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#### Abstract

This paper is concerned with the existence of solutions to a class of $p(x)$ - $\operatorname{Kirchhoff}-$ type systems under Neumann boundary condition. By Ekeland Variational Principle and the theory of the variable exponent Sobolev spaces, we establish conditions ensuring the existence of solutions for the problem. Since the Poincaré's inequality does not hold in the space $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$, we shall prove the Poincaré-Wirtinger's inequality in a subspace of $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper we study the following nonlocal elliptic systems of gradient type with nonstandard growth conditions

$$
\begin{cases}-M_{1}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} \mathrm{d} x\right) \operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u\right)=\frac{\partial F}{\partial u} F(u, v)+\rho_{1}(x) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\ -M_{2}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)}|\nabla v|^{q(x)} \mathrm{d} x\right) \operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla v|^{q(x)-2} \nabla v\right)=\frac{\partial F}{\partial v} F(u, v)+\rho_{2}(x) & \text { in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}=\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with a smooth boundary $\partial \Omega, \nu$ is the unit exterior vector on $\partial \Omega, p(x), q(x) \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ with $1<p^{-}:=\min _{\bar{\Omega}} p(x) \leq p^{+}:=\max _{\bar{\Omega}} p(x)<+\infty$ and $1<$ $q^{-}:=\min _{\bar{\Omega}} q(x) \leq q^{+}:=\max _{\bar{\Omega}} q(x)<+\infty, M_{1}(t), M_{2}(t)$ are continuous functions. We confine ourselves to the case where $M_{1}=M_{2}$ for simplicity. Notice that the results of this paper remain valid for $M_{1} \neq M_{2}$ by adding some slight changes in the hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$. The function $F: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is assumed to be of class $C^{1}$ in $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$.

The operator $-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u\right)$ is said to be the $p(x)$-Laplacian, and becomes $p$-Laplacian when $p(x) \equiv p$ (a constant). The $p(x)$-Laplacian possesses more complicated nonlinearities than the $p$-Laplacian; for example, it is inhomogeneous. The study of various mathematical problems with variable exponent growth condition has been received considerable attention in recent years. These problems are interesting in applications and raise many difficult mathematical problems. One of the most studied models leading to the problem of this type is the model of motion

[^0]of electrorheological fluids, which are characterized by their ability to drastically change the mechanical properties under the influence of an exterior electromagnetic field [1,2]. Problems with variable exponent growth conditions also appear in the mathematical modeling of stationary thermo-rheological viscous flows of non-Newtonian fluids and in the mathematical description of the processes filtration of an ideal barotropic gas through a porous medium [3, 4]. Another field of application of equations with variable exponent growth conditions is image processing [5]. The variable nonlinearity is used to outline the borders of the true image and to eliminate possible noise. We refer the readers to [6-10] for an overview and references on this subject, and to [11-17] for the study of the $p(x)$-Laplacian equations and the corresponding variational problems.

The problem (1.1) is related to the stationary version of a model introduced by Kirchhoff [18]. More precisely, Kirchhoff proposed a model given by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}-\left(\frac{\rho_{0}}{h}+\frac{E}{2 L} \int_{0}^{L}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho, \rho_{0}, h, E, L$ are constants, which extends the classical D'Alembert's wave equation, by considering the effects of the changes in the length of the strings during the vibrations. A distinguishing feature of equation (1.2) is that the equation contains a nonlocal coefficient $\frac{\rho_{0}}{h}+$ $\frac{E}{2 L} \int_{0}^{L}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x$ which depends on the average $\frac{1}{2 L} \int_{0}^{L}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x$, and hence the equation is no longer a pointwise identity. Some early classical studies of Kirchhoff equations can be found in Bernstein [19] and Pohožaev [20]. The equation

$$
\begin{cases}-\left(a+b \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right) \Delta u=f(x, u) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.3}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

is related to the stationary analogue of the equation (1.2). Eq. (1.3) received much attention only after Lions [21] proposed an abstract framework to the problem. Some important and interesting results can be found, for example, in [22-24]. More recently Alves et al. [25] and Ma and Rivera [26] obtained positive solutions of such problems by variational methods. The study of Kirchhoff type equations has already been extended to the case involving the p-Laplacian [27-29] and $p(x)$-Laplacian [30, 31].

In [32], the authors considered a nonlocal elliptic system of the $p$-Kirchhoff type. By Ekeland Variational Principle [33], they established the existence of weak solutions of the problem. Motivated by above, we consider the nonlocal elliptic system (1.1). We establish conditions ensuring the existence of solutions for system (1.1).

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some necessary preliminary knowledge on variable exponent Sobolev spaces. In Sections 3, we give our main results and their proofs.

## 2. Preliminaries

In order to discuss problem (1.1), we need some theories on $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ which we call variable exponent Sobolev space. Firstly we state some basic properties of spaces $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$
which will be used later (for details, see [16]). Denote by $\mathbf{S}(\Omega)$ the set of all measurable real functions defined on $\Omega$.

Write

$$
C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})=\{h: h \in C(\bar{\Omega}), h(x)>1 \text { for any } x \in \bar{\Omega}\}
$$

and

$$
L^{p(x)}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in \mathbf{S}(\Omega): \int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{p(x)} \mathrm{d} x<+\infty\right\}
$$

with the norm

$$
|u|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}=|u|_{p(x)}=\inf \left\{\lambda>0: \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{u(x)}{\lambda}\right|^{p(x)} \mathrm{d} x \leq 1\right\},
$$

and

$$
W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega):|\nabla u| \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)\right\}
$$

with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{p(x)}=|u|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}+|\nabla u|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}
$$

Proposition 2.1 ([16]) The spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ are separable and reflexive Banach spaces.

Proposition 2.2 ([16]) Set $\rho(u)=\int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{p(x)} \mathrm{d} x$. For any $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, then

1) For $u \neq 0,|u|_{p(x)}=\lambda \Leftrightarrow \rho\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}\right)=1$;
2) $|u|_{p(x)}<1(=1 ;>1) \Leftrightarrow \rho(u)<1(=1 ;>1)$;
3) If $|u|_{p(x)}>1$, then $|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}} \leq \rho(u) \leq|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}}$;
4) If $|u|_{p(x)}<1$, then $|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}} \leq \rho(u) \leq|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}}$;
5) $\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left|u_{k}\right|_{p(x)}=0 \Longleftrightarrow \lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \rho\left(u_{k}\right)=0$;
6) $\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left|u_{k}\right|_{p(x)}=+\infty \Longleftrightarrow \lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \rho\left(u_{k}\right)=+\infty$.

Proposition 2.3 ([13,16]) If $q \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $q(x) \leq p^{*}(x)\left(q(x)<p^{*}(x)\right)$ for $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, then there is a continuous (compact) embedding $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$, where

$$
p^{*}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{N p}{N-p} & \text { if } p(x)<N \\
+\infty & \text { if } p(x) \geq N
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proposition $2.4([14,16])$ The conjugate space of $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$, where $\frac{1}{q(x)}+\frac{1}{p(x)}=1$. For any $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$, we have the following Hölder-type inequality

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega} u v \mathrm{~d} x\right| \leq\left(\frac{1}{p^{-}}+\frac{1}{q^{-}}\right)|u|_{p(x)}|v|_{q(x)} .
$$

Let $W_{c}=\{1\}$, that is, the subspace of $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ spanned by the constant function 1 , and $W_{0}=\left\{z \in W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega): \int_{\Omega} z \mathrm{~d} x=0\right\}$ which is called the space of functions of $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ with null mean in $\Omega$. By Hahn-Banach Theorem, we can see that

$$
W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)=W_{0} \oplus W_{c}
$$

i.e., every function $u \in W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ is of the form

$$
u=u_{0}+\alpha
$$

where $\int_{\Omega} u_{0} \mathrm{~d} x=0$ and $\alpha$ is a constant. Consequently, if $(u, v) \in W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega) \times W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$, then

$$
(u, v)=\left(u_{0}+\alpha, v_{0}+\beta\right)=\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)+(\alpha, \beta),
$$

where $\int_{\Omega} u_{0} \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{\Omega} v_{0} \mathrm{~d} x=0$ and $\alpha, \beta$ are constants.
It is well known that the Poincare's inequality does not hold in the space $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$. However, it is true in $W_{0}$ as shown in the next lemma.

Proposition 2.5 (Poincaré-Wirtinger's Inequality) There is a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
|u|_{p(x)} \leq C|\nabla u|_{p(x)} \text { for all } z \in W_{0}
$$

Proof Let $\varphi: W_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the functional given by $\varphi(u)=|\nabla u|_{p(x)}$ for all $u \in W_{0}$ and $S$ be the manifold

$$
S=\left\{u \in W_{0}:|u|_{p(x)}=1\right\} .
$$

Since $\varphi$ is bounded from below on $S$ and lower semicontinuous, it follows that there is a minimizing sequence $\left(u_{n}\right) \subset S$, that is,

$$
\varphi\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \inf _{S} \varphi=\varphi_{0} \geq 0
$$

Consequently, $\left|u_{n}\right|_{p(x)}=1$ and there is a positive constant $C_{1}$ such that $\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|_{p(x)} \leq C_{1}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From these facts we infer that the sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$. Then there is a subsequence still denoted by $\left(u_{n}\right)$ which converges weakly in $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$. By virtue of compactness of the Sobolev embedding we have that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{r(x)}(\Omega), r \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $r(x)<p^{*}(x)$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$. In particular, $0=\int_{\Omega} u_{n} \mathrm{~d} x \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} u \mathrm{~d} x=0$ and $1=\left|u_{n}\right|_{p(x)} \rightarrow|u|_{p(x)}$ and thus $u \in S$.

Let us show that $\varphi_{0}>0$. Suppose on the contrary that $\varphi_{0}=0$. In this case, up to subsequences, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|_{p(x)}=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|_{p(x)}+\left|u_{n}\right|_{p(x)}-\left|u_{n}\right|_{p(x)}\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p(x)}-\left|u_{n}\right|_{p(x)}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p(x)}-\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left|u_{n}\right|_{p(x)} \geq\|u\|_{p(x)}-|u|_{p(x)} \\
& =|\nabla u|_{p(x)}
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields $|\nabla u|_{p(x)} \leq 0$. Therefore, $u(x)=C_{2}$ a.e., in $\Omega$, with $C_{2}$ a real constant. Since $u \in S \subset W_{0}$, one has

$$
\int_{\Omega} u \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{\Omega} C_{2} \mathrm{~d} x=0
$$

and we conclude that $C_{2}=0$, which is impossible because $|u|_{p(x)}=1$. Consequently, $\varphi_{0}>0$. Thus,

$$
\varphi_{0}=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|_{p(x)} \geq|\nabla u|_{p(x)} \geq \varphi_{0}
$$

Hence, $\varphi(u)=|\nabla u|_{p(x)}=\varphi_{0}$, which shows that the infimum of $\varphi$ is attained on $S$. Consequently,

$$
\varphi_{0} \leq|\nabla u|_{p(x)}
$$

for all $u \in W_{0}$ with $|u|_{p(x)}=1$. If $0 \neq u \in W_{0}$,

$$
\varphi_{0} \leq\left|\nabla \frac{u}{|u|_{p(x)}}\right|_{p(x)}=\frac{|\nabla u|_{p(x)}}{|u|_{p(x)}}
$$

It follows that

$$
|u|_{p(x)} \leq \frac{1}{\varphi_{0}}|\nabla u|_{p(x)} \text { for all } u \in W_{0}
$$

which shows the Poincaré-Wirtinger's inequality in $W_{0}$.
For every $(u, v)$ and $(\varphi, \psi)$ in $W:=W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega) \times W^{1, q(x)}(\Omega)$, let

$$
\mathcal{F}(u, v):=\int_{\Omega} F(u, v) \mathrm{d} x
$$

Then $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}(u, v)(\varphi, \psi)=D_{1} \mathcal{F}(u, v)(\varphi)+D_{2} \mathcal{F}(u, v)(\psi)$, where

$$
D_{1} \mathcal{F}(u, v)(\varphi)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u}(u, v) \varphi \mathrm{d} x
$$

and

$$
D_{2} \mathcal{F}(u, v)(\psi)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial F}{\partial v}(u, v) \psi \mathrm{d} x
$$

The Euler-Lagrange functional associated to (1.1) is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(u, v)= & \widehat{M}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} \mathrm{d} x\right)+\widehat{M}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)}|\nabla v|^{q(x)} \mathrm{d} x\right)- \\
& \mathcal{F}(u, v)-\int_{\Omega} \rho_{1}(x) u \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\Omega} \rho_{2}(x) v \mathrm{~d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\widehat{M}(t):=\int_{0}^{t} M(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau$. It is easy to verify that $J \in C^{1}(W, \mathbb{R})$ and $(u, v) \in W$ is a weak solution of (1.1) if and only if $(u, v)$ is a critical point of $J$. Moreover, we have

$$
J^{\prime}(u, v)(\varphi, \psi)=D_{1} J(u, v)(\varphi)+D_{2} J(u, v)(\psi)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{1} J(u, v)(\varphi)=M\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} \mathrm{d} x\right) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi \mathrm{~d} x-D_{1} \mathcal{F}(u, v)(\varphi)-\int_{\Omega} \rho_{1} \varphi \mathrm{~d} x \\
& D_{2} J(u, v)(\varphi)=M\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)}|\nabla v|^{q(x)} \mathrm{d} x\right) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{q(x)-2} \nabla v \nabla \psi \mathrm{~d} x-D_{2} \mathcal{F}(u, v)(\psi)-\int_{\Omega} \rho_{2} \psi \mathrm{~d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us choose on $W$ the norm $\|\cdot\|$ defined by

$$
\|(u, v)\|:=\|u\|_{p(x)}+\|v\|_{q(x)} .
$$

## 3. Existence of solution

In this section we shall discuss the existence of weak solution of (1.1). For simplicity, we use $C, C_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots$ to denote the general positive constant (the exact value may change from line to line).

Before stating our results, we introduce some natural hypotheses on the righthand side of (1.1) and the nonlocal coefficient $M(t)$.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ There is $k>0$ such that $F(u+k, v+k)=F(u, v)$, for all $(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \quad \exists m_{0}>0$ such that $M(t) \geq m_{0}$.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right) \quad \rho_{1}(x) \in L^{p^{\prime}(x)}(\Omega)$ with $\frac{1}{p(x)}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}(x)}=1, \rho_{2}(x) \in L^{q^{\prime}(x)}(\Omega)$ with $\frac{1}{q(x)}+\frac{1}{q^{\prime}(x)}=1$ and $\int_{\Omega} \rho_{1}(x) \mathrm{d} x=\int_{\Omega} \rho_{2}(x) \mathrm{d} x=0$.

Lemma 3.1 If $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}\right)$ and $\left(H_{3}\right)$ hold, the functional $J$ is bounded from below.
Proof Firstly, we shall prove that $J$ is well defined. To do this, it is enough to show that $\mathcal{F}$, $\int_{\Omega} \rho_{1} u \mathrm{~d} x$ and $\int_{\Omega} \rho_{2} v \mathrm{~d} x$ are well defined. Since $F$ is continuous on $[0, k] \times[0, k]$ and $F(u+k, v+k)=$ $F(u, v)$ for all $(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, it follows that $|F(u, v)| \leq C_{3}$, for all $(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, and so

$$
\mathcal{F}(u, v) \leq C_{3}|\Omega| \text { for all }(u, v) \in W
$$

On the other hand, from $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$, we can easily see that $\int_{\Omega} \rho_{1} u \mathrm{~d} x \leq C_{4}$ for $u \in W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $\int_{\Omega} \rho_{2} v \mathrm{~d} x \leq C_{5}$ for $v \in W^{1, q(x)}(\Omega)$.

Let us show that $J$ is bounded from below. If $(u, v) \in W, u$ and $v$ may be written as

$$
u=u_{0}+\alpha \text { and } v=v_{0}+\beta
$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\int_{\Omega} u_{0} \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{\Omega} v_{0} \mathrm{~d} x=0$. Thus by Poincaré-Wirtinger's Inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(u, v) \geq & \frac{m_{0}}{p^{+}} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} \mathrm{d} x+\frac{m_{0}}{q^{+}} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{q(x)} \mathrm{d} x- \\
& \int_{\Omega} \rho_{1}\left(u_{0}+\alpha\right) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\Omega} \rho_{2}\left(v_{0}+\beta\right) \mathrm{d} x-C_{6} \\
\geq & \frac{m_{0}}{p^{+}} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} \mathrm{d} x+\frac{m_{0}}{q^{+}} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{q(x)} \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\Omega} \rho_{1} u_{0} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\Omega} \rho_{2} v_{0} \mathrm{~d} x-C_{6} \\
\geq & \frac{m_{0}}{p^{+}} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} \mathrm{d} x+\frac{m_{0}}{q^{+}} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{q(x)} \mathrm{d} x-\left|\rho_{1}\right|_{p^{\prime}}\left|u_{0}\right|_{p(x)}-\left|\rho_{2}\right|_{q^{\prime}(x)}\left|v_{0}\right|_{q(x)}-C_{6} \\
\geq & \frac{m_{0}}{p^{+}} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} \mathrm{d} x+\frac{m_{0}}{q^{+}} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{q(x)} \mathrm{d} x-C_{7}\left|\rho_{1}\right|_{p^{\prime}(x)}\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|_{p(x)}-C_{8}\left|\rho_{2}\right|_{q^{\prime}(x)}\left|\nabla v_{0}\right|_{q(x)}-C_{6} \\
\geq & \frac{m_{0}}{p^{+}} \min \left\{\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}},\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}}\right\}+\frac{m_{0}}{q^{+}} \min \left\{\left|\nabla v_{0}\right|_{q(x)}^{q^{-}},\left|\nabla v_{0}\right|_{q(x)}^{q^{+}}\right\}- \\
& C_{7}\left|\rho_{1}\right|_{p^{\prime}(x)}\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|_{p(x)}-C_{8}\left|\rho_{2}\right|_{q^{\prime}(x)}\left|\nabla v_{0}\right|_{q(x)}-C_{6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Because the function

$$
(s, t) \mapsto \frac{m_{0}}{p^{+}} \min \left\{s^{p^{-}}, s^{p^{+}}\right\}+\frac{m_{0}}{q^{+}} \min \left\{t^{q^{-}}, t^{q^{+}}\right\}-C_{7}\left|\rho_{1}\right|_{p^{\prime}(x)} s-C_{8}\left|\rho_{2}\right|_{q^{\prime}(x)} t-C_{6}, \quad s, t \geq 0
$$

is bounded from below, we conclude that $J$ is also bounded from below.
Theorem 3.1 Under assumptions $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{3}\right)$, problem (1.1) possesses a weak solution $(u, v) \in$ $W$.

Proof We shall find a critical point of the functional $J$. As $J$ is a $C^{1}$ and bounded from below functional, it follows from the Ekeland Variational Principle that there exists $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \in W$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow \inf _{W} J \text { and } J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
u_{n}=u_{n}^{0}+\alpha_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad v_{n}=v_{n}^{0}+\beta_{n},
$$

where $\alpha_{n}$ and $\beta_{n}$ are real constants and $\int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{0} \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{\Omega} v_{n}^{0} \mathrm{~d} x=0$. From (3.1) we have $\left|J\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right| \leq$ $C_{9}$, for some positive constant $C_{9}$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We now use the Lemma 3.1 to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{10} \leq & \frac{m_{0}}{p^{+}} \min \left\{\left|\nabla u_{n}^{0}\right|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}},\left|\nabla u_{n}^{0}\right|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}}\right\}+\frac{m_{0}}{q^{+}} \min \left\{\left|\nabla v_{n}^{0}\right|_{q(x)}^{q^{-}},\left|\nabla v_{n}^{0}\right|_{q(x)}^{q^{+}}\right\}- \\
& c\left|\rho_{1}\right|_{p(x)^{\prime}}\left|\nabla u_{n}^{0}\right|_{p(x)}-c\left|\rho_{2}\right|_{q^{\prime}(x)}\left|\nabla v_{n}^{0}\right|_{q(x)} \leq C_{9}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that the sequences $\left|\nabla u_{n}^{0}\right|_{p(x)}$ and $\left|\nabla v_{n}^{0}\right|_{q(x)}$ are bounded. By virtue of the PoincaréWirtinger's inequality $\left|u_{n}^{0}\right|_{p(x)}$ and $\left|v_{n}^{0}\right|_{q(x)}$ are bounded too. Consequently, $\left(u_{n}^{0}\right)$ is bounded sequences in $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $\left(v_{n}^{0}\right)$ is bounded sequences in $W^{1, q(x)}(\Omega)$. It is obvious that there exists constant $k$ large enough such that $\alpha_{n}, \beta_{n} \in[0, k]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded sequence in $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $\left(v_{n}\right)$ is bounded sequence in $W^{1, q(x)}(\Omega)$. Hence, up to a subsequence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightharpoonup(u, v) \text { in } W, \\
\int_{\Omega} \rho_{1} u_{n} \mathrm{~d} x & \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \rho_{1} u \mathrm{~d} x, \quad \int_{\Omega} \rho_{2} v_{n} \mathrm{~d} x \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \rho_{2} v \mathrm{~d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow(u, v) \text { a.e. in } \Omega .
$$

Due to the continuity of $F, F\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow F(u, v)$ a.e., in $\Omega$ and because $\left|F\left(u_{n}(x), v_{n}(x)\right)\right| \leq C_{3}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ a.e., in $\Omega$, we may use the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem to conclude that

$$
\int_{\Omega} F\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) \mathrm{d} x .
$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 3.1 of [31], we have

$$
\widehat{M}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} \mathrm{d} x\right) \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \widehat{M}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} \mathrm{d} x\right)
$$

and

$$
\widehat{M}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)}|\nabla v|^{q(x)} \mathrm{d} x\right) \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \widehat{M}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{q(x)} \mathrm{d} x\right)
$$

Consequently,

$$
\inf _{W} J=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} J\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \geq J(u, v),
$$

which implies that $J(u, v)=\inf _{W} J$. Since $(u, v) \in W$ and is a weak solution of problem (1.1), we conclude that such a function satisfies the Neumann boundary condition in the trace sense. This finishes the proof of the theorem.
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