# Pointwise Approximation by Szàsz-Mirakjan Quasi-Interpolants

GUO Shun Sheng, ZHANG Geng Sheng, LIU Li Xia (Department of Mathematics, Hebei Normal University, Hebei 050016, China) (E-mail: gshzhang@hebtu.edu.cn; gshzhang@heinfo.net)

Abstract Recently some classical operator quasi-interpolants were introduced to obtain much faster convergence. A.T. Diallo investigated some approximation properties of Szàsz-Mirakjan Quasi-Interpolants, but he obtained only direct theorem with Ditzian-Totik modulus  $\omega_{\varphi}^{2r}(f,t)$ . In this paper, we extend Diallo's result and solve completely the characterization on the rate of approximation by the method of quasi-interpolants to functions  $f \in C_B[0,\infty)$  by making use of the unified modulus  $\omega_{\varphi\lambda}^{2r}(f,t)$   $(0 \le \lambda \le 1)$ .

**Keywords** Quasi-interpolants; Szàsz-Mirakjan operator; equivalence theorem; Ditzian-Totik modulus; unified modulus.

Document code A MR(2000) Subject Classification 41A25; 41A35 Chinese Library Classification O174.41

#### 1. Introduction

For function  $f \in C_B[0,\infty)$  ( $C_B[0,\infty)$  denotes the set of continuous and bounded function), the *n*-th classical Szàsz-Mirakjan operator  $S_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , is defined by

$$S_n(f,x) =: \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \quad x \in [0,\infty), n \in \mathbb{N}$$

where for k = 0, 1, 2, ...,

$$s_{n,k}(x) = e^{-nx} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!}.$$

It is well known that for  $f \in C_B[0,\infty), \varphi(x) = \sqrt{x}$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1^{[4,8]}$ 

$$||S_n f - f|| = O(n^{-\alpha}) \iff \omega_{\varphi}^2(f, t) = O(t^{2\alpha}),$$

where  $\omega_{\varphi}^2(f,t)$  is Ditzian-Totik modulus. In [3], Ditzian introduced the unified modulus  $\omega_{\varphi^{\lambda}}^2(f,t) = \sup_{0 < h \le t} \|\Delta_{h\varphi^{\lambda}}^2 f\|$ ,  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ . With  $\omega_{\varphi^{\lambda}}^2(f,t)$  the following pointwise approximation equivalence result is obtained in [5]

$$|S_n(f,x) - f(x)| = O\left(\left(\frac{\varphi^{1-\lambda}(x)}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{\alpha}\right) \Longleftrightarrow \omega_{\varphi^{\lambda}}^2(f,t) = O(t^{\alpha}) \quad (0 < \alpha < 2).$$

Received date: 2007-05-17; Accepted date: 2008-01-02

Foundation item: the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10571040); the Doctoral Foundation of Hebei Normal University (No. L2004B04).

In order to obtain faster convergence the so-called Szàsz-Mirakian quasi-interpolants were considered<sup>[1,2,7]</sup>. We first recall the construction of  $S_n^{(k)}(f,x)$ .

Let  $\Pi_n$  denote the space of algebraic polynomials of degree at most n. On  $\Pi_n$  the Szàsz-Mirakian operator  $S_n$  and its inverse  $S_n^{-1}$  can be expressed as linear differential operators with polynomials coefficients in the form  $S_n = \sum_{j=0}^n \beta_j^n D^j$  and  $S_n^{-1} = A_n = \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j^n D^j$  with  $D = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}$  and  $D^0 = \mathrm{id}$ .

Therefore Szàsz-Mirakian quasi-interpolant is defined by<sup>[2]</sup>

$$S_n^{(r)} = A_n^{(r)} \circ S_n = \sum_{j=0}^r \alpha_j^n(x) D^j S_n(f, x) =: \sum_{j=0}^r \alpha_j^n(x) S_{n,j}(f, x), \quad 0 \le r \le n,$$

where  $A_n^{(r)} = \sum_{j=0}^r \alpha_j^n D^j$ . Of course,  $S_n^{(0)} = S_n$ ,  $S_n^{(n)} = \text{id on } \Pi_n$ . Moreover, for  $0 \le r \le n$ ,  $S_n^{(r)} p = p$  for all  $p \in \Pi_r$ . Diallo<sup>[2]</sup> estimates  $\alpha_j^n(x)$  and obtains expression as follows:

$$\alpha_0^n(x) = 1, \quad \alpha_1^n(x) = 0 \text{ and}$$

$$\alpha_j^n(x) = c_{j-1}^n \frac{x}{n^{j-1}} + c_{j-2}^n \frac{x^2}{n^{j-2}} + \dots + c_{j'}^n \frac{x^{j-j'}}{n^{j'}}, \quad j \ge 2$$

$$(1.1)$$

where  $j' = \left[ \left( \frac{j+1}{2} \right) \right]$  and  $c_j^n$  are constants independent of n. Some approximation properties of  $S_n^{(r)}$  have been investigated too.

**Theorem 1.1**<sup>[2]</sup> Let  $f \in C_B[0,\infty)$ ,  $\varphi(x) = \sqrt{x}$ ,  $n \ge 2r - 1$ ,  $r \in N$ . Then there exists a constant C > 0 independent of n and f such that

$$||S_n^{(2r-1)}f - f||_{\infty} \le C\omega_{\varphi}^{2r} \left(f, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)_{\infty}.$$
(1.2)

We note that there are not inverse and equivalent results in [2]. The intention of this paper is to extend this result and solve completely the characterization on the rate of approximation by the method of quasi-interpolants to functions  $f \in C_B[0,\infty)$  by making use of the unified modulus  $\omega_{\omega^{\lambda}}^2(f,t)$ . This leads to the following

**Theorem 1.2** (Equivalence Result) Let  $f \in C_B[0,\infty)$ ,  $\varphi(x) = \sqrt{x}$ ,  $n \ge 4r$ ,  $r \in N$ ,  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ . Then for  $0 < \alpha < 2r$  the following two statements are equivalent:

(i) 
$$|S_n^{(2r-1)}(f,x) - f(x)| = O\left(\left(\frac{\delta_n^{1-\lambda}(x)}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{\alpha}\right)$$
  
(ii)  $\omega_{\varphi^{\lambda}}^{2r}(f,t) = O(t^{2\alpha}),$  (1.3)

where  $\delta_n(x) = \max \left\{ \varphi(x), \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right\} \sim \varphi(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ .

In next section, we give a direct theorem which implies Theorem 1.1. Now we give the definitions of the unified modulus and K-functional

$$\omega_{\varphi^{\lambda}}^{s}(f,t) = \sup_{0 < h \le t} \sup_{x \pm \frac{r}{2}h\varphi^{\lambda}(x) \in [0,\infty]} |\Delta_{h\varphi^{\lambda}(x)}^{s}f(x)|, \tag{1.4}$$

$$K_{\varphi^{\lambda}}^{s}(f, t^{s}) = \inf_{g \in w^{s}(\varphi, [0, \infty])} \{ \|f - g\|_{\infty} + t^{s} \|\varphi^{s\lambda}g^{(s)}\|_{\infty} \}, \tag{1.5}$$

$$\overline{K}_{\varphi^{\lambda}}^{s}(f, t^{s}) = \inf_{g \in w^{s}(\varphi, [0, \infty])} \{ \|f - g\|_{\infty} + t^{s} \|\varphi^{s\lambda} g^{(s)}\|_{\infty} + t^{\frac{s}{1 - \lambda/2}} \|g^{(s)}\|_{\infty} \}, \tag{1.6}$$

where  $w^{s}(\varphi, [0, \infty)) = \{g \in C[0, \infty), g^{(s-1)} \in A.C._{loc}[0, \infty), \|\varphi^{s\lambda}g^{(s)}\| < \infty, \|g^{(s)}\| < \infty \}.$ 

It was proved in [4] that

$$\omega_{\varphi^{\lambda}}^{s}(f,t) \sim K_{\varphi^{\lambda}}^{s}(f,t^{s}) \sim \overline{K}_{\varphi^{\lambda}}^{s}(f,t^{s}).$$
 (1.7)

Throughout this paper  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ , C denotes a positive constant not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

### 2. Direct theorem

We will use the following results.

**Lemma 2.1**<sup>[2]</sup> The following estimates hold:

(1) For  $x \in E_n^c = [0, \frac{1}{n})$  and  $j \geq 2$ , we have

$$|\alpha_j^n(x)| \le Cn^{-j}. (2.1)$$

(2) For  $m \ge 1$  and  $x \in E_n = [\frac{1}{n}, \infty)$ , we get

$$|\alpha_{2m}^n(x)| \le Cn^{-m}\varphi^{2m}(x), \quad |\alpha_{2m+1}^n(x)| \le Cn^{-m-\frac{1}{2}}\varphi^{2m+1}(x).$$
 (2.2)

**Lemma 2.2** (1) For  $x \in E_n^c$  and  $j \ge 2$ , we have

$$\left| D^r(\alpha_j^n(x)) \right| \le C n^{-j+r}. \tag{2.3}$$

(2) For  $m \geq 1$  and  $x \in E_n$ , we get

$$|D^{r}\alpha_{2m}^{n}(x)| \le Cn^{-m+\frac{r}{2}}\varphi^{2m-r}(x), \quad |D^{r}\alpha_{2m+1}^{n}(x)| \le Cn^{-m+\frac{r-1}{2}}\varphi^{2m-r+1}(x). \tag{2.4}$$

**Proof** Let us consider the r-th derivative of  $\alpha_j^n$  in (1.1) for  $j=2m, r \leq m$  by

$$D^{r}(\alpha_{2m}^{n}) = D^{r} \left( c_{2m-1}^{n} \frac{x}{n^{2m-1}} + c_{2m-2}^{n} \frac{x^{2}}{n^{2m-2}} + \dots + c_{m}^{n} \frac{x^{m}}{n^{m}} \right)$$

$$\leq C \left( c_{2m-r}^{n} \frac{1}{n^{2m-r}} + c_{2m-r-1}^{n} \frac{x}{n^{2m-r-1}} + \dots + c_{m}^{n} \frac{x^{m-r}}{n^{m}} \right). \tag{2.5}$$

Firstly, we consider  $x \in E_n^c = [0, \frac{1}{n})$ , where  $x < \frac{1}{n}$ . So

$$D^{r}(\alpha_{2m}^{n}) \leq C\left(c_{2m-r}^{n} \frac{1}{n^{2m-r}} + c_{2m-r-1}^{n} \frac{x}{n^{2m-r-1}} + \dots + c_{m}^{n} \frac{x^{m-r}}{n^{m}}\right)$$

$$= \frac{C}{n^{2m-r}} \left(c_{2m-r}^{n} + c_{2m-r-1}^{n} nx + \dots + c_{m}^{n} (nx)^{m-r}\right)$$

$$\leq Cn^{-2m+r}.$$
(2.6)

Secondly, we consider  $x \in E_n$ , where  $x \geq \frac{1}{n}$ . So

$$D^{r}(\alpha_{2m}^{n}) \leq C\left(c_{2m-r}^{n} \frac{1}{n^{2m-r}} + c_{2m-r-1}^{n} \frac{x}{n^{2m-r-1}} + \dots + c_{m}^{n} \frac{x^{m-r}}{n^{m}}\right)$$

$$= \frac{Cx^{m-r}}{n^{m}} \left(c_{2m-r}^{n} (nx)^{r-m} + c_{2m-r-1}^{n} (nx)^{r-m+1} + \dots + c_{m}^{n}\right)$$

$$\leq Cn^{-m} x^{m-r} \leq Cn^{-m+\frac{r}{2}} x^{m-\frac{r}{2}} (nx)^{-\frac{r}{2}}$$

$$\leq Cn^{-m+\frac{r}{2}} \varphi^{2m-r}(x). \tag{2.7}$$

When r > m, (2.4) is valid obviously.

So we proved the estimates for the derivatives of  $\alpha_j^n$  for the polynomial of even degree j=2m. For the polynomial of odd degree j=2m+1 we can get the estimation with similar computations. Then the lemma is proved.

**Theorem 2.3** If  $\varphi(x) = \sqrt{x}$ ,  $\delta_n(x) = \max\{\varphi(x), \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\}$ ,  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ ,  $n \ge 2r - 1$ , then for  $f \in C_B[0,\infty)$ , we have

$$\left| S_n^{(2r-1)}(f,x) - f(x) \right| \le C\omega_{\varphi^{\lambda}}^{2r} \left( f, \frac{\delta_n^{1-\lambda}(x)}{\sqrt{n}} \right). \tag{2.8}$$

**Remark** If  $\lambda = 1$ , then (2.8) is the result in [2].

**Proof** By the definition of  $\overline{K}_{\varphi^{\lambda}}^{2r}(f,t^{2r})$ , for fixed  $n,x,\lambda$ , we can choose  $g(t)=g_{\lambda,n,x}(t)$  such that

$$||f - g|| + \left(\frac{\delta_n^{1-\lambda}(x)}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{2r} ||\varphi^{2r\lambda}g^{(2r)}|| + \left(\frac{\delta_n^{1-\lambda}(x)}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{\frac{2r}{1-\lambda/2}} ||g^{(2r)}||$$

$$\leq 2\overline{K}_{\varphi^{\lambda}}^{2r} \left(f, \left(\frac{\delta_n^{1-\lambda}(x)}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{2r}\right). \tag{2.9}$$

It is known that  $|S_n^{(k)}| \le M$ , where M is a constant independent of n.

Since  $S_n^{(k)}$  is exact on  $\Pi_k$ , i.e.,  $S_n^{(k)}p=p$  for  $p\in\Pi_k^{[2]}$ , we have

$$|S_n^{(2r-1)}(f,x) - f(x)| \le C \left( \|f - g\| + |S_n^{(2r-1)}(g,x) - g(x)| \right)$$

$$= C \left( \|f - g\| + |S_n^{(2r-1)}(R_{2r}(g,\cdot,x),x)| \right)$$

$$=: C(\|f - g\| + I), \tag{2.10}$$

where  $R_{2r}(g,\cdot,x) = \frac{1}{(2r-1)!} \int_x^t (t-u)^{2r-1} g^{(2r)}(u) du$ .

We only need estimate I. As  $\alpha_0^n = 1, \alpha_1^n = 0^{[2]}$ , we have

$$I \leq |S_n(R_{2r}(g,\cdot,x),x)| + \Big| \sum_{j=2}^{2r-1} \alpha_j^n(x) D^j S_n(R_{2r}(g,\cdot,x),x) \Big|$$

$$=: I_0 + \Big| \sum_{j=2}^{2r-1} \alpha_j^n(x) I_j \Big|. \tag{2.11}$$

The following estimate is known<sup>[5]</sup>

$$I_0 \le C\omega_{\varphi^{\lambda}}^{2r} \left( f, \frac{\delta_n^{1-\lambda}(x)}{\sqrt{n}} \right). \tag{2.12}$$

To estimate  $I_j$  we have to consider two cases

Case 1 For  $x \in E_n^c$ ,  $\delta_n(x) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ , using formula<sup>[4,(9.4.3)]</sup>

$$S_{n,j}(f,x) = n^j \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) \left(\overrightarrow{\Delta}_{\frac{1}{n}}^j f\right) \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)$$
 (2.13)

where  $\overrightarrow{\Delta}_{\frac{1}{n}}^{j} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)$  are j-th forward differences, and formula [2,(35)],[4,(9.6.1)]

$$|R_{2r}(g,t,x)| \le \frac{\left|\frac{k}{n} - x\right|^{2r-1}}{\delta_n^{2r\lambda}(x)} \left| \int_x^{\frac{k}{n}} \delta_n^{2r\lambda}(x) g^{(2r)}(u) du \right|,$$

we have

$$|I_{j}| = |D^{j}S_{n}(R_{2r}(g,\cdot,x),x)|$$

$$= \left| n^{j} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) \overrightarrow{\Delta}_{\frac{1}{n}}^{j} \left( \frac{1}{(2r-1)!} \int_{x}^{\frac{k}{n}} \left( \frac{k}{n} - u \right)^{2r-1} g^{(2r)}(u) du \right) \right|$$

$$\leq n^{j} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) \sum_{i=0}^{j} {j \choose i} \left| \int_{x}^{\frac{k+i}{n}} \left( \frac{k+i}{n} - u \right)^{2r-1} g^{(2r)}(u) du \right|$$

$$\leq C n^{j} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) \sum_{i=0}^{j} \|\delta_{n}^{2r\lambda} g^{(2r)}\| \frac{\left( \frac{k+i}{n} - x \right)^{2r}}{\delta_{n}^{2r\lambda}(x)}$$

$$\leq C n^{j} \delta_{n}^{-2r\lambda}(x) \|\delta_{n}^{2r\lambda} g^{(2r)}\| \sum_{i=0}^{j} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) \left( \left( \frac{k}{n} - x \right)^{2r} + \left( \frac{i}{n} \right)^{2r} \right)$$

$$\leq C n^{j} \left( \frac{\delta_{n}^{1-\lambda}(x)}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^{2r} \|\delta_{n}^{2r\lambda} g^{(2r)}\|. \tag{2.14}$$

In the last step we have used that  $S_n((t-x)^{2r},x) \leq cn^{-r}\delta_n^{2r}(x)$ . Noting that  $|\alpha_j^n(x)| \leq Cn^{-j}$  and  $\delta_n(x) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$  for  $x \in E_n^c$ , we have by (2.11), (2.13), (2.14)

$$\left| \sum_{j=2}^{2r-1} \alpha_j^n(x) I_j \right| \le C \left( \frac{\delta_n^{1-\lambda}(x)}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^{2r} \|\delta_n^{2r\lambda} g^{(2r)}\| \\
\le C \left( \frac{\delta_n^{1-\lambda}(x)}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^{2r} \|\varphi^{2r\lambda} g^{(2r)}\| + \left( \frac{\delta_n^{1-\lambda}(x)}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^{\frac{2r}{1-\lambda/2}} \|g^{(2r)}\|. \tag{2.15}$$

Case 2 For  $x \in E_n$ ,  $\delta_n(x) \sim \varphi(x)$ , by the formula<sup>[2,4]</sup>

$$D^{j}s_{n,k}(x) \le C \sum_{i=0}^{j} \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\varphi(x)}\right)^{j+i} \left| \frac{k}{n} - x \right|^{i} s_{n,k}(x), \tag{2.16}$$

we have

$$|I_{i}| = \left| D^{j} \sum_{k=0}^{n} s_{n,k}(x) \frac{1}{(2r-1)!} \int_{x}^{\frac{k}{n}} \left( \frac{k}{n} - u \right)^{2r-1} g^{(2r)}(u) du \right|$$

$$\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{j} \left( \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\varphi(x)} \right)^{j+i} \left| \frac{k}{n} - x \right|^{i} s_{n,k}(x) \frac{\left( \frac{k}{n} - x \right)^{2r}}{\varphi^{2r\lambda}(x)} \|\varphi^{2r\lambda} g^{(2r)}\|$$

$$\leq C \sum_{i=0}^{j} \left( \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\varphi(x)} \right)^{j+i} \varphi^{-2r\lambda}(x) \|\varphi^{2r\lambda} g^{(2r)}\| \frac{\varphi^{2r+i}(x)}{n^{r+\frac{i}{2}}}.$$

Hence with (2.2)

$$\Big|\sum_{j=2}^{2r-1} \alpha_j^n(x) I_j\Big| \le C \left(\frac{\varphi^{(1-\lambda)}(x)}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{2r} \|\varphi^{2r\lambda} g^{(2r)}\|$$

$$= C \left(\frac{\delta_n^{1-\lambda}(x)}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{2r} \|\varphi^{2r\lambda}g^{(2r)}\|. \tag{2.17}$$

From (2.10), (2.12), (2.15) and (2.16) it follows

$$\left| S_n^{(2r-1)}(f,x) - f(x) \right| \le C\omega_{\varphi^{\lambda}}^{2r} \left( f, \frac{\delta_n^{1-\lambda}(x)}{\sqrt{n}} \right).$$

The proof is completed.

## 3. Inverse theorem

To prove inverse theorem we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1** For  $n \geq 4r, r \in N, r \geq 2$ , we have

$$\left| \varphi^{2r\lambda}(x) D^{2r} S_n^{(2r-1)}(f,x) \right| \le C n^r \delta_n^{2r(\lambda-1)}(x) \|f\| \quad (f \in C_B[0,\infty),$$
 (3.1)

$$|\varphi^{2r\lambda}(x)D^{2r}S_n^{(2r-1)}(f,x)| \le C||\varphi^{2r\lambda}f^{(2r)}|| \quad (f \in w^{2r}(\varphi,[0,\infty)).$$
 (3.2)

**Proof** At first let us prove (3.1). We consider the case  $\lambda = 1$  firstly. Since  $\alpha_0^n = 1$ ,  $\alpha_1^n = 0$ ,  $\alpha_j^n \in \Pi_j$  and  $\lambda = 1$ ,  $j \ge 2$ , we have that for all  $x \in [0, \infty)$ 

$$\varphi^{2r}(x)D^{2r}S_{n}^{(2r-1)}(f,x) 
= \varphi^{2r}(x)D^{2r}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{2r-1}\alpha_{j}^{n}(x)S_{n,j}(f,x)\right) 
= \varphi^{2r}(x)S_{n,2r}(f,x) + \sum_{j=2}^{2r-1}\varphi^{2r}(x)\sum_{k=0}^{j}\binom{2r}{k}D^{k}(\alpha_{j}^{n}(x))S_{n,2r+j-k}(f,x) 
=: \varphi^{2r}(x)S_{n,2r}(f,x) + S.$$
(3.3)

We will use the following known estimate for the derivatives of  $S_n$ . From formula (9.4.1) in [4] and the procedure of the proof of Theorem 9.4.1 in [4] we can get that

$$|\varphi^{2r}(x)S_{n,2r}(f,x)| \le Cn^r ||f||$$

and

$$|\varphi^{2r+s}(x)S_{n,2r+s}(f,x)| \le Cn^{\frac{2r+s}{2}} ||f||.$$
 (3.4)

To estimate S, we have to consider again the following two cases

Case I First let us consider the above sum S in (3.3) for  $x \in E_n^c$ : For  $S_{n,2r+j-k}$  we use formula (9.4.3) in [4] with 2r + j - k instead of m, i.e.,

$$S_{n,2r+j-k}(f,x) = n^{2r+j-k} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} s_{n,i}(x) \overrightarrow{\Delta}_{\frac{1}{n}}^{2r+j-k} f\left(\frac{i}{n}\right)$$

and with  $\left|\overrightarrow{\Delta}_{\frac{1}{n}}^{2r+j-k}f\left(\frac{i}{n}\right)\right| \leq C\|f\|$  we have

$$|S_{n,2r+j-k}(f,x)| \le Cn^{2r+j-k} ||f|| \text{ for } j \ge 2.$$
 (3.5)

With (2.2), i.e.,  $|D^k(\alpha_j^n(x))| \leq Cn^{-j+k}$  and  $\varphi^2(x) \leq n^{-1}$  for  $x \in E_n^c$ , we get for the sum S in (3.3):

$$|S| \leq \sum_{j=2}^{2r-1} \varphi^{2r}(x) \sum_{k=0}^{j} {2r \choose k} |D^{k}(\alpha_{j}^{n}(x))| \cdot |S_{n,2r+j-k}(f,x)|$$

$$\leq C \sum_{j=2}^{2r-1} n^{-r} \sum_{k=0}^{j} {2r \choose k} n^{-j+k} n^{2r+j-k} ||f||$$

$$\leq C n^{r} ||f||. \tag{3.6}$$

Case II Secondly, we have with (3.4) (for s = j - k) and (2.4), i.e.,

$$|D^k(\alpha_j^n(x))| \le Cn^{-(j-k)/2}\varphi^{j-k}(x)$$

for  $x \in E_n$ :

$$|S| \leq \sum_{j=2}^{2r-1} \varphi^{2r}(x) \sum_{k=0}^{j} {2r \choose k} |D^{k}(\alpha_{j}^{n}(x))| \cdot |S_{n,2r+j-k}(f,x)|$$

$$\leq C \sum_{j=2}^{2r-1} \sum_{k=0}^{j} {2r \choose k} n^{\frac{-j+k}{2}} |\varphi^{2r+j-k}S_{n,2r+j-k}(f,x)|$$

$$\leq C \sum_{j=2}^{2r-1} \sum_{k=0}^{j} {2r \choose k} n^{\frac{-j+k}{2}} n^{\frac{2r+j-k}{2}} ||f||$$

$$\leq Cn^{r} ||f||.$$
(3.7)

Combining (3.3), (3.5) and (3.6) for all  $x \in [0, \infty)$ , we have

$$\left| \varphi^{2r}(x) D^{2r} S_n^{(2r-1)}(f, x) \right| \le C n^r \|f\|.$$

Next we consider the case  $0 \le \lambda < 1$ . If  $x \in E_n^c$ , using (3.5) and  $\varphi^{2r\lambda}(x) \le n^{-r\lambda}$ , we get

$$|\varphi^{2r\lambda}D^{2r}S_n^{(2r-1)}(f,x)| \leq Cn^{-r\lambda}n^{2r}\|f\| \leq Cn^r\delta_n^{2r(\lambda-1)}(x)\|f\|.$$

If  $x \in E_n$ , then  $\delta_n(x) \sim \varphi(x)$  and we have

$$\left|\varphi^{2r\lambda}(x)D^{2r}S_n^{(2r-1)}(f,x)\right| = \varphi^{2r(\lambda-1)}(x)\left|\varphi^{2r}(x)D^{2r}S_n^{(2r-1)}(f,x)\right| \leq Cn^r\delta_n^{2r(\lambda-1)}(x)\|f\|,$$

which completes the proof of the first inequality (3.1) for all  $x \in [0, \infty)$ .

Now we come to the proof of the second inequality (3.2). We have as  $\alpha_0^n = 1, \alpha_1^n = 0$  and  $\alpha_j^n \in \Pi_j, j \ge 2$  that for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ 

$$\varphi^{2r\lambda}(x)D^{2r}S_{n}^{(2r-1)}(f,x) 
= \varphi^{2r\lambda}(x)D^{2r}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{2r-1}\alpha_{j}^{n}(x)S_{n,j}(f,x)\right) 
= \varphi^{2r\lambda}(x)S_{n,2r}(f,x) + \sum_{j=2}^{2r-1}\varphi^{2r\lambda}(x)\sum_{i=0}^{j}\binom{2r}{i}D^{i}(\alpha_{j}^{n}(x))S_{n,2r+j-i}(f,x) 
= \varphi^{2r\lambda}(x)S_{n,2r}(f,x) + S_{1}.$$
(3.8)

From formula (5.3) in [5] we have for  $x \in [0, \infty)$ 

$$\left|\varphi^{2r\lambda}(x)S_{n,2r}(f,x)\right| \le C\|\varphi^{2r\lambda}f^{(2r)}\|. \tag{3.9}$$

To estimate  $S_1$ , we need to consider two differenct cases. The first case, for  $x \in E_n^c$ 

$$|S_{n,2r+j-i}(f,x)| = \left| n^{2r+j-i} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) \overrightarrow{\Delta}_{\frac{1}{n}}^{2r+j-i} f(\frac{k}{n}) \right|$$

$$= \left| n^{2r+j-i} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) \sum_{l=0}^{j-i} (-1)^{j-i-l} {j-i \choose l} \overrightarrow{\Delta}_{\frac{1}{n}}^{2r} f(\frac{k+l}{n}) \right|$$

$$\leq C n^{2r+j-i} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) \left| \overrightarrow{\Delta}_{\frac{1}{n}}^{2r} f(\frac{k}{n}) \right| + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) \sum_{l=1}^{j-i} \left| \overrightarrow{\Delta}_{\frac{1}{n}}^{2r} f(\frac{k+l}{n}) \right| \right)$$

$$=: C n^{2r+j-i} (I_1 + I_2).$$
(3.10)

 $Observing^{[4,\,p\,155,\,(c)]}$ 

$$\left| \left( \overrightarrow{\Delta}_{\frac{1}{n}}^{2r} f \right) \left( \frac{k}{n} \right) \right| \le C \begin{cases} n^{-r+1} \int_{0}^{\frac{2r}{n}} u^{r} |f^{(2r)}(u)| du, & k = 0, \\ n^{-2r+1} \int_{0}^{\frac{2r}{n}} |f^{(2r)}(\frac{k}{n} + u)| du, & k = 1, 2, \dots, \end{cases}$$

$$\le C \begin{cases} n^{-r} \|\varphi^{2r\lambda} f^{(2r)} \| n^{-r(1-\lambda)}, & k = 0, \\ n^{-2r} \|\varphi^{2r\lambda} f^{(2r)} \| \left( \frac{k}{n} \right)^{-r\lambda}, & k = 1, 2, \dots, \end{cases}$$
(3.11)

we have

$$I_1 \le C \left( n^{-r} n^{-r(1-\lambda)} \| \varphi^{2r\lambda} f^{(2r)} \| + n^{-2r} \| \varphi^{2r\lambda} f^{(2r)} \| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) \left( \frac{k}{n} \right)^{-r\lambda} \right).$$

By a simple computation, it is easy to get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^r s_{n,k}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-nx} \frac{(nx)^{k+r}}{(k+r)!} \cdot \frac{k+1}{k} \cdot \frac{k+2}{k} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{k+r}{k} \cdot \frac{1}{x^r} \le C \frac{1}{x^r}.$$

Hence for  $\lambda \neq 0$  we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^{\lambda r} s_{n,k}(x) \le \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^r s_{n,k}(x)\right)^{\lambda} \le C x^{-r\lambda}$$

and hence

$$I_{1} \leq C(n^{-2r} \| \varphi^{2r\lambda} f^{(2r)} \| n^{r\lambda} + n^{-2r} \| \varphi^{2r\lambda} f^{(2r)} \| x^{-r\lambda}$$

$$= Cn^{-2r} (n^{r\lambda} + \varphi^{-2r\lambda}(x)) \| \varphi^{2r\lambda} f^{(2r)} \|.$$
(3.12)

For  $\lambda = 0$ , (3.12) obviously holds too. Thus we get

$$I_1 \le C \|\varphi^{2r\lambda} f^{(2r)}\| n^{-2r} (n^{r\lambda} + \varphi^{-2r\lambda}(x)).$$

$$(3.13)$$

From the procedure of the proof (3.12), we can deduce that

$$I_2 \le C n^{-2r} \|\varphi^{2r\lambda} f^{(2r)}\| \varphi^{-2r\lambda}(x).$$
 (3.14)

By (3.8)–(3.10), (3.13), (3.14) and (2.3) with  $|\varphi(x)| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$  for  $x \in E_n^c$ , we have

$$|\varphi^{2r\lambda}(x)D^{2r}S_n^{(2r-1)}(f,x)| \le C||\varphi^{2r\lambda}f^{(2r)}||, \quad x \in E_n^c.$$
 (3.15)

The secend case, for  $x \in E_n$ , by (2.16), we have

$$\varphi^{2r\lambda}(x)|S_{n,2r+j-i}(f,x)| 
= \varphi^{2r\lambda}(x)|D^{j-i}S_{n,2r}(f,x)| 
= \varphi^{2r\lambda}(x)|D^{j-i}n^{2r}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}s_{n,k}(x)\overrightarrow{\Delta}_{\frac{1}{n}}^{2r}f(\frac{k}{n})| 
= \varphi^{2r\lambda}(x)|n^{2r}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}D^{j-i}s_{n,k}(x)\overrightarrow{\Delta}_{\frac{1}{n}}^{2r}f(\frac{k}{n})| 
\leq C\varphi^{2r\lambda}(x)n^{2r}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left\{\sum_{l=0}^{j-i}\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\varphi(x)}\right)^{j-i+l}\left|\frac{k}{n}-x\right|^{l}\right\}s_{n,k}(x)|\overrightarrow{\Delta}_{\frac{1}{n}}^{2r}f(\frac{k}{n})| 
\leq C\varphi^{2r\lambda}(x)n^{2r}\sum_{l=0}^{j-i}\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\varphi(x)}\right)^{j-i+l}. 
\left\{\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|\frac{k}{n}-x\right|^{\frac{l-1}{1-\lambda}}s_{n,k}(x)\right)^{1-\lambda}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|\overrightarrow{\Delta}_{\frac{1}{n}}^{2r}f(\frac{k}{n})\right|^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}s_{n,k}(x)\right)^{\lambda}\right\} 
= Cn^{2r(1-\lambda)}\sum_{l=0}^{j-i}\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\varphi(x)}\right)^{j-i+l}\left\{\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|\frac{k}{n}-x\right|^{\frac{l-1}{1-\lambda}}s_{n,k}(x)\right)^{1-\lambda}. 
\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}n^{2r}\varphi^{2r}(x)|\overrightarrow{\Delta}_{\frac{1}{n}}^{2r}f(\frac{k}{n})|^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}s_{n,k}(x)\right)^{\lambda}\right\} 
=: Cn^{2r(1-\lambda)}\sum_{l=0}^{j-i}\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\varphi(x)}\right)^{j-i+l}\left\{J_1\cdot J_2\right\}.$$
(3.16)

Noting that

$$\varphi^{2r}(x)s_{n,k}(x) = x^r e^{-nx} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} = \frac{(k+1)(k+2)\cdots(k+r)}{n^r} s_{n,k+r}(x)$$

$$\leq \begin{cases} \frac{r!}{n^r} s_{n,r}(x) & k = 0, \\ C\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^r s_{n,k+r}(x) & k \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

and (3.11) with  $\varphi^{2\lambda}(\frac{k}{n}) \leq \varphi^{2\lambda}(\frac{k}{n}+y)$ , k>0,  $0 < y < \frac{2r}{n}$ , we have

$$J_{2} \leq C \left\{ n^{r} s_{n,r}(x) \left| \overrightarrow{\Delta}_{\frac{1}{n}}^{2r} f(0) \right|^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} + n^{2r} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s_{n,k+r}(x) \left( \frac{k}{n} \right)^{r} \left| \overrightarrow{\Delta}_{\frac{1}{n}}^{2r} f\left( \frac{k}{n} \right) \right|^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \right\}^{\lambda}$$

$$\leq C \left\{ n^{r} s_{n,r}(x) \left( n^{-r+1} \left| \int_{0}^{\frac{2r}{n}} u^{r} f^{(2r)}(u) du \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} + n^{2r} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s_{n,k+r}(x) \left( \frac{k}{n} \right)^{r} \left( n^{-2r+1} \left| \int_{0}^{\frac{2r}{n}} f^{(2r)} \left( \frac{k}{n} + u \right) du \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \right\}^{\lambda}$$

$$\leq C \left\{ n^{r} \left( n^{-r} n^{-r(1-\lambda)} \| \varphi^{2r\lambda} f^{(2r)} \| \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} + \right.$$

$$\left. n^{2r} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s_{n,k+r}(x) \left( n^{-2r+1} \left| \int_{0}^{\frac{2r}{n}} \varphi^{2r\lambda} \left( \frac{k}{n} + u \right) f^{(2r)} \left( \frac{k}{n} + u \right) du \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \right\}^{\lambda}$$

$$\leq C \left\{ n^{r} \left( n^{-r} n^{-r(1-\lambda)} \| \varphi^{2r\lambda} f^{(2r)} \| \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} + n^{2r} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s_{n,k+r}(x) \left( n^{-2r} \| \varphi^{2r\lambda} f^{(2r)} \| \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \right\}^{\lambda}$$

$$\leq C n^{-2r(1-\lambda)} \| \varphi^{2r\lambda} f^{(2r)} \|.$$
(3.17)

By formula (9.4.14) in [4] we choose  $q \in N$  such that  $2q(1-\lambda) > 1$ . Then

$$J_1 \le \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right)^{2ql} s_{n,k}(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{2q}} \le C n^{-\frac{l}{2}} \varphi^l(x). \tag{3.18}$$

Combining (3.8), (3.9), (3.16)–(3.18) together with (2.4) for  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , we have

$$|\varphi^{2r\lambda}(x)D^{2r}B_n^{(2r-1)}(f,x)| \le C||\varphi^{2r\lambda}f^{(2r)}||.$$
 (3.19)

From the above procedure we know that for the case  $\lambda = 0$  (the case  $\lambda = 1$  is similar) we need not use Hölder inequality in (3.16) and it is easy to get (3.19).

Combining 
$$(3.15)$$
 and  $(3.19)$  we complete the proof of  $(3.2)$ .

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $f \in C_B[0,\infty)$ ,  $n \geq 4r, r \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, 0 < \alpha < 2r$ . Then we have

$$\left| S_n^{(2r-1)}(f,x) - f(x) \right| = O\left( \left( \frac{\delta_n^{1-\lambda}(x)}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^{\alpha} \right)$$

implies

$$\omega_{\varphi^{\lambda}}^{2r}(f,t) = O(t^{\alpha}).$$

**Proof** The proof of Theorem 3.2 is similar to  $[6, p145 " \Leftarrow "]$  by using Lemma 3.1. The details are omitted.

#### References

- [1] DIALLA A T. Szàsz-Mirakjan quasi-interpolants [C]. in Curves and Surfaces, P.J. Laurent, A. Le Méhauté, L.L. Schumaker (eds.), Academic Press, New York, 1991, 149–156.
- [2] DIALLA A T. Rate of convergence of Szàsz-Mirakian quasi-interpolants [J]. ICTP preprint IC/97/138, Miramarc-Tricste, Ltaly, 1997.
- [3] DITZIAN Z. Direct estimate for Bernstein polynomials [J]. J. Approx. Theory, 1994, 79(1): 165-166.
- [4] DITZIAN Z, TOTIK V. Moduli of Smoothness [M]. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.
- [5] GUO Shunsheng, LI Cuixiang, SUN Yiguo. et al. Pointwise estimate for Szasz-type operators [J]. J. Approx. Theory, 1998, 94(1): 160-171.
- [6] GUO Shunsheng, LIU Lixia, QI Qiulan. Pointwise estimate for linear combinations of Bernstein-Kantorovich operators [J]. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2002, 265(1): 135–147.
- [7] SABLONNIÉRE P. Representation of quasi-interpolants as differential operators and applications [J]. Internat. Ser. Numer. Math., 132, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1999.
- [8] TOTIK V. Uniform approximation by Szász-Mirakjan type operators [J]. Acta Math. Hungar., 1983, 41(3-4): 291–307.