

# Asymptotic Behavior of Asymptotically Nonexpansive Type Mappings in Banach Space

ZHU Lan Ping, LI Gang

(College of Mathematics, Yangzhou University, Jiangsu 225002, China)

(E-mail: zlpmath@yahoo.com.cn; gli@yzu.eud.cn)

**Abstract** Let  $X$  be a uniformly convex Banach space  $X$  such that its dual  $X^*$  has the KK property. Let  $C$  be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of  $X$  and  $G$  be a directed system. Let  $\mathfrak{S} = \{T_t : t \in G\}$  be a family of asymptotically nonexpansive type mappings on  $C$ . In this paper, we investigate the asymptotic behavior of  $\{T_t x_0 : t \in G\}$  and give its weak convergence theorem.

**Keywords** asymptotically nonexpansive type mappings; Kadec-Klee property; directed system; asymptotic behavior.

**Document code** A

**MR(2000) Subject Classification** 47H09; 47H10

**Chinese Library Classification** O152.7

## 1. Introduction

Let  $C$  be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of Banach space  $X$ . Let  $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of mappings from  $C$  into itself. Recall that  $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is said to be asymptotically nonexpansive type, if  $\|T_n x - T_n y\| \leq \|x - y\| + r_n(x)$  for all  $x, y$  in  $C$  with  $r_n(x) \geq 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} r_n(x) = 0$ . And  $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is said to be asymptotically nonexpansive, if  $\|T_n x - T_n y\| \leq K_n \|x - y\|$  for all  $x, y$  in  $C$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} K_n = 1$ .

Bose<sup>[1]</sup>, Feathers and Dotson<sup>[2]</sup> gave the weak convergence theorem of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in a uniformly convex Banach space with weak continuous duality mapping by using Opial's Lemma<sup>[3]</sup>. Using Bruck's Lemma<sup>[4]</sup>, Passty<sup>[5]</sup> extended to the results of [1, 2] to a uniformly convex Banach space with a Fréchet differentiable norm. Recently, Huang and Li<sup>[6]</sup> extended the results of Passty<sup>[5]</sup> to a uniformly convex Banach space with its dual having the KK property. However, Bruck's Lemma does not extend beyond Lipschitzian Mappings, new techniques are needed for this more general case. Li<sup>[7]</sup> first gave the convergence theorem of  $\mathfrak{S} = \{T_t : t \in G\}$  of asymptotically nonexpansive type (Non-Lipschitzian) mappings in a uniformly convex Banach space with a Fréchet differentiable norm, where  $G$  is a directed system. The objective of this paper is to generalize the weak convergence theorem in [7] to the case that

---

**Received date:** 2006-12-14; **Accepted date:** 2007-07-13

**Foundation item:** the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.10571150); the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Education Committee of China (No. 07KJB110131) and the Natural Science Foundation of Yangzhou University (No. FK0513101).

the dual space  $X^*$  has KK property. We would like to remark that the condition that  $X^*$  has the KK property is strictly weaker than the condition that  $X$  has a Fréchet differentiable norm. Our results are generalizations of the main results in [5,6,7].

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, let  $C$  be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of uniformly convex Banach space  $X$ . Let  $X^*$  be the dual of  $X$ . Then the value of  $x^* \in X^*$  at  $x \in X$  will be denoted by  $\langle x, x^* \rangle$  and we associate the set

$$J(x) = \{x^* \in X^* : \langle x, x^* \rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|x^*\|^2\}.$$

Using the Hahn-Banach theorem, it is immediately clear that  $J(x) \neq \emptyset$  for any  $x \in X$ . Then the multi-valued operator  $J : X \mapsto X^*$  is called the normalized duality mapping of  $X$ . We need the following lemma which plays a crucial role in the proof of our main theorem.

**Lemma 2.1**<sup>[8]</sup> *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $J$  be the normalized duality mapping. Then for given  $x, y \in X$  and  $j(x+y) \in J(x+y)$ , we have*

$$\|x+y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2\langle y, j(x+y) \rangle.$$

Recall that  $X$  has a Fréchet differentiable norm if for each  $x \neq 0$ ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (\|x+ty\| - \|x\|)/t$$

exists uniformly in  $y \in B_r$ , where  $B_r = \{z \in X : \|z\| \leq r\}$ ,  $r > 0$ . We say that  $X$  has the Kadec-Klee property (KK property, for short) if for every sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $X$ , whenever  $\omega - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| = \|x\|$ , it follows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ .

It is well known that if  $X$  is a reflexive Banach space with a Fréchet differentiable norm, then  $X^*$  has KK property, while the converse implication fails<sup>[9]</sup>.

**Example 2.1**<sup>[9]</sup> Let us take  $X_1 = L^p[0, 1]$ ,  $1 < p < +\infty$ ,  $p \neq 2$ , and  $X_2 = R^2$  with the norm defined by  $\|x\| = \sqrt{|x_1|^2 + |x_2|^2}$  ( $x = (x_1, x_2) \in R^2$ ). The Cartesian product of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  furnished with the  $l^2$ -norm is a uniformly convex Banach space. Its norm is not Fréchet differentiable, but its dual  $X^*$  does have KK property.

Let  $(G, \leq)$  be a directed system. We extend the definition of [1] to a family of mappings which are not necessarily semigroups.

**Definition 2.1**<sup>[7]</sup> *Let  $\mathfrak{S} = \{T_t : t \in G\}$  be a family self-mappings of  $C$ .  $\mathfrak{S}$  is said to be asymptotically nonexpansive type if for each  $x \in C$ , there exists a function  $R_{(\cdot)}(x) : G \mapsto [0, +\infty)$  with  $\lim_{t \in G} R_t(x) = 0$  such that*

$$\|T_t x - T_t y\| \leq \|x - y\| + R_t(x)$$

for all  $y \in C$  and  $t \in G$ , where  $\lim_{t \in G} R_t(x)$  denotes the limit of the net  $R_{(\cdot)}(x)$  on the directed system  $G$ .

Let  $L(\mathfrak{S})$  denote the set of all asymptotically fixed points of  $\mathfrak{S} = \{T_t : t \in G\}$ , i.e.,  $L(\mathfrak{S}) =$

$\{x \in C : \lim_{t \in G} T_t x = x\}$ . It is easily seen that if  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a semigroup and for each  $t \in G$ ,  $T_t$  is continuous, then  $L(\mathfrak{S})$  is exactly the set of all fixed points of  $\mathfrak{S}$ . Let  $\omega_\omega(x)$  denote the set of all weak limit points of subnet of  $\{T_t x : t \in G\}$ , i.e.,  $\omega_\omega(x) = \{y \in C : \text{there exists a subnet } t_\alpha \text{ of } G \text{ such that } T_{t_\alpha} x \rightharpoonup y\}$ , where  $\rightharpoonup$  denotes weak convergence.

### 3. Main results

In order to prove the main theorem, we proceed with proving several lemmas.

**Lemma 3.1** *If  $X$  is a reflexive space, then the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $X$  has the KK property;
- (b) If  $\{x_\alpha\} \subset X$ ,  $x_\alpha \rightharpoonup x$  and  $\|x_\alpha\| \rightarrow \|x\|$ , then  $x_\alpha \rightarrow x$ , where  $\alpha \in I$  and  $I$  is a directed system.

**Proof** It suffices to prove (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). Let us assume that this is not the case. Then there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for all  $\alpha \in I$ , there exists  $\beta_\alpha \in I$  with  $\beta_\alpha \geq \alpha$  and  $\|x_{\beta_\alpha} - x\| \geq \varepsilon_0$ . Put  $B = \{\beta_\alpha, \alpha \in I\}$ . Then  $B$  is a subset of  $I$ . Obviously, for arbitrary  $\alpha \in B$  we have

$$\|x_\alpha - x\| \geq \varepsilon_0. \quad (3.1)$$

Then for some  $j(x) \in J(x)$ , there exists  $\alpha_1 \in B$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\|x_{\alpha_1}\| - \|x\|| &< 1, \\ |\langle x_{\alpha_1} - x, j(x) \rangle| &< 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for the above  $j(x) \in J(x)$  and some  $j(x_{\alpha_1} - x) \in J(x_{\alpha_1} - x)$ , there exists an  $\alpha_2 \in B$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\|x_{\alpha_2}\| - \|x\|| &< \frac{1}{2}, \\ |\langle x_{\alpha_2} - x, j(x) \rangle| &< \frac{1}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|\langle x_{\alpha_2} - x, j(x_{\alpha_1} - x) \rangle| < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Now by mathematical induction, we can find inductive sequence  $\{\alpha_n\} \subset B$  such that for given  $j(x) \in J(x)$  and  $j(x_{\alpha_i} - x) \in J(x_{\alpha_i} - x)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ , we have the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} |\|x_{\alpha_n}\| - \|x\|| &< \frac{1}{n}, \\ |\langle x_{\alpha_n} - x, j(x) \rangle| &< \frac{1}{n}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

and, in addition,

$$|\langle x_{\alpha_n} - x, j(x_{\alpha_i} - x) \rangle| < \frac{1}{n}, \quad (3.3)$$

where  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Clearly,  $\|x_{\alpha_n}\| \rightarrow \|x\|$  and  $\{x_{\alpha_n}\}$  has a weak convergent subsequence  $\{x_{\alpha_{n_i}}\}$ . We may assume that  $x_{\alpha_{n_i}} \rightharpoonup y$ . Then  $\|y\| \leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \|x_{\alpha_{n_i}}\| = \|x\|$ . By (3.2), we

get  $\langle y - x, j(x) \rangle = 0$  which implies  $\|y\| \geq \|x\|$ . Hence  $\|y\| = \|x\|$ . Therefore,  $x_{\alpha_{n_i}} \rightharpoonup y$  and  $\|x_{\alpha_{n_i}}\| \rightarrow \|y\|$ . By the condition (a), we obtain  $x_{\alpha_{n_i}} \rightarrow y$ . It follows from (3.3) that

$$|\langle x_{\alpha_{n_i}} - x, j(x_{\alpha_{n_i-1}} - x) \rangle| < \frac{1}{n_i}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{\alpha_{n_i-1}} - x\|^2 &= \langle x_{\alpha_{n_i-1}} - x, j(x_{\alpha_{n_i-1}} - x) \rangle \\ &< |\langle x_{\alpha_{n_i}} - x_{\alpha_{n_i-1}}, j(x_{\alpha_{n_i-1}} - x) \rangle| + \frac{1}{n_i} \\ &\leq \|x_{\alpha_{n_i}} - x_{\alpha_{n_i-1}}\| \cdot \|x_{\alpha_{n_i-1}} - x\| + \frac{1}{n_i} \rightarrow 0 \quad (i \rightarrow +\infty). \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts with (3.1). This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2** *If  $\limsup_{s \in G} \limsup_{t \in G} \|T_t T_s x_0 - T_t x_0\| = 0$ , then for all  $f \in L(\mathfrak{S})$ ,  $\lim_{t \in G} \|T_t x_0 - f\|$  exists.*

**Proof** Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_t x_0 - f\| &\leq \|T_t x_0 - T_t T_s x_0\| + \|T_t T_s x_0 - T_t f\| + \|T_t f - f\| \\ &\leq \|T_t x_0 - T_t T_s x_0\| + \|T_s x_0 - f\| + R_t(f) + \|T_t f - f\|, \end{aligned}$$

for fixed  $s \in G$  and passing the limsup for  $t \in G$ , we have

$$\limsup_{t \in G} \|T_t x_0 - f\| \leq \limsup_{t \in G} \|T_t x_0 - T_t T_s x_0\| + \|T_s x_0 - f\|.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{t \in G} \|T_t x_0 - f\| &\leq \liminf_{s \in G} \limsup_{t \in G} \|T_t x_0 - T_t T_s x_0\| + \liminf_{s \in G} \|T_s x_0 - f\| \\ &\leq \limsup_{s \in G} \limsup_{t \in G} \|T_t x_0 - T_t T_s x_0\| + \liminf_{s \in G} \|T_s x_0 - f\| \\ &= \liminf_{s \in G} \|T_s x_0 - f\|. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $\lim_{t \in G} \|T_t x_0 - f\|$  exists. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3** *Let  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and  $f \in L(\mathfrak{S})$ . If  $\limsup_{s \in G} \limsup_{t \in G} \|T_t T_s x_0 - T_t x_0\| = 0$ , then for given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $s_0 \in G$  such that*

$$\limsup_{t \in G} \|T_t(\lambda T_s x_0 + (1 - \lambda)f) - (\lambda T_t T_s x_0 + (1 - \lambda)f)\| < \varepsilon$$

for all  $s \geq s_0$ .

**Proof** From Lemma 3.2,  $\lim_{t \in G} \|T_t x_0 - f\|$  exists. Put  $r = \lim_{t \in G} \|T_t x_0 - f\|$ . If  $r > 0$ , then there exists  $d > 0$  such that

$$(r + d)(1 - 2\lambda(1 - \lambda)\delta(\frac{\varepsilon}{r + d})) < r - d, \quad (3.4)$$

where  $\delta$  is the modulus of convexity of the norm, and there exists  $s_0 \in G$  such that

$$r - \frac{d}{4} \leq \|T_s x_0 - f\| \leq r + \frac{d}{4} \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\limsup_{t \in G} \|T_t T_s x_0 - T_t x_0\| < \frac{d}{4} \quad (3.6)$$

for all  $s \geq s_0$ . Now for fixed  $s \geq s_0$ , set  $z = \lambda T_s x_0 + (1 - \lambda)f$ . Then from (3.6) there exists  $t_0 \in G$  ( $t_0 \geq s_0$ ) such that

$$R_t(z) < \frac{1}{2}\lambda(1 - \lambda)d, \quad \|T_t f - f\| \leq \frac{\lambda d}{4},$$

and

$$\|T_t T_s x_0 - T_t x_0\| < \frac{d}{2} \quad (3.7)$$

for all  $t \geq t_0$ . Suppose that

$$\|T_t(\lambda T_s x_0 + (1 - \lambda)f) - (\lambda T_t T_s x_0 + (1 - \lambda)f)\| \geq \varepsilon$$

for some  $t \geq t_0$ . Put  $x = (1 - \lambda)(T_t z - f)$  and  $y = \lambda(T_t T_s x_0 - T_t z)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\| &\leq (1 - \lambda)(\|T_t z - T_t f\| + \|T_t f - f\|) \\ &\leq (1 - \lambda)(\|z - f\| + R_t(z) + \|T_t f - f\|) \\ &\leq \lambda(1 - \lambda)(\|T_s x_0 - f\| + \frac{1}{2}d + \frac{1}{4}d) \\ &\leq \lambda(1 - \lambda)(r + d) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|y\| &= \lambda\|T_t T_s x_0 - T_t z\| \leq \lambda(\|T_s x_0 - z\| + R_t(z)) \\ &\leq \lambda(1 - \lambda)(\|T_s x_0 - f\| + \frac{1}{2}d) \leq \lambda(1 - \lambda)(r + d). \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\|x - y\| = \|T_t z - (\lambda T_t T_s x_0 + (1 - \lambda)f)\| \geq \varepsilon$$

and

$$\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y = \lambda(1 - \lambda)(T_t T_s x_0 - f).$$

So by using the Lemma in [10], we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(1 - \lambda)\|T_t T_s x_0 - f\| &= \|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y\| \\ &\leq \lambda(1 - \lambda)(r + d)(1 - 2\lambda(1 - \lambda)\delta(\frac{\varepsilon}{r + d})) \end{aligned}$$

and then from (3.5) and (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} r - d &\leq \|T_t x_0 - f\| - \|T_t T_s x_0 - T_t x_0\| \\ &\leq \|T_t T_s x_0 - f\| \leq (r + d)(1 - 2\lambda(1 - \lambda)\delta(\frac{\varepsilon}{r + d})). \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts (3.4). In the case  $r = 0$ , since

$$\begin{aligned} &\|T_t z - (\lambda T_t T_s x_0 + (1 - \lambda)f)\| \\ &\leq \lambda\|T_t z - T_t T_s x_0\| + (1 - \lambda)\|T_t z - T_t f\| + \|T_t f - f\| \\ &\leq \lambda(R_t(z) + (1 - \lambda)\|T_s x_0 - f\|) + (1 - \lambda)R_t(z) + \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda(1-\lambda)\|T_s x_0 - f\| + \|T_t f - f\| \\ & \leq R_t(z) + 2\lambda(1-\lambda)\|T_s x_0 - f\| + \|T_t f - f\|, \end{aligned}$$

we can get what we desired. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4** *If  $\limsup_{s \in G} \limsup_{t \in G} \|T_t T_s x_0 - T_t x_0\| = 0$ , then*

$$\lim_{t \in G} \|\lambda T_t x_0 + (1-\lambda)f - g\|$$

*exists for all  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and  $f, g \in L(\mathfrak{S})$ .*

**Proof** For given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , from Lemma 3.3, there exists  $s_0 \in G$  such that

$$\limsup_{t \in G} \|T_t(\lambda T_s x_0 + (1-\lambda)f) - (\lambda T_t T_s x_0 + (1-\lambda)f)\| < \varepsilon$$

for all  $s \geq s_0$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\lambda T_t x_0 + (1-\lambda)f - g\| \\ & \leq \|T_t(\lambda T_s x_0 + (1-\lambda)f) - (\lambda T_t T_s x_0 + (1-\lambda)f)\| + \\ & \quad \|T_t(\lambda T_s x_0 + (1-\lambda)f) - T_t g\| + \lambda \|T_t T_s x_0 - T_t x_0\| + \|T_t g - g\| \\ & \leq \|T_t(\lambda T_s x_0 + (1-\lambda)f) - (\lambda T_t T_s x_0 + (1-\lambda)f)\| + R_t(g) + \\ & \quad \|T_s x_0 + (1-\lambda)f - g\| + \lambda \|T_t T_s x_0 - T_t x_0\| + \|T_t g - g\|, \end{aligned}$$

for fixed  $s \geq s_0$  and taking the limsup for  $t \in G$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{t \in G} \|\lambda T_t x_0 + (1-\lambda)f - g\| \\ & \leq \varepsilon + \|T_s x_0 + (1-\lambda)f - g\| + \lambda \limsup_{t \in G} \|T_t T_s x_0 - T_t x_0\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\limsup_{t \in G} \|\lambda T_t x_0 + (1-\lambda)f - g\| \leq \varepsilon + \liminf_{s \in G} \|T_s x_0 + (1-\lambda)f - g\|.$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, this completes the proof.  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove our main theorem.

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $X$  be a uniformly convex Banach space such that its dual  $X^*$  has the KK property. Let  $C$  be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of  $X$ . Let  $(G, \leq)$  be a directed system and  $\mathfrak{S} = \{T_t : t \in G\}$  be asymptotically nonexpansive type mappings on  $C$ . Assume that there exists  $x_0$  in  $C$  for which*

- (a)  $\omega_\omega(x_0) \subset L(\mathfrak{S})$ ;
- (b)  $\limsup_{s \in G} \limsup_{t \in G} \|T_t T_s x_0 - T_t x_0\| = 0$ .

*Then there exists  $p \in L(\mathfrak{S})$  such that  $T_t x_0 \rightarrow p$ .*

**Proof** It suffices to show that  $\omega_\omega(x_0)$  consists of exactly one point. Since  $X$  is reflexive,  $\omega_\omega(x_0)$  is nonempty. Let  $f, g \in \omega_\omega(x_0)$ . By the condition (a), we know  $f, g \in L(\mathfrak{S})$ . For any  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , from Lemma 3.4,  $\lim_{t \in G} \|\lambda T_t x_0 + (1-\lambda)f - g\|$  exists. Put

$$h(\lambda) = \lim_{t \in G} \|\lambda T_t x_0 + (1-\lambda)f - g\|.$$

Then for given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $t_1 \in G$  such that

$$\|\lambda T_t x_0 + (1 - \lambda)f - g\| \leq h(\lambda) + \varepsilon$$

for all  $t \geq t_1$ . Hence

$$\langle \lambda T_t x_0 + (1 - \lambda)f - g, j(f - g) \rangle \leq \|f - g\|(h(\lambda) + \varepsilon),$$

for all  $t \geq s_1$ , where  $j(f - g) \in J(f - g)$ . Inasmuch as  $f \in \bar{c}o\{T_t x_0, t \geq s_1\}$ ,

$$\langle \lambda f + (1 - \lambda)f - g, j(f - g) \rangle \leq \|f - g\|(h(\lambda) + \varepsilon),$$

that is,  $\|f - g\| \leq h(\lambda) + \varepsilon$ . Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary,

$$\|f - g\| \leq h(\lambda). \quad (3.8)$$

It follows from  $g \in \omega_\omega(x_0)$  that there exists a subnet  $\{t_\alpha, \alpha \in A\}$  of  $G$  such that  $T_{t_\alpha} x_0 \rightarrow g$ , where  $A$  is a directed system. Put  $I = A \times N = \{\beta = (\alpha, n); \alpha \in A, n \in N\}$ . For  $\beta_i = (\alpha_i, n_i) \in I$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , we define  $\beta_1 \leq \beta_2$  if and only if  $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$  and  $n_1 \leq n_2$ . In this case,  $I$  is also a directed system. For arbitrary  $\beta = (\alpha, n) \in I$ , we also define  $P_1 \beta = \alpha$ ,  $P_2 \beta = n$ ,  $t_\beta = t_{P_1 \beta} = t_\alpha$ ,  $\varepsilon_\beta = \frac{1}{P_2 \beta}$ . Then we obtain  $T_{t_\beta} x_0 \rightarrow g$ ,  $\varepsilon_\beta \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\beta \in I$ . From Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\|\lambda T_{t_\beta} x_0 + (1 - \lambda)f - g\|^2 \leq \|f - g\|^2 + 2\lambda \langle T_{t_\beta} x_0 - f, j(\lambda T_{t_\beta} x_0 + (1 - \lambda)f - g) \rangle.$$

by Lemma 3.4 and (3.8), we get

$$\liminf_{\beta \in I} \langle T_{t_\beta} x_0 - f, j(\lambda T_{t_\beta} x_0 + (1 - \lambda)f - g) \rangle \geq 0.$$

Then for arbitrary  $\gamma \in I$ , there exists  $\beta_\gamma \in I$  with  $\beta_\gamma \geq \gamma$  and

$$\langle T_{t_{\beta_\gamma}} x_0 - f, j(\varepsilon_\gamma T_{t_{\beta_\gamma}} x_0 + (1 - \varepsilon_\gamma)f - g) \rangle \geq -\varepsilon_\gamma. \quad (3.9)$$

Obviously,  $\beta_\gamma$  is a subset of  $I$ , then  $T_{t_{\beta_\gamma}} x_0 \rightarrow g$ . Put

$$j_\gamma = j(\varepsilon_\gamma T_{t_{\beta_\gamma}} x_0 + (1 - \varepsilon_\gamma)f - g).$$

Since  $X$  is reflexive,  $X^*$  is reflexive and the set of all weak limit points of  $\{j_\gamma, \gamma \in I\}$  is nonempty. Hence we may assume that, without loss of generality,  $\{j_\gamma, \gamma \in I\}$  is weakly convergent to some point  $j \in X^*$ . Therefore  $\|j\| \leq \liminf_{\gamma \in I} \|j_\gamma\| = \|f - g\|$ . Since

$$\langle f - g, j_\gamma \rangle = \|\varepsilon_\gamma T_{t_{\beta_\gamma}} x_0 + (1 - \varepsilon_\gamma)f - g\|^2 - \varepsilon_\gamma \langle T_{t_{\beta_\gamma}} x_0 - f, j_\gamma \rangle,$$

passing the limit for  $\gamma \in I$ , we have  $\langle f - g, j \rangle = \|f - g\|^2$ . Hence  $\|j\| \geq \|f - g\|$  and we get  $\langle f - g, j \rangle = \|f - g\|^2 = \|j\|^2$ . This means  $j \in J(f - g)$ . Thus we can conclude that  $j_\gamma \rightarrow j$  and  $\|j_\gamma\| \rightarrow \|j\|$ . Since  $X^*$  has KK property, from Lemma 3.1, we have  $j_\gamma \rightarrow j$ . Taking the limit for  $\gamma \in I$  in (3.9), we get

$$\langle g - f, j \rangle \geq 0,$$

i.e.,  $\|f - g\|^2 \leq 0$  which implies  $f = g$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 3.1** If  $\mathfrak{S} = \{T_t : t \in G\}$  is a right reversible semigroup of asymptotically nonexpansive type mappings on  $C$ , then we can get the weak convergence theorem of the right reversible

semigroups and the condition (b) in Theorem 3.1 is not necessary (see [10] for more detail).

**Remark 3.2** It is well known that if  $X$  is a reflexive Banach space with a Fréchet differentiable norm, then its dual  $X^*$  has KK property, but not conversely. From Theorem 3.1, we can get the main results in [5,6,7].

From Theorem 3.1, we can get the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.1** *Let  $X$  be a uniformly convex Banach space such that  $X^*$  has KK property. Let  $C$  be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of  $X$  and  $\mathfrak{S} = \{T_t : t \in G\}$  be a right reversible semigroup of asymptotically nonexpansive type mappings on  $C$ . If  $T_t$  is weakly continuous and asymptotically regular at  $x_0$  (i.e.,  $T_{ts}x_0 - T_tx_0 \rightarrow 0$  for all  $s \in G$ ). Then  $T_tx_0$  converges weakly to a fixed point of  $\mathfrak{S}$ .*

## References

- [1] BOSE S C. *Weak convergence to the fixed point of an asymptotically nonexpansive map* [J]. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1978, **68**(3): 305–308.
- [2] FEATHERS G, DOTSON W G. *A nonlinear theorem of ergodic type (II)* [J]. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1979, **73**(1): 37–39.
- [3] OPIAL Z. *Weak convergence of the sequence of successive approximations for nonexpansive mappings* [J]. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 1967, **73**: 591–597.
- [4] BRUCK R E. *A simple proof of the mean ergodic theorem for nonlinear contractions in Banach spaces* [J]. Israel J. Math., 1979, **32**(2-3): 107–116.
- [5] PASSTY G B. *Construction of fixed points for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings* [J]. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1982, **84**(2): 212–216.
- [6] HUANG Qianglian, LI Gang. *Asymptotic behavior of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in Banach space* [J]. Nanjing Daxue Xuebao Shuxue Bannian Kan, 2004, **21**(1): 5–11.
- [7] LI Gang. *Asymptotic behavior of non-Lipschitzian mappings in Banach space* [J]. J. Math. Res. Exposition, 1998, **18**(3): 319–325.
- [8] CHANG S S. *Some problems and results in the study of nonlinear analysis* [J]. Nonlinear Anal., 1997, **30**(7): 4197–4208.
- [9] FALSET J G, KACZOR W, KUCZUMOW T. et al. *Weak convergence theorems for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings and semigroups* [J]. Nonlinear Anal., 2001, **43**(3): 377–401.
- [10] GROETSCH C W. *A note on segmenting Mann iterates* [J]. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 1972, **40**: 369–372.
- [11] LI Gang, MA Jipu. *Nonlinear ergodic theorem for semitopological semigroups of non-Lipschitzian mappings in Banach space* [J]. Chinese Sci. Bull., 1997, **42**(1): 8–11.