

The Structure of Quantum Group $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2, f))$

Bo HOU*, Zi Long ZHANG, Bing Ling CAI

*College of Mathematics and Information Science, Hebei Normal University,
Hebei 050016, P. R. China*

Abstract In this paper we construct a new quantum group $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2, f))$, which can be seen as a generalization of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2))$. A necessary and sufficient condition for the algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2, f))$ to be a super Hopf algebra is obtained and the center $Z(\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2, f)))$ is given.

Keywords super Hopf algebra; quantum Casimir element; Verma module.

Document code A

MR(2010) Subject Classification 16T20; 17B37; 81R50

Chinese Library Classification O153

1. Introduction

The Lie superalgebra $G = \mathfrak{osp}(1, 2n)$ is a special one among the contragredient classical Lie superalgebras. Kac [1, 2] studied the representations of the Lie superalgebra G and indicated that its finite dimensional representations are completely reducible, hence its representation theory is rather similar to that of a semisimple Lie algebra. The deformation theory of Lie superalgebras has a close relation to the quantum Yang–Baxter equation and finds applications in areas of supersymmetry, integrable system and knot theory. The canonical example is the quantized enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2n))$ of the Lie superalgebra G . Zou and Musson [3, 4] studied the integrable representations and crystal bases of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2n))$.

In this paper we construct and study a new quantum group $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2, f))$, which can be seen as a natural generalization of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2))$. This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we indicate that the algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2, f))$ is Noetherian and has no zero divisors, furthermore, the set $\{E^i F^j K^l\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}, l \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is its PBW basis. In Section 3 we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2, f))$ to be a super Hopf algebra. In Section 4 we construct the quantum Casimir element C_q of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2, f))$ and prove that it generates the center of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2, f))$ as a polynomial algebra.

Received April 14, 2009; Accepted May 4, 2010

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 10971049; 10971052) and the Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province (Grant Nos. A2008000135; A2009000253).

* Corresponding author

E-mail address: houbo1969@sina.com (B. HOU)

2. Quantum group $\mathcal{U}_q(\text{osp}(1, 2, f))$

Throughout the paper we suppose that k is the complex field and $q \in k^* = k \setminus \{0\}$ is not a root of the unit.

Definition 2.1 Define $\mathcal{U}_q(\text{osp}(1, 2, f))$ as the algebra generated by the four variables E, F, K, K^{-1} with the relations

$$KK^{-1} = K^{-1}K = 1, \quad KEK^{-1} = qE, \quad KFK^{-1} = q^{-1}F, \quad EF + FE = f(K),$$

where $f(K) = \sum_{j=-N}^N a_j K^j \in k[K, K^{-1}]$ and $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Lemma 2.2 Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The following relations hold in $\mathcal{U}_q(\text{osp}(1, 2, f))$:

$$E^m K^n = q^{-mn} K^n E^m, \quad F^m K^n = q^{mn} K^n F^m.$$

For any Laurent polynomial $g(K) = \sum_{j=-N}^N a_j K^j \in k[K, K^{-1}]$, we first introduce the following polynomials defined by the change of coefficients. For any $s, m \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\begin{aligned} g^{+(s)}(K) &= \sum_{j=-N}^N q^{js} a_j K^j, & g^{-(s)}(K) &= \sum_{j=-N}^N q^{-js} a_j K^j, \\ g_{+(m)}(K) &= \sum_{j=-N}^N (m)_{-q^j} a_j K^j, & g_{-(m)}(K) &= \sum_{j=-N}^N (m)_{-q^{-j}} a_j K^j, \end{aligned}$$

where $(n)_q = 1 + q^2 + \cdots + q^{n-1} = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}$.

Lemma 2.3 1) For any $s \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$g(K)F^s = F^s g^{-(s)}(K), \quad F^s g(K) = g^{+(s)}(K)F^s.$$

2) For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$g_{+(m)}(K) = \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} (-1)^s g^{+(s)}(K), \quad g_{-(m)}(K) = \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} (-1)^s g^{-(s)}(K).$$

Proof 1) For any $s \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$g(K)F^s = \sum_{j=-N}^N a_j K^j F^s = \sum_{j=-N}^N q^{-js} a_j F^s K^j = F^s g^{-(s)}(K).$$

Similarly, we can get $F^s g(K) = g^{+(s)}(K)F^s$.

2) For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} (-1)^s g^{+(s)}(K) &= \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=-N}^N (-1)^s q^{js} a_j K^j = \sum_{j=-N}^N \left(\sum_{s=0}^{m-1} (-q^j)^s \right) a_j K^j \\ &= \sum_{j=-N}^N (m)_{-q^j} a_j K^j. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can get $\sum_{s=0}^{m-1} (-1)^s g^{-(s)}(K) = g_{-(m)}(K)$. \square

Lemma 2.4 Let $m > 0$. The following relations hold in $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2, f))$:

$$EF^m - (-1)^m F^m E = (-1)^{m-1} F^{m-1} f_{-(m)}(K) = (-1)^{m-1} f_{+(m)}(K) F^{m-1}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$E^m F - (-1)^m F E^m = (-1)^{m-1} E^{m-1} f_{+(m)}(K) = (-1)^{m-1} f_{-(m)}(K) E^{m-1}. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof We only prove the equation (2.1) holds. Suppose $m > 0$ is odd, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} EF^m + F^m E &= EF^m + FEF^{m-1} + F^2EF^{m-2} + \dots + F^{m-1}EF + F^mE - \\ &\quad FEF^{m-1} - F^2EF^{m-2} - \dots - F^{m-1}EF \\ &= (EF + FE)F^{m-1} + F^2(EF + FE)F^{m-3} + \dots + F^{m-1}(EF + FE) - \\ &\quad F(EF + FE)F^{m-2} - \dots - F^{m-2}(EF + FE)F \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^i F^{m-1-i} (EF + FE) F^i = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^i F^{m-1-i} f(K) F^i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^i F^{m-1-i} F^i f^{-(i)}(K) = F^{m-1} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^i f^{-(i)}(K) \\ &= F^{m-1} f_{-(m)}(K). \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way, we have

$$\begin{aligned} EF^m + F^m E &= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^i F^i (EF + FE) F^{m-1-i} = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^i f^{+(i)}(K) F^{m-1} \\ &= f_{+(m)}(K) F^{m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $m > 0$ is even, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} EF^m - F^m E &= EF^m + FEF^{m-1} + F^2EF^{m-2} + \dots + F^{m-1}EF - \\ &\quad FEF^{m-1} - F^2EF^{m-2} - \dots - F^{m-1}EF - F^mE \\ &= (EF + FE)F^{m-1} + F^2(EF + FE)F^{m-3} + \dots + F^{m-2}(EF + FE)F - \\ &\quad F(EF + FE)F^{m-2} - \dots - F^{m-1}(EF + FE) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{i+1} F^{m-1-i} (EF + FE) F^i = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{i+1} F^{m-1-i} f(K) F^i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{i+1} F^{m-1-i} F^i f^{-(i)}(K) = F^{m-1} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{i+1} f^{-(i)}(K) \\ &= -F^{m-1} f_{-(m)}(K). \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way, we have

$$\begin{aligned} EF^m - F^m E &= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{i+1} F^i (EF + FE) F^{m-1-i} = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{i+1} f^{+(i)}(K) F^{m-1} \\ &= -f_{+(m)}(K) F^{m-1}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.5 The algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2, f))$ is Noetherian and has no zero divisors. The set $\{E^i F^j K^l\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}, l \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2, f))$.

Proof Define $A_0 = k[K, K^{-1}]$. We shall construct two Ore extensions $A_0 \subset A_1 \subset A_2$ such that A_2 is isomorphic to $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2, f))$. Firstly, it is clear that A_0 is Noetherian and has no zero divisors. The set $\{K^l\}_{l \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a basis of A_0 .

Secondly, consider the automorphism α_1 of A_0 determined by $\alpha_1(K) = qK$ and corresponding Ore extension $A_1 = A_0[F, \alpha_1, 0]$. Then A_1 is the algebra generated by F, K, K^{-1} and satisfies the relation $FK = \alpha_1(K)F = qKF$. Moreover, A_1 is Noetherian and has no zero divisors. The set $\{F^j K^l\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}, l \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a basis of A_1 .

Finally, we establish an Ore extension $A_2 = A_1[E, \alpha_2, \delta]$ by an automorphism of A_1 and an α_2 -derivation of A_1 δ . The automorphism α_2 is determined by

$$\alpha_2(F^j K^l) = (-1)^j q^{-l} F^j K^l.$$

Let us take it as given for a moment (in Lemma 2.6) that there exists an α_2 -derivation δ such that $\delta(F) = f(K)$ and $\delta(K) = 0$.

Then the following relations hold in A_2 :

$$EK = \alpha_2(K)E + \delta(K) = qKE,$$

$$EF = \alpha_2(F)E + \delta(F) = -FE + f(K).$$

Hence, one easily concludes that A_2 is isomorphic to $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2, f))$ and $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2, f))$ has the required properties. \square

To complete the proof of Proposition 2.5, it remains to prove the following technical lemma.

Lemma 2.6 *Set $\delta(K^l) = 0$ and $\delta(F^j K^l) = (-1)^{j-1} f_{+(j)}(K) F^{j-1} K^l = (EF^j - (-1)^j F^j E) K^l$ when $j > 0$. Then δ extends to an α_2 -derivation of A_1 .*

Proof We must check that for all $j, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $l, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\delta(F^j K^l \cdot F^m K^n) = \alpha_2(F^j K^l) \delta(F^m K^n) + \delta(F^j K^l) F^m K^n.$$

In fact, by Lemma 2.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_2(F^j K^l) \delta(F^m K^n) + \delta(F^j K^l) F^m K^n \\ &= (-1)^j q^{-l} F^j K^l (EF^m - (-1)^m F^m E) K^n + (EF^j - (-1)^j F^j E) K^l F^m K^n \\ &= (-1)^{j+m-1} q^{-l} F^j K^l f_{+(m)}(K) F^{m-1} K^n + (EF^j - (-1)^j F^j E) K^l F^m K^n \\ &= (-1)^{j+m-1} q^{-ml} F^j f_{+(m)}(K) F^{m-1} K^{l+n} + q^{-ml} (EF^j - (-1)^j F^j E) F^m K^{l+n} \\ &= q^{-ml} ((-1)^j F^j (EF^m - (-1)^m F^m E) + (EF^j - (-1)^j F^j E) F^m) K^{l+n} \\ &= q^{-ml} (EF^{j+m} - (-1)^{j+m} F^{j+m} E) K^{l+n} \\ &= q^{-ml} \delta(F^{j+m} K^{l+n}) = \delta(F^j K^l \cdot F^m K^n). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.7 *Let V be a $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2, f))$ -module and $\lambda \neq 0$ a scalar. An element $v \neq 0$ of V is a highest weight vector of weight λ if $K \cdot v = \lambda v$ and $E \cdot v = 0$. A $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2, f))$ -module is the highest weight module of weight λ if it is generated by the highest weight vector.*

Let us fix a scalar $\lambda \neq 0$ and consider an infinite dimensional vector space $V(\lambda)$ with denumerable basis $\{v_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. For $n \geq 0$, set

$$\begin{aligned} K \cdot v_n &= \lambda q^{-n} v_n, \quad K^{-1} \cdot v_n = \lambda^{-1} q^n v_n, \\ E \cdot v_{n+1} &= (-1)^n f_{-(n+1)}(\lambda) v_n, \quad E \cdot v_0 = 0, \quad F \cdot v_n = v_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.8 *The above relations define a $\mathcal{U}_q(\text{osp}(1, 2, f))$ -module structure on $V(\lambda)$. Moreover, $V(\lambda)$ is the highest weight module of weight λ with its generator v_0 . We shall call $V(\lambda)$ a Verma module of highest weight λ .*

Proof We only prove the relation $(EF + FE) \cdot v_n = f(K) \cdot v_n$ holds

$$\begin{aligned} (EF + FE) \cdot v_n &= E \cdot v_{n+1} + (-1)^{n-1} f_{-(n)}(\lambda) F \cdot v_{n-1} \\ &= (-1)^n f_{-(n+1)}(\lambda) v_n + (-1)^{n-1} f_{-(n)}(\lambda) v_n \\ &= (-1)^n (f_{-(n+1)}(\lambda) - f_{-(n)}(\lambda)) v_n = (-1)^n (-1)^n f^{-(n)}(\lambda) v_n \\ &= \left(\sum_j a_j \lambda^j q^{-jn} \right) v_n = f(K) \cdot v_n. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, v_0 is the highest weight vector of weight λ and generates $V(\lambda)$. \square

Remark 2.9 If λ satisfies the equation $f_{-(n)}(\lambda) = 0$ for some $n > 0$, then we have $E \cdot v_n = 0$ and $K \cdot v_n = \lambda q^{-n} v_n$. Thus the set $\{v_i\}_{i \geq n}$ spans a non-trivial submodule $L(\lambda)$ of $V(\lambda)$ which is isomorphic to $V(\lambda q^{-n})$.

3. The super Hopf algebra structure of $\mathcal{U}_q(\text{osp}(1, 2, f))$

In this section, we construct a super Hopf algebra structure in $\mathcal{U}_q(\text{osp}(1, 2, f))$. We first give the definition of super Hopf algebras.

Definition 3.1 ([7]) *A \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded super Hopf algebra is a direct sum $H = H_0 \oplus H_1$ of vector subspaces such that the following conditions hold:*

- 1) *H is an algebra such that $H_n H_m \subseteq H_{n+m}$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $1 \in H_0$;*
- 2) *H is a coalgebra such that $\Delta(H_n) \subseteq \oplus_{i+j=n} H_i \otimes H_j$, and $\varepsilon(H_1) = 0$;*
- 3) *$\Delta : H \rightarrow H \otimes H$, $\varepsilon : H \rightarrow k$ are algebra homomorphisms, where the product \bullet of $H \otimes H$ is defined by*

$$(x \otimes y_m) \bullet (x'_n \otimes y') = (-1)^{mn} x x'_n \otimes y_m y', \quad (3.1)$$

for $x, y' \in H, x'_n \in H_n, y_m \in H_m$;

- 4) *There exists a linear mapping S such that $\text{id} * S = S * \text{id} = \eta \varepsilon$, and $S(H_n) \subseteq H_n$.*

Here, $*$ is the convolution and η is the unit map of H .

Clearly, $\mathcal{U}_q(\text{osp}(1, 2, f))$ is \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded with the grading given by

$$\deg E = \deg F = 1, \quad \deg K = \deg K^{-1} = 0.$$

Lemma 3.2 *Assume that Δ is a morphism of algebras from $\mathcal{U}_q(\text{osp}(1, 2, f))$ to $\mathcal{U}_q(\text{osp}(1, 2, f)) \otimes \mathcal{U}_q(\text{osp}(1, 2, f))$ such that K and K^{-1} are group-like elements. If the Laurent polynomial $g(K) \in$*

$K[K, K^{-1}]$ is a group-like element, then $g(K) = K^m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof Suppose that $g(K) = \sum_{i=-N}^N b_i K_i$. By the assumption, we have

$$\Delta g(K) = \sum_{i=-N}^N \sum_{j=-N}^N b_i b_j K_i \otimes K^j.$$

If there exist $i \neq j$ such that $b_i b_j \neq 0$, then $\Delta g(K)$ is not a group-like element by Proposition 2.5. Therefore $g(K) = bK^m$ for some $b \in k$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is clear that $b^2 = b$, and so $b = 1$ or $b = 0$, but the last is impossible. \square

Proposition 3.3 Assume that Δ is a morphism of algebras from $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2, f))$ to $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2, f)) \otimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2, f))$ such that

$$\Delta(K) = K \otimes K, \quad \Delta(K^{-1}) = K^{-1} \otimes K^{-1},$$

$$\Delta(E) = K^s \otimes E + E \otimes K^t, \quad \Delta(F) = K^n \otimes F + F \otimes K^m.$$

Then we have $n = -t, m = -s$ and $f(K) = a(K^{m-n} - K^{n-m})$ for some $a \in k \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof Suppose $f(K) = \sum_{j=-N}^N a_j K^j$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta(E) \bullet \Delta(F) + \Delta(F) \bullet \Delta(E) \\ &= (K^s \otimes E + E \otimes K^t) \bullet (K^n \otimes F + F \otimes K^m) + (K^n \otimes F + F \otimes K^m) \bullet (K^s \otimes E + E \otimes K^t) \\ &= K^{s+n} \otimes EF + EK^n \otimes K^t F - K^s F \otimes EK^m + EF \otimes K^{t+m} + \\ & \quad K^{n+s} \otimes FE + FK^s \otimes K^m E - K^n E \otimes FK^t + FE \otimes K^{m+t} \\ &= K^{s+n} \otimes f(K) + (q^{-t} - q^n)EK^n \otimes FK^t + (q^m - q^{-s})FK^s \otimes EK^m + f(K) \otimes K^{t+m} + \\ &= \sum_{j=-N}^N a_j K^{s+n} \otimes K^j + (q^{-t} - q^n)EK^n \otimes FK^t + \\ & \quad (q^m - q^{-s})FK^s \otimes EK^m + \sum_{j=-N}^N a_j K^j \otimes K^{t+m}, \end{aligned}$$

and by Lemma 3.2, we have $\Delta(f(K)) = \sum_{j=-N}^N a_j K^j \otimes K^j$.

Since $\Delta(E) \bullet \Delta(F) + \Delta(F) \bullet \Delta(E) = \Delta(f(K))$, and the set $\{E^i F^j K^l\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}, l \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2, f))$, by Proposition 2.5, we have that $q^m - q^{-s} = 0$, $q^{-t} - q^n = 0$ and thus $n = -t$, $m = -s$. Moreover,

$$\sum_{j=-N}^N a_j K^{s+n} \otimes K^j + \sum_{j=-N}^N a_j K^j \otimes K^{t+m} = \sum_{j=-N}^N a_j K^j \otimes K^j. \quad (3.2)$$

From the equation (3.2), we have $a_j = 0$ when $j \neq n+s, m+t$. If $n+s = m+t$, then the equation (3.2) becomes

$$2a_{s+n} K^{s+n} \otimes K^{s+n} = a_{s+n} K^{s+n} \otimes K^{s+n},$$

hence, $a_{s+n} = 0$, which is impossible. If $n+s \neq m+t$, then the equation (3.2) becomes

$$a_{s+n} K^{s+n} \otimes K^{s+n} + a_{m+t} K^{s+n} \otimes K^{m+t} + a_{s+n} K^{s+n} \otimes K^{m+t} + a_{m+t} K^{m+t} \otimes K^{m+t}$$

$$= a_{s+n} K^{s+n} \otimes K^{s+n} + a_{m+t} K^{m+t} \otimes K^{m+t},$$

hence, $a_{s+n} + a_{m+t} = 0$. This is to say that $f(K) = a(K^{m-n} - K^{n-m})$ for some $a \in k$. \square

Proposition 3.4 Assume $f(K)$ is a non-zero Laurent polynomial in $k[K, K^{-1}]$. Then the algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2, f))$ is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded super Hopf algebra such that K, K^{-1} are group-like elements and E, F are skew primitives if and only if $f(K) = a(K^m - K^{-m})$ for some $0 \neq a \in k$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(K) &= K \otimes K, \quad \varepsilon(K) = 1, \quad S(K) = K^{-1}, \\ \Delta(K^{-1}) &= K^{-1} \otimes K^{-1}, \quad \varepsilon(K^{-1}) = 1, \quad S(K^{-1}) = K, \\ \Delta(E) &= E \otimes K^s + K^t \otimes E, \quad \varepsilon(E) = 0, \quad S(E) = -K^{-t} E K^{-s}, \\ \Delta(F) &= F \otimes K^{-t} + K^{-s} \otimes F, \quad \varepsilon(F) = 0, \quad S(F) = -K^s F K^t \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

hold for some $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $m = t - s$.

Proof The necessity is clear from Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 3.3. The sufficiency can be proved similarly to [5]. \square

Proposition 3.5 For all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(E^i F^j K^l) &= \sum_{r=0}^i \sum_{k=0}^j (-1)^{k(i-r)} q^{(i-j+k-r)(rs-kt)} \binom{i}{r}_{-q^{t-s}} \binom{j}{k}_{-q^{s-t}} \times \\ &\quad E^r F^k K^{(i-r)t-(j-k)s+l} \otimes E^{i-r} F^{j-k} K^{rs-kt+l}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof First observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(E^i F^j K^l) &= \Delta(E)^i \bullet \Delta(F)^j \bullet \Delta(K)^l \\ &= (E \otimes K^s + K^t \otimes E)^i \bullet (F \otimes K^{-t} + K^{-s} \otimes F)^j \bullet (K \otimes K)^l. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$(K^t \otimes E) \bullet (E \otimes K^s) = -q^{t-s} (E \otimes K^s) \bullet (K^t \otimes E),$$

and

$$(K^{-s} \otimes F) \bullet (F \otimes K^{-t}) = -q^{s-t} (F \otimes K^{-t}) \bullet (K^{-s} \otimes F).$$

Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(E)^i &= \sum_{r=0}^i \binom{i}{r}_{-q^{t-s}} (E \otimes K^s)^r \bullet (K^t \otimes E)^{i-r} \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^i q^{(i-r)rs} \binom{i}{r}_{-q^{t-s}} (E^r K^{(i-r)t} \otimes E^{i-r} K^{rs}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Delta(F)^j = \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k}_{-q^{s-t}} (F \otimes K^{-t})^k \bullet (K^{-s} \otimes F)^{j-k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^j q^{(j-k)kt} \binom{j}{k}_{-q^{s-t}} (F^k K^{-(j-k)s} \otimes F^{j-k} K^{-kt}).$$

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(E^i F^j K^l) &= (E \otimes K^s + K^t \otimes E)^i \bullet (F \otimes K^{-t} + K^{-s} \otimes F)^j \bullet (K \otimes K)^l \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^i \sum_{k=0}^j q^{(i-r)rs} q^{(j-k)kt} \binom{i}{r}_{-q^{t-s}} \binom{j}{k}_{-q^{s-t}} \times \\ &\quad (E^r K^{(i-r)t} \otimes E^{i-r} K^{rs}) \bullet (F^k K^{-(j-k)s} \otimes F^{j-k} K^{-kt}) \bullet (K^l \otimes K^l) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^i \sum_{k=0}^j q^{(i-r)rs+(j-k)kt} \binom{i}{r}_{-q^{t-s}} \binom{j}{k}_{-q^{s-t}} \times \\ &\quad (-1)^{k(i-r)} (E^r K^{(i-r)t} F^k K^{-(j-k)s} K^l \otimes E^{i-r} K^{rs} F^{j-k} K^{-kt+l}) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^i \sum_{k=0}^j (-1)^{k(i-r)} q^{(i-r)rs+(j-k)kt} \binom{i}{r}_{-q^{t-s}} \binom{j}{k}_{-q^{s-t}} \times \\ &\quad q^{-k(i-r)t} q^{-rs(j-k)} E^r F^k K^{(i-r)t} K^{-(j-k)s} K^l \otimes E^{i-r} F^{j-k} K^{rs-kt+l} \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^i \sum_{k=0}^j (-1)^{k(i-r)} q^{(i-j+k-r)(rs-kt)} \binom{i}{r}_{-q^{t-s}} \binom{j}{k}_{-q^{s-t}} \times \\ &\quad E^r F^k K^{(i-r)t-(j-k)s+l} \otimes E^{i-r} F^{j-k} K^{rs-kt+l}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

4. The center of $\mathcal{U}_q(\text{osp}(1, 2, f))$

Clearly, $\mathcal{U}_q(\text{osp}(1, 2, f))$ has also a \mathbb{Z} -graded structure with

$$\deg K^{\pm 1} = 0, \quad \deg E = 1, \quad \deg F = -1.$$

Thus we have $\mathcal{U}_q(\text{osp}(1, 2, f)) = \oplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{U}_m$, where $\mathcal{U}_m = \langle F^i K^l E^{i+m} | i \in \mathbb{N}, l \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$. In particular, $\mathcal{U}_0 = \langle F^i K^l E^i | i \in \mathbb{N}, l \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$. Set $\mathcal{U}^0 = k[K, K^{-1}]$, then any element x_0 in \mathcal{U}_0 has a unique form $x_0 = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} F^i h_i E^i$, here $h_i \in \mathcal{U}_0$.

Let $r \in k$. The map $\phi_r : \mathcal{U}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_0$ defined by $\phi_r(g(K)) = g(rK)$ is an algebra isomorphism, and the image of $g(K)$ is denoted by $\phi_r g$.

Denote the center of $\mathcal{U}_q(\text{osp}(1, 2, f))$ by $Z(\mathcal{U}_q(\text{osp}(1, 2, f)))$. Now, we construct an element $C_q \in Z(\mathcal{U}_q(\text{osp}(1, 2, f)))$, which will be called the quantum Casimir element of $\mathcal{U}_q(\text{osp}(1, 2, f))$, and discuss its properties.

Lemma 4.1 *The element of $Z(\mathcal{U}_q(\text{osp}(1, 2, f)))$ belongs to \mathcal{U}_0 .*

Proposition 4.2 *Let $x = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} F^i h_i E^i \in \mathcal{U}_0$. Then we have $x \in Z(\mathcal{U}_q(\text{osp}(1, 2, f)))$ if and only if*

$$h_i = (-1)^i f_{-(i+1)}(K) h_{i+1} + (-1)^i \phi_{q^{-1}} h_i \quad (4.1)$$

holds for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof On the one hand, by the equation (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 Ex &= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} EF^i h_i E^i = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} ((-1)^{i-1} F^{i-1} f_{-(i)}(K) + (-1)^i F^i E) h_i E^i \\
 &= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (-1)^i F^i f_{-(i+1)}(K) h_{i+1} E^{i+1} + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (-1)^i F^i E h_i E^i \\
 &= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (-1)^i F^i f_{-(i+1)}(K) h_{i+1} E^{i+1} + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (-1)^i F^i \phi_{q^{-1}} h_i E^{i+1} \\
 &= F^i \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} ((-1)^i f_{-(i+1)}(K) h_{i+1} + (-1)^i \phi_{q^{-1}} h_i) E^{i+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have $xE = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} F^i h_i E^{i+1}$. Thus $Ex = xE$ if and only if the equation (4.1) holds.

Similarly, we can get $Fx = xF$ if and only if the equation (4.1) holds, and $xK = Kx$ holds for any $x \in \mathcal{U}_0$. \square

From Propositions 2.5 and 4.2, we know that h_1, h_2, \dots are uniquely determined by h_0 and that $h_i = 0$ for all $i > 2$ when $h_2 \in k$. By the equation (4.1), we have

$$f(K)h_1 + \phi_{q^{-1}}h_0 = h_0, \quad (4.2)$$

$$(\phi_{q^{-1}}f(K))h_2 - f(K)h_2 = \phi_{q^{-1}}h_1 + h_1. \quad (4.3)$$

In the following section, we assume that $f(K) = a(K^m - K^{-m})$ for some $a \in k \setminus \{0\}$ and $m > 0$. Set $t = \frac{-1}{(q^{-m/2} + q^{m/2})^2}$ and assume $x = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} F^i h_i E^i \in Z(\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2, f)))$ with $h_i \in \mathcal{U}^0$. First, we consider the equation (4.3):

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi_{q^{-1}}h_1 + h_1 &= (\phi_{q^{-1}}f(K))h_2 - f(K)h_2 \\
 &= a(q^{-m}K^m - q^mK^{-m})h_2 - a(K^m - K^{-m})h_2 \\
 &= a((q^{-m} - 1)K^m - (q^m - 1)K^{-m})h_2 \\
 &= a((q^{-m} + 1)\frac{q^{-m} - 1}{q^{-m} + 1}K^m - (q^m + 1)\frac{q^m - 1}{q^m + 1}K^{-m})h_2 \\
 &= a(q^m - q^{-m})((q^{-m} + 1)\frac{q^{-m} - 1}{(q^{-m} + 1)(q^m - q^{-m})}K^m - (q^m + 1)\frac{q^m - 1}{(q^m + 1)(q^m - q^{-m})}K^{-m})h_2 \\
 &= a(q^m - q^{-m})((q^{-m} + 1)\frac{q^{-2m} - 1}{(q^{-m} + 1)^2(q^m - q^{-m})}K^m - (q^m + 1)\frac{q^{2m} - 1}{(q^m + 1)^2(q^m - q^{-m})}K^{-m})h_2 \\
 &= a(q^m - q^{-m})((q^{-m} + 1)\frac{-1}{(q^{-m} + 1)^2q^m}K^m - (q^m + 1)\frac{1}{(q^m + 1)^2q^{-m}}K^{-m})h_2 \\
 &= a(q^m - q^{-m})((q^{-m} + 1)\frac{-1}{(q^{-m/2} + q^{m/2})^2}K^m + (q^m + 1)\frac{-1}{(q^{m/2} + q^{-m/2})^2}K^{-m})h_2 \\
 &= a(q^m - q^{-m})((q^{-m} + 1)tK^m + (q^m + 1)tK^{-m})h_2 \\
 &= a(q^m - q^{-m})t((q^{-m}K^m + q^mK^{-m}) + (K^m + K^{-m}))h_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

So, when $h_2 \in k$, we have that $P = a(q^m - q^{-m})t(K^m + K^{-m})h_2$ is an h_1 in the equation (4.3). Then, we choose h_1 to be P and consider the equation (4.2):

$$h_0 - \phi_{q^{-1}}h_0 = a^2(q^m - q^{-m})t(K^m - K^{-m})(K^m + K^{-m})h_2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= a^2(q^m - q^{-m})t(K^{2m} - K^{-2m})h_2 \\
&= a^2t(q^m K^{2m} - q^m K^{-2m} - q^{-m} K^{2m} + q^{-m} K^{-2m})h_2 \\
&= a^2t((q^m K^{2m} + q^{-m} K^{-2m}) - (q^{-m} K^{2m} + q^m K^{-2m}))h_2.
\end{aligned}$$

So, when $h_2 \in k$, we have that $a^2t(q^m K^{2m} + q^{-m} K^{-2m})h_2$ is an h_0 in the equation (4.2). Choose $h_2 = 1$ and we get an element C_q in $Z(\mathcal{U}_q(\text{osp}(1, 2, f)))$,

$$C_q = F^2 E^2 + at(q^m - q^{-m})F(K^m + K^{-m})E + a^2t(q^m K^{2m} + q^{-m} K^{-2m}), \quad (4.4)$$

which is called quantum Casimir element.

Let π be a map from \mathcal{U}_0 to \mathcal{U}^0 defined by $\pi(\sum_i F^i h_i E^i) = h_0$. Then π is an algebra map and is called Harish-Chandra map. The element z in \mathcal{U}_0 can be written as $\pi(z) + \sum_{i>0} F^i h_i E^i$. By the equation (4.1) we can easily get the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3 $\pi|_Z$ is injective from $Z(\mathcal{U}_q(\text{osp}(1, 2, f)))$ to \mathcal{U}^0 .

For any $z \in \mathcal{U}_0$, note that $\pi(z)$ is a Laurent polynomial in K , we denote its value at λ by $\pi(z)(\lambda)$.

Lemma 4.4 Let V be the highest weight $\mathcal{U}_q(\text{osp}(1, 2, f))$ -module with highest weight λ . Then, for any central element z of $\mathcal{U}_q(\text{osp}(1, 2, f))$ and any $v \in V$, we have

$$z \cdot v = \pi(z)(\lambda)v.$$

Proof The proof is similar to that of Theorem 6.4.4 in [5]. \square

In order to determine the center of $\mathcal{U}_q(\text{osp}(1, 2, f))$, we set $p = \sqrt{q}$ and write

$$\overline{P}(\lambda) = P(p^{-1}\lambda) = (\phi_{p^{-1}}P)(\lambda),$$

for any Laurent polynomial $P(K)$ in $k[K, K^{-1}]$.

Lemma 4.5 For any element z in the center of $\mathcal{U}_q(\text{osp}(1, 2, f))$, we have

$$\overline{\pi(z)}(\xi\lambda) = \overline{\pi(z)}(\xi\lambda^{-1}), \quad \overline{\pi(z)}(\zeta\lambda) = \overline{\pi(z)}(\zeta\lambda^{-1})$$

for all $\lambda \in k$ and ξ with $\xi^{2m} = 1$ and ζ with $\zeta^{2m} = -1$.

Proof For ξ with $\xi^{2m} = 1$ and any odd integer $n > 0$. Consider the Verma module $V(\xi p^{n-1})$. By Lemma 2.8, we have $E \cdot v_n = f_{-(n)}(\xi p^{n-1})v_{n-1} = 0$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{-(n)}(\xi p^{n-1}) &= (n)_{-q^{-m}}(\xi p^{n-1})^m - (n)_{-q^m}(\xi p^{n-1})^{-m} \\
&= ((n)_{-q^{-m}}(\xi p^{n-1})^{2m} - (n)_{-q^m}(\xi p^{n-1})^{-m}) \\
&= ((n)_{-q^{-m}}((q^m)^{n-1}) - (n)_{-q^m}(\xi p^{n-1})^{-m}) \\
&= ((n)_{-q^{-m}}((-q^m)^{n-1}) - (n)_{-q^m}(\xi p^{n-1})^{-m}) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Remark 2.9 v_n is the highest weight vector of weight $\xi p^{n-1} q^{-n} = \xi p^{n-1} p^{-2n} = \xi p^{-n-1}$.

Then, we have $\pi(z)(\xi p^{n-1}) = \pi(z)(\xi p^{-n-1})$ by Lemma 4.4. In other words, we have

$$\overline{\pi(z)}(\xi p^n) = \overline{\pi(z)}(\xi p^{-n}),$$

as what we want because of the random of n .

For ζ with $\zeta^{2m} = -1$ and any even integer $n > 0$. Consider the Verma module $V(\zeta p^{n-1})$. Similarly, we can get $\overline{\pi(z)}(\zeta \lambda) = \overline{\pi(z)}(\zeta \lambda^{-1})$. \square

Lemma 4.6 Any Laurent polynomial of $k[K, K^{-1}]$ satisfying the relations $P(\xi \lambda) = P(\xi \lambda^{-1})$ and $P(\zeta \lambda) = P(\zeta \lambda^{-1})$ for all $\lambda \in k$ and ξ with $\xi^{2m} = 1$ and ζ with $\zeta^{2m} = -1$ is a polynomial in $K^{2m} + K^{-2m}$.

Proof It can be proved by induction. \square

Theorem 4.7 The center of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2, f))$ is a polynomial algebra generated by the Casimir element C_q . The restriction of the Harish-Chandra homomorphism π to $Z(\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2, f)))$ is an isomorphism onto the subalgebra of $k[K, K^{-1}]$ generated by $q^m K^{2m} + q^{-m} K^{-2m}$.

Proof We know that the restriction of π to the center is injective, and we are left with determining its image. By Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6 the latter is contained in the subalgebra of $k[K, K^{-1}]$ generated by $q^m K^{2m} + q^{-m} K^{-2m}$. In fact,

$$\overline{q^m K^{2m} + q^{-m} K^{-2m}} = q^m q^{-m} K^{2m} + q^{-m} q^m K^{-2m} = K^{2m} + K^{-2m}.$$

Consider the image of the Casimir element C_q defined by (4.4), we have

$$\pi(C_q) = a^2 t (q^m K^{2m} + q^{-m} K^{-2m}),$$

which implies that the image is the whole subalgebra and that C_q generates the center. The latter is a polynomial algebra because the powers of $q^m K^{2m} + q^{-m} K^{-2m}$ are linearly independent for obvious reasons of degree. \square

Acknowledgment The authors would like to thank the referees for their useful suggestions and comments.

References

- [1] KAC V G. *Lie superalgebras* [J]. Advances in Math., 1977, **26**(1): 8–96.
- [2] KAC V. *Representations of Classical Lie Superalgebras* [M]. Springer, Berlin, 1978.
- [3] MUSSON I M, ZOU Yiming. *Crystal bases for $U_q(\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2r))$* [J]. J. Algebra, 1998, **210**(2): 514–534.
- [4] ZOU Yiming. *Integrable representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2n))$* [J]. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 1998, **130**(1): 99–112.
- [5] KASSEL C. *Quantum Groups* [M]. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [6] MONTGOMERY S. *Hopf Algebras and Their Actions on Rings* [M]. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1993.
- [7] KLIMYK A, SCHMÜDGEN K. *Quantum Groups and Their Representation* [M]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.