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#### Abstract

This paper is devoted to constructing an authentication code with arbitration using subspaces of vector spaces over finite fields. Moreover, if we choose the encoding rules of the transmitter and the decoding rules of the receiver according to a uniform probability distribution, then some parameters and the probabilities of successful attacks are computed.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\left(S, E_{T}, E_{R}, M\right)$ be four non-empty finite sets and $f: S \times E_{T} \rightarrow M$ and $g: M \times E_{R} \longrightarrow$ $S \cup\{$ reject $\}$ be two maps. The six-tuple $\left(S, E_{T}, E_{R}, M, f, g\right)$ is called an authentication code with arbitration if it satisfies the following conditions:
(i) $f$ and $g$ are surjective;
(ii) For any $m \in M$ and $e_{T} \in E_{T}$, if there exists $s$ in $S$ such that $f\left(s, e_{T}\right)=m$, then $s$ is uniquely determined by the given $m$ and $e_{T}$;
(iii) If $e_{T} \in E_{T}$ and $e_{R} \in E_{R}$ are mutually relative (i.e., $s \in S$ encoded by $e_{T}$ can be interpreted to itself by $e_{R}$, then $f\left(s, e_{T}\right)=m$ implies $g\left(m, e_{R}\right)=s$, where $m \in M$.

In an authentication code with arbitration $\left(S, E_{T}, E_{R}, M, f, g\right)$, if $f\left(s, e_{T}\right)=m$, then we say that $m$ is obtained by $e_{T}$ encoding $s$ and that $e_{T}$ is contained in $m$; and if $g\left(m, e_{R}\right)=s$, we say that $e_{R}$ is contained in $m$. The sets $S, M, E_{T}, E_{R}$ are called the set of source states, the set of messages, the set of encoding rules of transmitter and the set of decoding rules of receiver, respectively. The cardinals $|S|,|M|,\left|E_{T}\right|,\left|E_{R}\right|$ are called parameters of this code.

The concept of authentication codes with arbitration was introduced by Simmons [1] to provide protection against deceptions from both outsiders (opponent) and insiders (transmitter and receiver). Sometimes, an authentication code with arbitration is simply called an $A^{2}$-model and it includes a fourth person, called the arbiter. The arbiter is assumed to be honest and he

[^0]has access to all information, including $e_{T}$ and $e_{R}$, but does not take part in any communication activities on the channel. His only task is to resolve possible disputes between the transmitter and the receiver whenever such occur.

It is well known that every authentication code with arbitration has the following five types of cheating attacks:
$I$, impersonation by the opponent. The opponent sends a message to the receiver and succeeds if this message is accepted by the receiver as authentic.
$S$, substitution by the opponent. The opponent observes a message that is transmitted and replaces this message with another. The opponent is successful if this other message is accepted by the receiver as authentic.
$T$, impersonation by the transmitter. The transmitter sends a message to the receiver and then denies having sent it. The transmitter succeeds if this message is accepted by the receiver as authentic and if this message is not one of the messages that the transmitter could have generated due to his encoding rule.
$R_{0}$, impersonation by the receiver. The receiver claims to have received a message from the transmitter. The receiver succeeds if this message could have been generated by the transmitter due to his encoding rule.
$R_{1}$, substitution by the receiver. The receiver receives a message from the transmitter, but claims to have received another message. The receiver succeeds if this other message could have been generated by the transmitter due to his encoding rule.

For the above five possible deceptions, we denote the probability of success in each attack by $P_{I}, P_{S}, P_{T}, P_{R_{0}}, P_{R_{1}}$, respectively.

Recently, some authentication codes based on geometry of the classical groups $[2,3,5,7]$ and normal form of matrices [4] over finite fields were constructed. In this paper, the vector spaces over finite fields will be applied to construct an authentication code with arbitration and moreover, its parameters and the serval probabilities of successful attacks are computed.

## 2. Matrix representations of vector spaces over finite fields

In this section we will recall some results for matrix representations of vector spaces over finite fields.

Definition 2.1 Let $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ be a finite field and $V$ be an $n$-dimensional vector space over $\mathbf{F}_{q}$. Suppose $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ is a basis of $V, P$ is a subspace of $V, P=L\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{t}\right)$ and $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{t \times n}$, where

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
b_{1} \\
b_{2} \\
\vdots \\
b_{t}
\end{array}\right)=A\left(\begin{array}{c}
a_{1} \\
a_{2} \\
\vdots \\
a_{n}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then $A$ is called a matrix representation of $P$ on the basis $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$. Clearly, $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{t}$ is a basis of $P$ if and only if $\operatorname{rank}(A)=t$.

Theorem 2.2 ([5]) Let $A, B$ be $t \times n$ and $t_{1} \times n$ matrices respectively $\left(t \leq t_{1} \leq n\right)$. Then the space represented by $A$ is a subspace of the space represented by $B$ if and only if there exists a $t \times t_{1}$ matrix $Q$ such that $A=Q B$.

Corollary 2.3 ([5]) Let $A, B$ be $t \times n$ matrices. Then the spaces represented by $A$ and $B$ respectively are the same if and only if there exists an invertible matrix $Q$ in $G L_{t}\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right)$ such that $A=Q B$.

Corollary 2.4 ([5]) Let the matrix $A_{k \times n}$ represent $k$-dimensional vector space $P$ and $B_{k_{1} \times n}$ represent $k_{1}$-dimensional vector space $Q$. If $P \cap Q=\{0\}$, then the matrix $\binom{A}{B}$ represents the vector space $P \oplus Q$.

Theorem 2.5 Let $P$ be a $k$-dimensional vector space with a basis $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}$ and $P \subset N$, where $N$ is an $n$-dimensional vector space. Extend $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}$ to a basis $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}, a_{k+1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ of $N$, then $V$ is a complementary subspace of $P$ if and only if $V$ has the matrix representation of the form $\left(A_{(n-k) \times k}, I_{n-k}\right)$, where $A_{(n-k) \times k}$ is uniquely determined by the complementary subspace of $P$.

Proof Assume that $\left(A_{(n-k) \times k}, I_{n-k}\right)$ represents the vector space $V$, and

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
c_{1} \\
c_{2} \\
\vdots \\
c_{n-k}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{(n-k) \times k} & I_{n-k}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
a_{1} \\
a_{2} \\
\vdots \\
a_{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

then $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n-k}$ is a basis of $V$, and $\operatorname{dim} V=n-k$. If $x \in V \cap P$, then

$$
x=\sum_{i=1}^{n-k}\left(l_{i}\right)\left(c_{i}\right)=m_{1} a_{1}+\cdots+m_{k} a_{k}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-k}\left(l_{i}\right)\left(a_{k+i}\right) \in P .
$$

This means that

$$
l_{i}=0(1 \leq i \leq n-k), \quad V \cap P=\{0\}
$$

and $V$ is a complementary subspace of $P$.
If $V$ is a complementary subspace of $P$, then $V$ has the matrix representation of the form $\left(A_{(n-k) \times k}, I_{n-k}\right)$ (see the Proof of Lemma 3 in [5]).

Next we prove the uniqueness of $A_{(n-k) \times k}$. If $(A, I)$ and $\left(A_{1}, I\right)$ represent the same complementary subspace $V$ of $P$, then there exists an invertible matrix $D$ such that $(A, I)=D\left(A_{1}, I\right)=$ $\left(D A_{1}, D\right)$. Consequently, $D=I$ and $A=A_{1}$.

Theorem 2.6 ([6]) Let $N(t, n)$ be the number of $t$-dimensional subspace of $n$-dimensional vector space $V$ and $N(k, t ; n)$ be the number of $k$-dimensional subspace contained in $t$-dimensional vector space of $V$. Then $N(t, n)=\frac{\prod_{i=n-t+1}^{n}\left(q^{i}-1\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{t}\left(q^{i}-1\right)}$ and $N(k, t ; n)=N(k, t)$.

## 3. Construction of an authentication code with arbitration

Let $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ be a finite field with $q$ elements, where $q$ is a power of a prime and $n$ is a positive integer. Let $\mathbf{F}_{q}^{(n)}$ be the $n$-dimensional vector space over $\mathbf{F}_{q}$. Suppose $t$ and $t_{0}$ are two positive integers $\left(0<2 t<t_{0}<n-1\right), P_{1}$ is a fixed $\left(t_{0}+1\right)$-dimensional subspace of $\mathbf{F}_{q}^{(n)}$, and $P_{0}$, contained in $P_{1}$, is a fixed $t_{0}$-dimensional subspace. We define the following sets:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S & :=\left\{s \mid s \subset P_{0}, \operatorname{dim} s=t\right\} \\
M & :=\left\{m \mid m \subset \mathbf{F}_{q}^{(n)}, m \cap P_{0} \in S, \operatorname{dim} m=n-t_{0}+t\right\} \\
E_{T} & :=\left\{e_{T} \mid e_{T} \text { is a complementary subspace of } P_{0} \text { in } \mathbf{F}_{q}^{(n)}\right\} \\
E_{R} & :=\left\{e_{R} \mid e_{R} \text { is a complementary subspace of } P_{1} \text { in } \mathbf{F}_{q}^{(n)}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

For all $s \in S, e_{T} \in E_{T}$, we define the map

$$
f: S \times E_{T} \longrightarrow M, \quad f\left(s, e_{T}\right)=s+e_{T}
$$

where $m \in M, e_{R} \in E_{R}$, and the map $g: M \times E_{R} \longrightarrow S \cup\{$ reject $\}$ is defined by

$$
g\left(m, e_{R}\right)= \begin{cases}s, & e_{R} \subset m, s=m \cap P_{0} \\ \text { reject, } & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 3.1 The construction yields an authentication code with arbitration.
Proof (i) For all $m \in M$, suppose $s=m \cap P_{0}$ and $e_{T}$ is a complementary subspace of $s$ in $m$, then $m=s+e_{T}$. Since $\operatorname{dim} m=n-t_{0}+t, \operatorname{dim} e_{T}=n-t_{0}$, and $e_{T} \cap P_{0}=\{0\}, e_{T} \in E_{T}$, and $f$ is surjective.

For all $s \in S, e_{R} \in E_{R}$, there exists $e_{T}$ in $E_{T}$, such that $e_{R} \subset e_{T}$. Denote $m=s+e_{T}$, then $m \cap P_{0}=s$, and $\operatorname{dim} m=t+n-t_{0}$. Therefore, $m \in M$ and $g$ is surjective.
(ii) For all $m \in M$, if there exist $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$, such that $f\left(s_{1}, e_{T}\right)=f\left(s_{2}, e_{T}\right)=m$, then $m=s_{1}+e_{T}=s_{2}+e_{T}$ and $m \cap P_{0}=\left(s_{1}+e_{T}\right) \cap P_{0}=\left(s_{2}+e_{T}\right) \cap P_{0}$. Consequently, $s_{1}=s_{2}$.
(iii) If $e_{T}$ and $e_{R}$ are mutually relative, $m=f\left(s, e_{T}\right)$ and $e_{R} \subset m$, then by the definition, we have $g\left(m, e_{R}\right)=m \cap P_{0}=\left(s+e_{T}\right) \cap P_{0}=s$, hence $g\left(m, e_{R}\right)=s$.

## 4. Computation of parameters and $P_{I}, P_{S}, P_{T}, P_{R_{0}}, P_{R_{1}}$

Lemma 4.1 The cardinal number of the set $S$ is $|S|=\frac{\prod_{i=t_{0}-t+1}^{t_{0}}\left(q^{i}-1\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{t}\left(q^{i}-1\right)}$.
Proof It is easy to see $|S|=N\left(t, t_{0} ; n\right)=N\left(t, t_{0}\right)=\frac{\prod_{i=t_{0}-t+1}^{t_{0}}\left(q^{i}-1\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{t}\left(q^{i}-1\right)}$.
Lemma 4.2 The cardinal number of set $E_{T}$ and $E_{R}$ are $\left|E_{T}\right|=q^{t_{0}\left(n-t_{0}\right)}$ and $\left|E_{R}\right|=q^{\left(t_{0}+1\right)\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)}$.
Proof Choose $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{t_{0}}, a_{t_{0}+1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ as a basis of $\mathbf{F}_{q}^{(n)}$, where $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{t_{0}}$ is a basis of $P_{0}$ and $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{t_{0}}, a_{t_{0}+1}$ is a basis of $P_{1}$. Thus by Theorem 2.5 , for all $e_{T} \subset E_{T}$, it has the matrix representation of the form $\left(A_{\left(n-t_{0}\right) \times t_{0}}, I_{n-t_{0}}\right)$, whence $\left|E_{T}\right|=q^{t_{0}\left(n-t_{0}\right)}$. Similarly, $\left|E_{R}\right|=q^{\left(t_{0}+1\right)\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)}$.

Lemma 4.3 The cardinal number of the set $M$ is $|M|=q^{\left(n-t_{0}\right)\left(t_{0}-t\right)} \frac{\prod_{i=t_{0}-t+1}^{t_{0}}\left(q^{i}-1\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{t}\left(q^{i}-1\right)}$.
Proof Let $m \in M, s=m \cap P_{0}$ and $f\left(s, e_{T}\right)=m$. Then $e_{T}$ is a complementary subspace of $m \cap P_{0}$ in $m$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.5, we know that the number of $e_{T}$ in $m$ is $q^{t \times\left(n-t_{0}\right)}$, whence $|M|=\frac{\left|\psi \|\left|e_{T}\right|\right.}{q^{t \times\left(n-t_{0}\right)}}$.

Theorem 4.4 The parameters of the above construction are as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
|S|=\frac{\prod_{i=t_{0}-t+1}^{t_{0}}\left(q^{i}-1\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{t}\left(q^{i}-1\right)}, \quad|M|=q^{\left(n-t_{0}\right)\left(t_{0}-t\right)} \frac{\prod_{i=t_{0}-t+1}^{t_{0}}\left(q^{i}-1\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{t}\left(q^{i}-1\right)}, \\
\left|E_{T}\right|=q^{t_{0}\left(n-t_{0}\right)}, \quad\left|E_{R}\right|=q^{\left(t_{0}+1\right)\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma $4.5 e_{T}$ is related to $e_{R}$ if and only if $e_{R} \subset e_{T}$.
Proof If $e_{R} \subset e_{T}$, then for all $s \in S, e_{R} \subset s+e_{T}$, so $e_{T}$ is related to $e_{R}$.
If $e_{T}$ is related to $e_{R}$, then for all $s \in S, e_{R} \subset s+e_{T}$. Choose $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{t}$ as a basis of $s$ and $a_{t+1}, \ldots, a_{n-t_{0}+t}$ as a basis of $e_{T}$. Since $0<2 t<t_{0}$, there exist $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{t}$ in $P_{0}$ such that $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{t}, b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{t}$ are linear independence. Let $s^{\prime}=L\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{t}\right)$. Then $e_{R} \subset s^{\prime}+e_{T}$. Consequently, for all $x \in e_{R}$, we have

$$
x=\sum_{i=1}^{n-t_{0}+t} l_{i} a_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{t} m_{i} b_{i}+\sum_{i=t+1}^{n-t_{0}+t} m_{i} a_{i}
$$

Furthermore, $P_{0} \cap e_{T}=\{0\}$, therefore $l_{i}=m_{i}=0(i=1,2, \ldots, t)$, and $l_{j}=m_{j}(j=t+$ $1, \ldots, n-t_{0}+t$ ), whence $x \in e_{T}, e_{R} \subset e_{T}$.

Lemma 4.6 (i) Given an encoding rule $e_{T}$, then the number of $e_{R}$ related to it is $q^{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)}$; (ii) Given a decoding rule $e_{R}$, then the number of $e_{T}$ related to it is $q^{t_{0}}$.

Proof (i) Choose $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{t_{0}}$ as a basis of $P_{0}$, and extend it to a basis $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{t_{0}}, a_{t_{0}+1}$ of $P_{1}$. Given an encoding rule $e_{T}$ with a basis $\beta_{t_{0}+1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}$, then $P_{1}$ has the matrix representation of the form $\left(\begin{array}{cc}I & 0 \\ \lambda_{1} & \lambda_{2}\end{array}\right)$ on a basis $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{t_{0}}, \beta_{t_{0}+1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}$ of $\mathbf{F}_{q}^{(n)}$, and $\left(\begin{array}{cc}I & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{2}\end{array}\right)$ is also a matrix representation of $P_{1}$. Hence $a_{t_{0}+1} \in e_{T}$, extend it to a basis $a_{t_{0}+1}, a_{t_{0}+2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ of $e_{T}$. Consequently, $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{t_{0}+1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ is a basis of $\mathbf{F}_{q}^{(n)}$.

If $e_{T}$ is related to $e_{R}$, then $e_{R} \subset e_{T}$. Thus $e_{R}$ has the matrix representation of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right) t_{0}}, & A_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)\left(n-t_{0}\right)}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right) t_{0}}, & C_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right) \times 1}, & D_{n-t_{0}-1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Furthermore, $e_{R}$ has the matrix representation of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
B_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)\left(t_{0}+1\right)}, & I_{n-t_{0}-1}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
E_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right) t_{0}}, & F_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right) \times 1}, & I_{n-t_{0}-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

So there exists an invertible matrix $Q$, such that

$$
Q\left(\begin{array}{lll}
E_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right) t_{0}}, & F_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right) \times 1}, & I_{n-t_{0}-1}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right) t_{0}}, & C_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right) \times 1}, \\
D_{n-t_{0}-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

It is easy to see that $E=0, Q F=C, Q=D$. Obviously, $e_{R}$ has the matrix representation of the form $\left(0_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right) t_{0}}, \quad F_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right) \times 1}, \quad I_{n-t_{0}-1}\right)$. Since $F$ is uniquely determined by $e_{R}$, the
number of $e_{R}$ related to $e_{T}$ is $q^{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)}$.
(ii) Given a decoding rule $e_{R}$ with a basis $a_{t_{0}+2}, \ldots, a_{n}$. Choose $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{t_{0}}, a_{t_{0}+1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ as a basis of $\mathbf{F}_{q}^{(n)}$, where $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{t_{0}}$ is a basis of $P_{0}$ and $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{t_{0}}, a_{t_{0}+1}$ is a basis of $P_{1}$. Then $e_{R}$ has the matrix representation of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)\left(t_{0}+1\right)}, & I_{n-t_{0}-1}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right) t_{0}}, & 0_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right) \times 1}, & I_{n-t_{0}-1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Since $e_{T}$ has the matrix representation of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{\left(n-t_{0}\right) t_{0}} & I_{n-t_{0}}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
B_{1 \times t_{0}} & 1 & 0 \\
C_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right) t_{0}} & 0 & I_{n-t_{0}-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and $e_{R} \subset e_{T}$, there exists a matrix $\left(\begin{array}{cc}Q_{1} & Q_{2}\end{array}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right) t_{0}} & 0_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right) \times 1} & I_{n-t_{0}-1}
\end{array}\right) & =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
Q_{1} & Q_{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
B_{1 \times t_{0}} & 1 & 0 \\
C_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right) t_{0}} & 0 & I_{n-t_{0}-1}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{lll}
Q_{1} B+Q_{2} C & Q_{1} & Q_{2}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is not difficult to see that $Q_{1}=0, Q_{2}=I, C=0$. Hence $e_{T}$ has the matrix representation of the form $\left(\begin{array}{ccc}B_{1 \times t_{0}} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{n-t_{0}-1}\end{array}\right)$. Since $B$ is uniquely determined by $e_{T}$, the number of $e_{T}$ related to $e_{R}$ is $q^{t_{0}}$.

Lemma 4.7 The probability of a successful impersonation attack by the opponent is $P_{I}=$ $\frac{1}{q^{\left(t_{0}-t\right)\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)}}$.

Proof For any $m \in M$, let $s=m \cap P_{0}$ and $m=s+e_{T}$. Choose $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{t_{0}}, a_{t_{0}+1}$ as a basis of $P_{1}$, where $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{t}$ is a basis of $s$ and $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{t_{0}}$ is a basis of $P_{0}$. Thus by Theorem 4.6 (i), $a_{t_{0}+1} \in e_{T}$ and $a_{t_{0}+1}, a_{t_{0}+2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ is a basis of $e_{T}$. Therefore, $m$ has the matrix representation of the form $\left(\begin{array}{ccc}I_{t+1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{n-t_{0}-1}\end{array}\right)$ on the basis

$$
a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t}, a_{t_{0}+1}, a_{t+1}, \ldots, a_{t_{0}}, a_{t_{0}+2}, \ldots, a_{n}
$$

of $\mathbf{F}_{q}^{(n)}$, whence $e_{R}$ has the matrix representation of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)\left(t_{0}+1\right)}, & I_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
B_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)(t+1)}, & C_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)\left(t_{0}-t\right)}, & I_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since $e_{R} \subset m$, there exists a matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ll}Q_{1} & Q_{2}\end{array}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
B_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)(t+1)} & C_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)\left(t_{0}-t\right)} & I_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)}
\end{array}\right) & =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
Q_{1} & Q_{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{t+1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & I_{n-t_{0}-1}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{lll}
Q_{1} & 0 & Q_{2}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $Q_{1}=B, Q_{2}=I_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)}, C=0$, and $e_{R}$ has the matrix representation of the form $\left(B_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)(t+1)}, \quad 0, \quad I_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)}\right)$. So the number of $e_{R}$ in $m$ is $q^{(t+1)\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)}$, and

$$
P_{I}=\max _{m \in M}\left\{\frac{\text { the number of } e_{R} \text { in } m}{\left|E_{R}\right|}\right\}=\frac{1}{q^{\left(t_{0}-t\right)\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)}}
$$

Lemma 4.8 The probability of a successful substitution attack by the opponent is $P_{S}=$ $\frac{1}{q^{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)}}$.

Proof Suppose $m, m^{\prime} \in M$ where $m \cap P_{0}=s, m^{\prime} \cap P_{0}=s^{\prime}$, and $s \neq s^{\prime}$. Choose $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t_{0}}, a_{t_{0}+1}$ as a basis of $P_{1}$, where $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{t}$ is a basis of $s$ and $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{t_{0}}$ is a basis of $P_{0}$. Thus by Theorem 4.7, $m$ has the matrix representation of the form $\left(\begin{array}{ccc}I_{t+1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{n-t_{0}-1}\end{array}\right)$ on a basis $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t}, a_{t_{0}+1}, a_{t+1}, \ldots, a_{t_{0}}, a_{t_{0}+2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ of $\mathbf{F}_{q}^{(n)}$. Since $e_{R} \subset m$, and also by Theorem 4.7, $e_{R}$ has the matrix representation of the form $\left(B_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)(t+1)}, 0, I_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)}\right)$. Since $e_{R} \subset$ $m^{\prime}$, extend $a_{t_{0}+2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ to a basis $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{t}, b_{t+1}, a_{t_{0}+2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ of $m^{\prime}$, and $m^{\prime}$ has the matrix representation of the form $\left(\begin{array}{cc}D_{(t+1)\left(t_{0}+1\right)} & D_{1} \\ 0 & I_{n-t_{0}-1}\end{array}\right)$, whence

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
D & 0 \\
0 & I_{n-t_{0}-1}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
E_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)(t+1)} & F_{t_{0}-t} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & I_{n-t_{0}-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

also represents $m^{\prime}$. Consequently, by $e_{R} \subset m^{\prime}$, there exists a matrix ( $\left.\begin{array}{cc}M_{1} & M_{2}\end{array}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
B_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)(t+1)} & 0 & I_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)}
\end{array}\right) & =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
M_{1} & M_{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
E_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)(t+1)} & F_{t_{0}-t} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & I_{n-t_{0}-1}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
M_{1} E & M_{1} F & M_{2}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $M_{1} D=\left(\begin{array}{cc}B, & 0\end{array}\right), M_{2}=I_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)}$, and the space represented by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
B, & 0_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)(n-t-1)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is a subspace of the space represented by $\left(\begin{array}{cc}D, & \left.0_{(t+1)\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)}\right) \text {, that is to say, it is the best way }\end{array}\right.$ for opponent to make $\operatorname{dim}\left(m \cap m^{\prime}\right)$ as much as possible. Since $s \neq s^{\prime}, \max \operatorname{dim}\left(s \cap s^{\prime}\right)=t-1$, and max $\operatorname{dim}\left(m \cap m^{\prime}\right)=n-t_{0}+t-1$, which means that one column of the first $t$ columns of $B$ is 0 , so the number of $e_{R}$ in $m$ and $m^{\prime}$ is at most $q^{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right) t}$, and

$$
P_{S}=\max _{m \in M}\left\{\frac{\max _{m^{\prime} \in M}\left\{\text { the number of } e_{R} \text { in } m \text { and } m^{\prime}\right\}}{\text { the number of } e_{R} \text { in } m}\right\}=\frac{1}{q^{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)}} .
$$

Lemma 4.9 The probability of a successful impersonation attack by the transmitter is $P_{T}=$ $\frac{1}{q^{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)}}$.

Proof Given an encoding rule $e_{T}$ and $m \in M$, where $m$ cannot be encoded by $e_{T}$. Assume that $m \cap P_{0}=s$ and choose $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{t_{0}}, a_{t_{0}+1}$ as a basis of $P_{1}$, where $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{t}$ is a basis of $s$ and $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{t_{0}}$ is a basis of $P_{0}$. Consequently, by Lemma 4.6 (i), $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{t_{0}+1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ is a basis of $\mathbf{F}_{q}^{(n)}$, and $e_{T}=L\left(a_{t_{0}+1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$, whence $e_{R}$ has the matrix representation of the form

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)\left(t_{0}\right)}, & F_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right) \times 1}, & I_{n-t_{0}-1}
\end{array}\right) \\
\quad=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right) t}, & 0_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)\left(t_{0}-t\right)}, & F_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right) \times 1},
\end{array} I_{n-t_{0}-1}\right.
\end{array}\right) . .
$$

Since $m=\left(m \cap P_{0}\right) \oplus e_{T}^{\prime}$, where $e_{T}^{\prime} \subset E_{T}, m$ has the matrix representation of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{t} & 0_{n-t} \\
A_{\left(n-t_{0}\right) t_{0}} & I_{n-t_{0}}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{t} & 0_{t_{0}-t} & 0_{n-t_{0}} \\
C_{1} & C_{2} & I_{n-t_{0}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{t} & 0_{t_{0}-t} & 0_{n-t_{0}} \\
0 & C_{2} & I_{n-t_{0}}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
I_{t} & 0 & 0 & 0_{n-t_{0}-1} \\
0 & A_{1} & 1 & 0 \\
0 & A_{2} & 0 & I_{n-t_{0}-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

also represents the vector space $m$. Since $\left(\begin{array}{ccc}I_{t} & 0_{t_{0}-t} & 0_{n-t_{0}} \\ 0 & 0 & I_{n-t_{0}}\end{array}\right)$ represents the space $\left(m \cap P_{0}\right)+e_{T}$, $C_{2}=\binom{A_{1}}{A_{2}} \neq 0$. Otherwise $m=\left(m \cap P_{0}\right)+e_{T}$, which is contradictory. Since $e_{R} \subset m$, there exists a matrix $\left(\begin{array}{lll}Q_{1} & Q_{2} & Q_{3}\end{array}\right)$ such that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right) t} & 0_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)\left(t_{0}-t\right)} & F_{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right) \times 1}
\end{array} I_{n-t_{0}-1}\right.
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Thus $Q_{1}=0, Q_{2}=F, Q_{3}=I, F A_{1}+A_{2}=0, A_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} F^{\mathrm{T}}=-A_{2}^{\mathrm{T}}$, and $A_{1} \neq 0$, otherwise $A_{2}=0$, $C_{2}=0$, which is contradictory. Since $A_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}$ is of the type $\left(t_{0}-t\right) \times 1$ and $F^{\mathrm{T}}$ is of the type $1 \times\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)$, every column of $F^{\mathrm{T}}$ is a solution of a non-homogeneous equations. Therefore, $F^{\mathrm{T}}$ has at most one choice and the number of $e_{R}$ in $m$ related to $e_{T}$ is at most 1 , and

$$
P_{T}=\max _{e_{T}}\left\{\frac{\max _{e_{T} \nsubseteq m}\left\{\text { the number of } e_{R} \text { in } m \text { related to } e_{T}\right\}}{\text { the number of } e_{R} \text { related to } e_{T}}\right\}=\frac{1}{q^{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)}}
$$

Lemma 4.10 The probability of a successful impersonation attack by the receiver is $P_{R_{0}}=\frac{1}{q^{t_{0}-t}}$.
Proof Given a decoding rule $e_{R}$ with a basis $a_{t_{0}+2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ and $m \in M$, where $e_{R} \subset m$ and $m \cap P_{0}=s$. Choose $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{t}$ as a basis of $s$, and extend it to a basis $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{t_{0}}$ of $P_{0}$. If we choose $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{t}, a_{t_{0}+1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ as a basis of $m$, then $m$ has the matrix representation of the form $\left(\begin{array}{ccc}I_{t} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{n-t_{0}}\end{array}\right)$ on a basis $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{t_{0}+1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ of $\mathbf{F}_{q}^{(n)}$. If $e_{T}$ related to $e_{R}$ is contained in $m$, then $e_{R} \subset e_{T} \subset m$, and $e_{T}$ has the matrix representation of the form $\left(\begin{array}{cc}B_{\left(n-t_{0}\right) t_{0}}, & I_{n-t_{0}}\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}C_{\left(n-t_{0}\right) t}, & D_{\left(n-t_{0}\right)\left(t_{0}-t\right)}, & I_{n-t_{0}}\end{array}\right)$. Therefore, referring to $e_{T} \subset m$, there exists a matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ll}Q_{1} & Q_{2}\end{array}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
C_{\left(n-t_{0}\right) t} & D_{\left(n-t_{0}\right)\left(t_{0}-t\right)} & I_{n-t_{0}}
\end{array}\right) & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
Q_{1} & Q_{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{t} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & I_{n-t_{0}}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
Q_{1} & 0 & Q_{2}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

whence $C=Q_{1}, D=0, Q_{2}=I_{n-t_{0}}$ and $e_{T}$ has the matrix representation of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
C_{\left(n-t_{0}\right) t}, & 0_{\left(n-t_{0}\right)\left(t_{0}-t\right)}, & I_{n-t_{0}}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 4.7 (ii), we know that $e_{T}$ has the matrix representation of the form $\left(\begin{array}{ccc}B_{1 \times t_{0}} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{n-t_{0}-1}\end{array}\right)$. Therefore $e_{T}$ has the matrix representation of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
E_{1 \times t} & 0_{1 \times\left(t_{0}-t\right)} & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & I_{n-t_{0}-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the number of $e_{T}$ in $m$ related to $e_{R}$ is $q^{t}$. Finally

$$
P_{R_{0}}=\max _{e_{R}}\left\{\frac{\max _{m}\left\{\text { the number of } e_{T} \text { in } m \text { related to } e_{R}\right\}}{\text { the number of } e_{T} \text { related to } e_{R}}\right\}=\frac{1}{q^{t_{0}-t}}
$$

Lemma 4.11 The probability of a successful substitution attack by the receiver is $P_{R_{1}}=\frac{1}{q}$.
Proof Given a decoding rule $e_{R}$ with a basis $a_{t_{0}+2}, \ldots, a_{n}$. Suppose $m$ and $m^{\prime}$ are two messages from different source states, $m \cap P_{0}=s, m^{\prime} \cap P_{0}=s^{\prime}$ and $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{t}$ is a basis of $s$. Extend it to a basis $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{t_{0}}$ of $P_{0}$. If we choose $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{t}, a_{t_{0}+1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ as a basis of $m$, then $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{t_{0}+1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ is a basis of $\mathbf{F}_{q}^{(n)}$. Since $e_{R} \subset e_{T} \subset m$, and also by Lemma 4.10., we know that $e_{T}$ has the matrix representation of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
B_{1 \times t} & 0_{1 \times\left(t_{0}-t\right)} & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & I_{n-t_{0}-1}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
E_{\left(n-t_{0}\right) \times t_{0}} & I_{n-t_{0}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Therefore, by $e_{T} \subset m$ and $e_{T} \subset m^{\prime}$, if $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{t}, a_{t_{0}+1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ is a basis of $m^{\prime}$, then $m^{\prime}$ has the matrix representation of the form $\left(\begin{array}{cc}A_{t \times t_{0}} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-t_{0}}\end{array}\right)$. Since $e_{T} \subset m^{\prime}$, there exists a matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ll}Q_{1} & Q_{2}\end{array}\right)$ such that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
E & I_{n-t_{0}}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
Q_{1} & Q_{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{t \times t_{0}} & 0 \\
0 & I_{n-t_{0}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Hence $E=Q_{1} A, Q_{2}=I_{n-t_{0}}$, and the space represented by ( $\left.E, 0_{n-t_{0}}\right)$ is a subspace of the
 for opponent to make $\operatorname{dim}\left(s \cap s^{\prime}\right)$ as much as possible. Consequently, from $s \neq s^{\prime}$, we have $\max \operatorname{dim}\left(s \cap s^{\prime}\right)=t-1$. That is to say, one column of the first $t$ columns of $B$ is 0 , therefore the number of $e_{T}$ in $m$ and $m^{\prime}$ related to $e_{R}$ is at most $q^{t-1}$, whence

$$
P_{R_{1}}=\max _{e_{R}, m}\left\{\frac{\max _{m^{\prime} \in M}\left\{\text { the number of } e_{T} \text { in } m \text { and } m^{\prime} \text { related to } e_{R}\right\}}{\text { the number of } e_{T} \text { in } m \text { related to } e_{R}}\right\}=\frac{1}{q}
$$

Theorem 4.12 The probabilities of successful attacks of the authentication code with arbitration gotten from the above construction are as follows:

$$
P_{I}=\frac{1}{q^{\left(t_{0}-t\right)\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)}}, \quad P_{S}=\frac{1}{q^{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)}}, P_{T}=\frac{1}{q^{\left(n-t_{0}-1\right)}}, P_{R_{0}}=\frac{1}{q^{t_{0}-t}}, P_{R_{1}}=\frac{1}{q} .
$$
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