# The Crossing Number of Two-Maps on Orientable Surfaces 

Yong Xiang WU ${ }^{1}$, Han REN ${ }^{2, *}$, Tu XU ${ }^{2}$<br>1. Department of Mathematics Education Resource Center, Ningbo Polytechnic, Zhejiang 315800, P. R. China;<br>2. Department of Mathematics, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, P. R. China


#### Abstract

In this paper, we discuss the crossing numbers of two one-vertex maps on orientable surfaces. By using a reductive method, we give the crossing number of two one-vertex maps with one face on an orientable surface and the crossing number of a one-vertex map with one face and a one-vertex map with two faces on an orientable surface. This provides a lower bound for the crossing number of two general maps on an orientable surface.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the connected multi-graphs. All the concepts and terms are standard and may be found in $[1-3]$. A surface, always denoted by $S$, is a compact 2 -manifold without boundary. An embedding of a graph $G$ in a surface $S$ is a continuous topological mapping (or drawing as some scholars named) $\Pi: G \mapsto S$ such that edges of $\Pi(G)$ have no crossing and each component of $S-\Pi(G)$ is an open disc called face (or region). In this case $G$ is called an embedded graph or a map. A $\theta$-map is a 2 -connected embedded graph with exactly one face. Suppose that $\Pi_{i}$ is an embedding of graph $G_{i}(i=1,2)$ on a surface $S$ and $D$ is a drawing of $G_{1}, G_{2}$ on $S$ such that
(1) $\left.D\right|_{G_{i}}=\Pi_{i}$ ) (i.e., $\Pi_{i}$ is the restriction of $D$ on $G_{i}(i=1,2)$ );
(2) $D\left(V\left(G_{1}\right)\right) \cap D\left(V\left(G_{2}\right)\right)=\emptyset$;
(3) $\forall e_{i} \in E\left(G_{i}(i=1,2) \Rightarrow\left|D\left(e_{1}\right) \cap D\left(e_{2}\right)\right| \leq 1\right.$.

Then $D$ is called a good drawing of $G_{1}, G_{2}$ on $S$. The number $C_{r D}\left(G_{1}, G_{2}\right)$ is used to denote the number of edge-crossings resulting from those of $D\left(E\left(G_{1}\right)\right) \cup D\left(E\left(G_{2}\right)\right)$. If $D$ is a good drawing of two graphs $G_{1}, G_{2}$ on a surface $S$ such that the crossing number $C_{r D}\left(G_{1}, G_{2}\right)$ is of minimum among all the possible good drawings of $G_{1}, G_{2}$ on $S$, then $D$ is defined as an optimal drawing of

[^0]$G_{1}, G_{2}$ on $S$ and the corresponding value of $C_{r D}\left(G_{1}, G_{2}\right)$ is the crossing number of two graphs (or maps) $G_{1}, G_{2}$ on $S$.

In order to handle the problems of crossing numbers on orientable surfaces easily, one has to introduce some results and concepts on Polato presentation of the surface topology. By the theory, we have known that deciding the crossing number problems on a series of nontrivial graphs is very difficult. In fact, it has been proved NP-hard for one to find the crossing numbers of a graph in planar drawings [4]. So it is also very difficult for us to find the crossing numbers of a pair of graphs on a certain surface. In this field, Negami [5] and Archdeacon [6] did some works on lower surfaces. But for general orientable surface, little is known. In this paper, we try to investigate the crossing number problem(s) of two $\theta$-maps.

Now we begin to introduce the polygonal presentation (i.e., the planar presentation) of a surface. In fact, our discussions follow from Liu's monograph [2]. By surface topology theory, a surface may also be obtained by identifying pairs of sides (always denoted by letters or words) of a polygon with even number of sides. Therefore, we may view a surface $S$ as a set $E$ of letters (or a string of letters) in cyclic order such that
(1) There are $n(\geq 1)$ distinct letters on $S$;
(2) Each letter appears exactly twice on $S$;
(3) Each occurrence of a letter with a power which is 1 (always omitted) or -1 distinguishes the two directions on $S$.

Let $\mathbf{S}$ be the set of all surfaces. If the two occurrences of each letter in a surface are with different powers, the surface is called orientable; otherwise, non-orientable. In a non-orientable surface, there is at least one letter whose two occurrences are with the same power. Let $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{Q}$ be the sets of all orientable and non-orientable surfaces, respectively. Then $\mathbf{S}=\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}$. Two surfaces are treated as the same if one can be obtained from another by reversing the cyclic order, permuting some letters and/or replacing a letter by its inverse. Let $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \ldots$ be sections of successive letters in linear order on $S \in \mathbf{S}$, or write $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \ldots \subseteq S$. Of course, whenever $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{A}$ becomes with cyclic order in its own right. They are also allowed to be the empty or that contains only an occurrence of a letter. Sometimes, $S$ may be a subset of $E$ without confusion. This implies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
S=A B C \Rightarrow S=B C A=C^{-1} B^{-1} A^{-1} \\
S=A a^{\alpha} B a^{\beta} C, b \in S \Rightarrow S=A b^{\alpha} B b^{\beta} C=A a^{-\alpha} B a^{-\beta} C
\end{array}\right.
$$

One may think of what a lower surface looks like. It is easily seen from the above operation that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
S_{0}=a a^{-1} \\
S_{p}=\prod_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant p} a_{i} b_{i} a_{i}^{-1} b_{i}^{-1}, p \geqslant 1 \\
N_{q}=\prod_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant p} c_{i} c_{i}^{-1}, q \geqslant 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

are all the possible surfaces which will be seen to be the simplest in every case [4]. Of course, $S_{p}(p \geq 0)$ are all orientable surfaces and $S_{0}$ is the sphere, $S_{1}$ the torus, $N_{1}$ the projective plane,
and $N_{2}$ the Klein bottle and so on. Instinctively, $S_{p}\left(N_{k}\right)$ is obtained by adding $p$ handles ( $k$ crosscaps) on the sphere $S_{0}$.

A polyhedron is defined to be a set of polygons denoted by $\Sigma=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$, on $s$ sets of letters $E$ such that each letter appears exactly twice without a proper subset of $\Sigma$ with the same property. Moreover, another polyhedron denoted by $\Sigma^{*}=\left(X_{1}^{*}, X_{2}^{*}, \ldots, X_{n}^{*}\right)$ can be defined on the same set E from $\Sigma$ in the following way:

$$
\forall x, y \in E, x^{-1} y \subseteq X \in \Sigma \Rightarrow x y \subseteq X^{*} \in \Sigma^{*}
$$

such that the product of the powers of the two occurrences of a letter in $\Sigma^{*}$ is the same as that in $\Sigma$. It is easy to see $\Sigma^{* *}=\Sigma$. Thus, $\Sigma^{*}$ is called a dual of $\Sigma$. Polygons in $\Sigma$ are called faces, the letters are edges and polygons in $\Sigma^{*}$ are vertices of $\Sigma$. For a polyhedron $\Sigma$, the number

$$
\chi(\Sigma)=|V(G)|-|E(G)|+|F|
$$

is said to be the Euler characteristic of $\Sigma$, where $|V(G)|,|E(G)|,|F|$ are the numbers of vertices, edges and faces of a graph $G$ on $\Sigma$, respectively.

## 2. The main result

In this section we only consider graphs on orientable surfaces. First, we have to do some preparations.

A cycle (curve) $C$ on $S_{g}$ is contractible if $S_{g}-C$ has one component that is homeomorphic to an open disc; otherwise $C$ is essential or non-contractible.

Claim 1 Suppose that $G$ is a $\theta$-map on $S_{g}$. Then $G$ has a spanning tree $T$ that every fundamental cycle of $T$ is non-contractible on $S_{g}$.

In fact, after a series of edges (in $G$ ) are contracted, the resulting subgraph of $G$ is a onevertex map with one face on $S_{g}$ whose loop edges are essential cycles. If splitting the vertex inversely, we will get a spanning tree $T$ of $G$, called an inner tree.

Reduction Lemma 1 Suppose that $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$ are two $\theta$-maps on $S=S_{g}$. Then there are two one-vertex maps $\theta_{1}^{\prime}, \theta_{2}^{\prime}$ with one face on $S$ such that
(1) $\theta_{i}^{\prime}$ is obtained by contracting a series of clean edges of $\theta_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 2$;
(2) $C_{r}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)=C_{r}\left(\theta_{1}^{\prime}, \theta_{2}^{\prime}\right)$.

Here we define that an edge is clean if there is no crossing on it.
Proof It is easy to see that if two $\theta$-maps $\theta_{1}^{\prime}, \theta_{2}^{\prime}$ ( each of which has exactly one-vertex, one face) satisfy (1)-(2) above, then $C_{r}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right) \leq C_{r}\left(\theta_{1}^{\prime}, \theta_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. On the other hand, suppose that $D$ is an optimal drawing of $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$ on $S$ such that $C_{r_{D}}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)=C_{r}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$.

Claim 2 If $\left|V\left(\theta_{1}\right)\right|>1$, then there is an edge of $\theta_{1}$ which is clean in an optimal drawing $D$ of $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$.

In fact, we consider the dual map $\theta_{1}^{*}$ of $\theta_{1}$. Then $\left|E\left(\theta_{1}\right)\right|=\left|E\left(\theta_{1}^{*}\right)\right|=\left|V\left(\theta_{1}\right)\right|+2 g-1 \geq 2 g+1$, where $g$ is genus of $S=S_{g}$. This shows that $\theta_{1}^{*}$ is a spanning subgraph of a one-vertex graph
with at least two faces and has no contractible edges. After deleting some edges $e_{1}^{*}, e_{2}^{*}, \ldots, e_{m}^{*}$ of $\theta_{1}^{*}$, we get a one-vertex map with one face on $S$. Consider the inner tree of $\theta_{2}$ as a single vertex which is corresponding to the vertex of $\theta_{1}^{*}-e_{1}^{*}-e_{2}^{*} \cdots-e_{m}^{*}$ and let the other $2 g$ edges be the copies of edges of $\theta_{1}^{*}-e_{1}^{*}-e_{2}^{*} \cdots-e_{m}^{*}$, we get a drawing $D^{\prime}$ of $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ such that $\left|C_{r_{D^{\prime}}}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)\right|<\left|E\left(\theta_{1}\right)\right|$. For $D$ is an optimal drawing, we conclude (2). Claim 2 is proved.

This procedure shows there are clean edges in $D$. Obviously, after contracting a clean edge of $\theta_{1}$, we can get an optimal drawing of the corresponding map pair keeping the same crossing number. Repeating this procedure until we get a good drawing $D^{\prime}$ of $\theta_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\theta_{2}^{\prime}$ such that $C_{r_{D}}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)=C_{r_{D^{\prime}}}\left(\theta_{1}^{\prime}, \theta_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. Thus, Reduction Lemma 1 is proved.

Example 1 The crossing number of two $\theta$ maps on $S_{1}$ is 2 .
Proof Let $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$ be a pair of $\theta$-maps on $S_{1}$. Then by Reduction Lemma 1 , we may further assume that both of $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$ are one-vertex maps with only one face in $S_{1}$. Cutting $S_{1}$ along the edges of $\theta_{1}$, then we get a polygonal representation of $S_{1}$ (as depicted in Figure 1), where the four sides are copies of edges of $\theta_{1}$. Now put $\theta_{2}$ into the region bounded by the four sides of $S_{1}$. This shows that $C_{r}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)=2$.


Figure 1 An optimal drawing of $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$ on $S_{1}$
(where the vertices are equal to the vertices of $\theta_{1}$, parallel sides are copies of $a$ and $b$.)
Theorem 1 The crossing number of two $\theta$-maps on $S_{n}$ is $2 n$.
Proof Let $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$ be a pair of $\theta$-maps on $S_{n}$. Then by Reduction Lemma 1 we may further suppose that both of them are one-vertex, one-face maps on $S_{n}$. We cut $S_{n}$ along the $2 n$ edges of $\theta_{1}$, say $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}, b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}$. Then we obtain a polygonal representation of $S_{n}$ with $4 n$ sides where each pair $a_{i}, a_{i}^{-1}\left(b_{i}, b_{i}^{-1}\right)$ of sides are copies of $a_{i}\left(b_{i}\right)$ of $\theta_{1}(1 \leq i \leq 2 n)$. Now put $\theta_{2}$ into the inner region of the polygon. Since $\theta_{2}$ is also a one-vertex, one-face map on $S_{n}$, its loops are all noncontractible cycles and hence each of them must destroy a genus of $S_{n}$. Therefore, $C_{r}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right) \geq 2 n$. Now the drawing $D$ shown in Figure 2 attains this bound $2 n . D$ is an optimal drawing of $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$ on $S_{n}$.

Remark Since every map on an orientale surface $S_{g}$ must contain a $\theta$-map (as its submap) and the crossing number of a map is never less than that of its submaps, Theorem 1 thus provides a lower bound for the crossing number of two general maps on a surface (i.e., for any two maps $G_{1}, G_{2}$ on $S_{g}$, their crossing number is at least $2 g$ ).


Figure 2 An optimal drawing of two one-vertex maps with one face on $S_{n}$
In the following, we shall discuss a more complicated situation which concerns the crossing number of a $\theta$-map and a one-vertex map with two faces.

Lemma 2 Suppose that $\theta$ is a one-vertex map with two faces on $S_{n}$. Then there are $2 n+1$ types of such maps, all of which has two face boundaries with the lengthes $k$ and $4 n-k+2(1 \leqslant$ $k \leqslant 2 n+1$ ), respectively.

Proof A one-vertex map $\theta$ with two faces is composed by a one-vertex map $\theta^{\prime}$ with exactly one face $W$ and an additional loop $e_{2}$. It is clear that $e_{2}$ connects two copies of the vertex of $\theta^{\prime}$ on the boundary of the $4 n$-gon (which is a plane representation of $S_{n}$ ) and thus divides the only face of $\theta^{\prime}$ into two. Though there are $\binom{4 n}{2}$ ways of doing so, there are $2 n+1$ types of distinct pair of regions whose lengths are, respectively, $k$ and $4 n-k+2$ for $k=1,2, \ldots, 2 n+1$.

Jordan Curve Theorem ([2,3]) A simple closed curve C on the plane divides the plane into two inner-disjoint connected regions with $C$ as their common boundary.

Theorem 2 The crossing number of a one-vertex map $\theta_{1}$ with one face and a one-vertex map $\theta_{2}$ with two faces on $S_{n}$ is

$$
C_{r}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)=2 n+k-1,1 \leqslant k \leqslant 2 n+1
$$

provided that the two face boundaries of $\theta_{2}$ are $k$ and $4 n-k+2$, respectively.
Proof It is easy to see that there is an edge $e_{2}$ of $\theta_{2}$ on the common boundary of its two faces such that $\theta_{2}-e_{2}$ is a one-vertex map with one face on $S_{n}$. Suppose the polygonal presentation of $S_{n}$ is

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} b_{i} a_{i}^{-1} b_{i}^{-1}
$$

where $a_{i}, b_{i}$ represent $2 n$ edges of $\theta_{2}-e_{2}$ and $a_{i}^{-1}$ and $b_{i}^{-1}$ are two copies of $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ with anti-orientation for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then the vertices of the $4 n$-polygon are just the copies of the only one vertex of $\theta_{2}$. Add $e_{2}$ back to the $4 n$-polygon and suppose that the two facial boundaries are, respectively, $W_{1}: C_{1} C_{2} \ldots C_{k} e_{2}$ and $W_{2}: e_{2} C_{k+1} C_{k+2} \ldots C_{4 n}$, where $k=4 m+r, 0 \leqslant r \leq 3$ and $W_{1}=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} b_{i} a_{i}^{-1} b_{i}^{-1}\right) C_{k-r+1}, \ldots, C_{k-1} C_{k} e_{2}$. If $m=0$, we define $\prod_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} b_{i} a_{i}^{-1} b_{i}^{-1}=\emptyset$.

Then

$$
C_{k-r+1} C_{k-r+2} \ldots C_{k}= \begin{cases}\emptyset, & r=0 \\ a_{m+1}, & r=1 \\ a_{m+1} b_{m+1}, & r=2 \\ a_{m+1} b_{m+1} a_{m+1}^{-1}, & r=3\end{cases}
$$

and $W_{2}=e_{2}, C_{k+1}, \ldots, C_{4 m+4}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} b_{i} a_{i}^{-1} b_{i}^{-1}\right)$. Hence,

$$
C_{k+1} C_{k+2} \ldots C_{4 m+4}= \begin{cases}a_{m+1} b_{m+1} a_{m+1}^{-1} b_{m+1}^{-1}, & r=0 \\ b_{m+1} a_{m+1}^{-1} b_{m+1}^{-1}, & r=1 \\ a_{m+1}^{-1} b_{m+1}^{-1}, & r=2 \\ b_{m+1}^{-1}, & r=3\end{cases}
$$

We may suppose that $W_{1}$ is a shorter boundary (i.e., $1 \leqslant k \leqslant 2 n$ ) without loss of generality. Then we have

Claim 3 Suppose that $D_{i}$ is a good drawing of $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$ on $S_{n}$ (according to that the vertex of $\theta_{1}$ is put into the inner region of $W_{i}(i=1,2)$, where $W_{1}, W_{2}$ are two faces of $\left.\theta_{2}\right)$. Then $C_{r_{D_{1}}}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right) \geq C_{r_{D_{2}}}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$.

In fact, since every edge of $\theta_{1}$ is a noncontractible loop on $S_{n}, \theta_{1}$ will cross the boundary of the $4 n$-polygon $2 n$ times. The Jordan Curve Theorem implies that it will also cross the edge $e_{2}$ exactly $k-1$ or $4 n-k+1$ times according to that the vertex of $\theta_{1}$ is put into $W_{1}$ or $W_{2}$. Now that $\left|W_{1}\right| \leq\left|W_{2}\right|$ implies that $C_{r_{D_{1}}}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right) \geq C_{r_{D_{2}}}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$

Next we will show that $D_{2}$ is an optimal drawing of graph pair $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$ on $S_{n}$.
Suppose that $D_{2}^{\prime}$ is a good drawing of $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$ on $S_{n}$ such that the only one vertex of $\theta_{1}$ is put into the region bounded by $W_{2}$ (of $\theta_{2}$ ). Then $\theta_{2}-e_{2}$ is a one-vertex map with one face on $S_{n}$. It is clear that $C_{r D_{2}^{\prime}}\left(\theta_{2}-e_{2}, \theta_{1}\right)=2 n$. If $W_{1}$ has length $k$, then edges of $\theta_{1}$ will cross $e_{2}$ exactly $k-1$ times by Jordan Curve Theorem. Therefore, $C_{r D^{\prime}}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)=2 n+k-1$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

In fact, after a series of edges (in $G$ ) are contracted, the resulting subgraph of $G$ is a onevertex map with one face on $S_{g}$ whose loop edges are essential cycles. If splitting the vertex inversely, we will get a spanning tree $T$ of $G$, called an inner tree.

## References

[1] BONDY J A, MURTY U S R. Graph Theory with Applications [M]. Macmillan, London, Elsevier, New York, 1979.
[2] LIU Yanpei. Embeddability in Graphs [M]. Kluwer, Boston, 1995.
[3] MOHAR B, THOMASSEN C. Graphs on Surfaces [M]. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, 2001.
[4] GAREY M R, JOHSON D S. Crossing number is NP-complete [J]. SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods, 1983, 4(3): 312-316.
[5] NEGAMI S. Crossing number of graph embedding pairs on closed surfaces [J]. J. Graph Theory, 2001, 36(3): 8-23.
[6] ARCHDEACON D, BONNINTON C P. Two maps on one surface [J]. J. Graph Theory, 2001, 36(4): $198-216$.


[^0]:    Received December 1, 2009; Accepted November 20, 2010
    Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10671073) and Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (Grant No. 07XD14011).

    * Corresponding author

    E-mail address: hren@math.ecnu.edu.cn (H. REN)

