

# On the Reduced Minimum Modulus of Projections and the Angle between Two Subspaces

Xiu Hong SUN<sup>1</sup>, Yuan LI<sup>2,\*</sup>

1. School of Science, Xi'an University of Science and Technology, Shaanxi, 710054, P. R. China;
2. College of Mathematics and Information Science, Shaanxi Normal University, Shaanxi 710062, P. R. China

**Abstract** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  be nonzero subspaces of a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $P_{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $P_{\mathcal{N}}$  denote the orthogonal projections on  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$ , respectively. In this note, an exact representation of the angle and the minimum gap of  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  is obtained. In addition, we study relations between the angle, the minimum gap of two subspaces  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$ , and the reduced minimum modulus of  $(I - P_{\mathcal{N}})P_{\mathcal{M}}$ .

**Keywords** subspace; angle between two subspaces; reduced minimum modulus.

**Document code** A

**MR(2010) Subject Classification** 46C05; 46C07

**Chinese Library Classification** O177.2

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this note, a subspace is a closed linear manifold of a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  with inner product and norm denoted by  $\langle x, y \rangle$  and  $\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$ , respectively. If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$ , the orthogonal complement of  $\mathcal{M}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{M}^{\perp}$  and the orthogonal projection on  $\mathcal{M}$  is denoted by  $P_{\mathcal{M}}$ . In recent years, the variety of quantities involving two subspaces have been studied by a number of researchers in the wide literatures [2–11]. In this note, using the technique of block-operators, some results about minimum gap and the angle between two closed subspaces of a Hilbert space are improved which are obtained by Deng in [3] and other results concerning two subspaces of a Hilbert space are obtained. The angle [6, 7, 9] between  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  is an angle in  $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$  whose cosine is defined by

$$c(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = \sup\{|\langle x, y \rangle| : x \in \mathcal{M} \cap (\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N})^{\perp}, y \in \mathcal{N} \cap (\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N})^{\perp} \text{ and } \|x\| = \|y\| = 1\}. \quad (1)$$

By this formula  $c(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$  is defined only when  $\mathcal{M}$  is not a subspace of  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  is not a subspace of  $\mathcal{M}$ . If  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$  or  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ , we let  $c(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = 0$ . The minimal angle [7] between  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  is an angle in  $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$  whose cosine is defined by

$$c_0(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = \sup\{|\langle x, y \rangle| : x \in \mathcal{M}, y \in \mathcal{N} \text{ and } \|x\| = \|y\| = 1\}. \quad (2)$$

---

Received December 20, 2008; Accepted June 30, 2009

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.10871224) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Grant No. GK 200902049).

\* Corresponding author

E-mail address: liyuan0401@yahoo.com.cn (Y. LI)

Recall that the minimum gap  $\gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$  between two closed subspaces  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  of a Hilbert space has been defined [3, 9] by

$$\gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = \inf_{x \in \mathcal{M}, x \notin \mathcal{N}} \frac{\text{dist}(x, \mathcal{N})}{\text{dist}(x, \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N})}. \quad (3)$$

By this formula  $\gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$  is defined only when  $\mathcal{M}$  is not a subspace of  $\mathcal{N}$ . If  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ , we set  $\gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = 1$ . Obviously,  $\gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = 1$ , if  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ .

Before proving the main results in this paper, let us introduce some notations and terminology which are used in the later. The set of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . For an operator  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , the adjoint, the range, the null-space and the spectrum of  $A$  are denoted by  $A^*$ ,  $\mathcal{R}(A)$ ,  $\mathcal{N}(A)$  and  $\sigma(A)$ , respectively. An operator  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is said to be self-adjoint if  $A = A^*$ . An operator  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is said to be positive if  $(Ax, x) \geq 0$  for  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . If  $A$  is a positive operator, the unique square root of  $A$  is denoted by  $A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . An operator  $A$  is said to be a contraction (strict contraction) if  $\|A\| \leq 1$  ( $\|A\| < 1$ ). The reduced minimum modulus  $\gamma(A)$  of  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  (see [1, 9]) is defined by

$$\gamma(A) = \begin{cases} \inf\{\|Ax\| : \text{dist}(x, \mathcal{N}(A)) = 1\}, & A \neq 0; \\ 0, & A = 0. \end{cases}$$

It is well known that for  $A \neq 0$ ,  $\mathcal{R}(A)$  is closed if and only if  $\gamma(A) > 0$ .

## 2. Main results

**Lemma 1** ([4, 8]) *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  be two closed subspaces of  $\mathcal{H}$ . If  $P_{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $P_{\mathcal{N}}$  denote the orthogonal projections on  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$ , respectively, then  $P_{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $P_{\mathcal{N}}$  have the operator matrices*

$$P_{\mathcal{M}} = I_1 \oplus I_2 \oplus 0I_3 \oplus 0I_4 \oplus I_5 \oplus 0I_6 \quad (4)$$

and

$$P_{\mathcal{N}} = I_1 \oplus 0I_2 \oplus I_3 \oplus 0I_4 \oplus \begin{pmatrix} Q & Q^{\frac{1}{2}}(I_5 - Q)^{\frac{1}{2}}D \\ D^*Q^{\frac{1}{2}}(I_5 - Q)^{\frac{1}{2}} & D^*(I_5 - Q)D \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

with respect to the space decomposition  $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^6 \mathcal{H}_i$ , respectively, where  $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N}^{\perp}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_3 = \mathcal{M}^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_4 = \mathcal{M}^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{N}^{\perp}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_5 = \mathcal{M} \ominus (\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2)$  and  $\mathcal{H}_6 = \mathcal{H} \ominus (\bigoplus_{j=1}^5 \mathcal{H}_j)$ ,  $Q$  is a positive contraction on  $\mathcal{H}_5$ , 0 and 1 are not eigenvalues of  $Q$ , and  $D$  is a unitary from  $\mathcal{H}_6$  onto  $\mathcal{H}_5$ .  $I_i$  is the identity on  $\mathcal{H}_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 5$ .

For convenience, in the sequel, we always assume that  $P_{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $P_{\mathcal{N}}$  have the operator matrices (4) and (5), also the zero operator on  $\mathcal{H}_i$  is denoted by  $0I_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 6$ .

First, we give some necessary and sufficient conditions for  $\mathcal{H}_5 = \mathcal{H}_6 = \{0\}$ .

**Lemma 2** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  be two closed subspaces of  $\mathcal{H}$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $P_{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $P_{\mathcal{N}}$  commute:  $P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}} = P_{\mathcal{N}}P_{\mathcal{M}}$ ;
- (b)  $P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}} = P_{\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{M}}$ ;
- (c)  $P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}}$  is an orthogonal projections;
- (d)  $P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}}$  is an idempotent;

- (e)  $P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}}P_{\mathcal{M}} = P_{\mathcal{N}}P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}}$ ;
- (f)  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N}^{\perp} \oplus \mathcal{M}^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{N}^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{M}^{\perp}$ ;
- (g)  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N}^{\perp}$ .

**Proof** Since  $\|P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}}\| \leq 1$ , it is clear that (c) $\iff$ (d).

(c) $\implies$ (f). By Lemma 1,  $P_{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $P_{\mathcal{N}}$  have the operator matrices (4) and (5). It is easy to calculate that

$$P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}} = I_1 \oplus 0I_2 \oplus 0I_3 \oplus 0I_4 \oplus \begin{pmatrix} Q & Q^{\frac{1}{2}}(I_5 - Q)^{\frac{1}{2}}D \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$(P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}})^2 = I_1 \oplus 0I_2 \oplus 0I_3 \oplus 0I_4 \oplus \begin{pmatrix} Q^2 & Q^{\frac{3}{2}}(I_5 - Q)^{\frac{1}{2}}D \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, if  $\mathcal{H}_5 \neq \{0\}$ , then  $Q^2 = Q$ , so  $\sigma(Q) = \{0, 1\}$ , hence 0 and 1 are eigenvalues of  $Q$ . It is a contradiction to Lemma 1, so  $\mathcal{H}_5 = \{0\}$ , then  $\mathcal{H}_6 = \{0\}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N}^{\perp} \oplus \mathcal{M}^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{N}^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{M}^{\perp}$ .

(e) $\implies$ (f). By a similar calculation as above, we have  $P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}}P_{\mathcal{M}} = P_{\mathcal{N}}P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}}$  which implies  $\mathcal{H}_5 = \mathcal{H}_6 = \{0\}$ .

It is obvious that (f) $\implies$ (g)  $\implies$ (a) $\iff$ (b) $\iff$ (c).  $\square$

The following lemma was obtained in [1].

**Lemma 3** ([1]) *Let  $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ . Then*

$$\gamma(T) = \gamma(T^*) = (\inf\{\sigma(TT^*) \setminus \{0\}\})^{\frac{1}{2}} = (\inf\{\sigma(T^*T) \setminus \{0\}\})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

From above lemmas, we give the specific representation of  $\gamma(P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}})$ .

**Theorem 4** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  be nonzero subspaces of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then*

$$\gamma(P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}} \text{ is a nonzero orthogonal projection;} \\ 0, & \text{if } P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}} = 0; \\ (1 - \|I_5 - Q\|)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}} \text{ is not an orthogonal projection.} \end{cases}$$

**Proof** If  $P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}}$  is not an orthogonal projection, then  $\mathcal{H}_5 \neq \{0\}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_6 \neq \{0\}$ . It follows from Lemma 1 that

$$P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}}(P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}})^* = I_1 \oplus 0I_2 \oplus I_3 \oplus 0I_4 \oplus \begin{pmatrix} Q & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since 0 is not an eigenvalue of  $Q$ , 0 is not an isolated point of  $\sigma(Q)$ . Hence by Lemma 2,  $\gamma(P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}}) = (\inf\{\sigma(Q) \setminus \{0\}\})^{\frac{1}{2}} = (\inf\{\sigma(Q)\})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}}) &= \inf\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda \in \sigma(Q)\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= (1 - \sup\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda \in \sigma(I_5 - Q)\})^{\frac{1}{2}} = (1 - \|I_5 - Q\|)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

It is well-known that

$$\sup\{\sigma(T)\} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(T^n)\|^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

and if  $T$  is invertible, then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(T^k)^{\frac{1}{k}} = \inf\{\sigma(T)\}.$$

Similarly, we have following conclusion for  $T = P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}}$ .

**Theorem 5** *Let  $T \neq 0$  be product of two orthogonal projections. Then*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(T^k)^{\frac{1}{k}} = \inf\{\sigma(T) \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

**Proof** If  $T$  is an orthogonal projection, then  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(T^k)^{\frac{1}{k}} = 1$ , the conclusion is clear.

If  $T$  is not an orthogonal projection, then let  $T = P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}}$ , where  $P_{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $P_{\mathcal{N}}$  have the operator matrices (4) and (5). By Lemma 1,  $\mathcal{H}_5 \neq \{0\}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_6 \neq \{0\}$ . It is easy to calculate that

$$(P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}})^k = I_1 \oplus 0I_2 \oplus I_3 \oplus 0I_4 \oplus \begin{pmatrix} Q^k & Q^{\frac{2k-1}{2}}(I_5 - Q)^{\frac{1}{2}}D \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$(P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}})^k((P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}})^*)^k = I_1 \oplus 0I_2 \oplus I_3 \oplus 0I_4 \oplus \begin{pmatrix} Q^{2k-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma((P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}})^k) &= \inf\{\sigma(Q^{2k-1}) \setminus \{0\}\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= (\inf\{\sigma(Q)^{2k-1} \setminus \{0\}\})^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ (by Spectra Mapping Theorem)} \\ &= (\inf\{\sigma(Q)^{2k-1}\})^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ (since 0 is not an eigenvalues of } Q^{2k-1}\text{)} \\ &= (\inf\{\sigma(Q)\})^{\frac{2k-1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(T^k)^{\frac{1}{k}} = \inf\{\sigma(Q)\}$ . It is easy to see that

$$\sigma(Q) \subseteq \sigma(T) = \sigma(P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}}) \subseteq \{1, 0\} \cup \sigma(Q),$$

so  $\inf\{\sigma(T) \setminus \{0\}\} = \inf\{\sigma(Q) \setminus \{0\}\} = \inf\{\sigma(Q)\}$ . Therefore,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(T^k)^{\frac{1}{k}} = \inf\{\sigma(T) \setminus \{0\}\}. \quad \square$$

In Lemma 2.10 of [7], the following results were obtained. To make this work complete, we include a proof.

**Lemma 6** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  be nonzero subspaces of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then*

$$c_0(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = \|P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}}\| = \|P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}}P_{\mathcal{M}}\|^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and

$$c(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = \|P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}}P_{(\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N})^\perp}\|.$$

**Proof**

$$\begin{aligned} c_0(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) &= \sup\{|\langle x, y \rangle| : x \in \mathcal{M}, y \in \mathcal{N} \text{ and } \|x\| = \|y\| = 1\} \\ &= \sup\{|\langle P_{\mathcal{M}}x, P_{\mathcal{N}}y \rangle| : x, y \in \mathcal{H} \text{ and } \|x\| = \|y\| = 1\} \\ &= \sup\{|\langle x, P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}}y \rangle| : x, y \in \mathcal{H} \text{ and } \|x\| = \|y\| = 1\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \|P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}}\|. \\
c(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) &= c_0(\mathcal{M} \cap (\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N})^\perp, \mathcal{N} \cap (\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N})^\perp) \\
&= \|P_{\mathcal{M} \cap (\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N})^\perp} P_{\mathcal{N} \cap (\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N})^\perp}\| \\
&= \|P_{\mathcal{M}} P_{(\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N})^\perp} P_{\mathcal{N}} P_{(\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N})^\perp}\| \\
&= \|P_{\mathcal{M}} P_{\mathcal{N}} P_{(\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N})^\perp}\|. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

The following is an extension of Theorem 4 in [3].

**Corollary 7** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  be nonzero subspaces of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then*

$$c(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}} \text{ is an orthogonal projection;} \\ \|Q\|^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}} \text{ is not an orthogonal projection,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$c_0(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N} \neq \{0\} \text{ or } P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}} \text{ is a nonzero orthogonal projection;} \\ 0, & \text{if } P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}} = 0; \\ \|Q\|^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Proof** From Lemmas 6 and 2, it is easy to see that if  $P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}}$  is an orthogonal projection, then  $c(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = 0$ . If  $P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}}$  is not an orthogonal projection, then  $\mathcal{H}_5 \neq 0$  and  $\mathcal{H}_6 \neq 0$ . Note that  $P_{(\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N})^\perp} = 0I_1 \oplus I_2 \oplus I_3 \oplus I_4 \oplus I_5 \oplus I_6$ , so

$$c(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = \|P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}}P_{(\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N})^\perp}\| = \|P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}}P_{(\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N})^\perp}P_{\mathcal{N}}P_{\mathcal{M}}\|^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|Q\|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

From the relation of  $c(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$  and  $c_0(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ , the expression of  $c_0(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$  is clear.  $\square$

The following theorem is one of our main results.

**Theorem 8** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  be nonzero subspaces of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then*

- (a) *If  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ , then  $\gamma(P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp}P_{\mathcal{M}}) = c(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = 0$ .*
- (b) *If  $\mathcal{M} \not\subseteq \mathcal{N}$ , then  $\gamma^2(P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp}P_{\mathcal{M}}) + c^2(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = 1$ .*

**Proof** (a) is clear.

(b) Case 1. If  $P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}}$  is an orthogonal projection, then  $P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp}P_{\mathcal{M}}$  is a nonzero orthogonal projection, so  $\gamma(P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp}P_{\mathcal{M}}) = 1$  and  $c(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = 0$ , by Lemma 7.

Case 2. If  $P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}}$  is not an orthogonal projection, it follows from Lemma 2 that  $\mathcal{H}_5 \neq \{0\}$ , then  $\mathcal{H}_6 \neq \{0\}$ . By Lemma 1, it is easy to calculate that

$$P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp}P_{\mathcal{M}} = 0I_1 \oplus I_2 \oplus 0I_3 \oplus 0I_4 \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 1 - Q & 0 \\ D^*(I_5 - Q)^{\frac{1}{2}}Q^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp}P_{\mathcal{M}}(P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp}P_{\mathcal{M}})^* = 0I_1 \oplus I_2 \oplus 0I_3 \oplus 0I_4 \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 1 - Q & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus by Lemma 3,

$$\gamma(P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp}P_{\mathcal{M}}) = \inf\{\sigma(1 - Q) \setminus \{0\}\}^{\frac{1}{2}} = (1 - \sup\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda \in \sigma(Q)\})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= (1 - \|Q\|)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

It follows from Corollary 7 that  $\gamma^2(P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp}P_{\mathcal{M}}) + c^2(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = 1$ .  $\square$

Consequently, we obtain some results of [2] and [7].

**Corollary 9** ([7, Theorems 2.15, 2.16]) *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  be nonzero subspaces of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then*

- (a)  $c(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = c(\mathcal{M}^\perp, \mathcal{N}^\perp)$ ;
- (b) *If  $\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N} = \{0\}$  and  $\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{H}$ , then  $c_0(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = c_0(\mathcal{M}^\perp, \mathcal{N}^\perp)$ .*

**Proof** (a) Case 1. If  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ , then  $\mathcal{N}^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{M}^\perp$ , so by Lemma 7,  $c(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = c(\mathcal{M}^\perp, \mathcal{N}^\perp) = 0$ .

Case 2. If  $\mathcal{M} \not\subseteq \mathcal{N}$ , then  $\mathcal{N}^\perp \not\subseteq \mathcal{M}^\perp$ , by Theorem 8,

$$\gamma^2(P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp}P_{\mathcal{M}}) + c^2(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = 1 \text{ and } \gamma^2(P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp}) + c^2(\mathcal{N}^\perp, \mathcal{M}^\perp) = 1.$$

By Lemma 3,  $\gamma(P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp}P_{\mathcal{M}}) = \gamma(P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp})$ , so  $c(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = c(\mathcal{N}^\perp, \mathcal{M}^\perp) = c(\mathcal{M}^\perp, \mathcal{N}^\perp)$ .

(b) Since  $\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N} = \{0\}$ , it is obvious that  $c_0(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = c(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ . It follows from  $\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{H}$  that  $\mathcal{M}^\perp \cap \mathcal{N}^\perp = \{0\}$ , so  $c_0(\mathcal{M}^\perp, \mathcal{N}^\perp) = c(\mathcal{M}^\perp, \mathcal{N}^\perp)$ . According to (a),  $c_0(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = c_0(\mathcal{M}^\perp, \mathcal{N}^\perp)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 10** ([7, Theorem 2.13]) *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  be nonzero subspaces of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $c(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) < 1$ ;
- (b)  $\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{N}$  is closed;
- (c)  $\mathcal{M}^\perp + \mathcal{N}^\perp$  is closed.

**Proof** If  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ , then the conclusion is clear. In the following proof, we assume  $\mathcal{M} \not\subseteq \mathcal{N}$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N} + P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp}(\mathcal{M})$ , where  $P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp}(\mathcal{M}) := \{P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp}y : y \in \mathcal{M}\}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{N}$  is closed if and only if  $\mathcal{R}(P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp}(\mathcal{M}))$  is closed. It is well-known that  $\mathcal{R}(P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp}(\mathcal{M}))$  is closed if and only if  $\gamma(P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp}P_{\mathcal{M}}) > 0$ . Therefore, it follows from Theorem 8 that (a)  $\iff$  (b). From Corollary 9,  $c(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) < 1 \iff c(\mathcal{M}^\perp, \mathcal{N}^\perp) < 1 \iff \mathcal{M}^\perp + \mathcal{N}^\perp$  is closed.  $\square$

The following result is fundamental in [7]. A technical proof has been given in [7]. Here, we give a simple proof.

**Corollary 11** ([7, Lemma 2.14]) *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  be nonzero subspaces of  $\mathcal{H}$ . If  $c_0(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) < 1$ , then for any closed subspace  $X$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  which contains  $\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{N}$ , we have*

$$c_0(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \leq c_0(\mathcal{M}^\perp \cap X, \mathcal{N}^\perp \cap X).$$

**Proof** For convenience, we divide proof into three steps.

Step 1. If  $\mathcal{M}^\perp \cap \mathcal{N}^\perp \cap X \neq \{0\}$ , then  $c_0(\mathcal{M}^\perp \cap X, \mathcal{N}^\perp \cap X) = 1$ , so  $c_0(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \leq c_0(\mathcal{M}^\perp \cap X, \mathcal{N}^\perp \cap X)$ .

Step 2. Let  $X = \mathcal{H}$ . Since  $c_0(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) < 1$ , we have  $\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N} = \{0\}$  and  $\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{N}$  is closed. If  $\mathcal{M}^\perp \cap \mathcal{N}^\perp \neq \{0\}$ , then  $c_0(\mathcal{M}^\perp \cap X, \mathcal{N}^\perp \cap X) = c_0(\mathcal{M}^\perp, \mathcal{N}^\perp) = 1$ , so  $c_0(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \leq c_0(\mathcal{M}^\perp \cap X, \mathcal{N}^\perp \cap X)$ .

If  $\mathcal{M}^\perp \cap \mathcal{N}^\perp = \{0\}$ , then  $\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{H}$ , since  $\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{N}$  is closed. It follows from Corollary 9 that  $c_0(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = c_0(\mathcal{M}^\perp \cap X, \mathcal{N}^\perp \cap X)$ .

Step 3. If  $\mathcal{M}^\perp \cap \mathcal{N}^\perp \cap X = \{0\}$ , then  $(\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{N})^\perp \cap X = \{0\}$ . Therefore,  $X = \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{N}$ , since  $X \supseteq \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{N}$  is closed. It is easy to see that  $c_0(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = c_0(\mathcal{M} \cap X, \mathcal{N} \cap X)$ , then we may replace  $\mathcal{H}$  by  $X$ . It follows from Step 2 that  $c_0(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \leq c_0(\mathcal{M}^\perp \cap X, \mathcal{N}^\perp \cap X)$ .  $\square$

The following result has been proved in [2, 7]. As an application of Theorem 8, we give an alternative proof.

**Corollary 12** ([2, 7]) *If  $A$  and  $B$  are bounded operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  with closed ranges, then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $AB$  has closed range;
- (b)  $c(\mathcal{R}(B), \mathcal{N}(A)) < 1$ ;
- (c)  $\mathcal{R}(B) + \mathcal{N}(A)$  is closed.

**Proof** If  $AB = 0$ , then the conclusion is clear. In the following proof, assume that  $AB \neq 0$ . Since  $\mathcal{R}(A)$  is closed, we have

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \mathcal{N}(A)^\perp \oplus \mathcal{N}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(A) \oplus \mathcal{R}(A)^\perp,$$

where  $A_1$  is invertible from  $\mathcal{N}(A)^\perp$  onto  $\mathcal{R}(A)$ . It is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{R}(AB) = \mathcal{R}(A_1 P_{\mathcal{N}(A)^\perp} P_{\mathcal{R}(B)}).$$

Since  $A_1$  is invertible,  $\mathcal{R}(AB)$  is closed  $\iff \mathcal{R}(P_{\mathcal{N}(A)^\perp} P_{\mathcal{R}(B)})$  is closed  $\iff \gamma(P_{\mathcal{N}(A)^\perp} P_{\mathcal{R}(B)}) > 0$   $\iff c(\mathcal{R}(B), \mathcal{N}(A)) < 1$ , by Theorem 8.  $\square$

The following theorem is our another main result which is an extension of Theorem 8 of [3].

**Theorem 13** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  be nonzero subspaces of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then*

$$\gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } P_{\mathcal{M}} P_{\mathcal{N}} \text{ is an orthogonal projection;} \\ (1 - \|Q\|)^\frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } P_{\mathcal{M}} P_{\mathcal{N}} \text{ is not an orthogonal projection.} \end{cases}$$

*Epecially,  $\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{N}$  is closed if and only if  $\gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) > 0$ .*

**Proof** If  $P_{\mathcal{M}} P_{\mathcal{N}}$  is an orthogonal projection, then  $\mathcal{H}_5 = \mathcal{H}_6 = 0$ , so

$$P_{\mathcal{M}} = I_1 \oplus I_2 \oplus 0I_3 \oplus 0I_4, \text{ and } P_{\mathcal{N}} = I_1 \oplus 0I_2 \oplus I_3 \oplus 0I_4.$$

**Case 1** If  $\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N}^\perp = \{0\}$ , then  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ , by the definition of  $\gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ , we have  $\gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = 1$ .

**Case 2** If  $\mathcal{M}^\perp \cap \mathcal{N} = \{0\}$ , then  $\mathcal{M} \supseteq \mathcal{N}$ , so  $\gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = 1$ .

**Case 3** If  $\mathcal{M}^\perp \cap \mathcal{N} \neq \{0\}$  and  $\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N}^\perp \neq \{0\}$ , let  $x \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{N}$ . Then  $x = x_1 + x_2$ , where  $x_1 \in \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N}$  and  $0 \neq x_2 \in \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N}^\perp$ , since  $P_{\mathcal{M}} P_{\mathcal{N}}$  is an orthogonal projection. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(x, \mathcal{N}) &= \inf\{\|x - y\| : y \in \mathcal{N}\} = \inf\{\|x_2 - y\| : y \in \mathcal{N}\} = \|x_2\|, \\ \text{dist}(x, \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N}) &= \inf\{\|x - y\| : y \in \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N}\} = \inf\{\|x_2 - y\| : y \in \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N}\} = \|x_2\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = 1$ .

If  $P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathcal{N}}$  is not an orthogonal projection, then  $\mathcal{H}_5 \neq 0$  and  $\mathcal{H}_6 \neq 0$ . For a vector  $x \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{N}$ ,  $x$  has the decomposition  $x = x_1 + x_2 + x_5$  with  $x_i \in \mathcal{H}_i, i = 1, 2, 5$ , then  $\|x_2\|^2 + \|x_5\|^2 \neq 0$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) &= \inf_{x \in \mathcal{M}, x \notin \mathcal{N}} \frac{\text{dist}(x, \mathcal{N})}{\text{dist}(x, \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N})} = \inf_{x \in \mathcal{M}, x \notin \mathcal{N}} \sqrt{\frac{\|x_2\|^2 + \|(I_5 - Q)^{\frac{1}{2}}x_5\|^2}{\|x_2\|^2 + \|x_5\|^2}} \\ &= \inf_{x \in \mathcal{M}, x \notin \mathcal{N}} \frac{\|(I_5 - Q)^{\frac{1}{2}}x_5\|}{\|x_5\|} = \inf_{x_5 \in \mathcal{H}_5 \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|(I_5 - Q)^{\frac{1}{2}}x_5\|}{\|x_5\|} \\ &\quad (\text{note that } x_5 \in \mathcal{H}_5 \text{ implies } x_5 \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{N}) \\ &= \gamma((I_5 - Q)^{\frac{1}{2}}), \end{aligned}$$

since  $\mathcal{N}(I_5 - Q) = \{0\}$ . It follows from Lemma 3 that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma((I_5 - Q)^{\frac{1}{2}}) &= (\inf\{\sigma(I_5 - Q) \setminus \{0\}\})^{\frac{1}{2}} = (\inf\{\sigma(I_5 - Q)\})^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= (1 - \sup\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda \in \sigma(Q)\})^{\frac{1}{2}} = (1 - \|Q\|)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 10 and Corollary 7,  $\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{N}$  is closed  $\iff c(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) < 1 \iff \|Q\| < 1 \iff \gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) > 0$ .  $\square$

Combining Theorem 8 and Theorem 13, we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 14** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  be nonzero subspaces of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then*

- (a) *If  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ , then  $\gamma(P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp}P_{\mathcal{M}}) = 0$  and  $\gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = 1$ ;*
- (b) *If  $\mathcal{M} \not\subseteq \mathcal{N}$ , then  $\gamma(P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp}P_{\mathcal{M}}) = \gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ ;*
- (c)  *$\gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = \gamma(\mathcal{N}^\perp, \mathcal{M}^\perp)$ .*

## References

- [1] APOSTOL C. *The reduced minimum modulus* [J]. Michigan Math. J., 1985, **32**(3): 279–294.
- [2] BOULDIN R. *The product of operators with closed range* [J]. Tôhoku Math. J., 1973, **25**(2): 359–363.
- [3] DENG Chunyuan. *On the minimum gap and the angle between two subspaces* [J]. J. Comput. Appl. Math., 2007, **206**(2): 625–630.
- [4] DENG Chunyuan, DU Hongke. *Common complements of two subspaces and an answer to GroB’s question* [J]. Acta Math. Sinica (Chin. Ser.), 2006, **49**(5): 1099–1112.
- [5] DU Hongke, DENG Chunyuan. *A new characterization of gaps between two subspaces* [J]. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 2005, **133**(10): 3065–3070.
- [6] DUGGAL B P. *Subspace gaps and range-kernel orthogonality of an elementary operator* [J]. Linear Algebra Appl., 2004, **383**: 93–106.
- [7] DEUTSCH F. *The Angle between Subspaces of a Hilbert Space* [M]. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1995.
- [8] HALMOS P R. *Two subspaces* [J]. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 1969, **144**: 381–389.
- [9] KATO T. *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators* [M]. Springer, New York, 1966.
- [10] TANG Waishing. *Oblique projections, biorthogonal Riesz bases and multiwavelets in Hilbert spaces* [J]. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 2000, **128**(2): 463–473.
- [11] YAO Xiyuan. *A note on regular pairs of orthogonal projections* [J]. Adv. Math. (China), 2005, **34**(5): 631–636.