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#### Abstract

In this paper, we prove the non-triviality of the product $h_{0} k_{o} \tilde{\delta}_{s+4} \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{s+6, t(s)}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)$ in the classical Adams spectral sequence, where $p \geq 11,0 \leq s<p-4, t(s)=(s+4) p^{3} q+(s+$ 3) $p^{2} q+(s+4) p q+(s+3) q+s$ with $q=2(p-1)$. The elementary method of proof is by explicit combinatorial analysis of the (modified) May spectral sequence.
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## 1. Introduction and statement of results

Let $A$ be the mod $p$ Steenrod algebra and $S$ be the sphere spectrum localized at the prime number $p$. To determine the stable homotopy groups of spheres $\pi_{*}(S)$ is one of the central problems in homotopy theory. The Adams spectral sequence $E_{2}^{s, t}=\mathrm{Ext}_{A}^{s, t}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right) \Longrightarrow \pi_{t-s}(S)$ has been an invaluable tool in studying the stable homotopy groups of spheres, where $Z$ denotes the integral and the $E_{2}^{s, t}$-term is the cohomology of $A$.

If a family of homotopy generators $x_{i}$ in $E_{2}^{s, *}$ converges nontrivially to the Adams spectral sequence, then we get a family of homotopy elements $f_{i}$ in $\pi_{*}(S)$ and we say that $f_{i}$ is represented by $x_{i} \in E_{2}^{s, *}$ and has filtration $s$ in the ASS. So far, not so many families of homotopy elements in $\pi_{*}(S)$ have been detected. Recently, Lin got a series of results and detected some new families in $\pi_{*}(S)$ (see $\left.[1-4]\right)$.

Throughout this paper, $p$ denotes an odd prime and $q=2(p-1)$.
The known results on $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{*, *}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)$ are as follows. $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{0, *}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)=Z_{p}$ by its definition from [5]. $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1, *}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)$ has $Z_{p}$-basis consisting of $a_{0} \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1,1}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right) . h_{i} \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1, p^{i} q}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)$ for all $i \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, *}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)$ has $Z_{p}$-basis consisting of $\alpha_{2}, a_{0}^{2}, a_{0} h_{i}(i>0), g_{i}(i \geqslant 0), k_{i}(i \geqslant 0)$, $b_{i}(i \geqslant 0)$, and $h_{i} h_{j}(j \geqslant i+2, i \geqslant 0)$ whose internal degrees are $2 q+1,2, p^{i} q+1, q\left(p^{i+1}+2 p^{i}\right)$, $q\left(2 p^{i+1}+p^{i}\right), p^{i+1} q$ and $q\left(p^{i}+p^{j}\right)$, respectively. In 1980, Aikawa [6] determined $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{3, *}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)$ by $\lambda$-algebra.

[^0]Let $M$ denote the Moore spectrum modulo the prime $p$ given by the cofibration

$$
S \xrightarrow{p} S \xrightarrow{i} M \xrightarrow{j} \Sigma S .
$$

Let $\alpha: \Sigma^{q} M \rightarrow M$ be the Adams map and $V(1)$ be its cofibre given by the cofibration

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma^{q} M \xrightarrow{\alpha} M \xrightarrow{i^{\prime}} V(1) \xrightarrow{j^{\prime}} \Sigma^{q+1} M . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\beta: \Sigma^{(p+1) q} V(1) \rightarrow V(1)$ be the $v_{2}$-map.
In 1998, Wang and Zheng [7] proved the following theorem.
Theorem 1.1 ([7]) For $p \geq 11$ and $4 \leq s<p$, there exists the fourth Greek letter family element $\tilde{\delta_{s}} \neq 0 \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{s, t_{1}(s)}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)$, where $t_{1}(s)=q\left[s p^{3}+(s-1) p^{2}+(s-2) p+(s-3)\right]+(s-4)$.

Note that we write $\tilde{\delta}_{s+4}$ for $\tilde{\alpha}_{s}^{(4)}$ which is described in [7].
In this note, our main result can be stated as follows.
Theorem 1.2 For $p \geq 11,0 \leq s<p-4$, then the product

$$
h_{0} k_{0} \tilde{\delta}_{s+4} \neq 0 \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{s+7, q\left[(s+4) p^{3}+(s+3) p^{2}+(s+4) p+(s+3)\right]+s, *}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)
$$

The method of proof is by explicit combinatorial analysis of the MSS.
The paper is arranged as follows. After recalling some knowledge on the MSS in Section 2, we give the proof of Theorem 1.2 in Section 3.

## 2. The May spectral sequence

From [8], there is a May Spectral Sequence (MSS) $\left\{E_{r}^{s, t, *}, d_{r}\right\}$ which converges to $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{s, t}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)$ with $E_{1}$-term

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}^{*, *, *}=E\left(h_{m, i} \mid m>0, i \geqslant 0\right) \otimes P\left(b_{m, i} \mid m>0, i \geqslant 0\right) \otimes P\left(a_{n} \mid n \geqslant 0\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E$ is the exterior algebra, $P$ is the polynomial algebra, and

$$
h_{m, i} \in E_{1}^{1,2\left(p^{m}-1\right) p^{i}, 2 m-1}, b_{m, i} \in E_{1}^{2,2\left(p^{m}-1\right) p^{i+1}, p(2 m-1)}, a_{n} \in E_{1}^{1,2 p^{n}-1,2 n+1} .
$$

The $r$-th May differential is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{r}: E_{r}^{s, t, u} \rightarrow E_{r}^{s+1, t, u-r} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $x \in E_{r}^{s, t, *}$ and $y \in E_{r}^{s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}, *}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{r}(x \cdot y)=d_{r}(x) \cdot y+(-1)^{s} x \cdot d_{r}(y) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

There exists a graded commutativity in the $E_{1}$-term of MSS:

$$
x \cdot y=(-1)^{(s+t)\left(s^{\prime}+t^{\prime}\right)} y \cdot x
$$

for $x, y=h_{m, i}, b_{m, i}$ or $a_{n}$. The first May differential $d_{1}$ is given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d_{1}\left(h_{i, j}\right)=\sum_{0<k<i} h_{i-k, k+j} h_{k, j},  \tag{6}\\
d_{1}\left(a_{i}\right)=\sum_{0 \leqslant k<i} h_{i-k, k} a_{k}, \\
d_{1}\left(b_{i, j}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

For each element $x \in E_{1}^{s, t, \mu}$, we define $\operatorname{dim} x=s, \operatorname{deg} x=t$. Then we have that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{dim} h_{i, j}=\operatorname{dim} a_{i}=1, \operatorname{dim} b_{i, j}=2  \tag{7}\\
\operatorname{deg} h_{i, j}=2\left(p^{i}-1\right) p^{j}=q\left(p^{i+j-1}+\cdots+p^{j}\right) \\
\operatorname{deg} b_{i, j}=2\left(p^{i}-1\right) p^{j+1}=q\left(p^{i+j}+\cdots+p^{j+1}\right) \\
\operatorname{deg} a_{i}=2 p^{i}-1=q\left(p^{i-1}+\cdots+1\right)+1 \\
\operatorname{deg} a_{0}=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $i \geqslant 1, j \geqslant 0$.
Note that by the knowledge on $p$-adic expression in number theory, we have that each integer $t \geqslant 0$ can be always expressed uniquely as

$$
t=q\left(c_{n} p^{n}+c_{n-1} p^{n-1}+\cdots+c_{1} p+c_{0}\right)+c_{-1}
$$

where $0 \leqslant c_{i}<p(0 \leqslant i<n), 0<c_{n}<p, 0 \leqslant c_{-1}<q$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Lemma 3.1 ([9]) Let $p \geq 11$ and $0 \leq s<p-4$. Then $\tilde{\delta}_{s+4}$ is represented by $a_{4}^{s} h_{4,0} h_{3,1} h_{2,2} h_{1,3}$ in the May spectral sequence.

Lemma 3.2 Let $p \geq 11$ and $0 \leq s<p-4$. Then the May $E_{1}$-term

$$
E_{1}^{s+6,(s+4) p^{3} q+(s+3) p^{2} q+(s+4) p q+(s+3) q+s, *}=0 .
$$

Proof Consider $h=x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{m} \in E_{1}^{s+6, t, *}$ in the MSS, where $x_{i}$ is one of $a_{k}, h_{l, j}$ or $b_{u, z}$, $0 \leq k \leq 4,0 \leq l+j \leq 4,0 \leq u+z \leq 4, l>0, j \geq 0, u>0, z \geq 0$. By (7) we can assume that $\operatorname{deg} x_{i}=q\left(c_{i, 3} p^{3}+c_{i, 2} p^{2}+c_{i, 1} p+c_{i, 0}\right)+e_{i}$, where $c_{i, j}=0$ or 1 if $x_{i}=a_{k_{i}}$ or $e_{i}=0$.

$$
\operatorname{dim} h=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{deg} x_{i}=s+6
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{deg} & =\sum_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{deg} x_{i}=q\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i, 3}\right) p^{3}+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i, 2}\right) p^{2}+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i, 1}\right) p+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i, 0}\right)\right]+\sum_{i=1}^{m} e_{i} \\
& =q\left[(s+4) p^{3}+(s+3) p^{2}+(s+4) p+(s+3)\right]+s \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

By virtue of $0 \leq s, s+3, s+4<p$ and the knowledge on the $p$-adic expression in number theory, we have from (8)

$$
\begin{cases}\sum_{i=1}^{m} e_{i}=s+\lambda_{-1} q, & \lambda_{-1} \geqslant 0  \tag{9}\\ \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i, 0}+\lambda_{-1}=s+3+\lambda_{0} p, & \lambda_{0} \geqslant 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i, 1}+\lambda_{0}=s+4+\lambda_{1} p, & \lambda_{1} \geqslant 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i, 2}+\lambda_{1}=s+3+\lambda_{2} p, & \lambda_{2} \geqslant 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i, 3}+\lambda_{2}=s+4+\lambda_{3} p, & \lambda_{3} \geqslant 0\end{cases}
$$

By the facts that $\operatorname{dim} h_{i, j}=\operatorname{dim} a_{i}=1$ and $\operatorname{dim} b_{i, j}=2$, we can have

$$
6 \leq m \leq s+6<p-4+6=p+2
$$

From $\operatorname{dim} h=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{dim} x_{i}=s+6$. Notice that $e_{i}=0$ or $1, c_{i, j}=0$ or 1 , and $m<p+2$. From (9), we have

$$
0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} e_{i}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i, j} \leq m<p+2
$$

It follows that the number sequence $\left(\lambda_{-1}, \lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)$ must be equal to the sequence $(0,0,0,0,0)$. Then (9) can turn into

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{i=1}^{m} e_{i}=s  \tag{10}\\
\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i, 0}=s+3 \\
\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i, 1}=s+4 \\
\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i, 2}=s+3 \\
\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i, 3}=s+4
\end{array}\right.
$$

By $c_{i, 3}=0$ or 1 , we can get $m \geq s+4$ from $\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i, 1}=s+4$. We also have $m \leq s+6$.
Thus $m$ can be equal to $s+4, s+5$ or $s+6$.
Since $\sum_{i=1}^{m} e_{i}=s, \operatorname{deg} h_{i, j} \equiv 0(\bmod q), i>0, j \geq 0$. Then we can assume that $h=$ $a_{0}^{x} a_{1}^{y} a_{2}^{z} a_{3}^{k} a_{4}^{l} h^{\prime}$ with $h^{\prime}=x_{s+1} x_{s+2} x_{s+3} \cdots x_{m} \in E_{1}^{6, t^{\prime}, *}$, where $0 \leq x, y, z, k, l \leq s, x+y+z+k+l=$ $s$.

From (10) we can get

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=s+1}^{m} e_{i}=0  \tag{11}\\
& \sum_{i=s+1}^{m} c_{i, 0}=s+3-y-z-k-l \\
& \sum_{i=s+1}^{m} c_{i, 1}=s+4-z-k-l \\
& \sum_{i=s+1}^{m} c_{i, 2}=s+3-k-l \\
& \sum_{i=s+1}^{m} c_{i, 3}=s+4-l
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $t^{\prime}=(s+4-l) p^{3} q+(s+3-k-l) p^{2} q+(s+4-z-k-l) p q+(s+3-y-z-k-l) q$.
Case $1 m=s+4$.
(11) can turn into

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{\substack{i=s+1 \\
s+4}}^{s+4} e_{i}=s,  \tag{12}\\
\sum_{i=s+1}^{s+1} c_{i, 0}=s+3-y-z-k-l \\
\sum_{i=s+1}^{s+4} c_{i, 1}=s+4-z-k-l \\
\sum_{i=s+1}^{s+4} c_{i, 2}=s+3-k-l \\
\sum_{i=s+1}^{s+4} c_{i, 3}=s+4-l
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $\sum_{i=s+1}^{s+4} c_{i, 3}=s+4-l$ in (11), we have that $l=s+4-\sum_{i=s+1}^{s+4} c_{i, 3} \geq s+4-4=s$. Note that $0 \leq l \leq s$. Thus $l=s$ and $x=y=z=k=0$. By (12), $h^{\prime}=x_{s+1} x_{s+2} x_{s+3} x_{s+4} \in$ $E_{1}^{6,4 p^{3}+3 p^{2}+4 p q+3 q, *}=0$. Thus in this case it is impossible for $h$ to exist.

Case $2 m=s+5$.
(11) can turn into

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{i=s+1}^{s+5} e_{i}=s  \tag{13}\\
\sum_{i=s+1}^{s+5} c_{i, 0}=s+3-y-z-k-l \\
\sum_{i=s+1}^{s+5} c_{i, 1}=s+4-z-k-l \\
\sum_{i=s+1}^{s+5} c_{i, 2}=s+3-k-l \\
\sum_{i=s+1}^{s+5} c_{i, 3}=s+4-l
\end{array}\right.
$$

Similarly, from $\sum_{i=s+1}^{s+5} c_{i, 3}=s+4-l$, we have that $l=s+4-\sum_{i=s+1}^{s+5} c_{i, 3} \geq s-1$. Thus there are five possibilities satisfying $0 \leq x, y, z, k, l \leq s$, and $x+y+z+k+l=s$. We list all the possibilities in the following table.

| The possiblility | $l$ | $k$ | $z$ | $y$ | $x$ | $E_{1}^{6, t^{\prime}, \star}$ | $h^{\prime}=x_{s+1} x_{s+2} x_{s+3} x_{s+4} x_{s+5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The 1st | $s-1$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $E_{1}^{6, q\left(5 p^{3}+3 p^{2}+4 p+3\right), *}=0$ | Nonexistence |
| The 2nd | $s-1$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $E_{1}^{6, q\left(5 p^{3}+4 p^{2}+4 p+3\right), *}=0$ | Nonexistence |
| The 3rd | $s-1$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $E_{1}^{6, q\left(5 p^{3}+4 p^{2}+5 p+3\right), *}=0$ | Nonexistence |
| The 4th | $s-1$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $E_{1}^{6, q\left(5 p^{3}+4 p^{2}+5 p+4\right), *}=0$ | Nonexistence |
| The 5th | $s$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $E_{1}^{6, q\left(4 p^{3}+3 p^{2}+4 p+3\right), *}=0$ | Nonexistence |

Table $1 m=s+5 h^{\prime}$ all the possible
From the above table, it follows that in this case $h$ cannot exist either.
Case $3 m=s+6$.

As in Case 2, one has $l=s+6-\sum_{i=s+1}^{s+6} c_{i, 3} \geq s-2$ from $\sum_{i=s+1}^{s+6} c_{i, 3}=s+4-l$ in (11). Thus $x+y+z+k+l=s$. We list all the possibilities in the following table.

| The possiblility | $l$ | $k$ | $z$ | $y$ | $x$ | $E_{1}^{6, t^{\prime}, \star}$ | $h^{\prime}=x_{s+1} x_{s+2} x_{s+3} x_{s+4} x_{s+5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The 1st | $s-2$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $E_{1}^{6, q\left(6 p^{3}+3 p^{2}+4 p+3\right), *}=0$ | Nonexistence |
| The 2nd | $s-2$ | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | $E_{1}^{6, q\left(6 p^{3}+5 p^{2}+4 p+3\right), *}=0$ | Nonexistence |
| The 3rd | $s-2$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | $E_{1}^{6, q\left(6 p^{3}+5 p^{2}+6 p+3\right), *}=0$ | Nonexistence |
| The 4th | $s-2$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | $E_{1}^{6, q\left(6 p^{3}+5 p^{2}+6 p+5\right), *}=0$ | Nonexistence |
| The 5th | $s-2$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $E_{1}^{6, q\left(6 p^{3}+4 p^{2}+4 p+3\right), *}=0$ | Nonexistence |
| The 6th | $s-2$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $E_{1}^{6, q\left(6 p^{3}+4 p^{2}+5 p+3\right), *}=0$ | Nonexistence |
| The 7th | $s-2$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $E_{1}^{6, q\left(6 p^{3}+4 p^{2}+5 p+4\right), *}=0$ | Nonexistence |
| The 8th | $s-2$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | $E_{1}^{6, q\left(6 p^{3}+5 p^{2}+5 p+3\right), *}=0$ | Nonexistence |
| The 9th | $s-2$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | $E_{1}^{6, q\left(6 p^{3}+5 p^{2}+5 p+4\right), *}=0$ | Nonexistence |
| The 10th | $s-2$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | $E_{1}^{6, q\left(6 p^{3}+5 p^{2}+6 p+4\right), *}=0$ | Nonexistence |
| The 11th | $s-1$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $E_{1}^{6, q\left(5 p^{3}+3 p^{2}+4 p+3\right), *}=0$ | Nonexistence |
| The 12th | $s-1$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $E_{1}^{6, q\left(5 p^{3}+4 p^{2}+4 p+3\right), *}=0$ | Nonexistence |
| The 13th | $s-1$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $E_{1}^{6, q\left(5 p^{3}+4 p^{2}+5 p+3\right), *}=0$ | Nonexistence |
| The 14th | $s-1$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $E_{1}^{6, q\left(5 p^{3}+4 p^{2}+5 p+4\right), *}=0$ | Nonexistence |
| The 15th | $s$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $E_{1}^{6, q\left(4 p^{3}+3 p^{2}+4 p+3\right), *}=0$ | Nonexistence |

Table $2 m=s+6 h^{\prime}$ all the possible

From the above table, it follows that in this case $h$ cannot exist either.
From Cases 1 and 2, the lemma follows.
Now we give the proof of Theorem 1.2.
Proof of Theorem 1.2 Since $h_{2,0} h_{1,1}, h_{1,0}$ and $a_{4}^{s} h_{4,0} h_{3,1} h_{2,2} h_{1,3} \in E_{1}^{*, *, *}$ are permanent cycles in the MSS and converge nontrivially to $k_{0}, h_{0}, \tilde{\delta}_{s+4} \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{*, *}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)$ for $n \geq 0$, we have

$$
h_{1,0} h_{2,0} h_{1,1} a_{4}^{s} h_{4,0} h_{3,1} h_{2,2} h_{1,3} \in E_{1}^{q\left[(s+4) p^{3}+(s+3) p^{2}+(s+4) p+(s+3)\right]+s, *}
$$

is an infinite cycle in the MSS and converges to $h_{0} k_{0} \tilde{\delta}_{s+4} \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{s+7, *}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)$.
From Lemma 3.2, we see that

$$
E_{1}^{s+6, q\left[(s+4) p^{3}+(s+3) p^{2}+(s+4) p+(s+3)\right]+s, *}=0,
$$

then for $r \geq 1$,

$$
E_{r}^{s+6, q\left[(s+4) p^{3}+(s+3) p^{2}+(s+4) p+(s+3)\right]+s, *}=0 .
$$

Thus the infinite cycle $h_{1,0} h_{2,0} h_{1,1} a_{3}^{s} h_{4,0} h_{3,1} h_{2,2} h_{1,3} \in E_{r}^{s+7, *, *}$ is not bounded. That is to say,

$$
h_{1,0} h_{2,0} h_{1,1} a_{3}^{s} h_{4,0} h_{3,1} h_{2,2} h_{1,3} \in E_{r}^{s+7, *, *}
$$

cannot be hit by any differential in the MSS. It follows that $h_{1,0} h_{2,0} h_{1,1} a_{3}^{s} h_{4,0} h_{3,1} h_{2,2} h_{1,3} \in$ $E_{r}^{s+7, *, *}$ is an infinite cycle in the May spectral sequence and converges nontrivially to $h_{0} k_{0} \tilde{\delta}_{s+4} \in$ $\mathrm{Ext}_{A}^{s+7, *}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)$. It follows that

$$
h_{0} k_{0} \tilde{\delta}_{s+4} \neq 0 \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{s+7, q\left[(s+4) p^{3}+(s+3) p^{2}+(s+4) p+(s+3)\right]+s, *}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)
$$

Theorem 1.2 is proved.
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