

Hilbert Coefficients of Filtrations with Almost Maximal Depth

ZHU Guang Jun, GU Yan, TANG Zhong Ming

(Department of Mathematics, Soochow University, Jiangsu 215006, China)

(E-mail: zhuguangjun@suda.edu.cn)

Abstract Let \mathcal{F} be a Hilbert filtration with respect to a Cohen-Macaulay R -module M . When $G(\mathcal{F}, M)$ and $F_K(\mathcal{F}, M)$ have almost maximal depths, we show that the length $\lambda(KI_nM/KJI_{n-1}M)$ and the reduction number $r_J^K(\mathcal{F}, M)$ are independent of J . Lower bounds for the first and second Hilbert coefficients are obtained.

Keywords Hilbert coefficients; fiber cones; depth.

Document code A

MR(2000) Subject Classification 13D40; 13H15; 13C14

Chinese Library Classification O153.3

1. Introduction

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring with infinite residue field. We say that $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a filtration if $I_0 = R \supseteq I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq \cdots$ is a chain of ideals of R such that $I_1 \neq R$ and $I_m I_n \subseteq I_{m+n}$ for all m, n . For any filtration $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, let $R(\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I_n$ and $G(\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I_n/I_{n+1}$ be the Rees ring and associated graded ring of \mathcal{F} . If \mathcal{F} is an I_1 -adic filtration, we write $R(I_1)$ and $G(I_1)$ for $R(\mathcal{F})$ and $G(\mathcal{F})$, respectively. Further, let M be a finitely generated R -module. We say that \mathcal{F} is a Hilbert filtration with respect to M if $\lambda(M/I_1M) < \infty$ and $I_1 I_n M = I_{n+1} M$ for large n . Hilbert \mathcal{F} -filtration with respect to M satisfies $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} I_n M = 0$.

Throughout the paper, let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a commutative Noetherian local ring with infinite residue field, M a finitely generated Cohen-Macaulay R -module of dimension $d > 0$ and \mathcal{F} a Hilbert filtration with respect to M . Let K be an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of R such that $I_{n+1} \subseteq KI_n$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Let $F_K(\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I_n/KI_n$ be the fiber cone of \mathcal{F} with respect to K , and $G(\mathcal{F}, M) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I_n M/I_{n+1} M$, $F_K(\mathcal{F}, M) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I_n M/KI_n M$. Then $G(\mathcal{F}, M)$ is a finitely generated $G(\mathcal{F})$ -module and $F_K(\mathcal{F}, M)$ is a finitely generated $F_K(\mathcal{F})$ -module.

Let $H_K(\mathcal{F}, M, n) = \lambda(M/KI_n M)$ be the Hilbert-Samuel function of \mathcal{F} with respect to M and K , and $P_K(\mathcal{F}, M, n)$ the corresponding polynomial. Then

$$P_K(\mathcal{F}, M, n) = g_0(\mathcal{F}, M) \binom{n+d-1}{d} - g_1(\mathcal{F}, M) \binom{n+d-2}{d-1} + \cdots + (-1)^d g_d(\mathcal{F}, M).$$

Received date: 2006-10-10; **Accepted date:** 2007-05-24

Foundation item: the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10771152).

In this paper, we will discuss the properties of Hilbert coefficients $g_i(\mathcal{F}, M)$ and related problems under the assumption that $G(\mathcal{F}, M)$ and $F_K(\mathcal{F}, M)$ have almost maximal depths, i.e., $\text{depth } G(\mathcal{F}, M) \geq d-1$ and $\text{depth } F_K(\mathcal{F}, M) \geq d-1$.

When (R, \mathfrak{m}) is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension $d > 0$, I is an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal such that $\text{depth } G(I) \geq d-1$ and J is any minimal reduction of I , Corso, Polini and Pinto^[2] ([5, Corollary 2.6]) showed that $\lambda(I^n/JI^{n-1})$ is independent of J for all $n \geq 1$. To generalize this result, we suppose that $\text{depth } G(\mathcal{F}, M) \geq d-1$ and $\text{depth } F_K(\mathcal{F}, M) \geq d-1$. Let J be a minimal reduction of \mathcal{F} with respect to M . We will show that $\lambda(KI_nM/KJI_{n-1}M)$ does not depend on J for all $n \geq 1$.

Under the above assumptions on R and depth of $G(I)$, reduction numbers have also nice properties. It is proved in [5] that $r_J(I)$ is independent of J . Furthermore, Marley^[10] showed that $r(I) = n(I) + d$, where $n(I)$ is the postulation number of I . We can also generalize these results to the filtration case. Assume that $\text{depth } G(\mathcal{F}, M) \geq d-1$ and $\text{depth } F_K(\mathcal{F}, M) \geq d-1$, and let J be a minimal reduction of \mathcal{F} with respect to M . We will show that the K -reduction number $r_J^K(\mathcal{F}, M)$ is independent of J and $r^K(\mathcal{F}, M) = n^K(\mathcal{F}, M) + d$.

In Section 5, we will give lower bounds for $g_1(\mathcal{F}, M)$ and $g_2(\mathcal{F}, M)$. Our results are the following

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(\mathcal{F}, M) &\geq \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda(KI_nM + JM/JM) - \lambda(M/KM) \\ g_2(\mathcal{F}, M) &\geq \sum_{n \geq 1} n\lambda(KI_{n+1}M + JM/JM) + \lambda(M/\bigcup_{k \geq 1} (KI_kM + J_{d-2}M) : I_1^k). \end{aligned}$$

The above formula for the lower bound of $g_1(\mathcal{F}, M)$ generalize the formula for $g_1(\mathcal{F}, R)$ obtained by Jayanthan and Verma^[7]. One simply puts $M = R$, then

$$g_1(\mathcal{F}, R) \geq \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda(KI_n + J/J) - \lambda(R/K).$$

2. Preliminaries

Let $H(\mathcal{F}, M, n) = \lambda(M/I_nM)$ be the Hilbert-Samuel function of \mathcal{F} with respect to M and $P(\mathcal{F}, M, n)$ the corresponding polynomial. We have that

$$P(\mathcal{F}, M, n) = e_0(\mathcal{F}, M) \binom{n+d-1}{d} - e_1(\mathcal{F}, M) \binom{n+d-2}{d-1} + \cdots + (-1)^d e_d(\mathcal{F}, M).$$

Then $g_0(\mathcal{F}, M) = e_0(\mathcal{F}, M)$.

An ideal $J \subseteq I_1$ is said to be a reduction of \mathcal{F} with respect to M if there exists an integer $r > 0$ such that $I_{n+1}M = JI_nM$ for all $n \geq r$. By [9, Lemma 1], there exist $x_1, \dots, x_d \in I_1$ such that (x_1, \dots, x_d) is a minimal reduction of \mathcal{F} with respect to M . Then, since M is Cohen-Macaulay, we have that $e_0(\mathcal{F}, M) = \lambda(M/(x_1, \dots, x_d)M)$.

Let J be a minimal reduction of \mathcal{F} with respect to M . The K -reduction number $r_J^K(\mathcal{F}, M)$ of \mathcal{F} with respect to M and J is defined by

$$r_J^K(\mathcal{F}, M) = \min\{n \mid KI_{m+1}M = KJI_mM \text{ for all } m \geq n\},$$

and the K -reduction number $r^K(\mathcal{F}, M)$ of \mathcal{F} with respect to M is defined as

$$r^K(\mathcal{F}, M) = \min\{r_J^K(\mathcal{F}, M) \mid J \text{ is a minimal reduction of } \mathcal{F} \text{ with respect to } M\}.$$

Set $n^K(\mathcal{F}, M) = \min\{n \mid P_K(\mathcal{F}, M, k) = H_K(\mathcal{F}, M, k) \text{ for all } k > n\}$, which we call as the K -postulation number of \mathcal{F} with respect to M . Note that, as $P_K(\mathcal{F}, M, n)$ is a polynomial of degree d , $n^K(\mathcal{F}, M) \geq -d$.

Let $x \in I_1 \setminus I_2$ and x^* the initial form of x in $G(\mathcal{F})$. x^* is said to be superficial for $G(\mathcal{F}, M)$ if there exists an integer $c > 0$ such that $(I_{n+1}M : x) \cap I_cM = I_nM$ for all $n > c$. Similarly, for any $x \in I_1 \setminus KI_1$, let x^0 be the initial form of x in $F_K(\mathcal{F})$, x^0 is said to be superficial for $F_K(\mathcal{F}, M)$ if there exists an integer $c > 0$ such that $(KI_{n+1}M : x) \cap I_cM = KI_nM$ for all $n > c$. Superficial sequences are defined inductively.

Suppose that x^0 is superficial for $F_K(\mathcal{F}, M)$. Let “ $-$ ” denote images modulo (x) . Thus, $\overline{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{F}/(x) = \{I_n + (x)/(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$, $\overline{J} = J/(x)$, $\overline{K} = K/(x)$, $\overline{M} = M/xM$. Since

$$H_{\overline{K}}(\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{M}, n+1) = H_K(\mathcal{F}, M, n+1) - H_K(\mathcal{F}, M, n) + \lambda((KI_{n+1}M : x)/KI_nM),$$

it follows that

$$g_i(\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{M}) = g_i(\mathcal{F}, M), i = 0, 1, \dots, d-1.$$

The following lemma can be shown by similar arguments in [7] (cf. [3]).

Lemma 2.1 *Let J be a minimal reduction of \mathcal{F} with respect to M . Then there exist $x_1, \dots, x_d \in I_1 \setminus KI_1$ such that $J = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$, x_1^*, \dots, x_d^* is a superficial sequence for $G(\mathcal{F}, M)$ and x_1^0, \dots, x_d^0 is a superficial sequence for $F_K(\mathcal{F}, M)$.*

Furthermore, if $\text{depth } G(\mathcal{F}, M) \geq k$ and $\text{depth } F_K(\mathcal{F}, M) \geq k$ for an integer $k > 0$, then one may choose the above x_1, \dots, x_d such that x_1^*, \dots, x_k^* is a regular $G(\mathcal{F}, M)$ -sequence and x_1^0, \dots, x_k^0 is a regular $F_K(\mathcal{F}, M)$ -sequence. In this case, for all $n \geq 0$,

$$(KI_{n+1}M + (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M) : x_i = KI_nM + (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

The same arguments as in [8, Lemma 3.8] and [10, Lemma 1] can be applied to get the following

Proposition 2.2 *Let $J = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ be a minimal reduction of \mathcal{F} with respect to M with generators chosen as in Lemma 2.1. Suppose that x_1^* is $G(\mathcal{F}, M)$ -regular and x_1^0 is $F_K(\mathcal{F}, M)$ -regular. Let “ $-$ ” denote images modulo (x_1) . Then $r_{\overline{J}}^{\overline{K}}(\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{M}) = r_J^K(\mathcal{F}, M)$ and $n^{\overline{K}}(\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{M}) = n^K(\mathcal{F}, M) + 1$.*

The Hilbert coefficients $g_i(\mathcal{F}, M)$ can be calculated by Hilbert series. Write

$$P_K(\mathcal{F}, M, n) = g'_0(\mathcal{F}, M) \binom{n+d}{d} - g'_1(\mathcal{F}, M) \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} + \dots + (-1)^d g'_d(\mathcal{F}, M).$$

Then $g'_0(\mathcal{F}, M) = g_0(\mathcal{F}, M)$ and $g'_i(\mathcal{F}, M) = g_i(\mathcal{F}, M) + g_{i-1}(\mathcal{F}, M)$, $i = 1, \dots, d$. Let $H_{\mathcal{F}}(M, t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} H_K(\mathcal{F}, M, n)t^n$ be the Hilbert series of \mathcal{F} with respect to M and K . Then there exists a unique polynomial $f(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ such that $H_{\mathcal{F}}(M, t) = \frac{f(t)}{(1-t)^{d+1}}$. Then $g'_i(\mathcal{F}, M) = \frac{f^{(i)}(1)}{i!}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$, [1, Chapt. 4].

Using the same arguments as in [4, Proposition 1.5], we have

Proposition 2.3 Let $J = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ be a minimal reduction of \mathcal{F} with respect to M with x_1^* being superficial for $G(\mathcal{F}, M)$ and x_1^0 being superficial for $F_K(\mathcal{F}, M)$. Let “ $-$ ” denote images modulo (x_1) . Then

$$g_d(\mathcal{F}, M) = g_d(\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{M}) - \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^d \lambda((KI_{n+1}M : x_1)/KI_nM).$$

Furthermore, if x_1^* is $G(\mathcal{F}, M)$ -regular and x_1^0 is $F_K(\mathcal{F}, M)$ -regular, then

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} H_{\overline{K}}(\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{M}, n)t^n = (1-t) \sum_{n \geq 0} H_K(\mathcal{F}, M, n)t^n.$$

3. Independence of lengths

In this section, we prove the independence of the length $\lambda(KI_nM/KJI_{n-1}M)$ on J . We need a lemma.

Lemma 3.1 Suppose that $\text{depth } G(\mathcal{F}, M) \geq d-1$ and $\text{depth } F_K(\mathcal{F}, M) \geq d-1$. Let J be a minimal reduction of \mathcal{F} with respect to M and $r = r_J^K(\mathcal{F}, M)$. Then

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} H_K(\mathcal{F}, M, n)t^n = \frac{\lambda(\frac{M}{KM}) + [\lambda(\frac{KM}{JM}) - \lambda(\frac{KI_1M}{KJM})]t + \sum_{n=2}^{r+1} [\lambda(\frac{KI_{n-1}M}{KJI_{n-2}M}) - \lambda(\frac{KI_nM}{KJI_{n-1}M})]t^n}{(1-t)^{d+1}}.$$

Proof Choose generators x_1, \dots, x_d for J as in Lemma 2.1. Let “ $-$ ” denote images modulo (x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}) . Then from Proposition 2.3, we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} H_K(\mathcal{F}, M, n)t^n = \frac{\sum_{n \geq 0} H_{\overline{K}}(\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{M}, n)t^n}{(1-t)^{d-1}}.$$

It is clear that $\lambda(M/KM) = \lambda(\overline{M}/\overline{KM})$, $\lambda(KM/JM) = \lambda(\overline{KM}/\overline{JM})$. For all $n \geq 0$, by Lemma 2.1,

$$(KI_{n+1}M + (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M) : x_i = KI_nM + (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, d-1,$$

it follows that

$$KI_{n+1}M \cap (x_1, \dots, x_i)M \subseteq KJI_nM, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, d-1.$$

Hence

$$\lambda(KI_nM/KJI_{n-1}M) = \lambda(\overline{KI_nM}/\overline{KJI_{n-1}M}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Thus, we may assume that $d = 1$ and it is enough to show that

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} H_K(\mathcal{F}, M, n)t^n = \frac{\lambda(\frac{M}{KM}) + [\lambda(\frac{KM}{x_1M}) - \lambda(\frac{KI_1M}{x_1KM})]t + \sum_{n=2}^{r+1} [\lambda(\frac{KI_{n-1}M}{x_1KI_{n-2}M}) - \lambda(\frac{KI_nM}{x_1KI_{n-1}M})]t^n}{(1-t)^2}.$$

Set

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} H_K(\mathcal{F}, M, n)t^n = \frac{\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n}{(1-t)^2}.$$

Then, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= \lambda(M/KM) \\ a_1 &= \lambda(M/KI_1M) - 2\lambda(M/KM) \\ a_n &= \lambda(M/KI_nM) - 2\lambda(M/KI_{n-1}M) + \lambda(M/KI_{n-2}M), \quad n = 2, 3, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

and, $a_n = 0$ for $n \gg 0$. Let $n \geq 2$. Note that

$$\lambda(M/KI_nM) - 2\lambda(M/KI_{n-1}M) + \lambda(M/KI_{n-2}M) = \lambda\left(\frac{KI_{n-1}M}{KI_nM}\right) - \lambda\left(\frac{KI_{n-2}M}{KI_{n-1}M}\right).$$

Thus

$$a_n = \lambda(KI_{n-1}M/KI_nM) - \lambda(KI_{n-2}M/KI_{n-1}M), n = 2, 3, \dots$$

Since x_1 is M -regular and

$$\lambda(KM/KI_1M) + \lambda(KI_1M/x_1KM) = \lambda(KM/x_1M) + \lambda(x_1M/x_1KM),$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= \lambda(KM/KI_1M) - \lambda(M/KM) \\ &= \lambda(KM/KI_1M) - \lambda(x_1M/x_1KM) \\ &= \lambda(KM/x_1M) - \lambda(KI_1M/x_1KM). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $n \geq 2$, since

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda(KI_{n-1}M/KI_nM) + \lambda(KI_nM/x_1KI_{n-1}M) \\ &= \lambda(KI_{n-1}M/x_1KI_{n-2}M) + \lambda(x_1KI_{n-2}M/x_1KI_{n-1}M), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= \lambda(KI_{n-1}M/KI_nM) - \lambda(x_1KI_{n-2}M/x_1KI_{n-1}M) \\ &= \lambda(KI_{n-1}M/x_1KI_{n-2}M) - \lambda(KI_nM/x_1KI_{n-1}M). \end{aligned}$$

As $KI_nM = x_1KI_{n-1}M$ for all $n \geq r+1$, we see that $a_n = 0$ for all $n > r+1$. The result follows. \square

Theorem 3.2 Suppose that $\text{depth } G(\mathcal{F}, M) \geq d-1$ and $\text{depth } F_K(\mathcal{F}, M) \geq d-1$. Let J be a minimal reduction of \mathcal{F} with respect to M . Then $\lambda(KI_nM/KJI_{n-1}M)$ does not depend on J for all $n \geq 0$.

Proof Let $r = r_J^K(\mathcal{F}, M)$. Then, from Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} H_K(\mathcal{F}, M, n)t^n = \frac{\lambda\left(\frac{M}{KM}\right) + [\lambda\left(\frac{KM}{JM}\right) - \lambda\left(\frac{KI_1M}{KJM}\right)]t + \sum_{n=2}^{r+1} [\lambda\left(\frac{KI_{n-1}M}{KJI_{n-2}M}\right) - \lambda\left(\frac{KI_nM}{KJI_{n-1}M}\right)]t^n}{(1-t)^{d+1}}.$$

Denote the right side as $\frac{\sum_{n=0}^{r+1} a_n t^n}{(1-t)^{d+1}}$. Since $\sum_{n \geq 0} H_K(\mathcal{F}, M, n) t^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda(M/KI_n M) t^n$ is a series with coefficients independent of J , we see that a_n is independent of J .

Note that $\lambda(M/JM) = e_0(\mathcal{F}, M)$ is independent of J . Then $\lambda(KM/JM) = \lambda(M/JM) - \lambda(M/KM)$ is also independent of J . It follows that $\lambda(KI_1 M/KJM) = \lambda(KM/JM) - a_1$ and $\lambda(KM/KJM) = \lambda(M/KI_1 M) - e(\mathcal{F}, M) - a_1$ are independent of J . Inductively, suppose that $\lambda(KI_n M/KJI_{n-1} M)$ does not depend on J . Then

$$\lambda(KI_{n+1} M/KJI_n M) = \lambda(KI_n M/KJI_{n-1} M) - a_{n+1}$$

is also independent of J . The proof is completed. \square

4. Independence of reduction numbers

We first show that $r_J^K(\mathcal{F}, M)$ is independent of J .

Theorem 4.1 Assume that $\text{depth } G(\mathcal{F}, M) \geq d-1$ and $\text{depth } F_K(\mathcal{F}, M) \geq d-1$. Let J be a minimal reduction of \mathcal{F} with respect to M . Then $r_J^K(\mathcal{F}, M)$ is independent of J .

Proof If $d > 1$, then, by Lemma 2.1, we may choose x_1, \dots, x_d such that $J = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$, x_1^*, \dots, x_{d-1}^* is $G(\mathcal{F}, M)$ -regular and x_1^0, \dots, x_{d-1}^0 is $F_K(\mathcal{F}, M)$ -regular. Then $r_J^K(\mathcal{F}, M) = r_J^K(\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{M})$ by Proposition 2.2, where “ $-$ ” denote images modulo (x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}) . Hence we may assume that $d = 1$.

Suppose that $d = 1$. Let $J_1 = (x)$ and $J_2 = (y)$ be two minimal reductions of \mathcal{F} with respect to M . Set $r_1 = r_{J_1}^K(\mathcal{F}, M)$ and $r_2 = r_{J_2}^K(\mathcal{F}, M)$. If $r_1 \neq r_2$, say $r_1 > r_2$, then

$$yKI_{r_1} M = KI_{r_1+1} M = xKI_{r_1} M = xyKI_{r_1-1} M.$$

It follows that $KI_{r_1} M = xKI_{r_1-1} M$, which contradicts the minimality of r_1 . Hence $r_1 = r_2$.

Further, we calculate the reduction number.

Theorem 4.2 Assume that $\text{depth } G(\mathcal{F}, M) \geq d-1$ and $\text{depth } F_K(\mathcal{F}, M) \geq d-1$. Then $r^K(\mathcal{F}, M) = n^K(\mathcal{F}, M) + d$.

Proof Let J be a minimal reduction of \mathcal{F} with respect to M . We want to show that $r_J^K(\mathcal{F}, M) = n^K(\mathcal{F}, M) + d$.

If $d > 1$, then, by Lemma 2.1, we may choose x_1, \dots, x_d such that $J = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$, x_1^*, \dots, x_{d-1}^* is $G(\mathcal{F}, M)$ -regular and x_1^0, \dots, x_{d-1}^0 is $F_K(\mathcal{F}, M)$ -regular. Then $r_J^K(\mathcal{F}, M) = r_J^K(\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{M})$ and $n^K(\mathcal{F}, M) = n^K(\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{M}) + d - 1$ by Proposition 2.2, where “ $-$ ” denote images modulo (x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}) . Hence we may assume that $d = 1$. Let $r = r^K(\mathcal{F}, M)$.

If $n^K(\mathcal{F}, M) = -1$, then $P_K(\mathcal{F}, M, 0) = H_K(\mathcal{F}, M, 0)$. But $P_K(\mathcal{F}, M, 0) = -g_1(\mathcal{F}, M)$ and $H_K(\mathcal{F}, M, 0) = \lambda(M/KM)$. Note that

$$g_1(\mathcal{F}, M) = \sum_{n=1}^r \lambda(KI_n M/xKI_{n-1} M) - \lambda(M/KM).$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{n=1}^r \lambda(KI_n M / xKI_{n-1} M) = 0,$$

hence, $KI_n M = xKI_{n-1} M$ holds for all $n \geq 1$. Thus $r_J^K(\mathcal{F}, M) = 0$.

Now assume $n^K(\mathcal{F}, M) \geq 0$. Since $KI_{n+1} M = xKI_n M$ for all $n \geq r$, we have that $KI_n M = x^{n-r} KI_r M$ for all $n \geq r$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} H_K(\mathcal{F}, M, n) &= \lambda(M / KI_n M) = \lambda(M / x^{n-r} M) + \lambda(x^{n-r} M / x^{n-r} KI_r M) \\ &= (n-r)\lambda(M/xM) + \lambda(M / KI_r M), \text{ for all } n \geq r. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(\mathcal{F}, M) &= \sum_{n=1}^r \lambda(KI_n M / xKI_{n-1} M) - \lambda(M / KM) \\ &= \lambda(KI_r M / xKI_{r-1} M) + \lambda(xKI_{r-1} M / x^2 KI_{r-2} M) + \\ &\quad \cdots + \lambda(x^{r-1} KI_1 M / x^r KM) - \lambda(M / KM) \\ &= \lambda(KI_r M / x^r KM) - \lambda(M / KM), \end{aligned}$$

we get that, for all $n \geq r$,

$$\begin{aligned} P_K(\mathcal{F}, M, n) &= ng_0(\mathcal{F}, M) - g_1(\mathcal{F}, M) \\ &= n\lambda(M/xM) - \lambda(KI_r M / x^r KM) + \lambda(M / KM) \\ &= (n-r)\lambda(M/xM) + r\lambda(M/xM) - \lambda(KI_r M / x^r KM) + \lambda(M / KM) \\ &= (n-r)\lambda(M/xM) + \lambda(M/x^r M) - \lambda(KI_r M / x^r KM) + \lambda(x^r M / x^r KM) \\ &= (n-r)\lambda(M/xM) + \lambda(M / KI_r M) \\ &= H_K(\mathcal{F}, M, n). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $n^K(\mathcal{F}, M) \leq r-1$.

It remains to show that $r \leq n^K(\mathcal{F}, M) + 1$. For all $n \geq n^K(\mathcal{F}, M) + 1$, from $P_K(\mathcal{F}, M, n) = H_K(\mathcal{F}, M, n)$, i.e., $\lambda(M / KI_n M) = n\lambda(M/xM) - g_1(\mathcal{F}, M)$, we obtain $g_1(\mathcal{F}, M) = -\lambda(M / KI_n M) + \lambda(M/x^n M)$. It follows that, for all $n \geq n^K(\mathcal{F}, M) + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(M / KI_{n+1} M) &= (n+1)\lambda(M/xM) - g_1(\mathcal{F}, M) \\ &= \lambda(M/x^{n+1} M) + \lambda(M / KI_n M) - \lambda(M/x^n M) \\ &= \lambda(x^n M / x^{n+1} M) + \lambda(M / KI_n M) \\ &= \lambda(M/xM) + \lambda(xM / xKI_n M) \\ &= \lambda(M/xKI_n M). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $KI_{n+1} M = xKI_n M$ for all $n \geq n^K(\mathcal{F}, M) + 1$. Hence $r \leq n^K(\mathcal{F}, M) + 1$ as required. \square

5. Lower bounds for $g_1(\mathcal{F}, M)$ and $g_2(\mathcal{F}, M)$

In this section, we will give lower bounds of the Hilbert coefficients $g_1(\mathcal{F}, M)$ and $g_2(\mathcal{F}, M)$.

Let us first give a lower bound for $g_1(\mathcal{F}, M)$.

Proposition 5.1 *Let J be a minimal reduction of \mathcal{F} with respect to M . Then*

$$g_1(\mathcal{F}, M) \geq \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda(KI_n M + JM/JM) - \lambda(M/KM).$$

Proof Choose x_1, \dots, x_d as in Lemma 2.1 such that $J = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$. Let “ $-$ ” denote images modulo (x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}) . Then $\overline{KI_{n+1}M} + \overline{JM/JM} \cong KI_{n+1}M + JM/JM$, $M/KM \cong \overline{M}/\overline{KM}$ and $g_1(\mathcal{F}, M) = g_1(\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{M})$. Thus we may assume that $d = 1$. In this case, we have

$$g_1(\mathcal{F}, M) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda(KI_n M/x_1 KI_{n-1} M) - \lambda(M/KM).$$

But $KI_n M + x_1 M/x_1 M \cong KI_n M/KI_n M \cap x_1 M$ is a factor module of $KI_n M/x_1 KI_{n-1} M$, we get that $\lambda(KI_n M/x_1 KI_{n-1} M) \geq \lambda(KI_n M + x_1 M/x_1 M)$. Hence

$$g_1(\mathcal{F}, M) \geq \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda(KI_n M + x_1 M/x_1 M) - \lambda(M/KM).$$

For the second Hilbert coefficient, we need to generalize the definition of the Ratliff-Rush closure of a filtration introduced in [8].

Definition 5.2 *The Ratliff-Rush closure of \mathcal{F} with respect to M and K is defined as $rr_K(\mathcal{F}, M) = \{rr_K(I_n, M)\}_{n \geq 0}$ with $rr_K(I_n, M) = \bigcup_{k \geq 1} (KI_{n+k} M : I_1^k)$.*

We will need the following properties of Ratliff-Rush closure, whose proof is similar to that of [8, Proposition 2.3].

Lemma 5.3 *$rr_K(I_n, M) = KI_n M$ for $n \gg 0$ and, if J is a minimal reduction of I_1 , then, for all $n \geq 1$,*

$$rr_K(I_n, M) : J = rr_K(I_{n-1}, M).$$

Theorem 5.4 *Suppose that $d \geq 2$. Let J be a minimal reduction of I_1 and x_1, \dots, x_d as in Lemma 2.1 such that $J = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$. Set $J_{d-2} = (x_1, \dots, x_{d-2})$. Then*

$$g_2(\mathcal{F}, M) \geq \sum_{n \geq 1} n\lambda\left(\frac{KI_{n+1}M + JM}{JM}\right) + \lambda\left(\frac{M}{\bigcup_{k \geq 1} (KI_k M + J_{d-2}M) : I_1^k}\right).$$

Proof Firstly, let us show that J is also a minimal reduction of \mathcal{F} with respect to M . Since \mathcal{F} is Hilbert, there exists some $s \geq 1$ such that $I_1 I_n M = I_{n+1} M$ for all $n \geq s$. As J is a minimal reduction of I_1 , we have some $r \geq 1$ such that $I_1^{r+1} = JI_1^r$. Then, for any $n \geq r + s$,

$$I_{n+1} M = I_1^{r+1} I_{n-r} M = JI_1^r I_{n-r} M = JI_n M.$$

Hence, J is a minimal reduction of \mathcal{F} with respect to M .

If $d > 2$, let “ $-$ ” denote images modulo J_{d-2} . Then $g_2(\mathcal{F}, M) = g_2(\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{M})$, $\overline{KI_{n+1}M} + \overline{JM/JM} \cong KI_{n+1}M + JM/JM$ and $\overline{M}/\overline{rr_K(I_0, M)} \cong M/\bigcup_{k \geq 1} (KI_k M + J_{d-2}M) : I_1^k$. Thus we may assume that $d = 2$ and it is enough to show that

$$g_2(\mathcal{F}, M) \geq \sum_{n \geq 1} n\lambda\left(\frac{KI_{n+1}M + JM}{JM}\right) + \lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_0, M)}\right).$$

Because that $rr_K(I_n, M) = KI_nM$ holds for $n \gg 0$, we can use $\sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_n, M)})t^n$ to calculate $g'_1(\mathcal{F}, M)$ and $g'_2(\mathcal{F}, M)$.

Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{M}{rr_K(I_{n-1}, M) : J} \xrightarrow{\beta} \left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_{n-1}, M)}\right)^2 \xrightarrow{\alpha} \frac{JM}{Jrr_K(I_{n-1}, M)} \rightarrow 0$$

where the map α and β are defined as, $\alpha(\bar{r}, \bar{s}) = \overline{x_1 r + x_2 s}$ and $\beta(\bar{r}) = (\overline{x_2 r}, \overline{-x_1 r})$. It follows that for all $n \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_{n-1}, M)}\right) &= \lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_{n-1}, M) : J}\right) + \lambda\left(\frac{JM}{Jrr_K(I_{n-1}, M)}\right) \\ &= \lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_{n-1}, M) : J}\right) + \lambda\left(\frac{M}{Jrr_K(I_{n-1}, M)}\right) - \lambda\left(\frac{M}{JM}\right) \\ &= \lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_{n-1}, M) : J}\right) + \lambda\left(\frac{M}{Jrr_K(I_{n-1}, M)}\right) - e_0(\mathcal{F}, M). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} e_0(\mathcal{F}, M) + 2\lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_{n-1}, M)}\right) - \lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_n, M)}\right) - \lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_{n-2}, M)}\right) \\ = \lambda\left(\frac{M}{Jrr_K(I_{n-1}, M)}\right) - \lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_n, M)}\right) + \lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_{n-1}, M) : J}\right) - \lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_{n-2}, M)}\right) \\ = \lambda\left(\frac{rr_K(I_n, M)}{Jrr_K(I_{n-1}, M)}\right) - \lambda\left(\frac{rr_K(I_{n-1}, M) : J}{rr_K(I_{n-2}, M)}\right) \\ = \lambda\left(\frac{rr_K(I_n, M)}{Jrr_K(I_{n-1}, M)}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality holds because of $rr_K(I_n, M) : J = rr_K(I_{n-1}, M)$ for all $n \geq 1$, by Lemma 5.3.

Let $\sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_n, M)})t^n = \frac{f(t)}{(1-t)^3}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{e_0(\mathcal{F}, M) - f(t)}{1-t} &= \frac{e_0(\mathcal{F}, M) - (1-t)^3 \sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_n, M)})t^n}{1-t} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 2} [e_0(\mathcal{F}, M) + 2\lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_{n-1}, M)}\right) - \lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_n, M)}\right) - \lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_{n-2}, M)}\right)]t^n + \\ &\quad e_0(\mathcal{F}, M)(1+t) - \lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_0, M)}\right)(1-2t) - \lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_1, M)}\right)t \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 2} \lambda\left(\frac{rr_K(I_n, M)}{Jrr_K(I_{n-1}, M)}\right)t^n + e_0(\mathcal{F}, M)(1+t) - \lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_0, M)}\right)(1-2t) - \\ &\quad \lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_1, M)}\right)t, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &= e_0(\mathcal{F}, M) - (1-t) \left[\sum_{n \geq 2} \lambda\left(\frac{rr_K(I_n, M)}{Jrr_K(I_{n-1}, M)}\right)t^n + e_0(\mathcal{F}, M)(1+t) - \right. \\ &\quad \left. \lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_0, M)}\right)(1-2t) - \lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_1, M)}\right)t \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$f'(t) = \sum_{n \geq 2} \lambda\left(\frac{rr_K(I_n, M)}{Jrr_K(I_{n-1}, M)}\right)t^n + e_0(\mathcal{F}, M)(1+t) - \lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_0, M)}\right)(1-2t) - \lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_1, M)}\right)t - \\ (1-t)\left[\sum_{n \geq 2} n\lambda\left(\frac{rr_K(I_n, M)}{Jrr_K(I_{n-1}, M)}\right)t^{n-1} + e_0(\mathcal{F}, M) + 2\lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_0, M)}\right) - \lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_1, M)}\right)\right]$$

and

$$f''(t) = 2\left[\sum_{n \geq 2} n\lambda\left(\frac{rr_K(I_n, M)}{Jrr_K(I_{n-1}, M)}\right)t^{n-1} + e_0(\mathcal{F}, M) + 2\lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_0, M)}\right) - \lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_1, M)}\right)\right] - \\ (1-t)\sum_{n \geq 2} n(n-1)\lambda\left(\frac{rr_K(I_n, M)}{Jrr_K(I_{n-1}, M)}\right)t^{n-2}.$$

Hence

$$g'_1(\mathcal{F}, M) = f'(1) = \sum_{n \geq 2} \lambda\left(\frac{rr_K(I_n, M)}{Jrr_K(I_{n-1}, M)}\right) + 2e_0(\mathcal{F}, M) + \lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_0, M)}\right) - \lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_1, M)}\right) \\ g'_2(\mathcal{F}, M) = \frac{f''(1)}{2} = \sum_{n \geq 2} n\lambda\left(\frac{rr_K(I_n, M)}{Jrr_K(I_{n-1}, M)}\right) + e_0(\mathcal{F}, M) + 2\lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_0, M)}\right) - \lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_1, M)}\right).$$

Therefore

$$g_2(\mathcal{F}, M) = g'_2(\mathcal{F}, M) - g'_1(\mathcal{F}, M) = g'_2(\mathcal{F}, M) - g'_1(\mathcal{F}, M) + e_0(\mathcal{F}, M) \\ = \sum_{n \geq 1} n\lambda\left(\frac{rr_K(I_{n+1}, M)}{Jrr_K(I_n, M)}\right) + \lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_0, M)}\right) \\ = \sum_{n \geq 1} n\left[\lambda\left(\frac{rr_K(I_{n+1}, M)}{KI_{n+1}M + Jrr_K(I_n, M)}\right) + \lambda\left(\frac{KI_{n+1}M + Jrr_K(I_n, M)}{Jrr_K(I_n, M)}\right)\right] + \lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_0, M)}\right) \\ = \sum_{n \geq 1} n\left[\lambda\left(\frac{rr_K(I_{n+1}, M)}{KI_{n+1}M + Jrr_K(I_n, M)}\right) + \lambda\left(\frac{KI_{n+1}M}{KI_{n+1}M \cap Jrr_K(I_n, M)}\right)\right] + \lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_0, M)}\right) \\ = \sum_{n \geq 1} n\left[\lambda\left(\frac{rr_K(I_{n+1}, M)}{KI_{n+1}M + Jrr_K(I_n, M)}\right) + \lambda\left(\frac{KI_{n+1}M}{JM \cap KI_{n+1}M}\right) + \right. \\ \left. \lambda\left(\frac{JM \cap KI_{n+1}M}{KI_{n+1}M \cap Jrr_K(I_n, M)}\right)\right] + \lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_0, M)}\right) \\ = \sum_{n \geq 1} n\lambda\left(\frac{KI_{n+1}M + JM}{JM}\right) + \lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_0, M)}\right) + \\ \sum_{n \geq 1} n\lambda\left(\frac{rr_K(I_{n+1}, M)}{KI_{n+1}M + Jrr_K(I_n, M)}\right) + \sum_{n \geq 1} n\lambda\left(\frac{JM \cap KI_{n+1}M}{KI_{n+1}M \cap Jrr_K(I_n, M)}\right) \\ \geq \sum_{n \geq 1} n\lambda\left(\frac{KI_{n+1}M + JM}{JM}\right) + \lambda\left(\frac{M}{rr_K(I_0, M)}\right).$$

The proof is completed. \square

References

- [1] BRUNS W, HERZOG J. *Cohen-Macaulay Rings* [M]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1993.

- [2] CORSO A, POLINI C, PINTO M V. *Sally modules and associated graded rings* [J]. Comm. Algebra, 1998, **26**(8): 2689–2708.
- [3] CORTADELLAS T. *Fiber cones with almost maximal depth* [J]. Comm. Algebra, 2005, **33**(3): 953–963.
- [4] GUERRIERI A, ROSSI M E. *Hilbert coefficients of Hilbert filtrations* [J]. J. Algebra, 1998, **199**(1): 40–61.
- [5] HUCKABA S. *Reduction numbers for ideals of higher analytic spread* [J]. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 1987, **102**(1): 49–57.
- [6] HUCKABA S. *A d -dimensional extension of a lemma of Huneke's and formulas for the Hilbert coefficients* [J]. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1996, **124**(5): 1393–1401.
- [7] JAYANTHAN A V, VERMA J K. *Hilbert coefficients and depth of fiber cones* [J]. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 2005, **201**(1-3): 97–115.
- [8] JAYANTHAN A V, VERMA J K. *Fiber cones of ideals with almost minimal multiplicity* [J]. Nagoya Math. J., 2005, **177**: 155–179.
- [9] JAYANTHAN A V, SINGH B, VERMA J K. *Hilbert coefficients and depths of form rings* [J]. Comm. Algebra, 2004, **32**(4): 1445–1452.
- [10] MARLEY T. *The coefficients of the Hilbert polynomial and the reduction number of an ideal* [J]. J. London Math. Soc. (2), 1989, **40**(1): 1–8.
- [11] VALABREGA P, VALLA G. *Form rings and regular sequences* [J]. Nagoya Math. J., 1978, **72**: 93–101.