# Congruences for a Restricted *m*-ary Overpartition Function

### Qing Lin LU<sup>\*</sup>, Zheng Ke MIAO

School of Mathematical Sciences, Xuzhou Normal University, Jiangsu 221116, P. R. China

**Abstract** We discuss a family of restricted *m*-ary overpartition functions  $\bar{b}_{m,j}(n)$ , which is the number of *m*-ary overpartitions of *n* with at most i + j copies of the non-overlined part  $m^i$  allowed, and obtain a family of congruences for  $\bar{b}_{m,lm-1}(n)$ .

Keywords partition; overpartition; *m*-ary overpartition; generating function.

Document code A MR(2000) Subject Classification 05A17; 11P83 Chinese Library Classification 0157.1; 0156.1

#### 1. Introduction

An overpartition of n is a non-increasing sequence of positive integers whose sum is n in which the first occurrence of an integer may be overlined. According to Corteel and Lovejoy [1], overpartitions were discussed by MacMahon and have proven useful in several combinatorial studies of basic hypergeometric series [2–5].

We denote by  $\bar{p}(n)$  the number of overpartitions of n. Since the overlined parts form a partition into distinct parts and the non-overlined parts form an ordinary partition, we have the generating function

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{p}(n)q^n = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+q^n}{1-q^n}.$$

Let  $m \ge 2$  be an integer. An *m*-ary partition of a positive integer *n* is a non-increasing sequence of non-negative integral powers of *m* whose sum is *n*. In 2005, Rødseth and Sellers [6] considered *m*-ary overpartition of *n*, which is a non-increasing sequence of non-negative integral powers of *m* whose sum is *n*, and where the first occurrence of a power of *m* may be overlined. They obtained a congruence property (Theorem 1.1) which is a lifting to general *m* of the wellknown Churchhouse congruences [7] for the binary partition function. They also considered the number of restricted *m*-ary overpartitions of *n*, where the largest part is at most  $m^{k-1}$ .

\* Corresponding author

Received July 14, 2008; Accepted June 30, 2009

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 10771100; 10871166), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (Grant No. BK2007030) and the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Educational Committee (Grant Nos. 06KJD110179; 07KJD110207).

E-mail address: qllu@xznu.edu.cn (Q. L. LU); zkmiao@xznu.edu.cn (Z. K. MIAO)

In this note, we consider another family of restricted *m*-ary overpartition function  $\bar{b}_{m,j}(n)$ , which is the number of *m*-ary overpartitions of *n* with at most i + j copies of the non-overlined part  $m^i$  allowed. For example, for m = 2, j = 1, we find

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{b}_{2,1}(n)q^n = 1 + 2q + 3q^2 + 4q^3 + 6q^4 + \cdots,$$

where the 6 restricted binary overpartitions of 4 are

$$4, \bar{4}, 2+2, \bar{2}+2, 2+\bar{1}+1, \bar{2}+\bar{1}+1.$$

It is not difficult to see that m-1 is the smallest integer j which guarantees that  $\bar{b}_{m,j}(n)$  is positive for all nonnegative integers n. This makes the study of this specific function especially attractive.

**Theorem 1.1** For all  $n \ge 0$ ,  $m \ge 4$ ,  $3 \le k \le m - 1$ , and  $1 \le t \le m - k + 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{b}_{m,m-1}(m^{k+t}n+2m^{k+t-1}+\dots+2m^k)q^n$$
  
=  $(2^{k+1}+2^k-2)4^{t-1}(1+q)B_{m,m+k+t-1}(q),$ 

where  $B_{m,j}(q)$  is the generating function  $\sum_{n\geq 0} \bar{b}_{m,j}(n)q^n$ .

Remark 1.2 Theorem 1.1 implies

$$\bar{b}_{m,m-1}(m^{k+t}n+2m^{k+t-1}+\dots+2m^k)$$
  
=  $(2^{k+1}+2^k-2)4^{t-1}(\bar{b}_{m,m+k+t-1}(n)+\bar{b}_{m,m+k+t-1}(n-1)).$ 

Of course, it also implies the following congruence for  $n \ge 0$ ,  $m \ge 4$ ,  $3 \le k \le m-1$ , and  $1 \le t \le m-k+1$ :

$$\bar{b}_{m,m-1}(m^{k+t}n+2m^{k+t-1}+\cdots+2m^k) \equiv 0 \pmod{(2^{k+1}+2^k-2)4^{t-1}}.$$

We prove Theorem 1.1 by using generating function dissections. In Section 2 below we give two preliminary lemmas. In Section 3 we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. Finally, in Section 4 we state a theorem which deals with function  $\bar{b}_{m,lm-1}(n)$ .

#### 2. Preliminary lemmas

Denote by  $\bar{b}_m(n)$  the number of *m*-ary overpartitions of *n*, and put  $\bar{b}_m(0) = 1$ . It is clear that the generating function for  $\bar{b}_m(n)$  is given by

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \bar{b}_m(n)q^n = \prod_{i\geq 0} \left( (1+q^{m^i}) \sum_{k\geq 0} q^{m^i k} \right) = \prod_{i\geq 0} \frac{1+q^{m^i}}{1-q^{m^i}}.$$

i

We see that the generating function for  $\bar{b}_{m,j}(n)$  can be written as

$$B_{m,j}(q) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \bar{b}_{m,j}(n)q^n = \prod_{i \ge 0} \left( (1 + q^{m^i}) \sum_{k=0}^{i+j} q^{m^i k} \right)$$

Congruences for a restricted m-ary overpartition function

$$= (1+q)(1+q+\dots+q^{j})B_{m,j+1}(q^{m}).$$
(1)

**Lemma 2.1** For all  $n \ge 0$ ,  $m \ge 2$ , and  $1 \le k \le m - 1$ , we have

$$\bar{b}_{m,m-1}(m^k n) = \bar{b}_{m,m+k-1}(n) + (2^k + 2^{k-1} - 2)\bar{b}_{m,m+k-1}(n-1).$$

**Proof** We prove this lemma by induction on k. We first consider the case k = 1. We have from (1) the following

$$B_{m,m-1}(q) = (1+q)(1+q+\dots+q^{m-1})B_{m,m}(q^m)$$
$$= (1+2q+\dots+2q^{m-1}+q^m)B_{m,m}(q^m).$$

Then the coefficient of  $q^{mn}$  on the left-hand side of (2) is simply  $\bar{b}_{m,m-1}(mn)$ . We see that the terms in  $(1+2q+\cdots+2q^{m-1}+q^m)$  that contribute to a term of the form  $q^{mn}$  on the right-hand side of (2) are 1 and  $q^m$ , because  $B_{m,m}(q^m)$  is a power series in  $q^m$ . Therefore, the coefficient of  $q^{mn}$  on the right-hand side of (2) is  $\bar{b}_{m,m}(n) + \bar{b}_{m,m}(n-1)$ .

Now, we assume the lemma is true for some k satisfying  $1 \le k < m - 1$ . This means we are assuming that

$$\bar{b}_{m,m-1}(m^k n) = \bar{b}_{m,m+k-1}(n) + (2^k + 2^{k-1} - 2)\bar{b}_{m,m+k-1}(n-1)$$

or that

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \bar{b}_{m,m-1}(m^k n)q^n = (1 + (2^k + 2^{k-1} - 2)q)B_{m,m+k-1}(q)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{split} \bar{b}_{m,m-1}(m^{k+1}n) &= [q^{mn}](1 + (2^k + 2^{k-1} - 2)q)B_{m,m+k-1}(q) \\ &= [q^{mn}](1 + (2^k + 2^{k-1} - 2)q)(1 + q)(1 + q + \dots + q^{m+k-1})B_{m,m+k}(q^m) \\ &= [q^{mn}](1 + (2^k + 2^{k-1} - 1)q + (2^k + 2^{k-1} - 2)q^2) \cdot (1 + q + \dots + q^{m+k-1})B_{m,m+k}(q^m) \\ &= [q^{mn}](1 + q^m + (2^k + 2^{k-1} - 1)q \cdot q^{m-1} + (2^k + 2^{k-1} - 2)q^2 \cdot q^{m-2})B_{m,m+k}(q^m) \text{ (because of } 1 \le k < m - 1) \\ &= [q^{mn}](1 + (2^{k+1} + 2^k - 2)q^m)B_{m,m+k}(q^m) \\ &= [q^n](1 + (2^{k+1} + 2^k - 2)q)B_{m,m+k}(q) \\ &= \bar{b}_{m,m+k}(n) + (2^{k+1} + 2^k - 2)\bar{b}_{m,m+k}(n - 1). \end{split}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 2.2** For all  $n \ge 0$ ,  $m \ge 4$ ,  $3 \le k \le m - 1$ , we have

$$\bar{b}_{m,m-1}(m^{k+1}n+2m^k) = (2^{k+1}+2^k-2)(\bar{b}_{m,m+k}(n)+\bar{b}_{m,m+k}(n-1)).$$

**Proof** By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\bar{b}_{m,m-1}(m^{k+1}n+2m^k) = [q^{mn+2}](1+(2^k+2^{k-1}-2)q)B_{m,m+k-1}(q)$$
  
=  $[q^{mn+2}](1+(2^k+2^{k-1}-2)q)(1+q)(1+q+\cdots+q^{m+k-1})B_{m,m+k}(q^m)$   
=  $[q^{mn+2}](2^{k+1}+2^k-2)q^2(1+q^m)B_{m,m+k}(q^m)$  (because of  $3 \le k \le m-1$ )

941

$$= [q^{n}](2^{k+1} + 2^{k} - 2)(1+q)B_{m,m+k}(q)$$
  
=  $(2^{k+1} + 2^{k} - 2)(\bar{b}_{m,m+k}(n) + \bar{b}_{m,m+k}(n-1)).$ 

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2.  $\square$ 

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We prove Theorem 1.1 by induction on t. The case t = 1 is handled in Lemma 2.2. We now assume

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{b}_{m,m-1}(m^{k+t-1}n+2m^{k+t-2}+\dots+2m^k)q^n$$
$$= (2^{k+1}+2^k-2)4^{t-2}(1+q)B_{m,m+k+t-2}(q)$$

for  $2 \le t \le m - k + 2$ , i.e.,

$$\bar{b}_{m,m-1}(m^{k+t-1}n+2m^{k+t-2}+\dots+2m^k)$$
  
=  $(2^{k+1}+2^k-2)4^{t-2}(\bar{b}_{m,m+k+t-2}(n)+\bar{b}_{m,m+k+t-2}(n-1)).$ 

Then we have

$$\bar{b}_{m,m-1}(m^{k+t}n + 2m^{k+t-1} + \dots + 2m^k) 
= [q^{mn+2}](2^{k+1} + 2^k - 2)4^{t-2}(1+q)B_{m,m+k+t-2}(q) 
= [q^{mn+2}](2^{k+1} + 2^k - 2)4^{t-2}(1+q)(1+q) \cdot (1+q+\dots + q^{m+k+t-2})B_{m,m+k+t-1}(q^m) 
= [q^{mn+2}](2^{k+1} + 2^k - 2)4^{t-1}q^2(1+q^m)B_{m,m+k+t-1}(q^m) 
= [q^n](2^{k+1} + 2^k - 2)4^{t-1}(1+q)B_{m,m+k+t-1}(q) 
= (2^{k+1} + 2^k - 2)4^{t-1}(\bar{b}_{m,m+k+t-1}(n) + \bar{b}_{m,m+k+t-1}(n-1)).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.  $\square$ 

# 4. More congruences for $\bar{b}_{m,j}(n)$

In this section, we consider the congruence properties for  $\bar{b}_{m,j}(n)$  with j = lm - 1. Proceeding in a way similar to, but a little bit more complicated than, the proof of Theorem 1.1, we can prove the following result which is an extension of Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 4.1** For all  $n \ge 0$ ,  $m \ge 4$ ,  $1 \le l \le m/2 - 1$ ,  $l + 2 \le k \le m - l$ , and  $1 \le t \le m - k + 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \bar{b}_{m,lm-1}(m^{k+t}n+(l+1)m^{k+t-1}+\dots+(l+1)m^k)q^n$$
  
=  $2^t(l+1)^{t-1}\prod_{\substack{0\leq r\leq k\\r\neq k-1}} (2l)^r(1+q+\dots+q^l)B_{m,m+k+t-1}(q).$ 

**Remark 4.2** Theorem 4.1 implies the following congruence:

$$\bar{b}_{m,lm-1}(m^{k+t}n + (l+1)m^{k+t-1} + \dots + (l+1)m^k) \equiv 0 \ \left( \mod 2^t (l+1)^{t-1} \prod_{\substack{0 \le r \le k \\ r \ne k = -1}} (2l)^r \right).$$

We now sketch a proof of Theorem 4.1. We can first prove

**Lemma 4.3** For all  $n \ge 0$ ,  $m \ge 2$ , and  $l \ge 1$ , we have

$$\bar{b}_{m,lm-1}(mn) = \bar{b}_{m,lm}(n) + 2\bar{b}_{m,lm}(n-1) + \dots + 2\bar{b}_{m,lm}(n-l+1) + \bar{b}_{m,lm}(n-l).$$

By using Lemma 4.3 and induction on k, we can prove

**Lemma 4.4** For all  $n \ge 0$ ,  $m \ge 3$ ,  $1 \le l \le m-2$ , and  $2 \le k \le m-l$ , we have

$$\bar{b}_{m,lm-1}(m^k n) = \bar{b}_{m,lm+k-1}(n) + 2 \prod_{\substack{0 \le r \le k-1 \\ r \ne k-2}} (2l)^r (\bar{b}_{m,lm+k-1}(n-1) + \dots + \bar{b}_{m,lm+k-1}(n-l)).$$

By using Lemma 4.4, we can prove

**Lemma 4.5** For all  $n \ge 0$ ,  $m \ge 4$ ,  $1 \le l \le m/2 - 1$ , and  $l + 2 \le k \le m - l$ , we have

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \bar{b}_{m,lm-1}(m^{k+1}n + (l+1)m^k) = 2 \prod_{\substack{0\leq r\leq k\\r\neq k-1}} (2l)^r (1+q+\dots+q^l) B_{m,m+k}(q).$$

Finally, by using Lemma 4.5 and induction on t, we can prove Theorem 4.1.

### References

- [1] CORTEEL S, LOVEJOY J. Overpartitions [J]. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 2004, 356: 1623-1635.
- [2] CORTEEL S. Particle seas and basic hypergeometric series [J]. Adv. in Appl. Math., 2003, **31**(1): 199–214.
  [3] CORTEEL S, LOVEJOY J. Frobenius partitions and the combinatorics of Ramanujan's 1\$\u03c4\$1 summation [J].
- J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 2002, 97(1): 177–183.
  [4] JOICHI J T, STANTON D. Bijective proofs of basic hypergeometric series identities [J]. Pacific J. Math.,
- 1987, **127**(1): 103–120.
  [5] PAK I. Partition bijections, a survey [J]. Ramanujan J., 2006, **12**(1): 5–75.
- [6] RØDSETH ØJ, SELLERS J A. On m-ary overpartitions [J]. Ann. Comb., 2005, 9(3): 345–353.
- [7] CHURCHHOUSE R F. Congruence properties of the binary partition function [J]. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 1969, 66: 371–376.