

$L(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t)$ -Number $\lambda(C_n; d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t)$ of Cycles

GAO Zhen Bin¹, ZHANG Xiao Dong²

(1. College of Science, Harbin Engineering University, Heilongjiang 150001, China;

2. Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiaotong University, Shanghai 200240, China)

(E-mail: gaozenbin@yahoo.com.cn)

Abstract An $L(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t)$ -labeling of a graph G is a function f from its vertex set $V(G)$ to the set $\{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ for some positive integer k such that $|f(x) - f(y)| \geq d_i$, if the distance between vertices x and y in G is equal to i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$. The $L(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t)$ -number $\lambda(G; d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t)$ of G is the smallest integer number k such that G has an $L(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t)$ -labeling with $\max\{f(x) | x \in V(G)\} = k$. In this paper, we obtain the exact values for $\lambda(C_n; 2, 2, 1)$ and $\lambda(C_n; 3, 2, 1)$, and present lower and upper bounds for $\lambda(C_n; 2, \dots, 2, 1, \dots, 1)$

Keywords cycle; labeling; $L(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t)$ -labeling; $\lambda(G; d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t)$ -number.

Document code A

MR(2000) Subject Classification 05C15; 05C78

Chinese Library Classification O157.5

1. Introduction

The channel assignment problem is to assign a channel (nonnegative integer) to each radio transmitter so that interfering transmitters are assigned channels whose separations are not in a set of disallowable separations. Hale^[1] formulated this problem into the problems of T -coloring of a graph, which has been extensively studied over the past decades^[2–8]. Roberts^[9] pointed that we could assign channels to some radio transmitters with different places so that close transmitters would get different channels whose difference is at least 2. Griggs and Yeh^[10] first studied the problems of $L(2, 1)$ -labeling. An $L(2, 1)$ -labeling is a function f from its vertex set $V(G)$ to the set $\{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ for some integer k such that $|f(x) - f(y)| \geq 2$ if $d(x, y) = 1$ and $|f(x) - f(y)| \geq 1$ if $d(x, y) = 2$. For positive integer numbers k, d_1, d_2 , a $k-L(d_1, d_2)$ -labeling of a graph G is a function $f : V(G) \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ such that $|f(x) - f(y)| \geq d_i$ whenever $x, y \in V(G)$ and $d(x, y) = i$ ($i = 1, 2$). $L(d_1, d_2)$ -number of the graph is the smallest integer number k such that $k-L(d_1, d_2)$ -labeling exists.

Up to now, there are a lot of results for the $L(d_1, d_2)$ -labeling, especially, the $L(2, 1)$ -labeling. For example, Griggs and Yeh^[10] proved that the $L(2, 1)$ -number of a tree is $\Delta + 1$ or $\Delta + 2$, and that the upper bound for the $L(2, 1)$ -number of a graph with the largest degree Δ is at most $\Delta^2 + 2\Delta - 3$. Further they proposed the following conjecture is Δ^2 . In addition, they

Received date: 2007-07-18; **Accepted date:** 2008-04-16

Foundation item: the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10531070); the National Basic Research Program of China 973 Program (No. 2006AA11Z209) and the Natural Science Foundation of Shanghai City (No. 06ZR14049).

obtain the exact values for the $L(2, 1)$ -number for some special graphs such as paths, cycles and wheel graphs. Chang and Kuo^[11] proved that for a general graph of maximum degree Δ , an upper bound of $L(2, 1)$ -number is $\Delta^2 + \Delta$. For more background and information for the $L(d_1, d_2)$ -numbers, the readers may refer to an excellent survey^[12].

In this survey, Yeh^[12] proposed a new notion of $L(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t)$ -labeling of a graph. An $L(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t)$ -labeling of a graph G is a function f from its vertex set $V(G)$ to the set $\{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ for some positive integer k such that $|f(x) - f(y)| \geq d_i$, if the distance between vertices x and y in G is equal to i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$. The $L(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t)$ -number $\lambda(G; d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t)$ of G is the smallest integer number k such that G has an $L(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t)$ -labeling with $\max\{f(x) | x \in V(G)\} = k$. Further, he proposed five problems, one of which was $L(d_1, d_1, \dots, d_1, d_2, d_2, \dots, d_2)$ -labeling ($d_1 > d_2 \geq 1$).

In this paper, we present the exact values for $\lambda(C_n; 2, 2, 1)$, $\lambda(C_n; 3, 2, 1)$ and give lower and upper bounds for $\lambda(G; 2, 2, \dots, 2, 1, \dots, 1)$ (t -fold 2 and t -fold 1).

2. Preliminaries

Denote by C_n a cycle with n vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n .

Proposition 1 For a graph G , if $\lambda(G; d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t)$ and $\lambda(G; d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t, \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_s)$ exist, then $\lambda(G; d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t) \leq \lambda(G; d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t, \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_s)$.

Proof Clearly, it follows from the definition that an $L(G; d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t, \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_s)$ -labeling of G is also an $L(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t)$ -labeling. Hence the assertion holds.

Proposition 2 For a graph G , if $\lambda(G; d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t)$ and $\lambda(G; \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_t)$ exist, and $d_i \leq \delta_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq t$), then $\lambda(G; d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t) \leq \lambda(G; \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_t)$.

Proof Since G has an $L(\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_t)$ -labeling, $|f(x) - f(y)| \geq \delta_i$ for $d(x, y) = i$ ($1 \leq i \leq t$), where $x, y \in V(G)$. By $d_i \leq \delta_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq t$), we have $|f(x) - f(y)| \geq d_i$. Hence G has an $L(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t)$ -labeling and $\lambda(G; d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t) \leq \lambda(G; \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_t)$.

Proposition 3 Let G be a graph. If the $L(\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_t)$ -number exists, then there exists a vertex with labeling 0.

Proof Suppose the $L(\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_t)$ -number exists. Let the vertex v with the smallest labeling value and $f(v) \neq 0$. Now let $g(u) = f(u) - f(v)$ for all u in G . Then it is easy to see that g is a function such that $L(\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_t)$ -number exists with $g(v) = 0$.

Proposition 4 Let G be a graph with the diameter at least $t+1$. If the $L(d_1, d_1, \dots, d_1, d_2, d_2, \dots, d_2)$ -labelling exists (t -fold d_1 , and $d_1 > d_2 \geq 1$), then $\lambda(G; d_1, d_1, \dots, d_1, d_2, d_2, \dots, d_2) \geq td_1 + 1$.

Proof Since the diameter of G is at least $t+1$, there exists a path with vertices $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{t+1}, v_{t+2}, \dots)$, and $f(v_1) = 0$. Because G has an $L(d_1, d_1, \dots, d_1, d_2, d_2, \dots, d_2)$ -labeling, the labeling values of v_i ($2 \leq i \leq t+1$) are different and $|f(v_i) - f(v_j)| \geq d_1$ ($1 \leq i \neq j \leq t+1$). Hence, among the

vertices v_i ($2 \leq i \leq t+1$), there is at least one with a labeling value $\geq td_1$; if the maximum of the labeling values of the vertices is td_1 , then the labeling values of v_2, \dots, v_{t+1} are $d_1, 2d_1, \dots, td_1$. But the distance between v_{t+2} and v_i ($2 \leq i \leq t+1$) is not more than t and $f(v_{t+2}) \neq 0$. It is impossible. So

$$\lambda(G; d_1, d_1, \dots, d_1, d_2, d_2, \dots, d_2) \geq td_1 + 1.$$

3. Results

Theorem 1 For C_n ($n \geq 3$), there are $\lambda(C_n; 2, 2, 1) = \begin{cases} 4, & n = 3; \\ 8, & n = 5, 9, 13, 17; \\ 7, & n = 6, 10; \\ 6, & \text{other } n. \end{cases}$

Proof We first show that $\lambda(C_n; 2, 2, 1) \geq 6$ ($n \geq 4$). By Proposition 4, $\lambda(C_n; 2, 2, 1) \geq 5$ ($n \geq 4$). If $\lambda(C_n; 2, 2, 1) = 5$ ($n \geq 4$), by Proposition 3, we can set $f(v_1) = 0$, thus $f(v_2) \geq 2$; if $2 \leq f(v_2) \leq 4$, with $f(v_3) \geq 2$ and $|f(v_2) - f(v_3)| \geq 2$, then $f(v_3) \in \{2, 4, 5\}$. Hence if $n = 4$, then there are no labeling values for v_4 ; if $n = 5$, then there are no labeling values for v_4 ; if $n \geq 6$ with $|f(v_2) - f(v_4)| \geq 2, |f(v_3) - f(v_4)| \geq 2$, then there is only one labeling: $f(v_2) = 3, f(v_3) = 5, f(v_4) = 1$, but, there is no labeling value for v_5 . If $f(v_2) = 5$, then $f(v_3) \in \{2, 3\}$, but $f(v_4) \geq 1$. So we have only one labeling, that is, $f(v_2) = 5, f(v_3) = 3, f(v_4) = 1$. In this case, there is no labeling value for v_5 either. Therefore $\lambda(C_n; 2, 2, 1) \geq 6$ ($n \geq 4$).

Now we can obtain the results by constructing labeling. If $n = 3$, set $f : v_1v_2v_3 \rightarrow 024$; if $n = 5$, set $f : v_1v_2 \cdots v_5 \rightarrow 02468$; if $n = 9$, set $f : v_1v_2 \cdots v_9 \rightarrow 024681357$; if $n = 13, 17$, the first 9 vertices are valued as $n = 9$, the left vertices are valued as 0246, 02460246; if $n = 6$, set $f : v_1v_2 \cdots v_6 \rightarrow 037146$; if $n = 10$, the first 6 vertices are valued as $n = 6$, the left vertices are valued as 0246.

Finally, we show that $\lambda(C_n; 2, 2, 1) = 6$ for the remaining case. We now construct the following labeling.

If $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, set $f : v_1 \cdots v_4 \rightarrow 0246, f(v_i) = f(v_{i+4})$.

If $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $n > 17$, set $f : v_1 \cdots v_7 \rightarrow 0246135, f(v_i) = f(v_{i+7})$, where $i = 1, 2, \dots, 14$; for the left vertices, the labeling is as $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

If $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $n > 10$, set $f : v_1 \cdots v_7 \rightarrow 0246135, f(v_i) = f(v_{i+7})$, where $i = 1, 2, \dots, 7$; for the left vertices, the labeling is as $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

If $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, set $f : v_1 \cdots v_7 \rightarrow 0246135$, for the left vertices, the labeling is as $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

By simple calculations, it is easy to see that labeling of the above is $L(2, 2, 1)$ -labeling of cycle C_n . \square

Theorem 2 For C_n ($n \geq 3$), there are (1) $\lambda(C_n; 3, 2, 1) = \begin{cases} 6, & n = 3; \\ 9, & n = 7. \end{cases}$

(2) $\lambda(C_n; 3, 2, 1) = \begin{cases} 8, & n > 3 \text{ (} n \neq 7 \text{), and is odd;} \\ 7, & n \geq 4, \text{ and is even.} \end{cases}$

Proof By Proposition 1 and some calculations, it is easy to see that $\lambda(C_n; 3, 2, 1) = 6, 7, 8, 7, 9$, corresponding to $n = 3, 4, \dots, 7$, respectively.

Now we assume that $n > 7$. If $\lambda(C_n; 3, 2, 1) = 6$, $f(v_1) = 0$; if $f(v_2) = 3$, then $f(v_3) = 6$, $f(v_4) = 1$, $f(v_5) = 4$, but there is also no labeling value for v_6 ; if $f(v_2) = 4$, there is no labeling value for v_3 ; if $f(v_2) = 5$ or 6 , then $f(v_3) = 2$ or 3 , there is no labeling value for v_4 . Hence $\lambda(C_n; 3, 2, 1) \geq 7$.

If $n \geq 8$, and is even, set f :

$$v_1 \cdots v_4 \rightarrow 0725, f(v_i) = f(v_{i+4}) \ (i \geq 1), \text{ if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{4};$$

$$v_1 \cdots v_6 \rightarrow 036147, f(v_i) = f(v_{i+6}) \ (i \geq 1), \text{ if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{6};$$

$$v_1 \cdots v_6 \rightarrow 036147, f(v_i) = f(v_{i+6}) \ (1 \leq i \leq n - 8), v_{n-1}v_n \rightarrow 25, \text{ if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{6};$$

$v_1 \cdots v_6 \rightarrow 036147, f(v_i) = f(v_{i+6}) \ (1 \leq i \leq n - 10), v_{n-3} \cdots v_n \rightarrow 0527, \text{ if } n \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$, and $n \neq 4k$. Hence $\lambda(C_n; 3, 2, 1) = 7$.

If $n \geq 9$, and is odd, we show that $\lambda(C_n; 3, 2, 1) = 8$. In fact, if $\lambda(C_n; 3, 2, 1) = 7$, for the labelings that can be recirculated on C_n are: 0725; 036147; 03614725, the number in each set is even, and each labeling can be removed. So if we label the vertices of C_n by use of these sets, we cannot label the remaining odd vertices of C_n by use of the numbers in $\{0, 1, \dots, 7\}$. Thus, we obtain $\lambda(C_n; 3, 2, 1) > 7$ when $n \geq 9$, and is odd.

If $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, set f : $v_1 \cdots v_7 \rightarrow 0741836, v_8 \cdots v_{11} \rightarrow 0825, f(v_i) = f(v_{i+4}) \ (i \geq 8)$; If $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, set f : $v_1 \cdots v_5 \rightarrow 04826, v_8 \cdots v_{11} \rightarrow 0826, f(v_i) = f(v_{i+4}) \ (i \geq 6)$. Therefore $\lambda(C_n; 3, 2, 1) = 8$. □

Theorem 3 For $C_n (n \geq 3)$, there are $\lambda(C_n; 2, \dots, 2, 1, \dots, 1) \leq 4t$ (t -fold 2 and 1).

Proof From the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2, we see that the key step in labeling a cycle is how to construct the labeling of $C_n \ (3 \leq n \leq 4t)$. We will do this.

If $3 \leq n \leq 2t + 1$, set $f(V) \rightarrow 024 \cdots (2n - 2)$.

In case of $2t + 2 \leq n \leq 4t$:

(1) If n is odd, set

$$f(V) \rightarrow 0(4t)(4t - 2) \cdots (4t - 2\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 2)1(4t - 1)(4t - 3) \cdots (4t - 2\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 3);$$

(2) If n is even, set

$$f(V) \rightarrow 0(4t)(4t - 2) \cdots (4t - 2\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 4)1(4t - 1)(4t - 3) \cdots (4t - 2\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 3).$$

If $n = 4t + 1$, set

$$f(V) \rightarrow 0(4t)(4t - 2) \cdots (2t + 2)(2t - 1)(2t - 3) \cdots 31(4t - 1)(4t - 3) \cdots 9(2t + 1)24 \cdots (2t).$$

If $n > 4t + 1$, and $n \neq k(2t + 1), k = 2, 3, \dots$, we separate the vertices of C_n into two parts. The number of the vertices in one part is a multiple of $2t + 1$, which are circularly labeled by $0(4t)(4t - 2) \cdots 2$. The number of the vertices in other part is between $2t + 2$ and $4t + 1$, which are labeled in the same way as the above case of $2t + 2 \leq n \leq 4t + 1$. So $L(2, \dots, 2, 1, \dots, 1)$ -labeling exists. □

Theorem 4 For $C_n(n \geq 3)$, there are $\lambda(C_n; 2, 1, \dots, 1) = \begin{cases} 4, & n = 3, 4; \\ 2t + 2, & \text{other } n(t\text{-fold } 1). \end{cases}$

Proof If $n \leq 2t + 3$, the diameter of the cycle is $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$, so the labeling values of vertices are different from each other and $\lambda(C_n; 2, 1, \dots, 1)$ must be more than $n - 1$. Next we will show that except for $n = 3, 4$, $\lambda(C_n; 2, 1, \dots, 1) = n - 1 \leq 2t + 2$.

(1) $n = 3$, set $f(V) \rightarrow 024$; $n = 4$, set $f(V) \rightarrow 0314$.

(2) If $4 \leq n \leq 2t + 3$, we will do the labeling by the following rule: if n is even, set $f(V) \rightarrow 024 \cdots (n - 2)13 \cdots (n - 1)$; if n is odd, set $f(V) \rightarrow 024 \cdots (n - 1)13 \cdots (n - 2)$. So, for C_n , it is obvious that $L(2, 1, \dots, 1)$ -labeling exists.

If $n = k(2t + 4)$ $k = 1, 2, \dots$, set

$$f(V) \rightarrow 024 \cdots (2t + 2)024 \cdots (2t + 2)024 \cdots (2t + 2).$$

If $n > 2t + 3$ ($n \neq k(2t + 4)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$), we separate the vertices of C_n into two parts, the number of the vertices in one part is a multiple of $t + 2$, and the number of the vertices in the other part is between $t + 3$, and $2t + 3$. For the first part, if $t + 1$ is even, the vertices are circularly labeled by $024 \cdots (t + 1)13 \cdots t$; if $t + 1$ is odd, the vertices are circularly labeled by $024 \cdots t13 \cdots (t + 1)$. For the other part, the vertices are labeled in the same way as the above. It can be proven that for any n and any cycle, by the above labeling $L(2, 1, \dots, 1)$ -labeling exists. \square

Corollary For $C_n(n \geq 3)$, there are $2t + 2 \leq \lambda(C_n; 2, \dots, 2, 1) \leq 4t$ (t -fold 2).

Proof By Propositions 1 and 2, the assertion holds.

References

- [1] HALE W K. *Frequency assignment* [J]. Theory and Applications. Proc IEEE, 1980, **68**: 1497–1514.
- [2] COZZENS M B, ROBERTS F S. *T-Colorings of graphs and the channel assignment problem* [C]. Congr. Numer., 1982, **35**: 191–208.
- [3] COZZENS M B, WANG D I. *The general channel assignment problem* [C]. Congr. Numer., 1984, **41**: 115–129.
- [4] BATTITI R, BERTOSSI A A, BONUCCELLI M A. *Assigning codes in wireless networks: bounds and scaling properties, wireless networks* [J]. 1999, **5**: 195–209.
- [5] BERTOSSI A A, PINOTTI M C. *Mappings for conflict-free access of paths in bidimensional arrays, circular lists, and complete tree* [J]. J. Parallel and Distributed Computing, 2002, **62**: 1314–1333.
- [6] CHLAMTAC I, PINTER S S. *Distributed nodes organizations algorithm for channel access in a multihop dynamic radio network* [J]. IEEE Transactions on Computers, 1987, **36**: 728–737.
- [7] MCCORMICK S T. *Optimal approximation of sparse Hessians and its equivalence to a graph coloring problem* [J]. Math. Programming, 1983, **26**(2): 153–171.
- [8] Vanden H J, LEESE R A, SHEPHERD M A. *Graph labeling and radio channel assignment* [J]. J. Graph Theory, 1998, **29**(4): 263–283.
- [9] ROBERTS F S. *T-colorings of graphs: recent results and open problems* [J]. Discrete Math., 1991, **93**(2-3): 229–245.
- [10] GRIGGS J R, YEH R K. *Labelling graphs with a condition at distance 2* [J]. SIAM J. Discrete Math., 1992, **5**(4): 586–595.
- [11] CHANG G J, KUO D. *The L(2, 1)-labeling problem on graphs* [J]. SIAM J. Discrete Math., 1996, **9**(2): 309–316.
- [12] YEH R K. *A survey on labeling graphs with a condition at distance two* [J]. Discrete Math., 2006, **306**(12): 1217–1231.