

Asymptotic Behavior of Global Classical Solutions to Quasilinear Hyperbolic Systems of Diagonal Form

Quan ZHENG

School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, P. R. China

Abstract This paper deals with the asymptotic behavior of global classical solutions to quasilinear hyperbolic systems of diagonal form with weakly linearly degenerate characteristic fields. On the basis of global existence and uniqueness of C^1 solution, we prove that the solution to the Cauchy problem approaches a combination of C^1 traveling wave solutions when t tends to the infinity.

Keywords quasilinear hyperbolic systems of diagonal form; weak linear degeneracy; global classical solution; rich system; traveling wave.

Document code A

MR(2000) Subject Classification 35L45

Chinese Library Classification O175.22

1. Introduction and main result

In this paper, we consider the following Cauchy problem for quasilinear hyperbolic systems of diagonal form:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + \lambda_i(u) \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x} = 0, & i = 1, \dots, n, \\ t = 0 : u = f(x), \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)^T$ is the unknown vector-valued function of (t, x) , $\lambda_i(u)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are supposed to be suitably smooth and $f(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x))^T \in (C_b^1(\mathbf{R}) \cap W^{1,1}(\mathbf{R}))^n$, $C_b^1(\mathbf{R})$ is the space of C^1 functions with bounded $C^1(\mathbf{R})$ norm. We suppose that system (1) is strictly hyperbolic such that

$$\lambda_{i+1}(u) - \lambda_i(u) \geq \delta_0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1 \quad (2)$$

for any given u and v on the domain under consideration, where δ_0 is a positive constant. We suppose furthermore that for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, the i -th characteristic $\lambda_i(u)$ is weakly linearly degenerate, i.e.,

$$\lambda_i(0, \dots, 0, u_i, 0, \dots, 0) = \lambda_i(0). \quad (3)$$

Received April 7, 2008; Accepted April 16, 2008

Supported by the Doctoral Programme Foundation of the Ministry of Education of China (Grant No. 20070246-173).

E-mail address: 052018041@fudan.edu.cn

We say that system (1) is rich, if there exist n positive functions $N_i(u) > 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) such that on the domain under consideration we have

$$(\lambda_j(u) - \lambda_i(u)) \frac{\partial N_i(u)}{\partial u_j} = N_i(u) \frac{\partial \lambda_i(u)}{\partial u_j}, \quad \forall j \neq i.$$

The notion of rich system was introduced by Serre [1]. If system (1) possesses a form of conservation laws, then it must be rich. There are many results about the existence of global classical solutions to the Cauchy problem for quasilinear hyperbolic systems [2–6]. Based on these results, the asymptotic behavior of global classical solutions was studied in [7]–[9].

On the basis of [5], we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1 *Let $f(x) \in (C_b^1(\mathbf{R}) \cap W^{1,1}(\mathbf{R}))^n$. Assume that $\lambda_i(u)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are C^2 functions, system (1) is weakly linearly degenerate and (2) holds. Then there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ depending only on $\|f\|_{C^0(\mathbf{R})}$ and $\|f'\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R})}$, such that if*

$$\|f'\|_{C^0(\mathbf{R})} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R})} \leq \delta, \quad (4)$$

then Cauchy problem (1) admits a unique global classical solution $u = u(t, x)$ for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Moreover, there is a unique C^1 vector-valued function $\phi(x) = (\phi_1(x), \dots, \phi_n(x))^T$, such that $u(t, x)$ converges uniformly to $\sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(x - \lambda_i(0)t) e_i$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, where $e_i = (0, \dots, 0, \overset{i}{1}, 0, \dots, 0)^T$. $\phi(x)$ is global Lipschitz continuous, i.e., there exists a positive constant K depending only on $\|f\|_{C^1(\mathbf{R})}$ and $\|f\|_{W^{1,1}(\mathbf{R})}$ such that

$$|\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\beta)| \leq K|\alpha - \beta|, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Furthermore, if system (1) is rich, $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} f'(x) = 0$ and $f'(x)$ is global ρ -Hölder continuous ($0 < \rho \leq 1$), i.e.,

$$|f'(\alpha) - f'(\beta)| \leq \kappa|\alpha - \beta|^\rho, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}, \quad (5)$$

where κ is a positive constant, then $\phi'(x)$ satisfies

$$|\phi'(\alpha) - \phi'(\beta)| \leq K_1|\alpha - \beta|^\rho + K_2|\alpha - \beta|,$$

where K_1, K_2 are positive constants depending on $\kappa, \|f\|_{C^1(\mathbf{R})}$ and $\|f\|_{W^{1,1}(\mathbf{R})}$.

2. Uniform a priori estimate

In the following sections, we consider the global C^1 solutions for $t \geq 0$. The result for $t \leq 0$ follows easily by changing the variable from t to $-t$ in system (1). For convenience, we introduce

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |f'(x)| = \|f'(x)\|_{C^0(\mathbf{R})}, \quad M_0 = \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |f(x)| = \|f(x)\|_{C^0(\mathbf{R})}, \\ N_1 &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(x)| dx = \|f(x)\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R})}, \quad N_2 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f'(x)| dx = \|f'(x)\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R})}. \end{aligned}$$

For any fixed $T \geq 0$, we introduce

$$w_i(t, x) = \frac{\partial u_i(t, x)}{\partial x}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad W_1(T) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |w(t, x)| dx,$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{W}_1(T) &= \max_{i \neq j} \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} \int_{\tilde{C}_j} |w_i(t, x)| dt, \quad \tilde{U}_1(T) = \max_{i \neq j} \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} \int_{\tilde{C}_j} |u_i(t, x)| dt, \\ \bar{W}_1(T) &= \max_{i \neq j} \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} \int_{L_j} |w_i(t, x)| dt, \quad \bar{U}_1(T) = \max_{i \neq j} \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} \int_{L_j} |u_i(t, x)| dt, \\ W_\infty(T) &= \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |w(t, x)|, \quad U_\infty(T) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |u(t, x)|,\end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{C}_j stands for any given j -th characteristic in the domain $[0, T] \times \mathbf{R}$ and L_j stands for the segment of any given straight line with the slope $\lambda_j(0)$ in the domain $[0, T] \times \mathbf{R}$.

Lemma 1 *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, there exists a positive constant C depending only on M_0 and δ , such that the following estimates hold:*

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{W}_1(T), \bar{W}_1(T), W_1(T) &\leq CN_2, \quad \tilde{U}_1(T), \bar{U}_1(T) \leq CN_1 e^{CN_2}, \\ W_\infty(T) &\leq CM e^{CN_2}, \quad U_\infty(T) \leq C.\end{aligned}$$

Proof For any fixed $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ and any $i = 1, \dots, n$, $u_i(t, x_i(t, \alpha))$ is a constant $f_i(\alpha)$ along the i -th characteristic. So we can get

$$U_\infty(T) \leq \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbf{R}} |f(\alpha)| = M_0 \leq C. \quad (6)$$

Differentiating system (1) with respect to x , we get

$$\frac{\partial w_i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\lambda_i(u)w_i)}{\partial x} = 0. \quad (7)$$

Multiplying (7) by $\text{sgn}(w_i)$, we have

$$d(|w_i(t, x)|)(dx - \lambda_i(u)dt) = 0. \quad (8)$$

For any fixed $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, let $\tilde{C}_j : x = x_j(t, \alpha)$ stand for any given j -th characteristic passing through any point $A : (0, \alpha)$ on the initial axis $t = 0$ and intersecting $t = T$ at point P . We draw an i -th characteristic $\tilde{C}_i : x = x_i(t, \beta)$ from P downwards to the point $B : (0, \beta)$ on $t = 0$. Without loss of generality, we assume $\alpha < \beta$ and integrate (8) in the region APB to get

$$\int_{\tilde{C}_j} |w_i(t, x)|(\lambda_j(u) - \lambda_i(u))dt = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |w_i(0, x)|dx. \quad (9)$$

Noting (2), we get

$$\int_{\tilde{C}_j} |w_i(t, x)|dt \leq \frac{1}{\delta_0} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f'(x)|dx \leq CN_2. \quad (10)$$

Then

$$\tilde{W}_1(T) \leq CN_2. \quad (11)$$

Similarly, we can get

$$\bar{W}_1(T) \leq CN_2. \quad (12)$$

To estimate $W_1(T)$, we need only to estimate $\int_{-l}^l |w(t, x)|dx$ for any given $l > 0$ and then let $l \rightarrow +\infty$. From point $M : (t, l)$, we draw an i -th characteristic downwards to the point $P : (0, \alpha_1)$

on $t = 0$; From point $N : (t, -l)$, we draw an i -th characteristic downwards to the point $Q : (0, \beta_1)$ on $t = 0$. Integrating (8) in the region MNQP, we easily get

$$\int_{-l}^l |w(t, x)| dx \leq CN_2.$$

Thus

$$W_1(T) \leq CN_2. \quad (13)$$

The estimates of $W_\infty(T)$ and $\tilde{U}_1(T)$ have been given by Li and Peng in [5]. We recite them as follows for consistency.

We rewrite equation (7) and the corresponding initial data to get

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial w_i}{\partial t} + \lambda_i(u) \frac{\partial w_i}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial \lambda_i(u)}{\partial u_i} w_i^2 - \sum_{l \neq i} \frac{\partial \lambda_i(u)}{\partial u_l} w_l w_i, \\ t = 0 : w_i = f'_i(x). \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

By Hadamard's formula, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \lambda_i(u)}{\partial u_i} &= \frac{\partial \lambda_i(u)}{\partial u_i} - \frac{\partial \lambda_i(0, \dots, 0, u_i, 0, \dots, 0)}{\partial u_i} \\ &= \sum_{l \neq i} \left(\int_0^1 \frac{\partial \lambda_i^2(su_1, \dots, su_{i-1}, u_i, su_{i+1}, \dots, su_n)}{\partial u_l \partial u_i} ds \right) u_l \\ &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{l \neq i} b_{il}(u) u_l, \end{aligned}$$

where $b_{il}(u)$ ($l \neq i$) are continuous functions of u . Along the i -th characteristic $x = x_i(s, \beta)$, w_i can be expressed as

$$w_i(t, x_i(t, \beta)) = \frac{f'_i(\beta) e^{-A_i(t, \beta)}}{1 + f'_i(\beta) \int_0^t (\sum_{l \neq i} b_{il}(u) u_l)(s, x_i(s, \beta)) e^{-A_i(s, \beta)} ds}, \quad (15)$$

where

$$A_i(s, \beta) = \int_0^s \left(\sum_{l \neq i} \frac{\partial \lambda_i(u)}{\partial u_l} w_l \right) (\tau, x_i(\tau, \beta)) d\tau.$$

Noting (11) and $\lambda_i(u) \in C^2$, we have

$$|A_i(s, \beta)| \leq CN_2. \quad (16)$$

For any given $l \neq i$, the l -th characteristic passing through point $(t, x_i(t, \beta))$ on the i -th characteristic must intersect $t = 0$ at a point denoted by $(0, y_{il}(t, \beta))$. Let $x = x_l(t, y_{il}(t, \beta))$ be this l -th characteristic. We have $x_i(t, \beta) = x_l(t, y_{il}(t, \beta))$. Differentiating it with respect to t , we get

$$\lambda_i(u(t, x_i(t, \beta))) = \lambda_l(u(t, x_l(t, \beta))) + \frac{\partial x_l(t, y_{il}(t, \beta))}{\partial y_{il}} \frac{\partial y_{il}(t, \beta)}{\partial t}. \quad (17)$$

From (2), we know that $\partial y_{il}(t, \beta) / \partial t$ is always different from zero for all $l \neq i$, so $t \rightarrow y_{il}(t, \beta)$ is a strictly monotone function. Therefore, (17) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{(\lambda_i - \lambda_l)(u(t, x_i(t, \beta)))} \frac{\partial x_l(t, y_{il}(t, \beta))}{\partial y_{il}} \frac{\partial y_{il}(t, \beta)}{\partial t} = 1. \quad (18)$$

Since u_l is a constant along the l -th characteristic, we have

$$u_l(t, x_i(t, \beta)) = u_l(t, x_l(t, y_{il}(t, \beta))) = f_l(y_{il}(t, \beta)). \quad (19)$$

According to (18)–(19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{U}_{il}(t, \beta) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^t |u_l(s, x_i(s, \beta))| ds \\ &= \int_0^t |f_l(y_{il}(s, \beta))| \left| \frac{1}{(\lambda_i - \lambda_l)(u(s, x_i(s, \beta)))} \right| \left| \frac{\partial x_l(s, y_{il}(s, \beta))}{\partial y} \right| \left| \frac{\partial y_{il}(s, \beta)}{\partial s} \right| ds. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Differentiating $u_i(t, x_i(t, \beta)) = f_i(\beta)$ with respect to β gives

$$w_i(t, x_i(t, \beta)) \frac{\partial x_i(t, \beta)}{\partial \beta} = f'_i(\beta). \quad (21)$$

Comparing with (15), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial x_i(t, \beta)}{\partial \beta} \right| &= |e^{A_i(t, \beta)} (1 + f'_i(\beta) \int_0^t (\sum_{l \neq i} b_{il}(u) u_l)(s, x_i(s, \beta)) e^{-A_i(s, \beta)} ds)| \\ &\leq C e^{CN_2} (1 + M \sum_{l \neq i} \int_0^t |u_l(s, x_i(s, \beta))| ds). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Therefore, for any given $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$ and $i, l = 1, \dots, n$ ($l \neq i$), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{U}_{il}(t, \beta) &\leq C e^{CN_2} \int_0^t |f_l(y_{il}(s, \beta))| \cdot \\ &\quad (1 + M \sum_{j \neq l} \int_0^s |u_j(\tau, x_l(\tau, y_{il}(s, \beta)))| d\tau) \left| \frac{\partial y_{il}(s, \beta)}{\partial s} \right| ds \\ &\leq C e^{CN_2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f_l(y)| (1 + M \sum_{j \neq l} \int_0^{g_{il}(y, \beta)} |u_j(\tau, x_l(\tau, y))| d\tau) dy \\ &\leq C e^{CN_2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f_l(y)| (1 + M \sum_{j \neq l} \int_0^t |u_j(\tau, x_l(\tau, y))| d\tau) dy \\ &\leq CN_1 e^{CN_2} + C(n-1)MN_1 e^{CN_2} \tilde{U}_1(T), \end{aligned}$$

where $s = g_{il}(y, \beta)$ is the inverse function of $y = y_{il}(s, \beta)$. Thus

$$\tilde{U}_1(T) \leq CN_1 e^{CN_2} + C(n-1)MN_1 e^{CN_2} \tilde{U}_1(T).$$

Taking $\delta > 0$ so small that $2C(n-1)MN_1 e^{CN_2} \leq 1$, we get

$$\tilde{U}_1(T) \leq 2CN_1 e^{CN_2}. \quad (23)$$

We can estimate $\bar{U}_1(T)$ in a similar way.

For any given $l \neq i$, the l -th characteristic passing through point $(t, \bar{x}_i(t, \beta))$ on the line $\bar{x}_i(t, \beta) = \lambda_i(0)t + \beta$ must intersect $t = 0$ at a point denoted by $(0, \alpha_{il}(t, \beta))$. So we have $\bar{x}_i(t, \beta) = x_l(t, \alpha_{il}(t, \beta))$. Differentiating it with respect to t , we get

$$\lambda_i(0) = \lambda_l(u(t, \bar{x}_i(t, \beta))) + \frac{\partial x_l(t, \alpha_{il}(t, \beta))}{\partial \alpha_{il}} \frac{\partial \alpha_{il}(t, \beta)}{\partial t}. \quad (24)$$

Noting (2), $\partial\alpha_{il}(t, \beta)/\partial t$ is always different from zero for any given $l \neq i$. Therefore, $t \rightarrow \alpha_{il}(t, \beta)$ is a strict monotone function and equation (24) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_i(0) - \lambda_l(u(t, \bar{x}_i(t, \beta)))} \frac{\partial x_l(t, \alpha_{il}(t, \beta))}{\partial \alpha_{il}} \frac{\partial \alpha_{il}(t, \beta)}{\partial t} = 1. \quad (25)$$

Similarly to the estimate of $\tilde{U}_1(T)$, substituting (25) for (18), we easily get

$$\bar{U}_1(T) \leq 2CN_1 e^{CN_2}. \quad (26)$$

On the other hand, taking $\delta > 0$ so small that $2C\delta e^{CN_2} \leq 1$, we get

$$1 + f'_i(\beta) \int_0^t \left(\sum_{l \neq i} b_{il}(u) u_l \right)(s, x_i(s, \beta)) e^{-A_i(s, \beta)} ds \geq 1 - CMN_1 e^{CN_2} \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

So, for any given $0 \leq t \leq T$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}$, we get

$$W_\infty(T) \leq 2Me^{CN_2}. \quad (27)$$

The proof of Lemma 1 is completed. \square

We can get the existence and uniqueness of global classical solutions of system (1) according to Lemma 1.

Lemma 2 Suppose that system (1) is rich. Then under the assumptions of Theorem 1, there exists a constant C depending only on M_0 and δ such that there holds

$$\tilde{U}_1(T) \leq CN_1, \quad \bar{U}_1(T) \leq CN_1, \quad W_\infty(T) \leq CM.$$

Proof Since system (1) is rich, we introduce the Lax transformation

$$v_i = N_i^{-1}(u) \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

From the boundness of u , we have $C_1 \leq N_i(u) \leq C_2$. Moreover, (14) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial t} + \lambda_i(u) \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial \lambda_i(u)}{\partial u_i} N_i(u) v_i^2, \\ t = 0 : v_i = N_i^{-1}(f(x)) f'_i(x). \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

Integrating v_i along the i -th characteristic, we get

$$v_i(t, x_i(t, \beta)) = \frac{v_i(0, \beta)}{1 + v_i(0, \beta) \int_0^t (N_i(u) \sum_{l \neq i} b_{il}(u) u_l)(s, x_i(s, \beta)) ds}.$$

So

$$w_i(t, x_i(t, \beta)) = \frac{N_i(u(t, x_i(t, \beta))) N_i^{-1}(f(\beta)) f'_i(\beta)}{1 + N_i^{-1}(f(\beta)) f'_i(\beta) \int_0^t (N_i(u) \sum_{l \neq i} b_{il}(u) u_l)(s, x_i(s, \beta)) ds}. \quad (29)$$

Noting (21), (29) and the boundness of $N_i(u)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial x_i(t, \beta)}{\partial \beta} \right| &= \left| \frac{N_i(f(\beta)) + f'_i(\beta) \int_0^t (N_i(u) \sum_{l \neq i} b_{il}(u) u_l)(s, x_i(s, \beta)) ds}{N_i(u(t, x_i(t, \beta)))} \right| \\ &\leq C(1 + M \sum_{l \neq i} \int_0^t |u_l(s, x(s, \beta))| ds). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Similarly to the estimate of $\tilde{U}_1(T)$ in Lemma 1, we get

$$\tilde{U}_1(T) \leq 2CN_1, \quad \bar{U}_1(T) \leq CN_1. \quad (31)$$

So, taking $\delta > 0$ so small that $2C\delta \leq 1$, we get the following estimate on the denominator in (29)

$$1 + N_i^{-1}(f(\beta))f'_i(\beta) \int_0^t (N_i(u) \sum_{l \neq i} b_{il}(u)u_l)(s, x_i(s, \beta))ds \geq 1 - CMN_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (32)$$

Thus, it is easy to get that

$$W_\infty(T) \leq CM. \quad (33)$$

This ends the proof of Lemma 2. \square

The i -th characteristic passing through point $(t, \alpha + \lambda_i(0)t)$ must intersect $t=0$ at a point denoted by $(0, \vartheta_i(t, \alpha))$.

Lemma 3 *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, for any fixed α , there exists a unique $\vartheta_i(\alpha)$ such that*

$$\theta_i(t, \alpha) \rightarrow \vartheta_i(\alpha), \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Moreover, $\vartheta_i(\alpha)$ is global Lipschitz continuous with respect to α , i.e., for any given $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$, we have

$$|\vartheta_i(\alpha) - \alpha| \leq CN_1 e^{CN_2}, \quad |\vartheta_i(\alpha) - \vartheta_i(\beta)| \leq e^{C(N_2+1)}|\alpha - \beta|.$$

Proof First we prove $\theta_i(t, \alpha)$ converges uniformly when $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Noting that the i -th characteristic passes through $(t, \alpha + \lambda_i(0)t)$, we get

$$\alpha + \lambda_i(0)t = x_i(t, \theta_i(t, \alpha)) = \theta_i(t, \alpha) + \int_0^t \lambda_i(u(s, x_i(s, \theta_i(t, \alpha))))ds.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_i(t, \alpha) - \alpha &= \int_0^t (\lambda_i(0) - \lambda_i(u(s, x_i(s, \theta_i(t, \alpha))))ds \\ &= - \int_0^t \sum_{j \neq i} \Lambda_{ij} u_j(s, x_i(s, \theta_i(t, \alpha)))ds, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

where

$$\Lambda_{ij}(u) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial \lambda_i(\tau u_1, \dots, \tau u_{i-1}, u_i, \tau u_{i+1}, \dots, \tau u_n)}{\partial u_j} d\tau. \quad (35)$$

By Lemma 1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^t \sum_{j \neq i} \Lambda_{ij} u_j(s, x_i(s, \theta_i(t, \alpha)))ds \right| \leq \int_0^t \left| \sum_{j \neq i} \Lambda_{ij} u_j(s, x_i(s, \theta_i(t, \alpha))) \right| ds \\ & \leq C \int_0^t \sum_{j \neq i} |u_j(s, x_i(s, \theta_i(t, \alpha)))| ds \leq C\tilde{U}_1(t) \leq CN_1 e^{CN_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

This implies that $\int_0^t \sum_{j \neq i} \Lambda_{ij} u_j(s, x_i(s, \theta_i(t, \alpha)))ds$ converges uniformly for any given $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ when $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore, there exists a unique $\vartheta_i(\alpha)$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \theta_i(t, \alpha) = \vartheta_i(\alpha). \quad (37)$$

Noting (34)–(37), we get

$$|\vartheta_i(\alpha) - \alpha| \leq CN_1 e^{CN_2}. \quad (38)$$

In what follows, we prove that $\vartheta_i(\alpha)$ is Lipschitz continuous. For any given $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$, we have

$$\vartheta_i(\alpha) - \vartheta_i(\beta) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} (\theta_i(t, \alpha) - \theta_i(t, \beta)). \quad (39)$$

There exists an α^* such that

$$|\theta_i(t, \alpha) - \theta_i(t, \beta)| \leq \left| \frac{\partial \theta_i(t, \alpha^*)}{\partial \alpha} \right| |\alpha - \beta|. \quad (40)$$

By the definition of characteristic, we have

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx_i(s, \theta_i)}{ds} = \lambda_i(u(s, x_i(s, \theta_i))), \\ s = 0, \quad x_i = \theta_i. \end{cases}$$

Differentiating it with respect to θ_i , we get

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d \frac{\partial x_i(s, \theta_i)}{\partial \theta_i}}{ds} = \sum_l \frac{\partial \lambda_i(u(s, x_i(s, \theta_i)))}{\partial u_l} \frac{\partial u_l(s, x_i(s, \theta_i))}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x_i(s, \theta_i)}{\partial \theta_i}, \\ s = 0, \quad \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial \theta_i} = 1. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial x_i(s, \theta_i)}{\partial \theta_i} = \exp\left\{ \int_0^s \sum_l \frac{\partial \lambda_i(u(\tau, x_i(\tau, \theta_i)))}{\partial u_l} \frac{\partial u_l(\tau, x_i(\tau, \theta_i))}{\partial x} d\tau \right\}.$$

Differentiating $x_i(t, \theta_i(t, \alpha)) = \lambda_i(0)t + \alpha$ with respect to α , we get $\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial \theta_i} \frac{\partial \theta_i}{\partial \alpha} = 1$. Then

$$\frac{\partial \theta_i(t, \alpha)}{\partial \alpha} = \left(\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial \theta_i} \right)^{-1} = \exp\left\{ - \int_0^t \sum_l \frac{\partial \lambda_i(u(s, x_i(s, \theta_i)))}{\partial u_l} \frac{\partial u_l(s, x_i(s, \theta_i))}{\partial x} ds \right\}.$$

When $l \neq i$, we have

$$\int_0^t \left| \frac{\partial u_l(s, x_i(s, \theta_i(t, \alpha)))}{\partial x} \right| ds \leq CN_2;$$

while, when $l = i$, it follows from Hadamard's formula

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \left| \frac{\partial \lambda_i(u)}{\partial u_i} \frac{\partial u_i(s, x_i(s, \theta_i(t, \alpha)))}{\partial x} \right| ds &= \int_0^t \left| \sum_{l \neq i} b_{il} u_l w_i \right| ds \\ &\leq CW_\infty(T) \tilde{U}_1(T) \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get

$$\left| \frac{\partial \theta_i(t, \alpha)}{\partial \alpha} \right| = \exp\left\{ - \int_0^t \left(\sum_{l \neq i} \frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial u_l} \frac{\partial u_l(s, x_i(s, \theta_i))}{\partial x} + \sum_{l \neq i} b_{il} u_l w_i \right) ds \right\} \leq e^{C(N_2+1)}. \quad (41)$$

According to (39)–(41), we have

$$|\vartheta_i(\alpha) - \vartheta_i(\beta)| \leq e^{C(N_2+1)} |\alpha - \beta|. \quad (42)$$

3. Asymptotic behaviour of global classical solutions

Theorem 2 *The limit*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_i(t, x) = \phi_i(\alpha) = \phi_i(x - \lambda_i(0)t)$$

exists and satisfies the following estimates

$$|\phi_i(\alpha)| \leq C, \quad |\phi_i(\alpha) - \phi_i(\beta)| \leq CM e^{CN_2} |\alpha - \beta|.$$

Proof We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{Du_i}{Dt} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + \lambda_i(0) \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x} = \frac{du_i}{dt} + (\lambda_i(0) - \lambda_i(u)) \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x} \\ &= (\lambda_i(0) - \lambda_i(u)) \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x} = - \sum_{j \neq i} \{\Lambda_{ij} u_j w_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating it along $x = \alpha + \lambda_i(0)t$ gives

$$u_i(t, x) = u_i(t, \alpha + \lambda_i(0)t) = f_i(\alpha) - \int_0^t \sum_{j \neq i} (\Lambda_{ij} u_j w_i)(s, \alpha + \lambda_i(0)s) ds, \quad (43)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t \sum_{j \neq i} (\Lambda_{ij} u_j w_i)(s, \alpha + \lambda_i(0)s) ds \right| &\leq \int_0^t \sum_{j \neq i} |(\Lambda_{ij} u_j w_i)(s, \alpha + \lambda_i(0)s)| ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^t \sum_{j \neq i} |(u_j w_i)(s, \alpha + \lambda_i(0)s)| ds \leq CW_\infty(t) \bar{U}_1(t) \leq C. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Hence, $\int_0^t \sum_{j \neq i} (\Lambda_{ij} u_j w_i)(s, \alpha + \lambda_i(0)s) ds$ converges uniformly for any given $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ when $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Noting (43)–(44), we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_i(t, x) = \phi_i(\alpha). \quad (45)$$

According to (26) and (43)–(45), we have

$$|\phi_i(\alpha)| \leq |f_i(\alpha)| + CMN_1 e^{CN_2} \leq C, \quad (46)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_i(\alpha) - \phi_i(\beta)| &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |(u_i(t, \alpha + \lambda_i(0)t) - u_i(t, \beta + \lambda_i(0)t))| \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |w_i(t, \alpha^* + \lambda_i(0)t)| |\alpha - \beta| \leq CM e^{CN_2} |\alpha - \beta|. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2. \square

Theorem 3 *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, for any given $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, the limit*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} w_i(t, x_i(t, \alpha)) = \psi_i(\alpha)$$

exists. $\psi_i(\alpha)$ is continuous with respect to $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ and satisfies

$$|\psi_i(\alpha)| \leq CM e^{CN_2}.$$

Moreover, denoting $\tilde{w}_{\alpha, \beta}^*(\infty) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max_{i=1, \dots, n} \sup_{t \in [0, +\infty)} |w_i(t, x_i(t, \alpha)) - w_i(t, x_i(t, \beta))|$, we have

$$\tilde{w}_{\alpha, \beta}^*(\infty) \leq C\kappa |\alpha - \beta|^\rho + CM^2(N_2 + 1) |\alpha - \beta|.$$

Proof First we prove that $w_i(t, x_i(t, \alpha))$ converges uniformly with respect to α when $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Denote

$$h(t, \beta) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^t \left(\sum_{l \neq i} b_{il}(u) u_l \right) (s, x_i(s, \beta)) e^{-A_i(s, \beta)} ds.$$

According to (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |w_i(t_1, x_i(t_1, \beta)) - w_i(t_2, x_i(t_2, \beta))| \\ & \leq \left| \frac{f'_i(\beta)(e^{-A_i(t_1, \beta)} - e^{-A_i(t_2, \beta)}) + f'_i(\beta)^2 h(t_2, \beta)(e^{-A_i(t_1, \beta)} - e^{-A_i(t_2, \beta)})}{(1 + f'_i(\beta)h(t_1, \beta))(1 + f'_i(\beta)h(t_2, \beta))} \right| + \\ & \quad \left| \frac{f'_i(\beta)^2 e^{-A_i(t_2, \beta)}(h(t_2, \beta) - h(t_1, \beta))}{(1 + f'_i(\beta)h(t_1, \beta))(1 + f'_i(\beta)h(t_2, \beta))} \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Since $f \in (C_b^1(\mathbf{R}) \cap W^{1,1}(\mathbf{R}))^n$ and $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} f'(x) = 0$, there exists an M_1 such that

$$\sup_{|x| \geq M_1} |f(x)| \leq \varepsilon, \quad \sup_{|x| \geq M_1} |f'(x)| \leq \varepsilon, \quad \int_{|x| \geq M_1} |f(x)| \leq \varepsilon, \quad \int_{|x| \geq M_1} |f'(x)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

The l -th characteristic passing through point $(t_1, x_i(t_1, \beta))$ intersects $t = 0$ at a point denoted by α_1 , and the l -th characteristic passing through point $(t_2, x_i(t_2, \beta))$ intersects $t = 0$ at a point denoted by α_2 . Without loss of generality, we assume $l < i$ (the case $l > i$ can be proved similarly). When $\beta \geq -M_1$, taking $T_1 \geq \frac{2M_1}{\delta_0}$ and $t_2 > t_1 > T_1$, we get $M_1 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2$. Similarly to (9)–(10), we get

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} |w_l(\tau, x_i(\tau, \beta))| d\tau \leq \frac{1}{\delta_0} \int_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_2} |f'_l(x)| dx \leq C \int_{|x| \geq M_1} |f'_l(x)| dx \leq C\varepsilon.$$

Therefore, we can make estimates as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & |\exp(-A_i(t_1, \beta)) - \exp(-A_i(t_2, \beta))| \\ & = |\exp(-A_i(t_2, \beta))| \left| \exp\left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(\sum_{l \neq i} \frac{\partial \lambda_i(u)}{\partial u_l} w_l\right)(\tau, x_i(\tau, \beta)) d\tau\right) - 1 \right| \\ & \leq e^{CN_2} |e^{C\varepsilon} - 1| \leq Ce^{CN_2} \varepsilon, \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & |h(t_2, \beta) - h(t_1, \beta)| = \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(\sum_{l \neq i} b_{il}(u) u_l\right)(s, x_i(s, \beta)) e^{-A_i(s, \beta)} ds \right| \\ & \leq Ce^{CN_2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |u_l(s, x_i(s, \beta))| ds \leq Ce^{CN_2} \int_{|x| \geq M_1} |f_l(x)| dx \leq Ce^{CN_2} \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

According to (48)–(50), we have

$$|w_i(t_1, x_i(t_1, \beta)) - w_i(t_2, x_i(t_2, \beta))| \leq C\varepsilon. \quad (51)$$

When $\beta \leq -M_1$, noting (15), we have $|w_i(t, x_i(t, \beta))| \leq C|f'_i(\beta)| \leq C\varepsilon$. So, for any given $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$, we get

$$|w_i(t_1, x_i(t_1, \beta)) - w_i(t_2, x_i(t_2, \beta))| \leq C\varepsilon. \quad (52)$$

So, we conclude that $w_i(t, x_i(t, \alpha))$ converges uniformly, i.e.,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} w_i(t, x_i(t, \alpha)) = \psi_i(\alpha). \quad (53)$$

In what follows, we estimate $\tilde{w}_{\alpha,\beta}^*(\infty)$.

If the system is rich, we apply Lax transformation and get (28)–(29). Denote

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(t, \beta) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} N_i(u(t, x_i(t, \beta)))N_i^{-1}(f(\beta)), \\ g_2(t, \beta) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} N_i^{-1}(f(\beta))f'_i(\beta) \int_0^t (N_i(u) \sum_{l \neq i} b_{il}(u)u_l)(s, x_i(s, \beta))ds. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2, we get

$$|g_1(t, \beta)| \leq C, \quad |g_2(t, \beta)| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad \left| \frac{\partial g_1(t, \beta)}{\partial \beta} \right| \leq CM.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &|g_1(t, \beta)f'_i(\beta) - g_1(t, \alpha)f'_i(\alpha)| \leq |g_1(t, \beta)(f'_i(\beta) - f'_i(\alpha))| + |g_1(t, \beta) - g_1(t, \alpha)||f'_i(\alpha)| \\ &\leq C|f'_i(\beta) - f'_i(\alpha)| + \left| \frac{\partial g_1(t, \alpha^*)}{\partial \alpha} \right| |f'_i(\alpha)| |\alpha - \beta| \\ &\leq C|f'_i(\beta) - f'_i(\alpha)| + CM^2|\alpha - \beta|, \\ &|g_1(t, \beta)g_2(t, \alpha)f'_i(\beta) - g_1(t, \alpha)g_2(t, \beta)f'_i(\alpha)| \\ &\leq |g_1(t, \alpha)g_2(t, \beta)(f'_i(\beta) - f'_i(\alpha))| + |g_1(t, \alpha)||f'_i(\beta)||g_2(t, \alpha) - g_2(t, \beta)| + \\ &\quad |g_2(t, \beta)||f'_i(\beta)||g_1(t, \alpha) - g_1(t, \beta)| \\ &\leq C|f'_i(\beta) - f'_i(\alpha)| + CM^2(N_2 + 1)|\alpha - \beta|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &|w_i(t, x_i(t, \beta)) - w_i(t, x_i(t, \alpha))| \\ &= \left| \frac{g_1(t, \beta)f'_i(\beta) - g_1(t, \alpha)f'_i(\alpha) + g_1(t, \beta)g_2(t, \alpha)f'_i(\beta) - g_1(t, \alpha)g_2(t, \beta)f'_i(\alpha)}{(1 + g_2(t, \alpha))(1 + g_2(t, \beta))} \right| \\ &\leq C|f'_i(\alpha) - f'_i(\beta)| + CM^2(N_2 + 1)|\alpha - \beta|. \end{aligned}$$

So, we conclude that

$$\tilde{w}_{\alpha,\beta}^*(\infty) \leq C|f'_i(\alpha) - f'_i(\beta)| + CM^2(N_2 + 1)|\alpha - \beta|.$$

When the initial data satisfies (5), we get

$$\tilde{w}_{\alpha,\beta}^* \leq C\kappa|\alpha - \beta|^\rho + CM^2(N_2 + 1)|\alpha - \beta|. \quad (54)$$

Moreover, we can get the continuity of $\psi_i(\alpha)$ from the continuity of w_i with respect to α and the following estimate

$$|\psi_i(\alpha)| = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |w_i(t, x_i(t, \alpha))| \leq CM e^{CN_2}. \quad (55)$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3. \square

Theorem 4 *The limit*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} w_i(t, \alpha + \lambda_i(0)t) = \psi_i(\vartheta_i(\alpha)) = \phi'_i(\alpha)$$

exists and $\phi'_i(\alpha)$ is continuous with respect to $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$. Moreover, for any given $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$, we have

the following estimate

$$|\phi'_i(\alpha) - \phi'_i(\beta)| \leq C\kappa e^{C\rho(N_2+1)}|\alpha - \beta|^\rho + CM^2(N_2 + 1)e^{C(N_2+1)}|\alpha - \beta|.$$

Proof By Theorem 3, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} w_i(t, \alpha + \lambda_i(0)t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} w_i(t, x_i(t, \theta_i(t, \alpha))) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} w_i(t, x_i(t, \vartheta_i(\alpha))) = \psi_i(\vartheta_i(\alpha)).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\phi_i(\alpha)}{d\alpha} &= \lim_{\Delta\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{\phi_i(\alpha + \Delta\alpha) - \phi_i(\alpha)}{\Delta\alpha} \\ &= \lim_{\Delta\alpha \rightarrow 0} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_i(t, \alpha + \lambda_i(0)t + \Delta\alpha) - u_i(t, \alpha + \lambda_i(0)t)}{\Delta\alpha} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} w_i(t, \alpha + \lambda_i(0)t) = \psi_i(\vartheta_i(\alpha)). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain the continuity of $\psi_i(\vartheta_i(\alpha))$ from the continuity of $\psi_i(x)$ and $\vartheta_i(\alpha)$ as well as the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi'_i(\alpha) - \phi'_i(\beta)| &= |\psi_i(\vartheta_i(\alpha)) - \psi_i(\vartheta_i(\beta))| \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |w_i(t, x_i(t, \vartheta_i(\alpha))) - w_i(t, x_i(t, \vartheta_i(\beta)))| \\ &\leq C|f'_i(\vartheta_i(\alpha)) - f'_i(\vartheta_i(\beta))| + CM^2(N_2 + 1)|\vartheta_i(\alpha) - \vartheta_i(\beta)| \\ &\leq C\kappa e^{C\rho(N_2+1)}|\alpha - \beta|^\rho + CM^2(N_2 + 1)e^{C(N_2+1)}|\alpha - \beta|. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, according to Theorems 2–4, we get Theorem 1. \square

Acknowledgement I would like to express my sincere thanks to Professor Li Ta-Tsien and Professor Zhou Yi for their instructions and help.

References

- [1] SERRE D. *Systems of Conservation Laws 2: Geometric Structures, Oscillations, and Initial Boundary Value Problems* [M]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [2] LI Ta-Tsien. *Global Classical Solutions for Quasilinear Hyperbolic Systems*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 1994.
- [3] LI Ta-Tsien, ZHOU Yi, KONG Dexing. *Global classical solutions for general quasilinear hyperbolic systems with decay initial data* [J]. Nonlinear Anal., 1997, **28**(8): 1299–1332.
- [4] LI Ta-Tsien, ZHOU Yi, KONG Dexing. *Weak linear degeneracy and global classical solutions for general quasilinear hyperbolic systems* [J]. Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 1994, **19**(7-8): 1263–1317.
- [5] LI Ta-Tsien, PENG Yuejun. *Cauchy problem for weakly linearly degenerate hyperbolic systems in diagonal form* [J]. Nonlinear Anal., 2003, **55**(7-8): 937–949.
- [6] ZHOU Yi. *Global classical solutions to quasilinear hyperbolic systems with weak linear degeneracy*. Chinese Ann. Math. Ser.B, 2004, **25**(1): 37–56.
- [7] KONG Dexing, YANG Tong. *Asymptotic behavior of global classical solutions of quasilinear hyperbolic systems* [J]. Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 2003, **28**(5-6): 1203–1220.
- [8] DAI Wenrong, KONG Dexing. *Asymptotic behavior of global classical solutions of general quasilinear hyperbolic systems with weakly linear degeneracy* [J]. Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B, 2006, **27**(3): 263–286.
- [9] LIU Jianli, ZHOU Yi. *Asymptotic behaviour of global classical solutions of diagonalizable quasilinear hyperbolic systems* [J] Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 2007, **30**(4): 479–500.