad x, y \in R. \quad (32)$$

Substituting  $yx$  for  $y$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [x^d, (yx)^g] + [(yx)^d, x^g] \\ &= [x^d, y^g]x + y^g[x^d, x] + [x^d, y]x^g + y[x^d, x^g] + \\ &\quad y^d[x, x^g] + [y^d, x^g]x + y[x^d, x^g] + [y, x^g]x^d. \end{aligned}$$

Using (32) and  $[x^d, x^g] = 0$ , we get

$$y^g[x^d, x] + [x^d, y]x^g + y^d[x, x^g] + [y, x^g]x^d = 0. \quad (33)$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $yz$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (yz)^g[x^d, x] + [x^d, yz]x^g + (yz)^d[x, x^g] + [yz, x^g]x^d \\ &= y^g z[x^d, x] + yz^g[x^d, x] + [x^d, y]zx^g + y[x^d, z]x^g + \\ &\quad y^d z[x, x^g] + yz^d[x, x^g] + y[z, x^g]x^d + [y, x^g]zx^d. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (33) that

$$0 = y^g z[x^d, x] + [x^d, y]zx^g + y^d z[x, x^g] + [y, x^g]zx^d, \quad x, y, z \in R. \quad (34)$$

Putting  $zx^d$  for  $z$ , we have

$$0 = y^g zx^d[x^d, x] + [x^d, y]zx^d x^g + y^d zx^d[x, x^g] + [y, x^g]zx^d x^d. \quad (35)$$

Right multiplication of (34) by  $x^d$  leads to

$$0 = y^g z[x^d, x]x^d + [x^d, y]zx^g x^d + y^d z[x, x^g]x^d + [y, x^g]zx^d x^d. \quad (36)$$

Subtracting (36) from (35) and using  $[x^g, x^d] = 0$ , we get

$$y^g z[[x^d, x], x^d] + y^d z[[x, x^g], x^d] = 0, \quad x, y, z \in R. \quad (37)$$

Since  $d \neq 0$ ,  $[[a^d, a], a^d] \neq 0$  for some  $a \in R$  by Theorem 2. By (37) and [6, pp20-23], we know that  $y^g, y^d$  are  $C$ -dependent, that is, there exists  $\lambda(y) \in C$  such that  $y^g = \lambda(y)y^d$ , where  $C$  is the extended centroid of  $R$ .

In (34), we substitute  $a$  for  $x$ ,  $y^g$  for  $\lambda(y)y^d$  and  $a^g$  for  $\lambda(a)a^d$ , then

$$0 = \lambda(y)y^d z[a^d, a] + [a^d, y]z\lambda(a)a^d + y^d z[a, \lambda(a)a^d] + [y, \lambda(a)a^d]za^d$$

which reduces to

$$(\lambda(y) - \lambda(a))y^d z[a^d, a] = 0, \quad y, z \in R. \quad (38)$$

Since  $R$  is a prime ring and  $[[a^d, a], a^d] \neq 0$ , we know that  $[a^d, a] \neq 0$ . We now obtain  $(\lambda(y) - \lambda(a))y^d = 0$ , hence  $\lambda(y)y^d = \lambda(a)y^d$ . Now we have  $y^g = \lambda(y)y^d = \lambda(a)y^d$ . The proof of Theorem 3 is completed.

In [2], Vukman has proved that if  $d$  is a derivation on a complex semisimple Banach algebra  $B$  and  $ad^3 + d^2$  is a derivation on  $B$  for some complex number  $a$ , then  $d = 0$ . We now extend this result to the case of semiprime rings.

**Theorem 4** Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and  $d, g$  be derivations of  $R$ . If  $\lambda x^{d^3} + x^{d^2} = x^g$  for all  $x \in R$  and for some  $\lambda \in C$ , then  $d = 0$ .

**Proof** We define  $H(x) = \lambda x^{d^3} + x^{d^2} = x^g$ . Then

$$H(xy) = \lambda(xy)^{d^3} + (xy)^{d^2} = H(x)y + xH(y) + 3\lambda x^{d^2}y^d + 3\lambda x^d y^{d^2} + 2x^d y^d \quad (39)$$

$$g(xy) = H(xy) = x^g y + xy^g = H(x)y + xH(y). \quad (40)$$

Subtracting (40) from (39), we have  $3\lambda x^{d^2}y^d + 3\lambda x^d y^{d^2} + 2x^d y^d = 0$ . Now we define  $P(x) = 3\lambda x^{d^2} + x^d$ , then

$$P(x)y^d + x^d P(y) = 0. \quad (41)$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $yx$  in (41), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= P(x)(yx)^d + x^d P(yx) \\ &= P(x)y^d x + P(x)yx^d + x^d P(y)x + x^d yP(x) + 6\lambda x^d y^d x^d. \end{aligned}$$

Which reduces to

$$0 = P(x)yx^d + x^d yP(x) + 6\lambda x^d y^d x^d. \quad (42)$$

Substituting  $yx^d$  for  $y$  in (42), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= P(x)yx^d x^d + x^d yx^d P(x) + 6\lambda x^d y^d x^d x^d + 6\lambda x^d yx^{d^2} x^d \\ &= -x^d yP(x)x^d + x^d yx^d P(x) + 6\lambda x^d yx^{d^2} x^d. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

It follows from (41) and (43) that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= x^d yx^d x^d + 3\lambda x^d yx^d x^{d^2} - x^d yP(x)x^d + 6\lambda x^d yx^{d^2} x^d \\ &= x^d y(x^d + 3\lambda x^{d^2})x^d - x^d yP(x)x^d + 3\lambda x^d yx^d x^{d^2} + 3\lambda x^d yx^{d^2} x^d \\ &= 3\lambda x^d yx^d x^{d^2} + 3\lambda x^d yx^{d^2} x^d \\ &= x^d yx^d P(x) + x^d yP(x)x^d - 2x^d yx^d x^d \\ &= -2x^d yx^d x^d. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Left multiplication of (44) by  $x^d$  gives

$$2(x^d)^2 y(x^d)^2 = 0, \quad x, y \in R. \quad (45)$$



Since  $R$  is 2-torsion free semiprime ring,  $d = 0$  by the well-known Giambruno-Herstein theorem<sup>[4]</sup>. The proof of Theorem 4 is completed.

## References:

- [1] POSNER E C. *Derivations in prime rings* [J]. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1957, 8: 1093–1100.
- [2] VUKMAN J. *A result concerning derivations in Banach algebras* [J]. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1992, 116: 971–975.
- [3] BRESAR M. *Certralizing mappings and derivations in prime ring* [J]. J. Algebra, 1993, 156: 385–394.
- [4] BRESAR M. *Commuting traces of biadditive mappings, Commutativity-preserving mappings and Lie mapping* [J]. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 1993, 335: 525–545.
- [5] BRESAR M. *On a generalization of the notion of centralizing mappings* [J]. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1992, 114: 641–649.
- [6] HERSTEIN I N. *Derivations of prime rings having power central values*[J]. Contemp. Math., 1982, 13: 163–171.
- [7] HERSTEIN I N. *Topics in Ring Theory* [M]. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Ill.-London 1969.
- [8] I.N.Herstein. *Rings with Involution* [M]. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Ill.-London, 1976.
- [9] LANSKI C. *Differential idetities of prime rings, Kharchenko's theoerm and applications* [J]. Contemp. Math., 1992, 124: 111–128.
- [10] GIAMBRUNO A, HERSTEIN I N. *Derivations with nilpotent values* [J]. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, 1981, 30: 199–206.

## 关于素环导子的一些结论

成会文

(北京市海淀区职工大学, 北京 100083)

**摘要:** 设  $R$  是一个特征不等于 2 的不可交换的素环,  $d$  为  $R$  的一个导子, 如果  $[x^d, x]x^d = 0$  对所有的  $x \in R$  都成立, 那么  $d = 0$ . 进一步, 如果对所有的  $x \in R$ , 都有  $[[x^d, x], x^d] = 0$ , 那么  $d = 0$ .

**关键词:** 导子; 素环; 半素环.