

Young's Inequality for Positive Operators

Li FANG^{1,*}, Hong Ke DU²

1. Department of Mathematics, Northwest University, Shaanxi 710127, P. R. China;

2. College of Mathematics and Information Science, Shaanxi Normal University,
 Shaanxi 710062, P. R. China

Abstract The classical Young's inequality and its refinements are applied to positive operators on a Hilbert space at first. Based on the classical Poisson integral formula of relevant operators, some new inequalities on unitarily invariant norm of $A^{\frac{1}{p}}XB^{\frac{1}{q}} - A^{\frac{1}{q}}YB^{\frac{1}{p}}$ are obtained with effective calculation, where $A, B, X, Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $A, B \geq 0$ and $1 < p, q < \infty$ with the conjugate exponent $q = p/(p-1)$.

Keywords Young's inequality; positive operator.

Document code A

MR(2010) Subject Classification 47A63

Chinese Library Classification O177.1

1. Introduction

The classical Young inequality for two nonnegative scalars says that if $a, b \geq 0$ and $0 \leq v \leq 1$, then $a^v b^{1-v} \leq va + (1-v)b$ with equality if and only if $a = b$. If $v = \frac{1}{2}$, we obtain the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality $\sqrt{ab} \leq \frac{1}{2}(a+b)$. Recently, Kittaneh and Manasrah refined the Young's inequality in [1] and proved that if $a, b \geq 0$ and $0 \leq v \leq 1$, then

$$a^v b^{1-v} + r_0(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b}) \leq va + (1-v)b,$$

where $r_0 = \min\{v, 1-v\}$.

Young's inequality in operator algebras has been considered in [2] and references therein. Bhatia and Parthasarathy in [3] and Kosaki in [4] proved that if $A, B, X \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with that A and B are positive semi-definite and if $0 \leq v \leq 1$, then

$$\|A^v X B^{1-v}\|_2 \leq \|vAX + (1-v)XB\|_2. \quad (1)$$

It should be mentioned here that for $v \neq \frac{1}{2}$, the inequality (1) may not hold for other unitary invariant norms. On the other hand, Bhatia and Davis proved in [5] that if $A, B, X \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with A, B being positive semi-definite and if $0 \leq v \leq 1$, then

$$\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}XB^{\frac{1}{2}}\| \leq \left\| \frac{A^v X B^{1-v} + A^{1-v} X B^v}{2} \right\| \leq \left\| \frac{AX + XB}{2} \right\|$$

Received April 24, 2010; Accepted October 11, 2010

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 10871224; 11026134) and the Special Research Project of Educational Department of Shaanxi Province (Grant No. 09JK741).

* Corresponding author

E-mail address: fangli@nwnu.edu.cn (L. FANG)

is true for any unitary invariant norm $\|\cdot\|$. Moreover, a readable account on $A^{\frac{1}{p}}XB^{\frac{1}{q}} \pm A^{\frac{1}{q}}XB^{\frac{1}{p}}$ ($1 < p < \infty$ and $q = p/(p-1)$) and related inequalities can be found in [6].

The purpose of this article is firstly to improve Young's inequality for positive operator on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Secondly, by means of the classical Poisson integral formula, we obtain new estimations for unitary invariant norm of $A^{\frac{1}{p}}XB^{\frac{1}{q}} - A^{\frac{1}{q}}YB^{\frac{1}{p}}$ where $A, B, X, Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $A, B \geq 0$ and $1 < p, q < \infty$ with the conjugate exponent $q = p/(p-1)$.

2. Young's inequalities for positive operators

In this section we begin with the famous Hölder-McCarthy Inequality.

Lemma 2.1 (Hölder-McCarthy Inequality) *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $A \geq 0$. Then the following properties hold:*

- (i) $\langle A^r x, x \rangle \geq \langle Ax, x \rangle^r \|x\|^{2(1-r)}$ for $r > 1$ and any $x \in \mathcal{H}$.
- (ii) $\langle A^r x, x \rangle \leq \langle Ax, x \rangle^r \|x\|^{2(1-r)}$ for $0 \leq r \leq 1$ and any $x \in \mathcal{H}$.

Theorem 2.1 *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $A, B \geq 0$ and $0 \leq v \leq 1$. Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) *If A is invertible, then $A^{\frac{1}{2}}(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{1-v}A^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq vA + (1-v)B$.*
- (ii) *If B is invertible, then $B^{\frac{1}{2}}(B^{-\frac{1}{2}}AB^{-\frac{1}{2}})^vB^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq vA + (1-v)B$.*

Proof We only prove (i), the proof of (ii) is similar. For any vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (vA^2 + (1-v)B^2)x, x \rangle &= v\langle A^2x, x \rangle + (1-v)\langle B^2x, x \rangle \\ &\geq \langle A^2x, x \rangle^v \langle B^2x, x \rangle^{(1-v)} \quad (\text{Young's inequality}) \\ &= \langle (A^{-1}B^2A^{-1})Ax, Ax \rangle^{1-v} \|Ax\|^{2v} \quad (\text{since } A \text{ is invertible}) \\ &\geq \langle (A^{-1}B^2A^{-1})^v Ax, Ax \rangle = \langle A(A^{-1}B^2A^{-1})^v Ax, x \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $A(A^{-1}B^2A^{-1})^vA \leq vA^2 + (1-v)B^2$. Replacing A and B by $A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $B^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in above inequality respectively leads to the desired inequality. \square

Corollary 2.1 ([7]) *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $A, B \geq 0$ and $0 \leq v \leq 1$. If $AB = BA$, then $A^vB^{1-v} \leq vA + (1-v)B$.*

Corollary 2.2 *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $A, B \geq 0$ and $0 \leq v \leq 1$. Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) *If A is invertible, then $\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1-v}{2}}\| \leq \|vA + (1-v)B\|^{\frac{1}{2}}$.*
- (ii) *If B is invertible, then $\|B^{\frac{1}{2}}(B^{-\frac{1}{2}}AB^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{v}{2}}\| \leq \|vA + (1-v)B\|^{\frac{1}{2}}$.*

Theorem 2.2 *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $A, B \geq 0$ and $R(A^{\frac{1}{2}}B^{\frac{1}{2}}) = (A^{\frac{1}{2}}B^{\frac{1}{2}} + (A^{\frac{1}{2}}B^{\frac{1}{2}})^*)/2 \geq 0$. Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) *If A is invertible and $\frac{1}{2} \leq v \leq 1$, then*

$$A^{\frac{1}{2}}(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}(R(A^{\frac{1}{2}}B^{\frac{1}{2}}))A^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{2(1-v)}A^{\frac{1}{2}} + (1-v)(A^{\frac{1}{2}} - B^{\frac{1}{2}})^2 \leq vA + (1-v)B. \quad (2)$$

(ii) If B is invertible and $0 \leq v \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$B^{\frac{1}{2}}(B^{-\frac{1}{2}}(R(A^{\frac{1}{2}}B^{\frac{1}{2}}))B^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{2v}B^{\frac{1}{2}} + v(A^{\frac{1}{2}} - B^{\frac{1}{2}})^2 \leq vA + (1-v)B. \quad (3)$$

Proof When $v = \frac{1}{2}$, inequalities (2) and (3) become equalities and there is nothing to prove. In the following, we only prove (i), the proof of (ii) is similar. For any vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (vA^2 + (1-v)B^2 - (1-v)(A-B)^2)x, x \rangle \\ &= v\langle A^2x, x \rangle + (1-v)\langle B^2x, x \rangle - (1-v)(\langle A^2x, x \rangle + \langle B^2x, x \rangle - 2\langle R(AB)x, x \rangle) \\ &= (2v-1)\langle A^2x, x \rangle + 2(1-v)\langle R(AB)x, x \rangle \\ &\geq \langle A^2x, x \rangle^{(2v-1)} \langle R(AB)x, x \rangle^{2(1-v)} \quad (\text{Young's inequality}) \\ &= \langle ((A^{-1}R(AB)A^{-1})Ax, Ax)^{2(1-v)} \|Ax\|^{2(2v-1)} \quad (A \text{ is invertible}) \\ &\geq \langle ((A^{-1}R(AB)A^{-1})^{2(1-v)}Ax, Ax) = \langle A(A^{-1}R(AB)A^{-1})^{2(1-v)}Ax, x \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $A(A^{-1}R(AB)A^{-1})^{2(1-v)}A + (1-v)(A-B)^2 \leq vA^2 + (1-v)B^2$ when A is invertible and $\frac{1}{2} \leq v \leq 1$. Replacing A and B by $A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $B^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in above inequality respectively, we get the desired inequality. \square

Corollary 2.3 Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $A, B \geq 0$ and $AB = BA$. Then

$$A^v B^{1-v} + r_0(A^{\frac{1}{2}} - B^{\frac{1}{2}})^2 \leq vA + (1-v)B, \quad (4)$$

where $r_0 = \min\{v, 1-v\}$.

Proof If $v = \frac{1}{2}$, the inequality (4) becomes an equality.

Firstly, we assume that A, B are invertible positive operators and $v < \frac{1}{2}$. Then, by Theorem 2.2 we have that

$$A^v B^{1-v} + v(A^{\frac{1}{2}} - B^{\frac{1}{2}})^2 \leq vA + (1-v)B.$$

To prove the case of the general positive operators, we assume that $A_\epsilon = A + \epsilon I$ and $B_\epsilon = B + \epsilon I$ where ϵ is an arbitrary positive real number. Then A_ϵ and B_ϵ are invertible positive operators. And so by the above special case, we get

$$A_\epsilon^v B_\epsilon^{1-v} + r_0(A_\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} - B_\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}})^2 \leq vA_\epsilon + (1-v)B_\epsilon.$$

The desired inequality now follows by letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

If $1-v < \frac{1}{2}$, then the desired inequality is obtained by similar discussion.

Hence, $A^v B^{1-v} + r_0(A^{\frac{1}{2}} - B^{\frac{1}{2}})^2 \leq vA + (1-v)B$ where $r_0 = \min\{v, 1-v\}$. \square

As a direct consequence of Corollary 2.3, when $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $A, B \geq 0$ and $AB = BA$, we get

$$A^v B^{1-v} + A^{1-v} B^v \leq A^v B^{1-v} + A^{1-v} B^v + 2r_0(A^{\frac{1}{2}} - B^{\frac{1}{2}})^2 \leq A + B$$

and

$$\|A^v B^{1-v} + A^{1-v} B^v\| \leq \|A^v B^{1-v} + A^{1-v} B^v + 2r_0(A^{\frac{1}{2}} - B^{\frac{1}{2}})^2\| \leq \|A + B\|,$$

where $r_0 = \min\{v, 1-v\}$. However, what about the norm estimation of $A^{\frac{1}{p}} B^{\frac{1}{q}} \pm A^{\frac{1}{q}} B^{\frac{1}{p}}$, where $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $A, B \geq 0$ and $1 < p, q < \infty$ with the conjugate exponent $q = p/(p-1)$? We

consider this question in the following section.

3. Related norm inequality for operators

For $0 < \theta < 1$, we set $d\mu_\theta(t) = a_\theta(t)dt$ and $d\nu_\theta(t) = b_\theta(t)dt$ with

$$a_\theta(t) = \frac{\sin(\pi\theta)}{2(\cos h(\pi t) - \cos(\pi\theta))} \quad \text{and} \quad b_\theta(t) = \frac{\sin(\pi\theta)}{2(\cos h(\pi t) + \cos(\pi\theta))}.$$

For a bounded continuous function $f(z)$ on the strip $\Omega = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 \leq \text{Im } z \leq 1\}$ which is analytic in the interior, we have the well-known (Poisson) integral formula

$$f(i\theta) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t)d\mu_\theta(t) + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(i+t)d\nu_\theta(t)$$

(see [8] for example), and the total masses of the measures $d\mu_\theta(t)$, $d\nu_\theta(t)$ are $1-\theta$, θ , respectively (see [4, Lemma 8] in Appendix B). It should be mentioned that $d\mu_{\frac{1}{q}} = d\nu_{\frac{1}{p}}$ and $d\mu_{\frac{1}{p}} = d\nu_{\frac{1}{q}}$, where $1 < p < \infty$ is with the conjugate exponent $q = p/(p-1)$. It is plain to see

$$a_{\frac{1}{q}}(t) - b_{\frac{1}{q}}(t) = \frac{\sin(\frac{\pi}{q}) \times 2 \cos(\frac{\pi}{q})}{2(\cos h^2(\pi t) - \cos^2(\frac{\pi}{q}))} = \frac{\sin(\frac{2\pi}{q})}{\cos h(2\pi t) - \cos(\frac{2\pi}{q})}.$$

In [4], Kosaki proved that if $0 < \frac{2}{q} < 1$, then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d(\mu_{\frac{1}{q}} - \nu_{\frac{1}{q}})(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\sin(\frac{2\pi}{q})}{\cos h(2\pi t) - \cos(\frac{2\pi}{q})} dt = (\frac{2}{p} - 1).$$

Theorem 3.1 *Let $A, B, X, Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $A, B \geq 0$ and let $1 < p, q < \infty$ with the conjugate exponent $q = p/(p-1)$. For an arbitrary unitary invariant norm $||| \cdot |||$, we have*

$$|||A^{\frac{1}{p}}XB^{\frac{1}{q}} - A^{\frac{1}{q}}YB^{\frac{1}{p}}||| \leq |\frac{2}{p} - 1| |||AX - YB||| + \frac{1}{q} |||XB - AY + AX - YB|||.$$

Proof There are three conditions to be discussed.

If $0 < \frac{2}{q} < 1$, we consider functions $f_1(t) = A^{1+it}XB^{-it}$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$) and $g_1(t) = A^{1+it}YB^{-it}$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$). The two functions extend to bounded continuous (in the strong operator topology) functions on the strip Ω which is analytic in the interior. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} A^{\frac{1}{p}}XB^{\frac{1}{q}} - A^{\frac{1}{q}}YB^{\frac{1}{p}} &= f_1\left(\frac{i}{q}\right) - g_1\left(i\left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right)\right) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A^{it}AXB^{-it}d\mu_{\frac{1}{q}}(t) + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A^{it}XBB^{-it}d\nu_{\frac{1}{q}}(t) - \\ &\quad \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A^{it}AYB^{-it}d\mu_{\frac{1}{p}}(t) + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A^{it}YBB^{-it}d\nu_{\frac{1}{p}}(t) \right) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A^{it}(AX - YB)B^{-it}d\mu_{\frac{1}{q}}(t) + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A^{it}(XB - AY)B^{-it}d\nu_{\frac{1}{q}}(t) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A^{it}(AX - YB)B^{-it}d(\mu_{\frac{1}{q}} - \nu_{\frac{1}{q}})(t) + \\ &\quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A^{it}(XB - AY + AX - YB)B^{-it}d\nu_{\frac{1}{q}}(t). \end{aligned}$$

For vectors $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$, the function $z \rightarrow \langle (f(z) - g(i - z))\xi, \eta \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$ is certainly a bounded continuous function on the strip Ω which is analytic in the interior. Therefore, we have integral expressions such as

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (A^{\frac{1}{p}}XB^{\frac{1}{q}} - A^{\frac{1}{q}}YB^{\frac{1}{p}})\xi, \eta \rangle \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \langle (A^{it}(AX - YB)B^{-it})\xi, \eta \rangle \frac{\sin(\frac{2\pi}{q})}{\cos h(2\pi t) - \cos(\frac{2\pi}{q})} dt + \\ & \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \langle (A^{it}(XB - AY + AX - YB)B^{-it})\xi, \eta \rangle \frac{\sin(\frac{\pi}{p})}{2(\cos h(\pi t) - \cos(\frac{\pi}{p}))} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} Y_n &= \int_{-n}^n \langle (A^{it}(AX - YB)B^{-it})\xi, \eta \rangle \frac{\sin(\frac{2\pi}{q})}{\cos h(2\pi t) - \cos(\frac{2\pi}{q})} dt + \\ & \quad \int_{-n}^n \langle (A^{it}(XB - AY + AX - YB)B^{-it})\xi, \eta \rangle \frac{\sin(\frac{\pi}{p})}{2(\cos h(\pi t) - \cos(\frac{\pi}{p}))} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, $\{Y_n\}$ converges to $A^{\frac{1}{p}}XB^{\frac{1}{q}} - A^{\frac{1}{q}}YB^{\frac{1}{p}}$ in the weak operator topology as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\|\cdot\|$ is lower semi-continuous relative to the weak operator topology, we have that $\|A^{\frac{1}{p}}XB^{\frac{1}{q}} - A^{\frac{1}{q}}YB^{\frac{1}{p}}\| \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Y_n\|$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Y_n\| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(\frac{2\pi}{q})}{\cos h(2\pi t) - \cos(\frac{2\pi}{q})} dt \|AX - YB\| + \\ & \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(\frac{\pi}{p})}{2(\cos h(\pi t) - \cos(\frac{\pi}{p}))} dt \|XB - AY + AX - YB\| \\ &\leq (\frac{2}{p} - 1) \|AX - YB\| + \frac{1}{q} \|XB - AY + AX - YB\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\|A^{\frac{1}{p}}XB^{\frac{1}{q}} - A^{\frac{1}{q}}YB^{\frac{1}{p}}\| \leq (\frac{2}{p} - 1) \|AX - YB\| + \frac{1}{q} \|XB - AY + AX - YB\|$.

If $\frac{2}{q} > 1$, we consider functions $f_2(t) = A^{-it}XB^{1+it}$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$) and $g_2(t) = A^{-it}YB^{1+it}$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$). The two functions extend to bounded continuous functions in the strong operator topology on the strip Ω which is analytic in the interior. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} A^{\frac{1}{p}}XB^{\frac{1}{q}} - A^{\frac{1}{q}}YB^{\frac{1}{p}} &= f_2(\frac{i}{p}) - g_2(i(1 - \frac{1}{p})) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A^{-it}AXB^{1+it} d\mu_{\frac{1}{p}}(t) + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A^{1-it}XB^{it} d\nu_{\frac{1}{p}}(t) - \\ & \quad (\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A^{-it}AYB^{1+it} d\mu_{\frac{1}{q}}(t) + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A^{1-it}YBB^{it} d\nu_{\frac{1}{q}}(t)) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A^{-it}(XB - AY)B^{it} d\mu_{\frac{1}{p}}(t) + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A^{-it}(AX - YB)B^{it} d\nu_{\frac{1}{p}}(t) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A^{-it}(AX - YB)B^{-it} d(\nu_{\frac{1}{p}} - \mu_{\frac{1}{p}})(t) + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A^{-it}(XB - AY + AX - YB)B^{it} d\mu_{\frac{1}{p}}(t) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A^{-it}(YB - AX)B^{-it} d(\mu_{\frac{1}{p}} - \nu_{\frac{1}{p}})(t) + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A^{-it}(XB - AY + AX - YB)B^{it} d\mu_{\frac{1}{p}}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Taking similar discussion when $0 < \frac{2}{q} < 1$, we get that

$$|||A^{\frac{1}{p}}XB^{\frac{1}{q}} - A^{\frac{1}{q}}YB^{\frac{1}{p}}||| \leq (1 - \frac{2}{p})|||AX - YB||| + \frac{1}{q}|||XB - AY + AX - YB|||.$$

When $\frac{q}{2} = 1$, it follows that $q = 2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} A^{\frac{1}{2}}XB^{\frac{1}{2}} - A^{\frac{1}{2}}YB^{\frac{1}{2}} &= f_1(\frac{i}{2}) - g_1(\frac{i}{2}) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A^{it}(AX - YB)B^{-it}d\mu_{\frac{1}{2}}(t) + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A^{it}(XB - AY)B^{-it}d\nu_{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A^{it}(AX - YB + XB - AY)B^{-it}d\nu_{\frac{1}{2}}(t). \end{aligned}$$

By similar discussion of the case $0 < \frac{2}{q} < 1$, we get that

$$|||A^{\frac{1}{2}}XB^{\frac{1}{2}} - A^{\frac{1}{2}}YB^{\frac{1}{2}}||| \leq \frac{1}{2}|||XB - AY + AX - YB|||.$$

Therefore, $|||A^{\frac{1}{p}}XB^{\frac{1}{q}} - A^{\frac{1}{q}}YB^{\frac{1}{p}}||| \leq |\frac{2}{p} - 1||||AX - YB||| + \frac{1}{q}|||XB - AY + AX - YB|||$. \square

The above argument was motivated by [4, 8, 9] where quadratic Sakai Radon-Nikodym derivatives in the operator algebra theory were studied. Note that Theorem 1 in [9] and some related inequalities in [9] are the direct results of our Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.1 *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $A, B \geq 0$ and let $1 < p, q < \infty$ with the conjugate exponent $q = p/(p-1)$. Then*

$$|||A^{\frac{1}{p}}B^{\frac{1}{q}} - A^{\frac{1}{q}}B^{\frac{1}{p}}||| \leq |\frac{2}{p} - 1|||A - B||| \quad \text{and} \quad |||A^{\frac{1}{p}}B^{\frac{1}{q}} + A^{\frac{1}{q}}B^{\frac{1}{p}}||| \leq (|\frac{2}{p} - 1| + \frac{2}{q})|||A + B|||.$$

The following lemma is an elementary result by direct computation.

Lemma 3.1 *Let a, b be positive real numbers and $1 < p, q < \infty$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. If $f(t) = at^p + bt^{-q}$ ($t \in (0, \infty)$), then $f_{\min} = f((\frac{bq}{ap})^{\frac{1}{p-q}}) = ap(\frac{bq}{ap})^{\frac{1}{q}}$.*

A new estimate of unitarily invariant norm of $A^{\frac{1}{p}}XB^{\frac{1}{q}}$ is given in Corollary 3.2.

Corollary 3.2 *Let $A, B, X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $A, B \geq 0$ and let $1 < p, q < \infty$ with the conjugate exponent $q = p/(p-1)$. For an arbitrary unitary invariant norm $||| \cdot |||$, the inequality*

$$|||A^{\frac{1}{p}}XB^{\frac{1}{q}}||| \leq (|2 - p| + p - 1)^{\frac{1}{p}}|||AX|||^{\frac{1}{p}}|||XB|||^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

holds.

Proof Let $Y = 0$ in Theorem 3.1. We get that

$$\begin{aligned} |||A^{\frac{1}{p}}XB^{\frac{1}{q}}||| &\leq |\frac{2}{p} - 1||||AX||| + \frac{1}{q}|||XB + AX||| \\ &\leq (|\frac{2}{p} - 1| + \frac{1}{q})|||AX||| + \frac{1}{q}|||XB|||. \end{aligned}$$

By changing A, B to $t^p A, t^{-q} B$ with $t > 0$, we have that

$$|||A^{\frac{1}{p}}XB^{\frac{1}{q}}||| \leq (|\frac{2}{p} - 1| + \frac{1}{q})t^p|||AX||| + \frac{1}{q}t^{-q}|||XB|||.$$

The minimum of the right side is $(|2-p|+p-1)^{\frac{1}{p}}|||AX|||^{\frac{1}{p}}|||XB|||^{\frac{1}{q}}$ as a function of t by Lemma 3.1, and so the corollary is proved. \square

In fact, by repeating the similar argument for the functions $f(z) = A^{-iz}XB^{-iz}$ and $g(z) = A^{-iz}YB^{-iz}$ we get that

$$A^{\frac{1}{p}}XB^{\frac{1}{p}} = f\left(\frac{i}{p}\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A^{it}XB^{-it}d\mu_{\frac{1}{p}}(t) + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A^{it}AXBB^{-it}d\nu_{\frac{1}{p}}(t)$$

and

$$A^{\frac{1}{q}}YB^{\frac{1}{q}} = g\left(\frac{i}{q}\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A^{it}YB^{-it}d\mu_{\frac{1}{q}}(t) + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A^{it}AYBB^{-it}d\nu_{\frac{1}{q}}(t).$$

Hence,

$$A^{\frac{1}{p}}XB^{\frac{1}{p}} + A^{\frac{1}{q}}YB^{\frac{1}{q}} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A^{it}(X + AYB)B^{-it}d\mu_{\frac{1}{p}}(t) + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A^{it}(AXB + Y)B^{-it}d\nu_{\frac{1}{p}}(t)$$

by the fact that $d\mu_{\frac{1}{q}} = d\nu_{\frac{1}{p}}$ and $d\mu_{\frac{1}{p}} = d\nu_{\frac{1}{q}}$. Clearly, when $\frac{2}{q} > 1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} A^{\frac{1}{p}}XB^{\frac{1}{p}} + A^{\frac{1}{q}}YB^{\frac{1}{q}} &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A^{it}(X + AYB)B^{-it}d(\mu_{\frac{1}{p}} - \nu_{\frac{1}{p}})(t) + \\ &\quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A^{it}(AXB + Y + X + AYB)B^{-it}d\nu_{\frac{1}{p}}(t); \end{aligned}$$

when $0 < \frac{2}{q} \leq 1$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} A^{\frac{1}{p}}(-X)B^{\frac{1}{p}} + A^{\frac{1}{q}}(-Y)B^{\frac{1}{q}} &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A^{it}(-(X + AYB))B^{-it}d\mu_{\frac{1}{p}}(t) + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A^{it}(-(AXB + Y))B^{-it}d\nu_{\frac{1}{p}}(t) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A^{it}(X + AYB)B^{-it}d(\nu_{\frac{1}{p}} - \mu_{\frac{1}{p}})(t) + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A^{it}(-(AXB + Y + X + AYB))B^{-it}d\nu_{\frac{1}{p}}(t) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A^{it}(X + AYB)B^{-it}d(\mu_{\frac{1}{q}} - \nu_{\frac{1}{q}})(t) + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A^{it}(-(AXB + Y + X + AYB))B^{-it}d\nu_{\frac{1}{p}}(t). \end{aligned}$$

The above expressions obviously show Theorem 3.2 is true.

Theorem 3.2 *Let $A, B, X, Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $A, B \geq 0$ and let $1 < p, q < \infty$ with the conjugate exponent $q = p/(p-1)$. For an arbitrary unitarily invariant norm $||| \cdot |||$, the inequality*

$$|||A^{\frac{1}{p}}XB^{\frac{1}{p}} + A^{\frac{1}{q}}YB^{\frac{1}{q}}||| \leq \left|\frac{2}{p} - 1\right| |||X + AYB||| + \frac{1}{p} |||X + Y + A(X + Y)B|||$$

holds.

Finally we would like to point out that there are some special cases of our results in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2. Moreover, our estimate can be improved a little bit by the standard interpolation argument for a particular unitarily invariant norm.

References

- [1] KITTANEH F, MANASRAH Y. *Improved Young and Heinz inequalities for matrices* [J]. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2010, **361**(1): 262–269.
- [2] FARENICK D R, MANJEGANI S M. *Young's inequality in operator algebras* [J]. J. Ramanujan Math. Soc., 2005, **20**(2): 107–124.
- [3] BHATIA R, PARTHASARATHY K R. *Positive definite functions and operator inequalities* [J]. Bull. London Math. Soc., 2000, **32**(2): 214–228.
- [4] KOSAKI H. *Arithmetic-geometric mean and related inequalities for operators* [J]. J. Funct. Anal., 1998, **156**(2): 429–451.
- [5] BHATIA R, DAVIS C. *More matrix forms of the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality* [J]. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 1993, **14**(1): 132–136.
- [6] MCINTOSH A. *Heinz inequalities and perturbation of spectral families* [C]. Macquarie Mathematical Reports, 79-0006, 1979.
- [7] ERLIJMAN J, FARENICK D R, ZENG Renying. *Young's Inequality in Compact Operators* [M]. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2002.
- [8] STEIN E M, WEISS G. *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces* [M]. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1971.
- [9] KOSAKI H. *Linear Radon-Nikodym theorems for states on a von Neumann algebras* [J]. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., 1982, **18**(1): 379–386.