

The Second Critical Exponent for a Fast Diffusion Equation with Potential

Chunxiao YANG^{1,2}, Jin'ge YANG¹, Sining ZHENG^{1,*}

1. School of Mathematical Science, Dalian University of Technology, Liaoning 116024, P. R. China;

2. Department of Mathematics, Xi'an University of Architecture and Technology,
 Shaanxi 710055, P. R. China

Abstract This paper considers a fast diffusion equation with potential $u_t = \Delta u^m - V(x)u^m + u^p$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$, where $1 - \frac{2}{\alpha m + n} < m \leq 1$, $p > 1$, $n \geq 2$, $V(x) \sim \frac{\omega}{|x|^2}$ with $\omega \geq 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, and α is the positive root of $\alpha m(\alpha m + n - 2) - \omega = 0$. The critical Fujita exponent was determined as $p_c = m + \frac{2}{\alpha m + n}$ in a previous paper of the authors. In the present paper, we establish the second critical exponent to identify the global and non-global solutions in their co-existence parameter region $p > p_c$ via the critical decay rates of the initial data. With $u_0(x) \sim |x|^{-a}$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, it is shown that the second critical exponent $a^* = \frac{2}{p-m}$, independent of the potential parameter ω , is quite different from the situation for the critical exponent p_c .

Keywords the second critical exponent; fast diffusion equation; potential; global solutions; blow-up.

MR(2010) Subject Classification 35K59; 35B33

1. Introduction

In this paper, we investigate the second critical exponent to the fast diffusion equation with source and quadratically decaying potential

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u^m - V(x)u^m + u^p, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \geq 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $1 - \frac{2}{\alpha m + n} < m \leq 1$, $p > 1$, $n \geq 2$, $V(x) \sim \frac{\omega}{|x|^2}$ with $\omega \geq 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, $\alpha > 0$ is an explicit parameter related to ω , determined by

$$\alpha m(\alpha m + n - 2) - \omega = 0$$

with $u_0(x)$ continuous and bounded.

It is well known that the Cauchy problem

$$u_t = \Delta u + u^p \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T) \quad (1.2)$$

Received February 19, 2012; Accepted March 27, 2012

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11171048).

* Corresponding author

E-mail address: snzheng@dlut.edu.cn (Sining ZHENG)

admits a critical exponent $p_c = 1 + \frac{2}{n}$, such that the solutions blow up in finite time for any nontrivial initial data whenever $1 < p \leq p_c$, and there are both global solutions and non-global solutions if $p > p_c$ (see [3]) and [1, 8, 9] (for the critical case). From then on, the Fujita phenomena have been studied for a great deal of PDEs [2, 11]. To identify the global and non-global solutions in their co-existence region, the so-called second critical exponent was introduced by Lee and Ni [10] with $a^* = \frac{2}{p-1}$ for the Cauchy problem (1.2). That is to say, with initial data $u_0(x) = \lambda\varphi(x)$ and $p > p_c = 1 + \frac{2}{n}$, there exist constants $\mu, \Lambda, \Lambda_0 > 0$ such that the solutions blow up in finite time whenever $\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} |x|^{a^*} \varphi(x) > \mu > 0$, $\lambda > \Lambda$, and must be global if $a \geq a^*$ with $\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} |x|^a \varphi(x) < \infty$, $\lambda < \Lambda_0$.

For the nonlinear diffusion case

$$u_t = \Delta u^m + u^p \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T) \quad (1.3)$$

with $m > 1$ or $\max\{0, 1 - \frac{2}{n}\} < m < 1$, the critical exponent was proved as $p_c = m + \frac{2}{n}$ by Galaktionov et al. [4, 5], Mochizuki and Mukai [12], and Qi [16, 17]. The second critical exponent to (1.3) was obtained with $a^* = \frac{2}{p-m}$ by Mukai et al. [14] (for $1 < m < p$) and Guo [7] (for $(1 - \frac{2}{n}) < m < 1$).

Recently, the Fujita phenomena for reaction-diffusion equations with potentials have been thoroughly studied as well. Zhang [19] studied the influence of the potential on the critical exponent, without considering the quadratically decaying potential, which was shown as $p_c = 1 + \frac{2}{\alpha+n}$ by Ishige [15] for (1.1) with $m = 1$, and $p_c = m + \frac{2}{\alpha m+n}$ for $1 - \frac{2}{\alpha m+n} < m < 1$ by the authors [18].

The present paper aims to investigate the second critical exponent for the problem (1.1) with obtaining $a^* = \frac{2}{p-m}$. It is interesting that, differently from the situation for the critical Fujita exponent p_c , the second critical exponent a^* is independent of the quadratically decaying potential.

Denote by $C_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the space of all bounded continuous functions in \mathbb{R}^n , and let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}_a &= \left\{ \varphi(x) \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid \varphi(x) \geq 0, \liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^a \varphi(x) > 0 \right\}, \\ \mathbb{I}^a &= \left\{ \varphi(x) \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid \varphi(x) \geq 0, \limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^a \varphi(x) < \infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The main result of the paper is the following two theorems.

Theorem 1 *Let $p > p_c = m + \frac{2}{\alpha m+n}$, $n \geq 2$, $V(x) \leq \frac{\omega}{|x|^2}$ for $|x|$ large, the initial data $u_0 = \lambda\varphi(x)$, $\varphi(x) \in \mathbb{I}_a$ for $\lambda > 0$. If $a \in (0, a^*)$, or $a \geq a^*$ with λ large enough, then the solution of (1.1) blows up in finite time.*

Theorem 2 *Let $p > p_c = m + \frac{2}{\alpha m+n}$, $V(x) \geq \frac{\omega}{|x|^2}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, $u_0 = \lambda\varphi(x)$ with $\varphi(x) = |x|^\alpha \psi(x) \in \mathbb{I}^a$ and $\lambda > 0$. If $a > a^*$, then there exist $\lambda_0, C_1 > 0$ such that the solution u is global in time and satisfies*

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_1 t^{-\frac{a}{2-a(1-m)}} \quad \text{for all } t > 0$$

whenever $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$.

We will prove the two theorems in Sections 2 and 3, respectively.

2. Non-global solutions

In this section, we deal with the blow-up of solutions to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1 Let $U(r, t)$ be a radial solution to (1.1) with initial data $0 \leq U_0(r) = \lambda \bar{\varphi}(r) \leq u_0(x) = \lambda \varphi(x)$, $\bar{\varphi}(r) \in \mathbb{I}_a$, $\bar{\varphi}'(r) \leq 0$. Similarly to [18], we introduce a series of transformations. Set $U(r, t) = r^\alpha v(r, t)$, $v(r, t) = \xi(\rho, t)$ with

$$\rho = \rho(r) = \frac{2}{\alpha(1-m)+2} r^{\frac{\alpha(1-m)+2}{2}}. \quad (2.1)$$

Then due to $V(x) \leq \frac{\omega}{|x|^2}$ for $|x|$ large, we have

$$\xi_t \geq (\xi^m)_{\rho\rho} + \frac{N-1}{\rho} (\xi^m)_\rho + C_0 \rho^q \xi^p, \quad \rho > \rho_0, \quad t \in (0, T),$$

for ρ_0 large enough, with

$$\xi(\rho, 0) = \lambda \left(\frac{\alpha(1-m)+2}{2} \rho \right)^{-\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} \bar{\varphi} \left(\left(\frac{\alpha(1-m)+2}{2} \rho \right)^{\frac{2}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} \right), \quad \rho > \rho_0,$$

where

$$N = \frac{2\alpha + 2\alpha m + 2n}{\alpha(1-m)+2}, \quad C_0 = \left[\frac{\alpha(1-m)+2}{2} \right]^{\frac{2\alpha(p-1)}{\alpha(1-m)+2}}, \quad q = \frac{2\alpha(p-1)}{\alpha(1-m)+2}. \quad (2.2)$$

Let w solve

$$\begin{cases} w_t = (w^m)_{\rho\rho} + \frac{N-1}{\rho} (w^m)_\rho + C_0 \rho^q w^p, & (\rho, t) \in (\rho_0, \infty) \times (0, T), \\ w(\rho_0, t) = U|_{\rho=\rho_0}, & t \in (0, T), \\ w(\rho, 0) = \lambda \left(\frac{\alpha(1-m)+2}{2} \rho \right)^{-\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} \bar{\varphi} \left(\left(\frac{\alpha(1-m)+2}{2} \rho \right)^{\frac{2}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} \right), & \rho \in (\rho_0, \infty). \end{cases}$$

It suffices to prove the finite time blow-up of w when $\bar{\varphi}(r) \in \mathbb{I}_a$ for $0 < a < a^*$, or $a \geq a^*$ with λ large enough.

Set

$$S_\varepsilon(r) = \zeta_R(r) e^{-\varepsilon(r-R)^2} \quad \text{in } (R, \infty), \quad (2.3)$$

with

$$\zeta_R(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{r-R}{r}, & N \geq 3, \\ \log r - \log R, & 2 \leq N < 3. \end{cases}$$

By Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 in [13] with a simple computation, we know that $S_\varepsilon \in C^2(R, \infty)$ and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} S_\varepsilon'' + \frac{N-1}{r} S_\varepsilon' &\geq -2(N+2)\varepsilon S_\varepsilon \quad \text{in } (R, \infty), \\ S_\varepsilon(R) &= S_\varepsilon(\infty) = 0, \\ S_\varepsilon &> 0 \quad \text{in } (R, \infty) \quad \text{with} \quad \int_R^\infty S_\varepsilon(r) r^{N-1} dr < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{N/2} \int_R^\infty S_\varepsilon(r) r^{N-1} dr = \pi^{\frac{N}{2}}, \quad N \geq 3, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} [\log \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}]^{-1} \int_R^\infty S_\varepsilon(r) r^{N-1} dr = \pi^{\frac{N}{2}}, \quad 2 \leq N < 3. \quad (2.5)$$

Since $\bar{\varphi}(r) \in \mathbb{I}_a$, there are $L, R_1 > 0$ such that $\bar{\varphi}(r) \geq Lr^{-a}$ for all $r \geq R_1$, and hence $\bar{\varphi}((\frac{\alpha(1-m)+2}{2}\rho)^{\frac{2}{\alpha(1-m)+2}}) \geq L(\frac{\alpha(1-m)+2}{2}\rho)^{-\frac{2a}{\alpha(1-m)+2}}$ for all $\rho \geq \rho_1$ with ρ_1 large enough. Set $\rho_2 = \max\{\rho_0, \rho_1\}$, and denote

$$\psi_\varepsilon(\rho) = C_\varepsilon S_\varepsilon(\rho) \quad \text{in } (\rho_2, \infty), \quad (2.6)$$

with

$$C_\varepsilon = \left(\int_{\rho_2}^\infty S_\varepsilon(\rho) \rho^{N-1} d\rho \right)^{-1}. \quad (2.7)$$

Define

$$y(t) = \int_{\rho_2}^\infty w(\rho, t) \psi_\varepsilon(\rho) \rho^{N-1} d\rho.$$

By Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} y'(t) &= \int_{\rho_2}^\infty w^m \rho^{N-1} (\psi_\varepsilon'' + \frac{N-1}{\rho} \psi_\varepsilon') d\rho + C_0 \int_{\rho_2}^\infty \rho^{N+q-1} \psi_\varepsilon w^p d\rho \\ &\geq -2(N+2)\varepsilon y^m(t) + C_0 \left(\int_{\rho_2}^\infty \rho^{N-1-\frac{q}{p-1}} \psi_\varepsilon d\rho \right)^{-(p-1)} y^p(t) \\ &= y^p(t) \left(C_0 \left(\int_{\rho_2}^\infty \rho^{N-1-\frac{q}{p-1}} \psi_\varepsilon d\rho \right)^{-(p-1)} - 2(N+2)\varepsilon y^{-(p-m)}(t) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$y'(t) \geq \frac{C_0}{2} \left(\int_{\rho_2}^\infty \rho^{N-1-\frac{q}{p-1}} \psi_\varepsilon d\rho \right)^{-(p-1)} y^p(t) \quad (2.8)$$

provided

$$y^{-(p-m)}(t) \leq \frac{C_0 \left(\int_{\rho_2}^\infty \rho^{N-1-\frac{q}{p-1}} \psi_\varepsilon d\rho \right)^{-(p-1)}}{4(N+2)\varepsilon},$$

or equivalently,

$$y(t) \geq C_0^{-\frac{1}{p-m}} \left(\int_{\rho_2}^\infty \rho^{N-1-\frac{q}{p-1}} \psi_\varepsilon d\rho \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p-m}} (4(N+2)\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{p-m}},$$

which is ensured by

$$y(0) \geq C_0^{-\frac{1}{p-m}} \left(\int_{\rho_2}^\infty \rho^{N-1-\frac{q}{p-1}} \psi_\varepsilon d\rho \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p-m}} (4(N+2)\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{p-m}}. \quad (2.9)$$

We deduce from (2.8) that

$$y(t) \geq \left(y^{-(p-1)}(0) - \frac{C_0}{2} \left(\int_{\rho_2}^\infty \rho^{N-1-\frac{q}{p-1}} \psi_\varepsilon d\rho \right)^{-(p-1)} (p-1)t \right)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}},$$

and hence, $y(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow T = \frac{2}{C_0(p-1)} \left(\int_{\rho_2}^\infty \rho^{N-1-\frac{q}{p-1}} \psi_\varepsilon d\rho \right)^{p-1} y^{-(p-1)}(0)$. This concludes that w blows up in finite time for large initial data required by (2.9).

Now we verify that the condition (2.9) is valid under either $a \in (0, a^*)$, or $a \geq a^*$ with λ large. By (2.3) and (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned} y(0) &= \int_{\rho_2}^{\infty} w(\rho, 0) \psi_{\varepsilon}(\rho) \rho^{N-1} d\rho \\ &\geq \lambda L \left(\frac{\alpha(1-m)+2}{2} \right)^{-\frac{2(a+\alpha)}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} C_{\varepsilon} \int_{\rho_2}^{\infty} \rho^{N-1-\frac{2(a+\alpha)}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} \zeta_{\rho_2}(\rho) e^{-\varepsilon(\rho-\rho_2)^2} d\rho \\ &= \lambda L \left(\frac{\alpha(1-m)+2}{2} \right)^{-\frac{2(a+\alpha)}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} C_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon^{-\frac{N}{2} + \frac{a+\alpha}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} \int_{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\rho_2}^{\infty} \tau^{N-1-\frac{2(a+\alpha)}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} \zeta_{\rho_2}\left(\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) e^{-(\tau-\sqrt{\varepsilon}\rho_2)^2} d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

On the other hand, (2.9) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} y(0) &\geq C_0^{-\frac{1}{p-m}} C_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{p-1}{p-m}} \left(\int_{\rho_2}^{\infty} \rho^{N-1-\frac{q}{p-1}} \zeta_{\rho_2}(\rho) e^{-\varepsilon(\rho-\rho_2)^2} d\rho \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p-m}} (4(N+2)\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{p-m}} \\ &= B_0 C_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{p-1}{p-m}} \varepsilon^{-\frac{N(p-1)}{2(p-m)} + \frac{q}{2(p-m)} + \frac{1}{p-m}} \left(\int_{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\rho_2}^{\infty} \tau^{N-1-\frac{q}{p-1}} \zeta_{\rho_2}\left(\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) e^{-(\tau-\sqrt{\varepsilon}\rho_2)^2} d\tau \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p-m}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

with $B_0 = C_0^{-\frac{1}{p-m}} (4(N+2))^{\frac{1}{p-m}}$. We have by (2.4), (2.5) and (2.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\varepsilon} \int_{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\rho_2}^{\infty} \tau^{N-1-\frac{2(a+\alpha)}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} \zeta_1(\tau) e^{-\tau^2} d\tau &\geq C_1 \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} \\ C_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{p-1}{p-m}} \left(\int_{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\rho_2}^{\infty} \tau^{N-1-\frac{q}{p-1}} \zeta_{\rho_2}\left(\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) e^{-(\tau-\sqrt{\varepsilon}\rho_2)^2} d\tau \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p-m}} &\leq C_2 \varepsilon^{\frac{N(p-1)}{2(p-m)}} \end{aligned}$$

with some $C_1, C_2 > 0$ independent of ε . Since $q = \frac{2\alpha(p-1)}{\alpha(1-m)+2}$, we have

$$\varepsilon^{\frac{a+\alpha}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} \gg \varepsilon^{\frac{q}{2(p-m)} + \frac{1}{p-m}} \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

provided $0 < a < a^* = \frac{2}{p-m}$. If $a = a^*$, the right hand sides of (2.10) and (2.11) share the same order of ε . So, we can arrive at (2.9) by letting λ be large enough. If $a > a^*$, for any fixed ε , there exists $\lambda_{\varepsilon} > 0$, such that (2.9) holds whenever $\lambda > \lambda_{\varepsilon}$. \square

3. Global solutions

In this section, we will show that the solutions must be global and decay to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$, if $a > a^*$ with λ small, where $u_0(x) = \lambda \varphi(x)$ with $\varphi(x) = |x|^{\alpha} \psi(x) \in \mathbb{I}^a$.

It suffices to consider the case of $a^* < a < \alpha m + n$. The conclusion for $a \geq \alpha m + n$ can be proved by comparison. Introduce the following auxiliary problem

$$\begin{cases} W_{\tau} = (W^m)_{\rho\rho} + \frac{N-1}{\rho} (W^m)_{\rho}, & (\rho, t) \in [0, \infty) \times (0, T), \\ W(\rho, 0) = M\rho^{-b}, & \rho \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

with N defined in (2.2), $b = \frac{2(a+\alpha)}{\alpha(1-m)+2}$. The condition $p > p_c$ with $a^* < a < \alpha m + n$ implies $b < N$. It was known by [6] that the problem (3.1) admits the self-similar solution

$$W = \tau^{-\beta b} f(\rho \tau^{-\beta}), \quad \beta = \frac{1}{2 - (1-m)b} = \frac{\alpha(1-m)+2}{4 - 2a(1-m)}, \quad (3.2)$$

with $f(\eta)$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} (f^m)'' + \frac{N-1}{\eta}(f^m)' + \beta\eta f' + \beta b f = 0, & \eta > 0, \\ f'(0) = 0, & \lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} \eta^b f(\eta) = M. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to verify that there exists $M_0 > 0$ such that

$$\|\eta^{\frac{2a}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} f(\eta)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|(\eta+1)^{-\frac{2a}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} ((\eta+1)^b f)\|_{L^\infty} \leq M_0. \quad (3.3)$$

Lemma 3.1 Let $a^* < a < \alpha m + n$, $M_1, \tau_0 > 0$, and $(h(t), \tau(t))$ solve the ODE system

$$\begin{cases} h'(t) = \lambda^{p-1} M_1^{p-1} (\lambda^{m-1} \tau(t) + \tau_0)^{-\frac{a(p-1)}{2-a(1-m)}} h^p(t), & t > 0, \\ \tau'(t) = h^{m-1}(t), & t > 0, \\ h(0) = 1, \quad \tau(0) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

Then there is $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that $h(t)$ is bounded in $[0, \infty)$ whenever $\lambda \in [0, \lambda_0)$.

Proof The local existence and uniqueness of solutions to (3.4) follow from the standard ODE theory. Since $h'(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$, the solution $h(t) \geq 1$ can be continued whenever $h(t)$ is finite.

Suppose $h(s)$ exists in $[0, t]$. Then $\tau(t) = \int_0^t h^{m-1}(s) ds$. Since $0 < m \leq 1$, $h'(t) > 0$, we have

$$h^{m-1}(t)t \leq \tau(t) \leq h^{m-1}(0)t = t.$$

By (3.4), we know

$$\begin{aligned} h'(t) &\leq \lambda^{p-1} M_1^{p-1} (\lambda^{m-1} h^{m-1}(t)t + \tau_0)^{-\frac{a(p-1)}{2-a(1-m)}} h^p(t) \\ &= \lambda^{p-1} M_1^{p-1} (\lambda^{m-1} t + h^{1-m}(t)\tau_0)^{-\frac{a(p-1)}{2-a(1-m)}} h^{p+\frac{a(p-1)(1-m)}{2-a(1-m)}} \\ &\leq \lambda^{p-1} M_1^{p-1} (\lambda^{m-1} t + \tau_0)^{-\frac{a(p-1)}{2-a(1-m)}} h^{p+\frac{a(p-1)(1-m)}{2-a(1-m)}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

for $h(t) \geq 1$. Due to $a > a^* = \frac{2}{p-m}$, integrate (3.5) to get

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - h^{1-p-\frac{a(p-1)(1-m)}{2-a(1-m)}} &\leq \left(p + \frac{a(p-1)(1-m)}{2-a(1-m)} - 1\right) \lambda^{p-1} M_1^{p-1} \int_0^t (\lambda^{m-1} s + \tau_0)^{-\frac{a(p-1)}{2-a(1-m)}} ds \\ &\leq \left(p + \frac{a(p-1)(1-m)}{2-a(1-m)} - 1\right) \frac{\lambda^{p-m} M_1^{p-1} \tau_0^{-(\frac{a(p-1)}{2-a(1-m)}-1)}}{\frac{a(p-1)}{2-a(1-m)} - 1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Let $\lambda_0 > 0$ satisfy

$$\left(p + \frac{a(p-1)(1-m)}{2-a(1-m)} - 1\right) \frac{\lambda_0^{p-m} M_1^{p-1} \tau_0^{-(\frac{a(p-1)}{2-a(1-m)}-1)}}{\frac{a(p-1)}{2-a(1-m)} - 1} = 1.$$

And define

$$C_\lambda = \left(p + \frac{a(p-1)(1-m)}{2-a(1-m)} - 1\right) \frac{\lambda^{p-m} M_1^{p-1} \tau_0^{-(\frac{a(p-1)}{2-a(1-m)}-1)}}{\frac{a(p-1)}{2-a(1-m)} - 1}, \quad (3.7)$$

$$h_\lambda = \left(\frac{1}{1-C_\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+\frac{a(p-1)(1-m)}{2-a(1-m)}-1}} \quad (3.8)$$

for $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$. It follows from (3.6)–(3.8) that $h(t) \leq h_\lambda$. \square

Proof of Theorem 2 Since $\varphi(x) = |x|^\alpha \psi(x) \in \mathbb{I}^a$, we get $\psi(x) \in \mathbb{I}^{a+\alpha}$. There is $D > 0$ such that

$$\psi(x) \leq D(1 + |x|)^{-(a+\alpha)} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Without loss of generality, assume $\psi(x)$ is radial with $r = |x|$. Let M in (3.1) be large so that $M > D\left(\frac{2}{\alpha(1-m)+2}\right)^b$. With ρ defined in (2.1), we have

$$W(\rho(r), 0) = M\rho^{-b} = M\left(\frac{2}{\alpha(1-m)+2}\right)^{-b} r^{-(a+\alpha)} > D(1+r)^{-(a+\alpha)} \geq \psi(r).$$

So, there is $\tau_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $\psi(r) < W(\rho(r), \tau_0)$. Denote $\zeta(\rho, \tau) = \lambda W(\rho, \lambda^{m-1}\tau + \tau_0)$ with $\lambda > 0$. Then ζ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \zeta_\tau = (\zeta^m)_{\rho\rho} + \frac{N-1}{\rho}(\zeta^m)_\rho, & (\rho, t) \in [0, \infty) \times (0, T), \\ \zeta(x, 0) = \lambda W(\rho, \tau_0), & \rho \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Set $\bar{u} = r^\alpha h(t) \zeta(\rho(r), \tau(t))$, with $(h(t), \tau(t))$ solving (3.4). It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}_t - (\bar{u}^m)_{rr} - \frac{n-1}{r}(\bar{u}^m)_r + V(r)\bar{u}^m - \bar{u}^p &\geq \bar{u}_t - (\bar{u}^m)_{rr} - \frac{n-1}{r}(\bar{u}^m)_r + \frac{\omega}{r^2}\bar{u}^m - \bar{u}^p \\ &= r^\alpha \zeta(\rho, \tau)(h'(t) - r^{\alpha(p-1)}h^p(t)\zeta^{p-1}(\rho, \tau)), \\ \bar{u}(r, 0) &= r^\alpha \zeta(\rho(r), 0) = \lambda r^\alpha W(\rho(r), \tau_0) \geq \lambda r^\alpha \psi(r) = \lambda \varphi(x). \end{aligned}$$

To check \bar{u} is a supersolution of (1.1), it suffices to show

$$h'(t) \geq (r^\alpha \zeta(\rho, \tau))^{p-1} h^p(t). \quad (3.9)$$

Actually, by (3.2) and (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \|r^\alpha \zeta(\rho, \tau)\|_{L^\infty} &= \lambda \left(\frac{\alpha(1-m)+2}{2}\right)^{\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} \left\| \rho^{\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} (\lambda^{m-1}\tau(t) + \tau_0)^{-\beta b} f(\rho(\lambda^{m-1}\tau(t) + \tau_0)^{-\beta}) \right\|_{L^\infty} \\ &= \lambda \left(\frac{\alpha(1-m)+2}{2}\right)^{\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} (\lambda^{m-1}\tau(t) + \tau_0)^{-\beta b + \frac{2\alpha\beta}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} \left\| \eta^{\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} f(\eta) \right\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq \lambda M_1 (\lambda^{m-1}\tau(t) + \tau_0)^{-\frac{a}{2-a(1-m)}}, \end{aligned}$$

with $b = \frac{2(a+\alpha)}{\alpha(1-m)+2}$, $\beta = \frac{\alpha(1-m)+2}{4-2a(1-m)}$, $M_1 = M_0 \left(\frac{\alpha(1-m)+2}{2}\right)^{\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha(1-m)+2}}$. By Lemma 3.1, there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that (3.9) is true whenever $\lambda < \lambda_0$. Consequently, $\|u(r, t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|\bar{u}(r, t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq h_\lambda \|r^\alpha \zeta(\rho, \tau)\|_{L^\infty} \leq Ct^{-\frac{a}{2-a(1-m)}}$ for all $t > 0$ with $C = M_1(h_\lambda \lambda)^{\frac{2}{2-a(1-m)}}$. \square

References

- [1] D. G. ARONSON, H. F. WEINBERGER. *Multidimensional nonlinear diffusion arising in population genetics*. Adv. in Math., 1978, **30**(1): 33–76.
- [2] Keng DENG, H. W. LEVINE. *The role of critical exponents in blow-up theorems: the sequel*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2000, **243**(1): 85–126.
- [3] H. FUJITA. *On the blowing up of solution of the Cauchy problem for $u_t = \Delta u + u^{\alpha+1}$* . J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I, 1966, **13**: 109–124.

- [4] V. A. GALAKTIONOV. *Blow-up for quasilinear heat equations with critical Fujita's exponents*. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, 1994, **124**(3): 517–525.
- [5] V. A. GALAKTIONOV, S. P. KURDYUMOV, A. P. MIKHAILOV, et al. *Unbounded solutions of the Cauchy problem for the parabolic equation $u_t = \nabla(u^\sigma \nabla u) + u^\beta$* . Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 1980, **252**(6): 1362–1364. (in Russian)
- [6] J. S. GUO. *Similarity solution for a quasilinear parabolic equation*. J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B, 1995, **37**(2): 253–266.
- [7] J. S. GUO, Y. GUO. *On a fast diffusion equation with source*. Tohoku Math. J. (2), 2001, **53**(4): 571–579.
- [8] K. HAYAKAWA. *On nonexistence of global solution os some semilinear parabolic equation*. Proc. Japan Acad., 1973, **49**: 503–505.
- [9] K. KOBAYASHI, T. SIRAO, H. TANAKA. *On the blowing up problem for semilinear heat equations*. J. Math. Soc. Japan, 1977, **29**: 407–424.
- [10] T. Y. LEE, Weiming NI. *Global existence, large time behavior and life span of solutions of a semilinear parabolic Cauchy problem*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 1992, **333**(1): 365–378.
- [11] H. A. LEVINE. *The role of critical exponents in blowup theorems*. SIAM Rev., 1990, **32**(2): 262–288.
- [12] K. MOCHIZUKI, K. MUKAI. *Existence and nonexistence of global solution to fast diffusions with source*. Methods Appl. Anal., 1995, **2**(1): 92–102.
- [13] K. MOCHIZUKI, R. SUZUKI. *Critical exponent and critical blow-up for quasi-linear parabolic equations*. Israel J. Math., 1997, **98**: 141–156.
- [14] K. MUKAI, K. MOCHIZUKI, Qing HUANG. *Large time behavior and life span for a quasilinear parabolic equation with slowly decaying initial values*. Nonlinear Anal., 2000, **39**(1): 33–45.
- [15] R. PINSKY. *The Fujita exponent for semilinear heat equations with quadratically decaying potential or in an exterior domain*. J. Differential Equations, 2009, **246**(6): 2561–2576.
- [16] Yuanwei QI. *On the equation $u_t = \Delta u^\alpha + u^\beta$* . Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, 1993, **123**(2): 373–390.
- [17] Yuanwei QI. *Critical exponents of degenerate parabolic equations*. Sci. China Ser. A, 1995, **38**(10): 1153–1162.
- [18] Chunxiao YANG, Lizhong ZHAO, Sining ZHENG. *Critical Fujita exponent for fast diffusion equation with potential*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2013, **398**(2): 879–885.
- [19] Q. S. ZHANG. *The quantizing effect of potentials on the critical number of reaction-diffusion equations*. J. Differential Equations, 2001, **170**(1): 188–214.