

The Analytic Function in the Right Half Plane Defined by Laplace-Stieltjes Transforms

KONG Yin Ying¹, SUN Dao Chun²

(1. Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Guangdong University of Business Studies, Guangdong 510320, China;

2. School of Mathematical Sciences, South China Normal University, Guangdong 510631, China)

(E-mail: kongcoco@tom.com)

Abstract In this paper, the growth of analytic function defined by L-S transforms convergent in the right half plane is studied and some properties on the L-S transform $F(s)$ and its relative transforms $f(s)$ are obtained.

Keywords Laplace-Stieltjes transform; convergent half plane; Newton polygon; order.

Document code A

MR(2000) Subject Classification 44A10; 30D15

Chinese Library Classification O177.4; O174.52

Some problems on the growth and the value distribution of analytic functions defined by Dirichlet series have been studied for a long time and lots of important results were obtained in [1], [2] and [3], but the correlative researches of L-S Transforms are seldom discussed. From [4] and [5], Dirichlet series was regarded as a special example of L-S transforms and some properties of Dirichlet series may be the same with L-S transforms [6]. Yu^[1,4] first studied the growth of Dirichlet series which was uniformly convergent in the complex plane, and obtained some properties on its implicative series, then he extended the results to L-S transforms. In this paper, we continue those studies on the L-S transforms which are convergent in the right half plane and obtained some new results on its relative transforms.

Consider L-S transforms^[5]

$$F(s) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-sx} d\alpha(x), \quad s = \sigma + it, \quad (1)$$

where $\alpha(x)$ is a bounded variation on any interval $[0, X]$ ($0 < X < +\infty$), and σ and t are real variables. We choose a sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$:

$$0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_3 < \cdots < \lambda_n \uparrow +\infty, \quad (2)$$

which satisfies the following conditions:

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n) < +\infty \quad (3)$$

Received date: 2006-04-17; **Accepted date:** 2007-01-26

Foundation item: the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10471048); Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (No. 20050574002).

and

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{\lambda_n} = D < +\infty, \quad \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln A_n^*}{\lambda_n} = 0, \quad (4)$$

where

$$A_n^* = \sup_{\lambda_n < x \leq \lambda_{n+1}, -\infty < t < +\infty} \left| \int_{\lambda_n}^x e^{-ity} d\alpha(y) \right|.$$

According to Valiron-Knopp-Bohr formula^[5] and the conditions of (3) and (4), it follows that $\sigma_u^F = 0$, where σ_u^F is the uniformly convergent abscissa of (1). Then the transform $F(s)$ is analytic in the right half-plane. We set

$$M_u(\sigma, F) = \sup_{0 < x < +\infty, -\infty < t < +\infty} \left| \int_0^x e^{-(\sigma+it)y} d\alpha(y) \right|, \quad \sigma > 0,$$

$$\mu(\sigma, F) = \max_{1 \leq n < +\infty} \{A_n^* e^{-\lambda_n \sigma}\}, \quad \sigma > 0.$$

By the second formula of (4), for any σ ,

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln A_n^* - \lambda_n \sigma}{\lambda_n} = -\sigma < 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \ln A_n^* e^{-\lambda_n \sigma} = -\infty.$$

We can see that $\mu(\sigma, F)$ exists.

Let $\{P_n\} = \{(\lambda_n, -\ln A_n^*)\}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) be a sequence on the xOy plane. Make a convex Newton polygon $\Pi(F)$ from $\{P_n\}$ such that its vertices are in $\{P_n\}$ and the other points are on or above the edge of it. For any $\sigma > 0$, we draw a line over P_n with the slope $-\sigma$:

$$y + \ln A_n^* = -\sigma(x - \lambda_n).$$

The ordinate of the crossover point between the line and the y-axis is $-\ln A_n^* e^{-\lambda_n \sigma}$. Therefore $-\ln \mu(\sigma, F) = \min_{1 \leq n < +\infty} \{-\ln A_n^* e^{-\lambda_n \sigma}\}$. Let $n(\sigma) = \max \{n; \mu(\sigma, F) = A_n^* e^{-\lambda_n \sigma}\}$ denote the maximum term index of (1). Then $\mu(\sigma, F) = A_{n(\sigma)}^* e^{-\lambda_{n(\sigma)} \sigma}$.

Suppose that $G_n = -\ln A_n^*$. Using the similar method to [1] gives:

$$\ln \mu(\sigma, F) = \begin{cases} -G_1, & -\sigma < \frac{G_2 - G_1}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}, \\ -G_1 - \int_{-\frac{G_2 - G_1}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}}^{\sigma} \lambda_n(x) dx, & 0 > -\sigma \geq \frac{G_2 - G_1}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}. \end{cases}$$

It is obvious that $\ln \mu(\sigma, F)$ is a decreasing convex function in $(0, +\infty)$. We can also give the definition of the order τ_u and the order τ_μ as follows

$$\tau_u = \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\ln^+ \ln^+ M_u(\sigma, F)}{-\ln \sigma}, \quad \tau_\mu = \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\ln^+ \ln^+ \mu(\sigma, F)}{-\ln \sigma}.$$

Next, we will investigate the relation between the maximum modulus $M_u(\sigma, F)$ and the maximum term $\mu(\sigma, F)$ of $F(s)$ defined by (1) convergent in the right half plane $\{s | \operatorname{Re} s = \sigma > 0\}$.

Theorem 1 Suppose that $\sigma_u^F = 0$, and the sequence (2) satisfies the conditions of (3) and (4). Then $\forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, when σ is sufficiently close to 0^+ , $\tau_u = \tau_\mu$ holds.

Proof Firstly, let

$$I(x; \sigma + it) = \int_0^x e^{-(\sigma+it)y} d\alpha(y).$$

From (3), there exists $K > 0$ satisfying $0 < \lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n \leq K$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$). As $\sigma (> 0)$ sufficiently reaches 0, it follows $e^{K\sigma} < \frac{3}{2}$. When $x > \lambda_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\lambda_n}^x e^{-ity} d\alpha(y) &= \int_{\lambda_n}^x e^{\sigma y} dy I(y; \sigma + it) \\ &= I(y; \sigma + it) e^{\sigma y} \Big|_{\lambda_n}^x - \sigma \int_{\lambda_n}^x e^{\sigma y} I(y; \sigma + it) dy. \end{aligned}$$

For any $\sigma > 0$, and any $x \in (\lambda_n, \lambda_{n+1}]$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\lambda_n}^x e^{-ity} d\alpha(y) \right| &\leq M_u(\sigma, F) [|e^{\sigma x} + e^{\sigma \lambda_n}| + |e^{\sigma x} - e^{\sigma \lambda_n}|] \\ &\leq 2M_u(\sigma, F) e^{(\lambda_n + K)\sigma} \leq 3M_u(\sigma, F) e^{\lambda_n \sigma}, \end{aligned}$$

then $\frac{1}{3}\mu(\sigma, F) \leq M_u(\sigma, F)$ and $\overline{\lim}_{\sigma \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\ln^+ \ln^+ \mu(\sigma, F)}{-\ln \sigma} \leq \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\ln^+ \ln^+ M_u(\sigma, F)}{-\ln \sigma}$.

Secondly, for any $x > 0$, there exists $n \in N$, $\lambda_n < x \leq \lambda_{n+1}$, such that

$$\int_0^x e^{-(\sigma+it)y} d\alpha(y) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} e^{-(\sigma+it)y} d\alpha(y) + \int_{\lambda_n}^x e^{-(\sigma+it)y} d\alpha(y).$$

Let

$$I_k(x; it) = \int_{\lambda_k}^x e^{-ity} d\alpha(y), \quad \lambda_k \leq x \leq \lambda_{k+1}.$$

For any $t \in R$, we have

$$|I_k(x; it)| \leq A_k^* \leq \mu(\sigma, F) e^{\lambda_k \sigma}, \quad \sigma > 0. \quad (5)$$

Hence for any $x \in (\lambda_k, \lambda_{k+1}]$ and $\sigma > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^x e^{-(\sigma+it)y} d\alpha(y) &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} [e^{-\lambda_{k+1}\sigma} I_k(\lambda_{k+1}; it) + \sigma \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} e^{-\sigma y} I_k(y; it) dy] + \\ &\quad e^{-\sigma x} I_n(x; it) + \sigma \int_{\lambda_n}^x e^{-\sigma y} I_n(y; it) dy. \end{aligned}$$

From (5) and $\forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, we obtain $|I_k(x; it)| \leq \mu((1-\varepsilon)\sigma, F) e^{\lambda_k(1-\varepsilon)\sigma}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^x e^{-(\sigma+it)y} d\alpha(y) \right| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mu((1-\varepsilon)\sigma, F) e^{\lambda_k(1-\varepsilon)\sigma} (e^{-\lambda_{k+1}\sigma} + |e^{-\lambda_{k+1}\sigma} - e^{-\lambda_k\sigma}|) + \\ &\quad \mu((1-\varepsilon)\sigma, F) e^{\lambda_n(1-\varepsilon)\sigma} (e^{-\sigma x} + |e^{-\sigma x} - e^{-\lambda_n\sigma}|) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \mu((1-\varepsilon)\sigma, F) e^{\lambda_k(1-\varepsilon)\sigma} e^{-\lambda_k\sigma} \\ &\leq \mu((1-\varepsilon)\sigma, F) \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda_k \varepsilon \sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

From the first formula of (4), it follows that for the above $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N(\varepsilon) > 0$, for any $n > N(\varepsilon)$, we have $\lambda_n > \frac{n}{D+\varepsilon}$, such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda_k \varepsilon \sigma} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{N(\varepsilon)} e^{-\lambda_k \varepsilon \sigma} + \sum_{k=N(\varepsilon)+1}^{+\infty} e^{-k \frac{\sigma \varepsilon}{D+\varepsilon}} < K(\varepsilon) \frac{1}{\sigma}, \quad \sigma \rightarrow +0,$$

where $K(\varepsilon)$ is a constant dependent on ε and (3). So for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $t \in R$, it follows that $M_u(\sigma, F) \leq K(\varepsilon)\mu((1 - \varepsilon)\sigma, F) \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma}$.

Consequently, we have

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\ln^+ \ln^+ \mu(\sigma, F)}{-\ln \sigma} \geq \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\ln^+ \ln^+ M_u(\sigma, F)}{-\ln \sigma}.$$

The proof is completed.

Theorem 2 Suppose that L-S transforms (1) of the order $\tau_\mu \in (0, +\infty)$ satisfy (2), (3) and (4). Then there will be only two situations on $\ln^+ \mu(\sigma, F)$:

1) For $\forall \eta_n \downarrow 0 (\eta_n < \tau_\mu)$, there exists $\{\xi_n\} \in (0, 1)$, such that for $\forall \sigma < \xi_n, \forall n \in N_+$, it follows that $\ln^+ \mu(\sigma, F) > \sigma^{-(\tau_\mu - \eta_n)}$;

2) Otherwise there exists $\eta_n \downarrow 0 (\eta_n < \tau_\mu)$ and $\sigma_n \downarrow 0^+$, then $\ln^+ \mu(\sigma_n, F) = \sigma_n^{-(\tau_\mu - \eta_n)}$.

Proof 1) is possible for $\tau_\mu > 0$, we only need to prove 2). Suppose that 1) is untrue. Take $\varepsilon_n \downarrow 0 (\varepsilon_n < \tau_\mu)$. Then there exists positive numbers $\sigma'_1 < 1$ and $k_1 \in N_+$, such that

$$\ln^+ \mu(\sigma'_1, F) \leq \sigma'^{- (\tau_\mu - \varepsilon_{k_1})}.$$

Since $\tau_\mu > 0$, there exists positive number $\sigma_1^* < \sigma'_1$ so that $\ln^+ \mu(\sigma_1^*, F) > \sigma_1^{*- (\tau_\mu - \varepsilon_{k_1})}$ and then $\exists \sigma_1 \in (\sigma_1^*, \sigma'_1)$ satisfying $\ln^+ \mu(\sigma_1, F) = \sigma_1^{-(\tau_\mu - \varepsilon_{k_1})}$.

Take the other sequence $\{\varepsilon_k\} (k > k_1)$. Since 1) is untrue, there exists positive numbers $\sigma'_2 < \sigma_1^*$ and $k_2 > k_1$, satisfying $\ln^+ \mu(\sigma'_2, F) \leq \sigma'^{- (\tau_\mu - \varepsilon_{k_2})}$. For $f(s)$ of the order $\tau_\mu > 0$, there exists positive number $\sigma_2^* < \sigma'_2$ such that

$$\ln^+ \mu(\sigma_2^*, F) > \sigma_2^{*- (\tau_\mu - \varepsilon_{k_2})}.$$

Therefore there exists $\sigma_2 \in (\sigma_2^*, \sigma'_2)$ satisfying $\ln^+ \mu(\sigma_2, F) = \sigma_2^{-(\tau_\mu - \varepsilon_{k_2})}$. The rest may be deduced analogously. So there exists $\varepsilon_{k_n} \downarrow 0$, and $\sigma_n \downarrow 0^+$ which satisfy

$$\ln^+ \mu(\sigma_n, F) = \sigma_n^{-(\tau_\mu - \varepsilon_{k_n})}.$$

Set $\eta_n = \varepsilon_{k_n}$, we can obtain 2). Theorem 2 is proved.

Suppose that $F(s)$ meets the conditions of (2), (3) and (4). Then it is analytic in the right half-plane. In the following text, we only discuss the situation of $\tau_\mu > 0$. For any $\sigma \in (0, 1]$, we define the function $V(\sigma)$ as follows:

1⁰. When $0 < \tau_\mu < +\infty$:

Case 1) of Theorem 2, we set $V(\sigma) = \ln^+ \mu(\sigma, F)$.

Case 2) of Theorem 2, for $\sigma_{n+1} < \sigma < \sigma_n$, we set

$$V(\sigma) = \max\{\ln^+ \mu(\sigma, F), \sigma^{-(\tau_\mu - \eta_n)}\}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

2⁰. When $\tau_\mu = +\infty$, set $\frac{\ln V(\sigma)}{-\ln \sigma} = \max_{\sigma \leq x \leq 1} \frac{\ln^+ \ln^+ \mu(x, F)}{-\ln x}$.

Under case 1⁰, $V(\sigma)$ is a decreasing convex function on σ . Under case 2⁰, $V(\sigma)$ is a continuous function on σ . Under both cases 1⁰ and 2⁰, we always have:

$$V(\sigma) \geq \ln^+ \mu(\sigma, F), \quad \sigma \in (0, 1] \quad (6)$$

and there exists a decreasing positive sequence $\{\sigma'_n\} \searrow 0$ such that

$$V(\sigma'_n) = \ln \mu(\sigma'_n, F). \quad (7)$$

So we have the following results:

- (i) When $\sigma \rightarrow 0^+$, we see that $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\ln V(\sigma)}{-\ln \sigma} = \tau_\mu$, then $V(\sigma) > (\ln \sigma)^2$.
(ii) Suppose that $W(\sigma) = e^{V(\sigma)}$. Then $W(\sigma)$ is a decreasing function on σ and for any $h \in (0, +\infty)$, it satisfies $\int_0^1 \frac{W(\sigma+h)}{W(\sigma)} d\sigma < \infty$.

Lemma 1 Suppose $\Omega(x) = \int_0^1 \frac{e^{x(1-\sigma)}}{W(\sigma)} d\sigma$ is an increasing function of $x(>0)$ and we set

$$\nu(x) = \max_{0 < \sigma \leq 1} \frac{e^{x(1-\sigma)}}{W(\sigma)} = \frac{e^{x(1-\sigma_x)}}{W(\sigma_x)}. \quad (8)$$

Then $\frac{\nu(x)}{2x} \leq \Omega(x) \leq \nu(x)$, when x is sufficiently large.

Proof When x is sufficiently large, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(x) &\geq \int_{\sigma_x}^1 \frac{e^{x(1-\sigma)}}{W(\sigma)} d\sigma \geq \frac{1}{W(\sigma_x)} \int_{\sigma_x}^1 e^{x(1-\sigma)} d\sigma = \frac{e^{x(1-\sigma_x)} - 1}{xW(\sigma_x)} \\ &> \frac{e^{x(1-\sigma_x)}}{2xW(\sigma_x)} > \frac{\nu(x)}{2x}. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, we can easily get $\Omega(x) \leq \nu(x) \int_0^1 d\sigma = \nu(x)$. The proof is completed.

Theorem 3 Suppose that $F(s)$ satisfies $\sigma_\mu^F = 0$. Then it is analytic in the right half-plane. We set a new relative transform of $F(s)$ as follows

$$f(s) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-sx} \Omega(x) d\alpha(x).$$

Then its associated abscissas of uniform convergence $\sigma_\mu^f = 1$, and when $\text{Res} > 1$, we have

$$f(s) = \int_0^1 \frac{F(s+x-1)}{W(x)} dx. \quad (9)$$

Proof Choose a sequence λ_n which satisfy (2), (3) and (4). Then we set

$$B_n^* = \sup_{\lambda_n < x < \lambda_{n+1}, -\infty < t < +\infty} \left| \int_{\lambda_n}^x e^{-ity} \Omega(y) d\alpha(y) \right|.$$

By Theorem 3.1 of the paper [5], we have

$$A_n^* \Omega(\lambda_n) \leq B_n^* \leq 2A_n^* \Omega(\lambda_{n+1}).$$

Let K be a constant dependent of (3). Combining this with Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\ln A_n^* + \ln \nu(\lambda_n) - \ln 2\lambda_n \leq \ln B_n^* \leq \ln A_n^* + \ln \nu(\lambda_n + K) + \ln 2. \quad (10)$$

On the one hand, from (6) and (8), it follows that

$$\ln \nu(\lambda_n + K) \leq (\lambda_n + K)(1 - \sigma_{\lambda_n + K}) - \ln^+ \mu(\sigma_{\lambda_n + K}, F) \leq K - K\sigma_{\lambda_n + K} + \lambda_n - \ln A_n^*.$$

On the other hand, from (7), there exists $\{\sigma_n\} \downarrow 0^+$ satisfying

$$\ln \nu(\lambda_n) \geq \lambda_n(1 - \sigma_n) - V(\sigma_n) = \lambda_n(1 - \sigma_n) - \log \mu(\sigma_n, F).$$

We choose $\{k_n\}$, so that $\mu(\sigma_n, F) = A_{k_n}^* e^{-\lambda_{k_n} \sigma_n}$. Then

$$\ln \nu(\lambda_{k_n}) \geq \lambda_{k_n}(1 - \sigma_n) - \ln A_{k_n}^* + \lambda_{k_n} \sigma_n = \lambda_{k_n} - \ln A_{k_n}^*.$$

Consequently, from (10) and the above results, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln B_n^*}{\lambda_n} &\geq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln A_{k_n}^* + \ln \nu(\lambda_{k_n}) - \ln 2\lambda_{k_n}}{\lambda_{k_n}} \\ &\geq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_{k_n} - \ln 2\lambda_{k_n}}{\lambda_{k_n}} = 1, \\ \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln B_n^*}{\lambda_n} &\leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln A_n^* + \ln \nu(\lambda_n + K) + \ln 2}{\lambda_n} \\ &\leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K - K\sigma_{\lambda_n + K} + \lambda_n + \ln 2}{\lambda_n} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

So, from the first formula of (4) and Theorem 1.3 of [5], we obtain $\sigma_u^f = 1$. When $\text{Res} > 1$, we can change the integral order of $f(s)$ since it is uniformly convergent in $\text{Res} > 1$,

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^1 \frac{e^{-x(\sigma+s-1)}}{W(\sigma)} d\sigma d\alpha(x) = \int_0^1 \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-x(\sigma+s-1)}}{W(\sigma)} d\alpha(x) d\sigma$$

and then we have the result (9).

References

- [1] YU Jiarong. *Dirichlet Series and the Random Dirichlet Series* [M]. Beijing, Science Press, 1997.
- [2] SUN Daochun, YU Jiarong. *On the distribution of values of random Dirichlet series. II* [J]. Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B, 1999, **11**(1): 33–44.
- [3] KONG Yinying, SUN Daochun. *On the rearrangements of the coefficients of two Dirichlet series* [J]. Math. Practice Theory, 2005, **35**(11): 188–193. (in Chinese)
- [4] YU Jiarong. *Sur les droites de Borel de certaines fonctions entières* [J]. Ann. Ec. Norm. Sup., 1951, **68**(3): 65–104.
- [5] YU Jiarong. *On the Borel lines of entire functions defined by Laplace-Stieltjes transforms* [J]. Acta Math. Sinica, 1963, **13**: 471–484. (in Chinese)
- [6] KONG Yinying, SUN Daochun. *The growth of Laplace-Stieltjes transform convergent in the complex plane* [J]. Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., 2006, **98**(2): 109–116.