

On a Class of Analytic Functions Defined by Ruscheweyh Derivatives

LI Shu Hai¹, DAI Jin Jun², TANG Huo¹

(1. Department of Mathematics, Chifeng College, Inner Mongolian 024000, China;

2. Department of Mathematics, Central China Normal University, Hubei 430079, China)

(E-mail: lishms66@sina.com)

Abstract In the present paper a class of extended close-to-convex functions $Q_{k,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta, \rho)$ defined by making use of Ruscheweyh derivatives is introduced and studied. We provide integral representations, distortion theorem, radius of close-to-convexity and Hadamard product properties for this class.

Keywords Ruscheweyh derivatives; close-to-convex function; Hadamard product.

Document code A

MR(2000) Subject Classification 30C45

Chinese Library Classification O174.5

1. Introduction

Suppose that the parameters $\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \rho$ satisfy $\lambda > -1, \alpha \geq 0, 0 \leq \beta \leq 1, 0 \leq \rho < 1$. Let H_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) be the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{k+n} z^{k+n}$$

which are analytic in the unit disk $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. Let $P_k(\beta)$ denote the class of functions of the form $p(z) = 1 + p_k z^k + \dots$ which are analytic in U and satisfy $\text{Re} p(z) > \beta$. Let $S_k^*(\beta)$ and $K_k(\beta)$ stand for β class starlike function and β class convex function in H_k , respectively.

A function $f(z) \in H_k$ is said to be in the class $C_k(\beta, \rho)$ if and only if there exists $g(z) \in S_k^*(\beta)$ such that

$$\text{Re} \frac{z f'(z)}{g(z)} > \rho, \quad z \in U.$$

From [9], we know that

$$f(z) \in K_k(\beta) \Leftrightarrow z f'(z) \in S_k^*(\beta).$$

For fixed real number $\lambda > -1$, the operator D^λ is defined by

$$D^\lambda f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{\lambda+1}} * f(z), \quad f(z) \in H_k, \quad (1.1)$$

Received date: 2007-10-29; **Accepted date:** 2008-07-07

Foundation item: the Natural Science Foundation of Inner Mongolia (No. 2009MS0113); Higher School Research Foundation of Inner Mongolia (No. NJzy08510).

where the operation $*$ stands for Hadamard product. The operator D^λ is the Ruscheweyh derivative introduced in [1,10] and is of the following properties:

$$D^\lambda f(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda + 1) \cdots (\lambda + k + n - 1)}{(k + n - 1)!} a_{k+n} z^{k+n}; \tag{1.2}$$

$$z(D^\lambda f(z))' = (\lambda + 1)D^{\lambda+1} f(z) - \lambda D^\lambda f(z). \tag{1.3}$$

Next we introduce new functions class.

Definition 1.1 If a function $f(z) \in H_k$ satisfies condition

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{ (1 - \alpha) \frac{D^\lambda f(z)}{z} + \alpha (D^\lambda f(z))' \right\} > \beta, \quad z \in U, \tag{1.4}$$

then we denote $f(z) \in V_{k,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Definition 1.2 Suppose $f(z) \in H_k$. If there exists a function $g(z) \in V_{k,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta)$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{z (D^\lambda f(z))'}{D^\lambda g(z)} > \rho, \quad z \in U, \tag{1.5}$$

then we denote $f(z) \in Q_{k,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta, \rho)$.

In [2], the functions class $Q_{1,0}(0, \frac{1}{2}, 0)$ was studied and distortion theorem, univalent radius and rotation theorem were obtained, but Hadamard product has not been solved. We will study the close-to-convex function class $Q_{k,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta, \rho)$ introduced above which is a great extension of [2].

As in [3], we introduce linear operator $L(a, c)$ which is more general than D^λ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(a, c; z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n}{(c)_n} z^{n+1}, \quad z \in U, \quad c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots \\ L(a, c)f(z) &= \phi(a, c; z) * f(z), \quad f(z) \in H_k \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

where $(\zeta)_n = \frac{\Gamma(\zeta+n)}{\Gamma(\zeta)}$. From [4], we know that $L(a, c)$ is continuous mapping from H_k to H_k . It is easy to see that

$$\phi(2(1 - \alpha), 1; z) = \frac{z}{(1 - z)^{2(1-\alpha)}} \tag{1.7}$$

and for $c > a > 0$, we have

$$L(a, c)f(z) = \int_0^1 u^{a-1} f(uz) d\eta(a, c - a)(u), \tag{1.8}$$

where η is **B** distribution

$$d\eta(a, c - a)(u) = \frac{u^{a-1}(1 - u)^{c-a-1}}{B(a, c - a)} du. \tag{1.9}$$

If $a \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$, then $L(c, a)$ is the inverse mapping of $L(a, c)$, so $L(a, c)$ is one-to-one mapping from H_k to H_k . It is obvious that

$$L(a, c) = L(a, b)L(b, c) = L(b, c)L(a, b), \quad b, c \neq -1, -2, \dots$$

If $g(z) = z f'(z)$, then $g(z) = L(2, 1)f(z)$, $f(z) = L(1, 2)g(z)$.

By (1.6) and (1.7), we have

$$L(\lambda + 1, 1)f(z) = D^\lambda f(z). \tag{1.10}$$

In view of the operator $L(a, c)$ and (1.10), we may write (1.5) as:

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{L(2, 1)L(\lambda + 1, 1)f(z)}{L(\lambda + 1, 1)g(z)} > \rho, \quad z \in U. \tag{1.11}$$

In the present paper, we deduce integral representations of function in $Q_{k,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta, \rho)$. Distortion theorems, radius of close-to-convexity and Hadamard product properties are obtained for functions belonging to this class. Then we solve the closeness of Hadamard product in [2].

2. Integral representations

If $g(z) \in V_{k,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta)$, then it is not difficult to verify that there exists $p(z) = 1 + p_k z^k + \dots \in P_k(\beta)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} g(z) \in V_{k,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta) &\Leftrightarrow zp(z) = L\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha} + 1\right)L(\lambda + 1, 1)g(z) \Leftrightarrow L(1, \lambda + 1)g(z) \\ &= L\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha} + 1\right)(zp(z)). \end{aligned}$$

In view of the Herglotz formula^[5] of positive real part and the property of $L(\lambda + 1, 1)$, we prove the following result:

Theorem 2.1 *If $g(z) \in V_{k,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta)$ ($\alpha > 0$), then there exists left continuous probability measure $\eta(x)$ on $X = \{x : |x| = 1\}$ such that*

$$g(z) = L(1, \lambda + 1) \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left[\int_{|x|=1} \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)tx}{1 - tx} d\eta(x) \right] dt \right\}, \tag{2.1}$$

or there exists $p(z) \in P_k(\beta)$ such that

$$g(z) = L(1, \lambda + 1) \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} p(t) dt \right\}.$$

For fixed parameters $\lambda, \alpha, \beta, V_{k,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta)$ and left continuous probability measure points $\{\eta(x)\}$ on X are one-to-one correspondence through the relation expressed by (2.1).

Theorem 2.2 *A function $f(z) \in Q_{k,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta, \rho)$ ($\alpha > 0$) if and only if there exists left continuous probability measures $\eta(x), \mu(x)$ on $X = \{x : |x| = 1\}$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) = &L(1, \lambda + 1)L(1, 2) \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{\alpha z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left(\int_{|x|=1} \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)tx}{1 - tx} d\eta(x) \right) dt \right] \times \right. \\ &\left. \left[\int_{|x|=1} \frac{1 + (1 - 2\rho)zx}{1 - zx} d\mu(x) \right] \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

when $\lambda = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) = &L(1, 2) \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{\alpha z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left(\int_{|x|=1} \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)tx}{1 - tx} d\eta(x) \right) dt \right] \times \right. \\ &\left. \left[\int_{|x|=1} \frac{1 + (1 - 2\rho)zx}{1 - zx} d\mu(x) \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

For fixed parameters $\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \rho, Q_{k,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta, \rho)$ and left continuous probability measure points $\{(\eta(x), \mu(x))\}$ on $X \times X$ are one-to-one correspondence through the relation expressed by (2.2).

Proof Let $f(z) \in Q_{k,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta, \rho)$. Then there exists $g(z) \in V_{k,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta)$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{z(L(\lambda + 1, 1)f(z))'}{L(\lambda + 1, 1)g(z)} > \rho, \quad z \in U.$$

By Theorem 2.1, we have

$$g(z) = L(1, \lambda + 1) \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left[\int_{|x|=1} \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)tx}{1 - tx} d\eta(x) \right] dt \right\}, \quad (2.4)$$

where $\eta(x)$ is left continuous probability measure on X . By Herglots formula^[5] for the functions in P class, we get

$$\frac{z(L(\lambda + 1, 1)f(z))'}{L(\lambda + 1, 1)g(z)} = \int_{|x|=1} \frac{1 + (1 - 2\rho)xz}{1 - xz} d\mu(x), \quad (2.5)$$

where $\mu(x)$ is left continuous probability measure on X . From (2.4) and (2.5), we deduce that

$$L(2, 1)L(\lambda + 1, 1)f(z) = \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{\alpha z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left(\int_{|x|=1} \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)tx}{1 - tx} d\eta(x) \right) dt \right] \times \left[\int_{|x|=1} \frac{1 + (1 - 2\rho)xz}{1 - xz} d\mu(x) \right] \right\}.$$

By using the property of $L(\lambda + 1, 1)$, we get (2.2). Conversely it is true too. When $\lambda = 0$, (2.2) reduce to (2.3). For fixed parameters $\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \rho$, since $\{(\eta(x), \mu(x))\}$ and $P_k(\beta) \times P_k(\rho)$ are one-to-one correspondence, $P_k(\beta) \times P_k(\rho)$ and $Q_{k,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta, \rho)$ are one-to-one correspondence too, so the last result is true. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2. \square

3. Distortion theorems

Lemma 3.1^[6] Let $p(z) = 1 + p_k z^k + \dots \in P_k(0)$ ($z \in U, k \geq 1$). Then for $|z| = r < 1$, we have

$$\frac{1 - r^k}{1 + r^k} \leq \operatorname{Re} p(z) \leq \frac{1 + r^k}{1 - r^k}.$$

The result is sharp.

If $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > \beta$, then by setting $q(z) = p(z) - \beta$, we have $\operatorname{Re}(p(z) - \beta) > 0$. Hence it is easy to get from Lemma 3.1 and integral representation of positive real part functions^[5] that

Lemma 3.2 Let $q(z) = 1 + q_k z^k + \dots \in P_k(\beta)$ ($z \in U, k \geq 1$). Then for $|z| = r < 1$, we have

$$\frac{1 - (1 - 2\beta)r^k}{1 + r^k} \leq \operatorname{Re} q(z) \leq |q(z)| \leq \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)r^k}{1 - r^k}.$$

The result is sharp.

Theorem 3.1 Let $\alpha > 0, f(z) \in Q_{k,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta, \rho)$. Then for $|z| = r < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1 - (1 - 2\rho)r^k}{r\alpha(1 + r^k)} \int_0^1 t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \frac{1 - (1 - 2\beta)(rt)^k}{1 + (rt)^k} dt \leq |(L(\lambda + 1, 1)f(z))'| \\ & \leq \frac{1 + (1 - 2\rho)r^k}{r\alpha(1 - r^k)} \int_0^1 t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)(rt)^k}{1 - (rt)^k} dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

The result is sharp.

Proof Let $f(z) \in Q_{k,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta, \rho)$. Then there exists $g(z) \in V_{k,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta)$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{z(L(\lambda + 1, 1)f(z))'}{L(\lambda + 1, 1)g(z)} > \rho, \quad z \in U.$$

Set $\frac{z(L(\lambda+1,1)f(z))'}{L(\lambda+1,1)g(z)} = q(z)$, $z \in U$. Then $\operatorname{Re} q(z) > \rho$. Firstly, we prove the distortion property of $|L(\lambda + 1, 1)g(z)|$. By Lemma 3.2 and Since $g(z) \in V_{k,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta)$, there exists $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > \beta$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |L(\lambda + 1, 1)g(z)| &\geq \operatorname{Re}(L(\lambda + 1, 1)g(z)) = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}} \int_0^1 t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \frac{1 - (1 - 2\beta)(rt)^k}{1 + (rt)^k} dt \right\} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^1 t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \frac{1 - (1 - 2\beta)(rt)^k}{1 + (rt)^k} dt; \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |L(\lambda + 1, 1)g(z)| &= \left| \frac{1}{\alpha z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}} \int_0^1 t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} p(t) dt \right| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^1 t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} |p(zt)| dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^1 t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)(rt)^k}{1 - (rt)^k} dt. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Since $z(L(\lambda + 1, 1)f(z))' = L(2, 1)L(\lambda + 1, 1)f(z) = q(z)L(\lambda + 1, 1)g(z)$, $z \in U$. By (3.2), (3.3) and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - (1 - 2\rho)r^k}{\alpha(1 + r^k)} \int_0^1 t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \frac{1 - (1 - 2\beta)(rt)^k}{1 + (rt)^k} dt &\leq |q(z)L(\lambda + 1, 1)g(z)| \\ &\leq \frac{1 + (1 - 2\rho)r^k}{\alpha(1 - r^k)} \int_0^1 t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)(rt)^k}{1 - (rt)^k} dt. \end{aligned}$$

We get (3.1). Equality in (3.1) is obtained by function

$$f(z) = L(1, \lambda + 1)L(1, 2) \left[\frac{1 + (1 - 2\rho)z^k}{\alpha(1 - z^k)z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)t^k}{1 - t^k} dt \right] \tag{3.4}$$

at $z = re^{i\frac{\pi}{k}}$.

4. Radius of close-to-convexity

Lemma 4.1^[7] If $q(z) = 1 + q_k z^k + \dots \in P_k(\beta)$ ($z \in U, k \geq 1$), then for $|z| = r < 1$, we have

$$\left| \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \right| \leq \frac{2k(1 - 2\beta)r^k}{(1 - r^k)[1 + (1 - 2\beta)r^k]}.$$

The result is sharp.

Theorem 4.1 Let $\alpha > 0$, $f(z) \in Q_{k,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta, \rho)$. Then $D^\lambda f(z)$ is close-to-convex in disk $|z| < r_1$, where r_1 is the minimum positive root of the following equation:

$$1 - 2[m + k(1 - m)]r^k - (1 - 2m)r^{2k} = 0 \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$m = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^1 t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \frac{1 - (1 - 2\beta)t^k}{1 + t^k} dt < 1. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof Let $f(z) \in Q_{k,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta, \rho)$. It suffices to prove that $D^\lambda g(z)$ is starlike function. Let $F(z) = \frac{D^\lambda g(z)}{z}$. Then $F(z)$ is analytic in U . By Theorem 2.1, Lemma 3.2 and since $g(z) \in V_{k,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta)$,

there exists $p(z) \in P(\beta)$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{L(\lambda + 1, 1)g(z)}{z} = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \int_0^1 t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} p(t) dt \right\} > m = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^1 t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \frac{1 - (1 - 2\beta)t^k}{1 + t^k} dt.$$

Noticing the definition of $F(z)$ and by making use of Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(D^\lambda g(z))'}{D^\lambda g(z)} \right\} = 1 + \operatorname{Re} \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} \geq 1 - \left| \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} \right| \geq \frac{1 - 2[m + k(1 - m)]r^k - (1 - 2m)r^{2k}}{(1 - r^k)[1 + (1 - 2m)r^k]}.$$

Let $\varphi(r) = 1 - 2[m + k(1 - m)]r^k - (1 - 2m)r^{2k}$. Then $\varphi(r)$ is continuous on $[0, 1]$ and $\varphi(0) = 1 > 0$, $\varphi(1) = -2k(1 - m) < 0$. So (4.1) has minimum positive root in $(0, 1)$ denoted by r_1 . For $|z| < r_1$, we have $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(D^\lambda g(z))'}{D^\lambda g(z)} \right\} > 0$. So $D^\lambda g(z)$ is starlike function, namely, $D^\lambda f(z)$ is close-to-convex function in disk $|z| < r_1$.

Corollary 4.1 *Let $\alpha > 0$, $f(z) \in Q_{k,0}(\alpha, \beta, \rho)$. Then $f(z)$ is close-to-convex in disk $|z| < r_1$, where r_1 is the minimum positive root of (4.1).*

5. Hadamard product

Lemma 5.1^[8] *Let $\varphi(z)$ and $h(z)$ be analytic in U and satisfy $\varphi(0) = h(0) = 0$, $\varphi'(0) \neq 0$, $h'(0) \neq 0$ and suppose for all complex numbers σ, τ satisfying $|\sigma| = |\tau| = 1$, there holds*

$$\varphi(z) * \frac{1 + \tau\sigma z}{1 - \sigma z} h(z) \neq 0 \quad (0 < |z| < 1).$$

Let $F(z)$ be analytic in U and satisfy $\operatorname{Re} F(z) > 0$ ($0 < |z| < 1$). Then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\varphi(z) * (F(z)h(z))}{\varphi(z) * h(z)} \right\} > 0, \quad 0 < |z| < 1.$$

Theorem 5.1 *Let σ, τ satisfy $|\sigma| = |\tau| = 1$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $f(z) \in Q_{k,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta, \rho)$, $\varphi(z) = z + \sum_{n=k}^\infty a_{k+1} z^{k+1}$ be analytic in U and*

$$\varphi(z) * \frac{1 + \tau\sigma z}{1 - \sigma z} z \neq 0, \quad 0 < |z| < 1.$$

Then

$$f(z) * \varphi(z) \in Q_{k,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta, \rho).$$

Proof (i) Firstly, we prove that $g * \varphi(z) \in V_{k,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta)$. Let

$$F(z) = (1 - \alpha) \frac{D^\lambda g(z)}{z} + \alpha(D^\lambda g(z))' - \beta, \quad h(z) = z.$$

Then $F(z)$ is analytic in U and $\operatorname{Re} F(z) > 0$ and $\varphi * h(z) = z$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(z) * (F(z)h(z)) &= \varphi * [(1 - \alpha)D^\lambda g(z) + \alpha z(D^\lambda g(z))' - \beta z] \\ &= (1 - \alpha)\varphi * D^\lambda g(z) + \alpha\varphi * z(D^\lambda g(z))' - \beta z \\ &= (1 - \alpha)D^\lambda(\varphi * g)(z) + \alpha z(D^\lambda(\varphi * g))'(z) - \beta z, \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

by Lemma 5.1, we get

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\varphi(z) * (F(z)h(z))}{\varphi(z) * h(z)} \right\} = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1 - \alpha) \frac{D^\lambda(\varphi * g)(z)}{z} + \alpha(D^\lambda(\varphi * g))'(z) \right\} - \beta > 0, \tag{5.2}$$

that is,

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{(1-\alpha)\frac{D^\lambda(\varphi * g)(z)}{z} + \alpha(D^\lambda(\varphi * g))'(z)\right\} > \beta, \quad z \in U.$$

So $g * \varphi(z) \in V_{k,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta)$.

(ii) Next we prove that $f * \varphi(z) \in Q_{k,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta, \rho)$. Let $f(z) \in Q_{k,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta, \rho)$, $p(z) = \frac{z(D^\lambda f(z))'}{D^\lambda g(z)} - \rho$ and $h(z) = z$. Then $p(z)$ is analytic in U and $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$ ($z \in U$) and $\varphi * h(z) = z$. Since

$$\varphi * D^\lambda g(z) \cdot p(z) = \varphi * z(D^\lambda f(z))' - \rho \varphi * D^\lambda g(z), \quad (5.3)$$

noticing that

$$\varphi * D^\lambda g(z) = D^\lambda(\varphi * g)(z); \quad \varphi * z(D^\lambda f(z))' = z(D^\lambda(\varphi * f))'(z),$$

by (5.3), we get

$$\operatorname{Re} p(z) = \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z(D^\lambda(\varphi * f))'(z)}{D^\lambda(\varphi * g)(z)}\right\} > \rho.$$

From (i), $\varphi * g(z) \in V_{k,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta)$. So $f * \varphi(z) \in Q_{k,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta, \rho)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.1. \square

Remark 5.1 Setting $\lambda = 0, \alpha = 0, \beta = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\rho = 0$ in Theorem 5.1, respectively, we get the corresponding product properties of functions in $Q_{1,0}(0, \frac{1}{2}, 0)$.

References

- [1] RUSCHEWEYH S. *New criteria for univalent functions* [J]. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1975, **49**: 109–115.
- [2] ZHU Qingxin. *On a extension of the class of close-to-convex functions* [J]. J. Math. Res. Exposition, 1984, **4**(4): 107–108. (in Chinese)
- [3] LI Shuhai. *A class of analytic functions linearly homeomorphic to close-to-convex functions* [J]. Heilongjiang Daxue Ziran Kexue Xuebao, 2000, **17**(3): 6–10. (in Chinese)
- [4] CARLSON B C, SHAFFER D B. *Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions* [J]. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 1984, **15**(4): 737–745.
- [5] POMMERENKE C. *Univalent Functions* [M]. Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.
- [6] MACGREGOR T H. *Functions whose derivative has a positive real part* [J]. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 1962, **104**: 532–537.
- [7] BERNARDI S D. *New distortion theorems for functions of positive real part and applications to the partial sums of univalent convex functions* [J]. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1974, **45**: 113–118.
- [8] RUSCHEWEYH S, SHEIL-SMALL T. *Hadamard products of Schlicht functions and the Pólya-Schoenberg conjecture* [J]. Comment. Math. Helv., 1973, **48**: 119–135.
- [9] NOOR K I. *On quasiconvex functions and related topics* [J]. Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., 1987, **10**(2): 241–258.
- [10] SRIVASTAVA H M, XU Neng, YANG Dinggong. *Inclusion relations and convolution properties of a certain class of analytic functions associated with the Ruscheweyh derivatives* [J]. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2007, **331**(1): 686–700.