Wide Diameter of Generalized Petersen Graphs

Jun ZHANG^{1,3}, Xi Rong XU^{2,*}, Jun WANG¹

- 1. School of Mathematical Sciences, Dalian University of Technology, Liaoning 116024, P. R. China;
- 2. Department of Computer Science, Dalian University of Technology, Liaoning 116024, P. R. China;
- 3. College of Information Technology, Shanghai Ocean University, Shanghai 201306, P. R. China

Abstract Generalized Petersen graphs are commonly used interconnection networks, and wide diameter is an important parameter to measure fault-tolerance and efficiency of parallel processing computer networks. In this paper, we show that the diameter and 3-wide diameter of generalized Petersen graph P(m, a) are both $O(\frac{m}{2a})$, where $a \ge 3$.

Keywords Petersen graph; diameter; wide diameter.

Document code A MR(2000) Subject Classification 05C12; 05C38; 68R10 Chinese Library Classification O157.5

1. Introduction

Let x and y be two distinct vertices of a graph G. A set of internally disjoint (x, y)-paths in G is called an (x, y)-container in G, denoted by C(G; x, y). The number of paths in C(G; x, y) is called the width of C(G; x, y). An (x, y)-container with width w is denoted by $C_w(G; x, y)$. The length of C(G; x, y), denoted by C(G; x, y), is the largest length of paths in C(G; x, y).

Suppose that G is a w-connected graph, $w \geq 1$. By Menger's theorem there exists an (x,y)-container $C_w(G;x,y)$ for any pair of two distinct vertices x and y in G. The distance with width w, wide-distance or w-distance for short, from x to y, denoted by $d_w(G;x,y)$, is defined as the minimum length over all (x,y)-containers $C_w(G;x,y)$. The diameter with width w, wide-diameter or w-diameter for short, of G, denoted by $d_w(G)$, is defined as

$$d_w(G) = \max\{d_w(G; x, y) : x, y \in V(G), x \neq y\}.$$

It is desirous that an ideal interconnection network G should be one with connectivity $\kappa(G)$ as large as possible and diameter d(G) as small as possible. The wide-diameter $d_w(G)$ combines connectivity $\kappa(G)$ and diameter d(G), where $1 \leq w \leq \kappa(G)$. Hence $d_w(G)$ is a more suitable parameter than $d_w(G)$ to measure fault-tolerance and efficiency of parallel processing computer

Received June 28, 2008; Accepted July 3, 2008

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 60973014), the Excellent Young Teachers Program of Shanghai Municipal Education Conmision (Grant No. B-8101-07-0027) and Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China (Grant No. 200801411073).

E-mail address: xirongxu@dlut.edu.cn (X. R. XU)

^{*} Corresponding author

networks. Thus, determining the value of $d_w(G)$ is of significance for a given graph G and an integer w. However, Hsu [1] proved that this problem is NP-complete.

The concept of wide-diameter was proposed by Hsu and Lyuu [2], who used the term "wide-diameter" for the first time. Their study is based on the concept of the container stated above. Flandrin and Li [3] proposed this concept motivated by the property $P_{l,w}$ by Faudree, Jacobson, Ordman, Schelp, and Tuza. A graph G has the property $P_{l,w}$ implies that there exist w internally disjoint (x, y)-paths in G of length at most l for any pair of two distinct vertices x and y. It is clear that the graph G has the property that $P_{l,w}$ is equivalent to that $d_w(G) \leq l$.

Let m and a be integers such that $m \geq 3$, $1 \leq a < m$ and m > 2a. For such m, a, the generalized Petersen graph P(m, a) is defined by

$$V(P(m,a)) = \{u_i, v_i : 0 \le i \le m-1\},$$

$$E(P(m,a)) = \{(u_i, u_{i+1}), (u_i, v_i), (v_i, v_{i+a}) : 0 \le i \le m-1\},$$

where and in the sequel the subscripts of vertices are computed under modulo m. When we draw the graph, we can order the vertices in U in one circle (called outer circle) and the vertices in V in one invisible circle (called inner circle).

Since P(m, a)'s form an important class of 3-regular and 3-connected graphs with 2m vertices and 3m edges, which are commonly used interconnection networks and have been studied by various researchers. It is desirable to determine P(m, a)'s wide diameter. Recently, Liaw and Chang [4] gave the wide diameter for many specific classes of networks. Krishnamoorth and Krishnamurthy [5] gave the fault diameter for generalized Petersen graph. Hou [6] gave the w-diameter for P(m, a). In this paper, we give the 3-wide diameter of P(m, a) ($a \ge 3$).

For two integers a and b with $a \le b$, by [a, b] we denote the set $\{a, a + 1, \dots, b\}$.

2. Statement of the main result

Lemma 1 The diameter of P(m,a) is $O(\frac{m}{2a})$.

Proof The case of a=1 is trivial, and the case of a=2 has been proved in [6]. Now we consider the case of a=3. Given an integer $i \, (\leq \frac{m}{2})$. When i is large enough, we consider the distances from u_0 to u_i , u_0 to v_i and v_0 to v_i . Since the vertices in inner circle jump in steps of 3, we will walk in the inner circle as much as possible. When $i \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, we enter in the inner circle from vertex v_0 ; when $i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, we enter in the inner circle from vertex v_1 ; when $i \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, we enter in the inner circle from vertex v_2 , and leave the circle from vertex v_i . For example,

$$u_0 - u_1 - u_2 - v_2 - v_5 - \dots - v_{3k+2} - u_{3k+2},$$

 $v_0 - u_0 - u_1 - v_1 - v_4 - \dots - v_{3k+1},$

where k is an integer. So for any two distinct vertices x and y, $\operatorname{dist}(x,y) \leq \frac{m}{6} + \alpha(3)$, where $\alpha(3) = 4$. Given an integer $s < \frac{m}{6}$, the subscript of vertex that any path with length s starting from u_0 can reach belongs to [-3s, 3s], where $\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$ does not. So we have that the diameter of

P(m,3) is $O(\frac{m}{6})$. When a>3, by the similar method, we yield $d(P(m,a))=O(\frac{m}{2a})$.

Theorem 2 The 3-wide diameter of P(m, a) is $O(\frac{m}{2a})$, where $a \ge 3$.

Proof First we consider the case of a = 3. Let x, y be any two distinct vertices of P(m, 3). We will exhibit the path strategies when x and y are in different cases.

Case 1 $x = u_0$ and $y = u_i$, $3 \le i \le \frac{m}{2}$. We consider three subcases:

Case 1.1 $i \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. We have three vertex-disjoint paths from x to y,

$$P_1: u_0 - v_0 - v_3 - \cdots - v_i - u_i,$$

$$P_2: u_0 - u_1 - v_1 - v_4 - \dots - v_{1+i} - u_{1+i} - u_i$$

and

$$P_3: u_0 - u_{-1} - v_{-1} - v_2 - \dots - v_{i-1} - u_{i-1} - u_i$$

with lengths $|P_1| = \frac{i}{3} + 2$, $|P_2| = \frac{i}{3} + 4$ and $|P_3| = \frac{i}{3} + 4$. And the container has length $\frac{i}{3} + 4$.

Case 1.2 $i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. We have three vertex-disjoint paths from x to y,

$$P_1: u_0-v_0-v_3-\cdots-v_{i-1}-u_{i-1},$$

$$P_2: u_0 - u_1 - v_1 - v_4 - \dots - v_i - u_i$$

and

$$P_3: u_0 - u_{-1} - v_{-1} - v_2 - \dots - v_{1+i} - u_{1+i} - u_i$$

with lengths $|P_1| = \frac{i-1}{3} + 3$, $|P_2| = \frac{i-1}{3} + 3$ and $|P_3| = \frac{i-1}{3} + 5$. And the container has length $\frac{i-1}{3} + 5$.

Case 1.3 $i \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. We have three vertex-disjoint paths from x to y,

$$P_1: u_0-v_0-v_3-\cdots-v_{i+1}-u_{i+1},$$

$$P_2: u_0 - u_1 - v_1 - v_4 - \dots - v_{i-1} - u_{i-1} - u_i$$

and

$$P_3: u_0 - u_{-1} - v_{-1} - v_2 - \dots - v_i - u_i$$

with lengths $|P_1| = \frac{i-2}{3} + 4$, $|P_2| = \frac{i-2}{3} + 4$ and $|P_3| = \frac{i-2}{3} + 4$. And the container has length $\frac{i-2}{3} + 4$.

Case 2 $x = u_0$ and $y = v_i$, $3 \le i \le \frac{m}{2}$. We consider three subcases:

Case 2.1 $i \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. We have three vertex-disjoint paths from x to y,

$$P_1: u_0-v_0-v_3-\cdots-v_{i-3}-v_i,$$

$$P_2: u_0 - u_1 - v_1 - v_4 - \dots - v_{i-2} - u_{i-2} - u_{i-1} - u_i - v_i$$

and

$$P_3: u_0 - u_{-1} - v_{-1} - v_2 - \dots - v_{i+2} - u_{i+2} - u_{i+3} - v_{i+3} - v_i$$

with lengths $|P_1| = \frac{i}{3} + 1$, $|P_2| = \frac{i}{3} + 5$ and $|P_3| = \frac{i}{3} + 7$. And the container has length $\frac{i}{3} + 7$.

Case 2.2 $i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. We have three vertex-disjoint paths from x to y,

$$P_1: u_0 - v_0 - v_3 - \dots - v_{i-1} - u_{i-1} - u_i - v_i,$$

$$P_2: u_0 - u_1 - v_1 - v_4 - \dots - v_{i-3} - v_i$$

and

$$P_3: u_0 - u_{-1} - v_{-1} - v_2 - \dots - v_{i+1} - u_{i+1} - u_{i+2} - u_{i+3} - v_i$$

with lengths $|P_1| = \frac{i-1}{3} + 4$, $|P_2| = \frac{i-1}{3} + 2$ and $|P_3| = \frac{i-1}{3} + 8$. And the container has length $\frac{i-1}{3} + 8$.

Case 2.3 $i \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. We have three vertex-disjoint paths from x to y,

$$P_1: u_0 - v_0 - v_3 - \cdots - v_{i-2} - u_{i-2} - u_{i-1} - u_i - v_i,$$

$$P_2: u_0 - u_1 - v_1 - v_4 - \dots - v_{i+2} - u_{i+2} - u_{i+3} - v_{i+3} - v_i$$

and

$$P_3: u_0 - u_{-1} - v_{-1} - v_2 - \dots - v_{i-3} - v_i$$

with lengths $|P_1| = \frac{i-2}{3} + 5$, $|P_2| = \frac{i-2}{3} + 7$ and $|P_3| = \frac{i-2}{3} + 3$. And the container has length $\frac{i-2}{3} + 7$.

Case 3 $x = v_0$ and $y = v_i$, $3 \le i \le \frac{m}{2}$. We consider three subcases:

Case 3.1 $i \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. We have three vertex-disjoint paths from x to y,

$$P_1: v_0-v_3-\cdots-v_{i-3}-v_i,$$

$$P_2: v_0 - u_0 - u_1 - u_2 - v_2 - \dots - v_{i+2} - u_{i+2} - u_{i+3} - v_{i+3} - v_i$$

and

$$P_3: v_0 - v_{-3} - u_{-3} - u_{-2} - v_{-2} - \dots - v_{i-2} - u_{i-2} - u_{i-1} - u_i - v_i$$

with lengths $|P_1| = \frac{i}{3}$, $|P_2| = \frac{i}{3} + 8$ and $|P_3| = \frac{i}{3} + 8$. And the container has length $\frac{i}{3} + 8$.

Case 3.2 $i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. We have three vertex-disjoint paths from x to y,

$$P_1: v_0 - v_3 - \cdots - v_{i-1} - u_{i-1} - u_i - v_i$$

$$P_2: v_0 - u_0 - u_1 - u_2 - v_2 - \dots - v_{i+1} - u_{i+1} - u_{i+2} - u_{i+3} - v_{i+3} - v_i$$

and

$$P_3: v_0 - v_{-3} - u_{-3} - u_{-2} - v_{-2} - \dots - v_i$$

with lengths $|P_1| = \frac{i-1}{3} + 3$, $|P_2| = \frac{i-1}{3} + 9$ and $|P_3| = \frac{i-1}{3} + 5$. And the container has length $\frac{i-1}{3} + 9$.

Case 3.3 $i \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. We have three vertex-disjoint paths from x to y,

$$P_1: v_0 - v_3 - \dots - v_{i-2} - u_{i-2} - u_{i-1} - u_i - v_i,$$

$$P_2: v_0 - u_0 - u_1 - v_1 - v_4 - \dots - v_{i+2} - u_{i+2} - u_{i+3} - v_{i+3} - v_i$$

and

$$P_3: v_0-v_{-3}-u_{-3}-u_{-2}-u_{-1}-v_{-1}-v_2-\cdots-v_{i-3}-v_i$$

with lengths $|P_1| = \frac{i-2}{3} + 4$, $|P_2| = \frac{i-2}{3} + 8$ and $|P_3| = \frac{i-2}{3} + 6$. And the container has length $\frac{i-2}{3} + 8$.

So we get that $d_3(P(m,3)) \leq \lfloor \frac{m}{6} \rfloor + 9$. Since $d(P(m,3)) = O(\frac{m}{6})$, we have that $d_3(P(m,3)) = O(\frac{m}{6})$.

Now we consider the general case, let a>3. When $0 \le k < a$, set $V_k=\{v_i: i \equiv k \pmod{a}, 0 \le i \le \frac{m}{2}\}$. When $i \equiv j \pmod{a}, 0 \le j < a, 0 \le i \le \frac{m}{2}$, by employing V_{j-1}, V_j, V_{j+1} -paths, we can construct $(u_0, u_i), (u_0, v_i), (v_0, v_i)$ -containers, similar to the case of a=3. For example, when a=4, $i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, we construct a (v_0, v_i) -container as follows:

$$P_1: v_0 - v_4 - \dots - v_{i-4} - v_i,$$

$$P_2: v_0 - u_0 - u_1 - v_1 - v_5 - \dots - v_{i+1} - u_{i+1} - u_i - v_i$$

and

$$P_3: v_0 - v_{-4} - u_{-4} - u_{-5} - v_{-5} - v_{-1} - v_3 - \dots - v_{i+3} - u_{i+3} - u_{i+4} - v_{i+4} - v_i$$

And in the similar way, we yield that $d_3(P(m,a)) = O(\frac{m}{2a})$.

References

- [1] HSU D F. On container width and length in graphs, groups, and networks [J]. IEICE Trans. Fund. Elect. Comm. Comput., 1994, E77-A: 668–680.
- [2] HSU D F, LYUU Y D. A graph-theoretical study of transmission delay and fault tolerance [J]. International Journal of Mini and Microcomputers, 1994, 16(1): 35–42.
- [3] FLANDRIN E, LI H. Mengerian properties, hamiltonicity, and claw-free graphs [J]. Networks, 1994, 24(2): 177–183.
- [4] LIAW S C, CHANG G J. Generalized diameters and Rabin numbers of networks [J]. J. Comb. Optim., 1999, 2(4): 371–384.
- [5] KRISHNAMOORTH M S, KRISHNAMURTHY B. Fault diameter of intersection networks [J]. Comput. Math. Applic., 1987, 13(5/6): 577-582.
- [6] HOU Xinmin, WANG Tianming. Wide diameters of generalized Petersen graphs [J]. J. Math. Res. Exposition, 2004, 24(2): 249–253.