# Positive Solutions of Singular Fourth Order Four Point Boundary Value Problems with p-Laplacian Operator

CHEN Yong Peng, QI Shi Shuo (Department of Mathematics, Zhengzhou University, Henan 450052, China) (E-mail: Ypchen320@yahoo.com.cn)

Abstract In this paper, we consider the existence of positive solutions for the singular fourthorder four point boundary value problem with p-Laplacian operator. By using the fixed point theorem of cone expansion and compression, the existence of multiple positive solutions is obtained.

**Keywords** p-Laplacian; singular boundary value problem; positive solution; cone.

Document code A MR(2000) Subject Classification 34B15 Chinese Library Classification O175.8

#### 1. Introduction

The nonlinear boundary value problems have been widely studied in recent years. For details, see [1–3] and references therein. Moreover, the singular problems are also considered in [4–5]. In a recent paper<sup>[6]</sup>, by employing the upper and lower solution method, Zhang and Liu established the existence of at least one positive solution for the singular fourth order four point boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} (\phi_p(u''(t)))'' = f(t, u(t)), & t \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) = 0, & u(1) = au(\xi), \\ u''(0) = 0, u''(1) = bu''(\eta), \end{cases}$$

where  $\phi_p(t) = |t|^{p-2}t$ , p > 1,  $0 < \xi$ ,  $\eta < 1$ ,  $0 \le a$ , b < 1,  $f \in C((0,1) \times (0,+\infty), [0,+\infty))$  may be singular at t = 0, t = 1, u = 0.

However, to the best of our knowledge, the study of multiple positive solutions to the fourthorder four point boundary value problem with p-Laplacian operator has not been found in literature. We intend in this paper to discuss the untouched problem

$$\begin{cases}
(\phi_p(u''(t)))'' = f(t, u(t), u''(t)), & t \in (0, 1), \\
u(0) = 0, & u(1) = au(\xi), \\
u''(0) = 0, u''(1) = bu''(\eta),
\end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where  $\phi_p(t) = |t|^{p-2}t$ , p > 1,  $0 < \xi$ ,  $\eta < 1$ ,  $0 \le a$ , b < 1,  $b_1 = \phi_p(b)$ ,  $f \in C((0,1) \times (0,+\infty) \times (-\infty,0), [0,+\infty))$  may be singular at t=0, t=1, u=0 and u''=0. According to

Received date: 2007-06-25; Accepted date: 2008-03-08

Foundation item: the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10671181).

prior estimate of positive solutions, we construct a cone to overcome the difficulties caused by singularity. Moreover, under some reasonable assumptions on f, the existence of multiple positive solutions is obtained by applying the fixed point theorem of cone expansion and compression.

### 2. Some preliminaries and lemmas

**Defition 2.1** A function u is said to be a solution of the boundary value problem (1.1), if  $u \in C^2[0,1]$  satisfies  $\phi_p(u'') \in C^2(0,1)$  and the BVP(1.1). Furthermore, u is said to be a positive solution, if again u(t) > 0 for  $t \in (0,1)$ .

Now we consider the following linear boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases}
(\phi_p(u''(t)))'' = y(t), & t \in (0,1), \\
u(0) = 0, & u(1) = au(\xi), \\
u''(0) = 0, & u''(1) = bu''(\eta).
\end{cases}$$
(2.1)

For BVP(2.1), we have the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1** Let  $0 < \xi$ ,  $\eta < 1$ ,  $0 \le a$ , b < 1,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . If  $y \in C[0,1]$  and  $y \ge 0$ , then BVP(2.1) has a unique solution  $u(t) \ge 0$  for  $t \in [0,1]$ , such that

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)\phi_q(\int_0^1 H(s, r)y(r)dr)ds,$$

where

$$G(t,s) = \begin{cases} s \in [0,\xi] : \begin{cases} \frac{t}{1-a\xi}[(1-s)-a(\xi-s)], & 0 \le t \le s \le 1, \\ \frac{s}{1-a\xi}[(1-t)-a(\xi-t)], & 0 \le s \le t \le 1; \end{cases} \\ s \in [\xi,1] : \begin{cases} \frac{t}{1-a\xi}(1-s), & 0 \le t \le s \le 1, \\ \frac{1}{1-a\xi}[s(1-t)+a\xi(t-s)], & 0 \le s \le t \le 1, \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

and

$$H(t,s) = \begin{cases} s \in [0,\eta] : \begin{cases} \frac{t}{1-b_1\eta}[(1-s) - b_1(\eta - s)], & 0 \le t \le s \le 1, \\ \frac{s}{1-b_1\eta}[(1-t) - b_1(\eta - t)], & 0 \le s \le t \le 1; \\ s \in [\eta,1] : \begin{cases} \frac{t}{1-b_1\eta}(1-s), & 0 \le t \le s \le 1, \\ \frac{1}{1-b_1\eta}[s(1-t) + b_1\eta(t-s)], & 0 \le s \le t \le 1 \end{cases}$$

are the associated Green's function for the problem (2.1).

**Lemma 2.2** The associated Green's function G(t,s) and H(t,s) have the following properties.

- (a) G(t,s), H(t,s) are continuous on  $[0,1] \times [0,1]$  and G(t,s) > 0, H(t,s) > 0 for any  $t,s \in (0,1)$ .
  - (b) For any  $t, s \in (0, 1)$ , G(t, s) < G(s, s), H(t, s) < H(s, s).
  - (c) For any  $t, s \in (0,1)$ ,  $G(t,s) \ge z(t)G(s,s)$ ,  $H(t,s) \ge z(t)H(s,s)$ , where  $z(t) = \min\{t, 1-t\}$ .

**Proof** We can easily get the results from Lemma 2.3 in [6].

We also assume

(H<sub>0</sub>) 
$$f \in C((0,1) \times (0,+\infty) \times (-\infty,0), [0,+\infty))$$
 and  $0 < \int_0^1 H(s,s) f_{rR}(s) ds < +\infty$ , for

any  $0 < r \le R$ , where  $t \in (0,1)$ ,

$$f_{rR}(t) = \max \{ f(t, u, v), u \in [f_1(t)r, f_2(t)R], v \in [-R, -g(t)r] \},$$

 $f_1(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)\phi_q(z(s))\mathrm{d}s$ ,  $f_2(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)\mathrm{d}s$ ,  $g(t) = \phi_q(z(t))$ ,  $z(t) = \min\{t,1-t\}$ . It is easy to see that  $f_1(t)$  and  $f_2(t)$  are continuous on [0,1] and  $f_1(t) > 0$ ,  $f_2(t) > 0$  for  $t \in (0,1)$ . We suppose that  $(H_0)$  holds throughout the remainder of the paper. Let

$$E = \{ u \in C^2[0,1], u(0) = 0, u(1) = au(\xi) \}.$$

Then E is a Banach space with a norm by  $||u|| = ||u''(t)||_0 = \max_{t \in [0,1]} |u''(t)|, u \in E$ . Define

$$P = \{ u \in E : u(t) \ge f_1(t) \parallel u \parallel, -u'' \ge g(t) \parallel u \parallel \}.$$

Then P is a cone in E and  $f_1(t) \in P$ . In fact,  $||f_1(t)|| = \max_{t \in [0,1]} |g(t)| \le 1$ , so we have  $f_1(t) \ge f_1(t) ||f_1(t)||, -f_1''(t) \ge g(t) ||f_1(t)||$ . For  $u \in P \setminus \{\theta\}$ , define an operator A by

$$Au(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)\phi_q(\int_0^1 H(s, r)f(r, u(r), u''(r))dr)ds.$$
 (2.2)

Since  $u \in P \setminus \{\theta\}$ , we have  $u(t) = -\int_0^1 G(t,s)u''(s)ds$ , and

$$u(t) \le \int_0^1 G(t, s) ds \| u \| = f_2(t) \| u \|.$$

Consequently,

$$f_1(t) \parallel u \parallel \le u(t) \le f_2(t) \parallel u \parallel \text{ and } g(t) \parallel u \parallel \le -u''(t) \le \parallel u \parallel.$$

Together with  $(H_0)$ , we get

$$\int_0^1 H(s,r)f(r,u(r),u''(r))\mathrm{d}r < +\infty.$$

So A is well-defined and  $A(P \setminus \{\theta\}) \subset E$ . Obviously, that u is a positive solution of BVP(1.1) is equivalent to that Au = u in  $P \setminus \{\theta\}$  has a fixed point.

**Lemma 2.3** If  $u \in P \setminus \{\theta\}$ , then we have  $Au \in P$ , i.e.,  $A(P \setminus \{\theta\}) \subset P$ .

**Proof** For any  $u \in P \setminus \{\theta\}$ , we have

$$Au(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)\phi_q(\int_0^1 H(s, r)f(r, u(r), u''(r))dr)ds,$$

and

$$\begin{split} -(Au)''(t) = & \phi_q(\int_0^1 H(t,s) f(s,u(s),u''(s)) \mathrm{d}s) \geq \phi_q(z(t) \int_0^1 H(s,s) f(s,u(s),u''(s)) \mathrm{d}s) \\ = & \phi_q(z(t)) \phi_q(\int_0^1 H(s,s) f(s,u(s),u''(s)) \mathrm{d}s) \geq \phi_q(z(t)) \parallel Au \parallel = g(t) \parallel Au \parallel. \end{split}$$

Since  $Au(t) \in E$ , we see that

$$Au(t) = -\int_0^1 G(t, s)(Au)''(s))ds,$$

and

$$Au(t) \ge \int_0^1 G(t,s)\phi_q(z(s))ds \| Au \| = f_1(t) \| Au \|.$$

Therefore  $A(P \setminus \{\theta\}) \subset P$ .

**Lemma 2.4** For any  $R_2 > R_1 > 0$ ,  $A : \overline{P_{R_2}} \backslash P_{R_1} \longrightarrow P$  is completely continuous, where  $P_r = \{u \in P, || u || < r\} \ (r > 0)$ .

**Proof** For any  $u \in \overline{P_{R_2}} \backslash P_{R_1}$ , then  $f_1(t)R_1 \leq u(t) \leq f_2(t)R_2$  and  $g(t)R_1 \leq -u''(t) \leq R_2$ . From (2.2), we have

$$-(Au)''(t) = \phi_q(\int_0^1 H(t,s)f(s,u(s),u''(s))ds),$$

and

$$\parallel Au \parallel \leq \phi_q(\int_0^1 H(s,s)f(s,u(s),u''(s))\mathrm{d}s) \leq \phi_q(\int_0^1 H(s,s)f_{R_1R_2}(s)\mathrm{d}s) \triangleq M.$$

Thus  $||Au|| \le M$ , which implies that A is bounded on  $\overline{P_{R_2}} \setminus P_{R_1}$ .

Next, we prove that  $\{(Au)''(t), u \in V\}$  is equicontinuous, for all  $V \subset \overline{P_{R_2}} \backslash P_{R_1}$ . Since  $||Au|| \le M$  and  $\phi_q$  is uniformly continuous on  $[0, \phi_p(M)]$ , we only need to show  $\{-\phi_p(Au)''(t), u \in V\}$  is equicontinuous on [0, 1]. Here, we divide our proof into three steps.

Step 1  $\{-\phi_p(Au)''(t), u \in V\}$  is equicontinuous on  $[0, \alpha]$ .

Let  $t \leq \eta$ . Then

$$-\phi_{p}(Au)''(t) = \int_{0}^{1} H(t,s)f(s,u(s),u''(s))ds$$

$$= \int_{0}^{t} \frac{s}{1-b_{1}\eta}[(1-t)-b_{1}(\eta-t)]f(s,u(s),u''(s))ds +$$

$$\int_{t}^{\eta} \frac{t}{1-b_{1}\eta}[(1-s)-b_{1}(\eta-s)]f(s,u(s),u''(s))ds +$$

$$\int_{\eta}^{1} \frac{t}{1-b_{1}\eta}(1-s)f(s,u(s),u''(s))ds. \tag{2.3}$$

First, from

$$\int_{0}^{1} H(t,s) f_{R_1 R_2}(s) ds \le \int_{0}^{1} H(s,s) f_{R_1 R_2}(s) ds = \phi_p(M), \tag{2.4}$$

we can easily get

$$\int_{\eta}^{1} s(1-s) f_{R_1 R_2}(s) ds \le \phi_p(M), \tag{2.5}$$

$$\int_{0}^{\eta} s[(1-s) - b_1(\eta - s)] f_{R_1 R_2}(s) ds \le \phi_p(M), \tag{2.6}$$

$$\int_0^{\eta} s f_{R_1 R_2}(s) ds \le n_1 \phi_p(M) \ (n_1 > 0), \tag{2.7}$$

$$\int_{\eta}^{1} (1-s) f_{R_1 R_2}(s) ds \le n_2 \phi_p(M) \ (n_2 > 0). \tag{2.8}$$

Again,

$$\int_{0}^{t} \frac{s}{1 - b_{1}\eta} [(1 - t) - b_{1}(\eta - t)] f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{t} \frac{s}{1 - b_{1}\eta} [(1 - s) - b_{1}(\eta - s)] f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{t} \frac{s}{1 - b_{1}\eta} [(1 - s) - b_{1}(\eta - s)] f_{R_{1}R_{2}}(s) ds, \qquad (2.9)$$

$$\int_{\eta}^{1} \frac{t}{1 - b_{1}\eta} (1 - s) f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds \leq \frac{t}{1 - b_{1}\eta} \int_{\eta}^{1} (1 - s) f_{R_{1}R_{2}}(s) ds. \qquad (2.10)$$

Applying (2.6) and (2.9), we obtain

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} \int_0^t \frac{s}{1 - b_1 \eta} [(1 - t) - b_1 (\eta - t)] f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds = 0, \tag{2.11}$$

uniformly with respect to  $u \in V$ . Employing (2.8) and (2.10), we get

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} \int_{\eta}^1 \frac{t}{1 - b_1 \eta} (1 - s) f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds = 0, \tag{2.12}$$

uniformly with respect to  $u \in V$ .

Second, from (2.6), for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\eta_1 > 0$ , such that

$$\int_0^{\eta_1} \frac{s}{1 - b_1 \eta} [(1 - s) - b_1 (\eta - s)] f_{R_1 R_2}(s) ds < \varepsilon.$$

Let  $t < \eta_1$ . We have

$$\int_{t}^{\eta} \frac{t}{1 - b_{1}\eta} [(1 - s) - b_{1}(\eta - s)] f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds 
= \int_{t}^{\eta_{1}} \frac{t}{1 - b_{1}\eta} [(1 - s) - b_{1}(\eta - s)] f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds + 
\int_{\eta_{1}}^{\eta} \frac{t}{1 - b_{1}\eta} [(1 - s) - b_{1}(\eta - s)] f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds 
\leq \int_{0}^{\eta_{1}} \frac{s}{1 - b_{1}\eta} [(1 - s) - b_{1}(\eta - s)] f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds + 
\frac{t}{\eta_{1}} \int_{\eta_{1}}^{\eta} \frac{s}{1 - b_{1}\eta} [(1 - s) - b_{1}(\eta - s)] f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds 
\leq \int_{0}^{\eta_{1}} \frac{s}{1 - b_{1}\eta} [(1 - s) - b_{1}(\eta - s)] f_{R_{1}R_{2}}(s) ds + \frac{t}{\eta_{1}} \frac{\phi_{p}(M)}{1 - b_{1}\eta}.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} \int_t^{\eta} \frac{t}{1 - b_1 \eta} [(1 - s) - b_1 (\eta - s)] f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds = 0, \tag{2.13}$$

uniformly with respect to  $u \in V$ . It follows from (2.11)–(2.13) that

$$\lim_{t \longrightarrow 0^+} -\phi_p(Au)''(t) = 0,$$

uniformly with respect to  $u \in V$ . Then there exists  $\alpha > 0$  small enough, without loss of generality, we assume  $\alpha < \eta$ , such that  $\{-\phi_p(Au)''(t), u \in V\}$  is equicontinuous on  $[0, \alpha]$ .

Step 2  $\{-\phi_p(Au)''(t), u \in V\}$  is equicontinuous on  $[\beta, 1]$ .

Let  $t > \eta$ . We obtain

$$-\phi_p(Au)''(t) = \int_0^1 H(t,s)f(s,u(s),u''(s))ds$$

$$= \int_0^{\eta} \frac{s}{1-b_1\eta}[(1-t)-b_1(\eta-t)]f(s,u(s),u''(s))ds +$$

$$\int_{\eta}^t \frac{1}{1-b_1\eta}[s(1-t)+b_1\eta(t-s)]f(s,u(s),u''(s))ds +$$

$$\int_t^1 \frac{t}{1-b_1\eta}(1-s)f(s,u(s),u''(s))ds.$$

First, notice that

$$\int_{t}^{1} \frac{t}{1 - b_{1}\eta} (1 - s) f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds \le \int_{t}^{1} \frac{s}{1 - b_{1}\eta} (1 - s) f_{R_{1}R_{2}}(s) ds.$$

This together with (2.5) guarantees that

$$\lim_{t \to 1^{-}} \int_{t}^{1} \frac{t}{1 - b_{1}\eta} (1 - s) f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds = 0, \tag{2.14}$$

uniformly with respect to  $u \in V$ .

Secondly, we consider the function

$$\int_0^{\eta} \frac{s}{1 - b_1 \eta} [(1 - t) - b_1(\eta - t)] f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds.$$

For any  $t_1$ ,  $t_2 > \eta$ , (2.7) implies that

$$\left| \int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{s}{1 - b_{1}\eta} [(1 - t_{1}) - b_{1}(\eta - t_{1})] f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds - \int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{s}{1 - b_{1}\eta} [(1 - t_{2}) - b_{1}(\eta - t_{2})] f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds \right| 
= \left| \int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{s}{1 - b_{1}\eta} [(1 - b_{1})(t_{2} - t_{1}) f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds \right| 
= \left| \int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{s(1 - b_{1})}{1 - b_{1}\eta} f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds \right| |t_{1} - t_{2}| 
\leq \frac{1 - b_{1}}{1 - b_{1}\eta} n_{1} \phi_{p}(M) |t_{1} - t_{2}|.$$
(2.15)

Thirdly, we consider

$$\int_{\eta}^{t} \frac{1}{1 - b_{1}\eta} [s(1 - t) + b_{1}\eta(t - s)] f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 - b_{1}\eta} \int_{\eta}^{t} s(1 - t) f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds + \frac{b_{1}\eta}{1 - b_{1}\eta} \int_{\eta}^{t} (t - s) f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds.$$

For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , by (2.5) there exists  $\delta > \eta$ , such that

$$\int_{\delta}^{1} (1-s)s f_{R_1 R_2}(s) ds < \varepsilon. \tag{2.16}$$

Let  $t > \delta$ . Since

$$(1-t) \int_{\eta}^{t} sf(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds$$

$$\leq (1-t) \int_{\eta}^{\delta} sf(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds + (1-t) \int_{\delta}^{t} sf(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds$$

$$\leq \frac{(1-t)}{1-\delta} \int_{\eta}^{\delta} (1-s)sf(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds + \int_{\delta}^{t} (1-s)sf(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds$$

$$\leq \frac{(1-t)}{1-\delta} \int_{\eta}^{1} (1-s)sf(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds + \int_{\delta}^{1} (1-s)sf(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds$$

$$\leq \frac{(1-t)}{1-\delta} \int_{\eta}^{1} (1-s)sf_{R_{1}R_{2}}(s) ds + \int_{\delta}^{1} (1-s)sf_{R_{1}R_{2}}(s) ds,$$

we have

$$\lim_{t \to 1^{-}} (1 - t) \int_{\eta}^{t} s f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds = 0, \tag{2.17}$$

uniformly with respect to  $u \in V$ . In view of (2.8), there exists  $\delta_0 > \eta$ , such that

$$\int_{\delta_0}^1 (1-s) f_{R_1 R_2}(s) \mathrm{d}s < \varepsilon.$$

Let  $t > \delta_0$ . We get

$$\int_{\eta}^{t} (t-s)f(s,u(s),u''(s))ds = \int_{\eta}^{\delta_{0}} (t-s)f(s,u(s),u''(s))ds + \int_{\delta_{0}}^{t} (t-s)f(s,u(s),u''(s))ds$$

and

$$\int_{\delta_0}^t (t-s)f(s, u(s), u''(s))ds \le \int_{\delta_0}^1 (1-s)f_{R_1R_2}(s)ds < \varepsilon.$$
 (2.18)

Let  $m_1 = \min_{t \in [\eta, \delta_0]} f_1(t)$ ,  $M_1 = \max_{t \in [\eta, \delta_0]} f_2(t)$  and  $m_2 = \min_{t \in [\eta, \delta_0]} g(t)$ . Then  $u(t) \in [m_1 R_1, M_1 R_2]$ ,  $-u''(t) \in [m_2 R_1, R_2]$ , so there exists B > 0 such that  $\max_{t \in [\eta, \delta_0]} |f(t, u(t), u''(t)| \le B$ . Thus for any  $t_1, t_2 > \eta$ , we obtain

$$\left| \int_{\eta}^{\delta_0} (t_1 - s) f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds - \int_{\eta}^{\delta_0} (t_2 - s) f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds \right|$$

$$= \left| \int_{\eta}^{\delta_0} (t_1 - t_2) f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds \right| \le B|t_1 - t_2|. \tag{2.19}$$

(2.14), (2.15) and (2.17)–(2.19) guarantee that there exists  $\beta > \eta$ , such that  $\{-\phi_p(Au)''(t), u \in V\}$  is equicontinuous on  $[\beta, 1]$ .

**Step 3**  $\{-\phi_p(Au)''(t), u \in V\}$  is equicontinuous on  $[\alpha_1, \beta_1](0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha, 1 > \beta_1 > \beta)$ . For any  $t_1, t_2 \in [\alpha_1, \beta_1]$ , we have

$$|\int_{0}^{1} H(t_{1}, s) f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds - \int_{0}^{1} H(t_{2}, s) f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds|$$

$$\leq |\int_{0}^{\alpha_{1}} H(t_{1}, s) f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds - \int_{0}^{\alpha_{1}} H(t_{2}, s) f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds| +$$

$$|\int_{\alpha_{1}}^{\beta_{1}} H(t_{1}, s) f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds - \int_{\alpha_{1}}^{\beta_{1}} H(t_{2}, s) f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds| + \int_{\beta_{1}}^{1} H(t_{1}, s) f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds - \int_{\beta_{1}}^{1} H(t_{2}, s) f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds|.$$

From  $\alpha_1 < \alpha < \eta$ , we get

$$\left| \int_{0}^{\alpha_{1}} H(t_{1}, s) f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds - \int_{0}^{\alpha_{1}} H(t_{2}, s) f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds \right|$$

$$\leq \left| \int_{0}^{\alpha_{1}} \frac{s}{1 - b_{1} \eta} [(1 - t_{1}) - b_{1} (\eta - t_{1})] f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds - \int_{0}^{\alpha_{1}} \frac{s}{1 - b_{1} \eta} [(1 - t_{2}) - b_{1} (\eta - t_{2})] f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds \right|$$

$$\leq \left| \int_{0}^{\alpha_{1}} \frac{s}{1 - b_{1} \eta} (1 - b_{1}) f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds \right| |t_{1} - t_{2}|$$

$$\leq \frac{1 - b_{1}}{1 - b_{1} \eta} \left| \int_{0}^{\eta} s f_{R_{1}R_{2}} ds \right| |t_{1} - t_{2}|. \tag{2.20}$$

From  $\beta_1 > \beta > \eta$ , we obtain

$$\left| \int_{\beta_{1}}^{1} H(t_{1}, s) f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds - \int_{\beta_{1}}^{1} H(t_{2}, s) f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds \right| 
= \left| \int_{\beta_{1}}^{1} \frac{t_{1}}{1 - b_{1} \eta} (1 - s) f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds - \int_{\beta_{1}}^{1} \frac{t_{2}}{1 - b_{1} \eta} (1 - s) f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds \right| 
= \frac{1}{1 - b_{1} \eta} \left| (t_{1} - t_{2}) \int_{\beta_{1}}^{1} (1 - s) f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds \right| 
= \frac{1}{1 - b_{1} \eta} \left| \int_{\beta_{1}}^{1} (1 - s) f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds \right| \left| t_{1} - t_{2} \right| 
\leq \frac{1}{1 - b_{1} \eta} \left| \int_{\eta}^{1} (1 - s) f_{R_{1} R_{2}} ds \right| \left| t_{1} - t_{2} \right|.$$
(2.21)

Since f has no singularity on  $[\alpha_1, \beta_1]$ , with the same reason as Step 2,  $\int_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1} H(t, s) f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds$  is equicontinuous on  $[\alpha_1, \beta_1]$ . Combining (2.20) and (2.21), we know Step 3 holds. From Steps 1 to 3, we see that  $\{-\phi_p(Au)''(t), u \in V\}$  is equicontinuous on [0, 1]. By applying the Ascoli-Arzela theorem, for any  $(Au_n)''(t)(u_n \in V)$ , there exists  $u_0 \in C[0, 1]$ . Without loss of generality, we assume  $\|(Au_n)''(t) - u_0\|_0 \longrightarrow 0$ . Let  $u(t) = -\int_0^1 G(t, s)u_0(s) ds$ . Then  $u \in E$ , and  $\|Au_n - u\| = \|(Au_n)''(t) - u_0\|_0 \longrightarrow 0$ . Therefore, AV is relatively compact.

In addition, according to the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem and

$$\int_0^1 H(s,s) f_{R_1 R_2}(s) \mathrm{d}s < +\infty,$$

we can easily get the continuity of A. The proof is completed.

**Lemma 2.5**<sup>[7]</sup> Suppose that E is a real Banach space and  $P \subset E$  is a cone. Let  $\Omega_1$ ,  $\Omega_2$  be two bounded sets of E, such that  $\theta \in \Omega_1$ ,  $\overline{\Omega_1} \subset \Omega_2$ . Let operator  $T: P \cap (\overline{\Omega_2} \setminus \Omega_1) \longrightarrow P$  be completely continuous. Suppose one of the following two conditions holds

(a) 
$$||Tx|| \le ||x||, \forall x \in P \cap \partial \Omega_1$$
;  $||Tx|| \ge ||x||, \forall x \in P \cap \partial \Omega_2$ ;

(b)  $||Tx|| \ge ||x||$ ,  $\forall x \in P \cap \partial \Omega_1$ ;  $||Tx|| \le ||x||$ ,  $\forall x \in P \cap \partial \Omega_2$ . Then T has at least one fixed point in  $P \cap (\overline{\Omega_2} \setminus \Omega_1)$ .

#### 3. The Main Results

We list the following conditions for convenience.

- (H<sub>1</sub>) There exist  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\varphi(t) \in L(0,1)$  such that  $f(t,u,v) \ge \varphi(t)$  for  $t \in (0,1), u \in (0,\varepsilon], v \in [-\varepsilon,0)$  and  $0 < \int_0^1 H(s,s)\varphi(s) ds < +\infty$ .
  - (H<sub>2</sub>) There exists R > 0, such that  $\int_0^1 H(s,s) f_{RR}(s) ds < R^{p-1}$ .
- (H<sub>3</sub>) There exist  $N > 0, e > 0, N_1 > 0$  such that  $f(t, u, v) \ge N|v|^{p-1}$  for  $t \in [c, d], u \in [e, +\infty), -v \in [N_1, +\infty)$ , where  $N = (z(c)l^{p-1}\int_c^d H(s, s)ds)^{-1}, l = \min_{t \in [c, d]} g(t)$ .
- (H<sub>4</sub>) There exists k > 0, such that  $f(t, u, v) \ge ku^{p-1}$  for  $t \in (0, 1), u \in (0, \varepsilon_1], v \in [-\varepsilon_1, 0)$ , where  $k = (\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 H(s, s) f_1^{p-1}(s) ds)^{-1}$ .
- (H<sub>5</sub>) There exist  $L > 0, N_2 > 0, e_1 > 0$  such that  $f(t, u, v) \ge Lu^{p-1}$  for  $t \in [c_1, d_1], u \in [N_2, +\infty), -v \in [e_1, +\infty)$ , where  $L = (z(c_1)l_1^{p-1} \int_{c_1}^{d_1} H(s, s) ds)^{-1}, l_1 = \min_{t \in [c_1, d_1]} f_1(t)$ .

**Theorem 3.1** If  $(H_0)$ – $(H_3)$  are satisfied, then BVP(1.1) has at least two positive solutions.

**Proof**  $\forall u \in \partial P_r$ , then ||u|| = r,  $f_1(t)r \leq u(t) \leq f_2(t)r$  and  $g(t)r \leq -u''(t) \leq r$ . Suppose r is small enough, guaranteeing  $u(t) \in (0, \varepsilon]$ ,  $u'' \in [-\varepsilon, 0)$ ,  $r \leq \min \left\{ \phi_q(\frac{1}{2})\phi_q(\int_0^1 H(s, s)\varphi(s) ds), \frac{R}{2} \right\}$ . It follows from  $(H_1)$  that

$$\begin{split} |(Au)''(\frac{1}{2})| &= \phi_q(\int_0^1 H(\frac{1}{2},s)f(s,u(s),u''(s))\mathrm{d}s) \\ &\geq \phi_q(z(\frac{1}{2}))\phi_q(\int_0^1 H(s,s)\varphi(s)\mathrm{d}s)) \\ &\geq \phi_q(\frac{1}{2})\phi_q(\int_0^1 H(s,s)\varphi(s)\mathrm{d}s)) \geq r = \|u\|. \end{split}$$

Obviously  $||Au|| \ge ||u||$ .

For any  $u \in \partial P_R$ , ||u|| = R. From (H<sub>2</sub>), we get

$$|(Au)''(t)| = \phi_q(\int_0^1 H(t, s) f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds)$$

$$\leq \phi_q(\int_0^1 H(s, s) f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds)$$

$$\leq \phi_q(\int_0^1 H(s, s)) f_{RR}(s) ds)$$

$$< \phi_q(R^{p-1}) = R,$$

which yields ||Au|| < ||u||.

For any  $u \in \partial P_{R_1}$ ,  $||u|| = R_1$ . Let  $R_1$  be large enough, such that  $R_1 > R$ ,  $\min_{t \in [c,d]} g(t) R_1 > N_1$ , and  $\min_{t \in [c,d]} f_1(t) R_1 \ge e$ . Then for  $t \in [c,d]$ , we have  $-u''(t) \ge g(t) ||u|| \ge \min_{t \in [c,d]} g(t) ||u||$ 

and  $u(t) \ge f_1(t) ||u|| \ge \min_{t \in [c,d]} f_1(t) ||u||$ . (H<sub>3</sub>) gives

$$-\phi_{p}((Au)''(c)) \geq z(c) \int_{c}^{d} H(s,s)f(s,u(s),u''(s))ds$$

$$\geq z(c)N \int_{c}^{d} H(s,s)|u''(s)|^{p-1}ds$$

$$\geq z(c)N \int_{c}^{d} H(s,s)g(s)^{p-1}ds||u||^{p-1}$$

$$\geq z(c)l^{p-1}N \int_{c}^{d} H(s,s)ds||u||^{p-1},$$

thus  $||Au|| \ge ||u||$ . In conclusion, the result of Theorem 3.1 follows from the above and Lemma 2.5.

**Theorem 3.2** If  $(H_0)$ ,  $(H_2)$ ,  $(H_4)$ ,  $(H_5)$  are satisfied, then BVP(1.1) has at least two positive solutions.

**Proof** For any  $u \in \partial P_r$ , we have ||u|| = r,  $f_1(t)r \le u(t) \le f_2(t)r$  and  $g(t)r \le -u''(t) \le r$ . Let r be small enough (r < R), such that  $u(t) \in (0, \varepsilon_1]$ ,  $u'' \in [-\varepsilon_1, 0)$ . It follows from  $(H_4)$  that

$$-\phi_p((Au)''(\frac{1}{2})) = \int_0^1 H(\frac{1}{2}, s) f(s, u(s), u''(s)) ds$$

$$\geq z(\frac{1}{2}) k \int_0^1 H(s, s) u(s)^{p-1} ds$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} k \int_0^1 H(s, s) f_1^{p-1}(s) ds ||u||^{p-1} \geq r^{p-1}.$$

Obviously,  $||Au|| \ge ||u||$ .

For any  $u \in \partial P_R$ , from (H<sub>2</sub>), we have ||Au|| < ||u||.

For any  $u \in \partial P_{R_1}$ , we have  $||u|| = R_1$ . Let  $R_1$  be large enough, such that  $R_1 > R$ ,  $\min_{t \in [c_1,d_1]} f_1(t)R_1 > N_2$ , and  $\min_{t \in [c_1,d_1]} g(t)R_1 \ge e_1$ . Then for  $t \in [c_1,d_1]$ , we have  $u(t) \ge f_1(t)||u|| \ge \min_{t \in [c_1,d_1]} f_1(t)||u||$  and  $-u''(t) \ge g(t)||u|| \ge \min_{t \in [c_1,d_1]} g(t)||u||$ . (H<sub>5</sub>) yields

$$-\phi_{p}((Au)''(c_{1})) \geq z(c_{1}) \int_{c_{1}}^{d_{1}} H(s,s) f(s,u(s),u''(s)) ds$$

$$\geq z(c_{1}) L \int_{c_{1}}^{d_{1}} H(s,s) |u(s)|^{p-1} ds$$

$$\geq z(c_{1}) L \int_{c_{1}}^{d_{1}} H(s,s) f_{1}(s)^{p-1} ds ||u||^{p-1}$$

$$\geq z(c_{1}) l_{1}^{p-1} L \int_{c_{1}}^{d_{1}} H(s,s) ds ||u||^{p-1}.$$

Thus  $||Au|| \ge ||u||$ . To sum up, by Lemma 2.5, our conclusion follows.

Corollary 3.1 If  $(H_0)$ ,  $(H_1)$ ,  $(H_2)$ ,  $(H_5)$  are satisfied, then BVP(1.1) has at least two positive solutions.

Corollary 3.2 If  $(H_0)$ ,  $(H_2)$ ,  $(H_3)$ ,  $(H_4)$  are satisfied, then BVP(1.1) has at least two positive solutions.

**Remark** If  $(H_0)$ ,  $(H_1)$ ,  $(H_2)$  or  $(H_0)$ ,  $(H_2)$ ,  $(H_4)$  or  $(H_0)$ ,  $(H_2)$ ,  $(H_3)$  or  $(H_0)$ ,  $(H_2)$ ,  $(H_5)$  are satisfied, then BVP(1.1) has at least one positive solution.

### 4. Examples

Now we provide two examples as applications of our theorems.

**Example 1** Consider the following boundary value problem with p-Laplacian

$$\begin{cases} (|u''(t)|^2 u''(t))'' = \frac{1}{35t\sqrt{(1-t)}(1-\frac{14}{15}t)}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{u(t)}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-u''(t)}} + (u''(t))^4) \\ u(0) = 0, \ u(1) = \frac{1}{2}u(\frac{1}{4}) \\ u''(0) = 0, u''(1) = \frac{1}{2}u''(\frac{1}{2}). \end{cases}$$

Let  $\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t}}$  and R = 3. We can easily find that the conditions of Theorem (3.1) are satisfied, so it has at least two positive solutions.

**Example 2** Consider the following boundary value problem with p-Laplacian

$$\begin{cases} (|u''(t)|u''(t))'' = \frac{1}{62t\sqrt{(1-t)}(1-\frac{16}{17}t)}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{u(t)}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-u''(t)}} + u^3(t)) \\ u(0) = 0, \ u(1) = \frac{1}{4}u(\frac{1}{2}) \\ u''(0) = 0, u''(1) = \frac{1}{3}u''(\frac{1}{2}). \end{cases}$$

Let R = 3. We can easily find that the conditions of Theorem (3.2) are satisfied, so it has at least two positive solutions.

## References

- GUPTA C P. Existence and uniqueness theorems for the bending of an elastic beam equation [J]. Appl. Anal., 1988, 26(4): 289–304.
- [2] GUPTA C P. Existence and uniqueness results for the bending of an elastic beam equation at resonance [J].
   J. Math. Anal. Appl., 1988, 135(1): 208-225.
- [3] LIU Bing. Positive solutions of fourth-order two point boundary value problems [J]. Appl. Math. Comput., 2004, 148(2): 407–420.
- [4] LIU Yansheng. Multiple positive solutions of nonlinear singular boundary value problem for fourth-order equations [J]. Appl. Math. Lett., 2004, 17(7): 747-757.
- [5] WEI Zhongli. A class of fourth order singular boundary value problems [J]. Appl. Math. Comput., 2004, 153(3): 865–884.
- [6] ZHANG Xinguang, LIU Lishan. Positive solutions of fourth-order four-point boundary value problems with p-Laplacian operator [J]. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2007, 336(2): 1414–1423.
- [7] GUO Dajun. Nonlinear Functional Analysis [M]. Jinan: Shandong Sci Tech Publishing, 2001.