Journal of Mathematical Research with Applications Jan., 2014, Vol. 34, No. 1, pp. 105–113 DOI:10.3770/j.issn:2095-2651.2014.01.011 Http://jmre.dlut.edu.cn

Strong Limit Theorems for Weighted Sums of Widely Orthant Dependent Random Variables

Dehua $QIU^{1,*}$, Tienchung HU^2

1. School of Mathematics and Statistics, Guangdong University of Finance and Economics, Guangdong 510320, P. R. China;

2. Department of Mathematics, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu 30013, Taiwan

Abstract Strong limit theorems are established for weighted sums of widely orthant dependent (WOD) random variables. As corollaries, the strong limit theorems for weighted sums of extended negatively orthant dependent (ENOD) random variables are also obtained, which extend and improve the related known works in the literature.

Keywords widely orthant dependent (WOD) random variables; extended negatively orthant dependent (ENOD) random variables; weighted sums; strong limit theorem.

MR(2010) Subject Classification 60F15

1. Introduction

Let $\{\Omega, \Im, P\}$ be a probability space. In the following, all random variables are assumed to be defined on $\{\Omega, \Im, P\}$. Let $\{X, X_n, n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with EX = 0 and $\{k_n, n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of positive integers with $k_n \le Mn$ (where M is a positive constant not depending on n) and let $\{b_{ni}, 1 \le i \le k_n, n \ge 1\}$ be an array of real numbers. The strong limit theorems of weighted sums $S_{k_n} = \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} b_{ni}X_i$ were studied by many authors. For instance, Thrum [1] obtained the following result

Theorem A If $\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{ni}^2 = 1$, and $E|X|^p < \infty$ for some $p \ge 2$, then $S_n/n^{1/p} \to 0$ a.s. $n \to \infty$. Li et al. [2] improved the above result into

Theorem B If $E|X|^p < \infty$ for some $p \ge 2$, $\sup_{n,k} |b_{nk}| < \infty$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{k_n} b_{ni}^2 = O(n^{\delta})$ $(0 < \delta < 2/p)$, then $S_{k_n}/n^{1/p} \to 0$ a.s. $n \to \infty$.

Sung [3] improved Theorem B into

Theorem C Let $p > 1, \{b_{ni}, 1 \le i \le n\}$ be an array of constants such that

 $\begin{array}{ll} (i) & \max_{1 \le i \le n} |b_{ni}| = O(1/n^{1/p}), \\ (ii) & \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |b_{ni}|^{\tau} = O(1/n^{\tau/p-1+\gamma}) \text{ for some } \tau > 0 \text{ and } \gamma > 0, & \text{ if } 1 0, & \text{ if } p \ge 2. \end{array} \right. \\ \text{If } E|X|^{p} < \infty, \text{ then } S_{n} \to 0 \quad \text{a.s. } n \to \infty. \end{array}$

Received March 10, 2013; Accepted July 9, 2013

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11271161).

* Corresponding author

E-mail address: qiudhua@sina.com (Dehua QIU)

Bai and Cheng [4] proved that

Theorem D Suppose that $1 < \alpha$, $\beta < \infty$, $1 , and <math>1/p = 1/\alpha + 1/\beta$. Let $\{b_{ni}, 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1\}$ be an array of real constants such that

$$A_{\alpha} =: \limsup_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} |b_{nk}|^{\alpha}\right)^{1/\alpha} < \infty.$$

 $\text{If } E|X|^{\beta}<\infty, \text{ then } S_n/n^{1/p} \to 0 \quad \text{a.s. } n \to \infty.$

Wang et al. [5] introduced the following dependence structure.

Definition 1.1 Random variables Y_1, Y_2, \ldots are said to be widely upper orthant dependent (WUOD) if for each $n \ge 1$, there exists some finite positive number $g_U(n)$ such that, for all $y_i \in (-\infty, \infty), i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$,

$$P(Y_1 > y_1, \dots, Y_n > y_n) \le g_U(n) \prod_{i=1}^n P(Y_i > y_i);$$
 (1.1)

they are said to be widely lower orthant dependent (WLOD) if for each $n \ge 1$, there exists some finite positive number $g_L(n)$ such that, for all $y_i \in (-\infty, \infty), i = 1, 2, ..., n$,

$$P(Y_1 \le y_1, \dots, Y_n \le y_n) \le g_L(n) \prod_{i=1}^n P(Y_i \le y_i)$$
 (1.2)

and they are said to be widely orthant dependent (WOD) if they are both WUOD and WLOD.

WUOD, WLOD and WOD random variables are called by a joint name widely dependent random variables. Wang et al. [5] pointed out that the widely dependent random variables contain common negatively dependent random variables, some positively dependent random variables and some others by some interesting examples. In the case $g_U(n) = g_L(n) = M$ for all $n \ge 1$ and some finite positive constant $M \geq 1$, inequality (1.1) and (1.2) describe extended negatively upper and lower orthant dependent (ENOUD/ENLOD), respectively. Random variables Y_1, Y_2, \ldots are said to be extended negatively orthant dependent (ENOD) if they are both ENUOD and ENLOD. The concept of general negative dependence was proposed by Liu [6]. More especially, if M = 1in both (1.1) and (1.2), then the random variables Y_1, Y_2, \ldots are called negatively upper orthant dependent (NUOD) and negatively lower orthant dependent (NLOD), respectively, and they are called negatively orthant dependent (NOD) if they are both NUOD and NLOD (see, Block et al. [7], Ebrahimi and Ghosh [8], Wu [9]). Negative association (NA, see, Jing and Liang [10]) is the special case of NOD. A great number of articles for negatively dependent random variables have appeared in literature. For further research on ENOD random variables, we refer to Liu [6], Liu [11], Chen et al. [12], Chen et al. [13], Qiu et al. [14], Yang and Wang [15], and so on. For further research on widely dependent random variables, we refer to Wang et al. [5], Wang and Cheng [16], Liu et al. [17], He et al. [18], and so on.

Jing and Liang [10] extended and improved Theorems C and D to the NA setting, and Wu [9] extended Theorem D to the NOD setting. In this paper, the main purpose is to establish strong limit theorems for weighted sums of WOD random variables. As corollaries, the limit

theorems for weighted sums of ENOD random variables are obtained, which extend and improve Theorem C–Theorem D and extend the corresponding results of Jing and Liang [10], Wu [9].

Definition 1.2 A sequence of random variables $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ is said to be stochastically dominated by a nonnegative random variable X, if there exists a positive constant D such that

$$P(|X_n| > x) \le DP(X > x)$$
 for all $x > 0$ and $n \ge 1$.

In this case, we write $\{X_n, n \ge 1\} \prec X$.

In order to prove our main result, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1.3 ([5]) (1) Let $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ be WLOD (WUOD). If $\{f_n, n \ge 1\}$ are nondecreasing, then $\{f_n(X_n), n \ge 1\}$ are still WLOD(WUOD); If $\{f_n, n \ge 1\}$ are nonincreasing, then $\{f_n(X_n), n \ge 1\}$ are still WUOD (WLOD).

(2) If $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ are nonnegative WUOD, then for each $n \ge 1$,

$$E(\prod_{j=1}^{n} X_j) \le g_U(n) \prod_{j=1}^{n} EX_j.$$

In particular, if $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ are WUOD, then for each $n \ge 1$ and any s > 0,

$$E\exp(s\sum_{i=j}^{n}X_{j}) \le g_{U}(n)\prod_{j=1}^{n}E\exp(sX_{j}).$$

By (2) of Lemma 1.3, we have

Lemma 1.4 Let $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ be WUOD such that

$$|X_k| \le b_k, 1 \le k \le n.$$

Then for each $n \ge 1$ and any s > 0,

$$E \exp(s \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_k) \le g_U(n) \exp\{s \sum_{k=1}^{n} EX_k + \frac{s^2}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} e^{sb_k} EX_k^2\}.$$

Throughout this paper, $\{k_n, n \ge 1\}$ will be a sequence of positive integers with $k_n \le Mn$, where M is a positive constant not depending on n. C will represent positive constant which may change from one place to another, I(A) represent the indicator function of the set A.

2. Main results and proofs

Theorem 2.1 Let p > 2, $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of WOD random variables with $EX_n = 0, n \ge 1, \{X_n, n \ge 1\} \prec X$, and $EX^p < \infty$. Let $\{a_{ni}, 1 \le i \le k_n, n \ge 1\}$ be an array of constants such that $\max_{1 \le i \le k_n} |a_{ni}| = O(n^{-1/p})$. Let $c_n = \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} a_{ni}^2$. If

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \max\{g_U(n), g_L(n)\} n^{-\theta} < \infty \quad \text{for some} \quad \theta > 0,$$
(2.1)

Dehua QIU and Tienchung HU

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \max\{g_U(n), g_L(n)\} \exp(-u/c_n) < \infty \text{ for any } u > 0.$$
(2.2)

Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ni} X_i \to 0 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad n \to \infty.$$
(2.3)

Proof From the proof of Theorem 3.1 of Li et al. [2], without loss of generality, we assume that $k_n = n$ for every $n \ge 1$. Since $a_{ni} = a_{ni}^+ - a_{ni}^-$, we assume that

$$0 < a_{ni} \le n^{-1/p}, \ \forall \ 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1.$$
(2.4)

We note that $EX^p < \infty$ is equivalent to $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(X \ge \delta n^{1/p}) < \infty$ for any $\delta > 0$, hence we get that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_n| \ge \delta n^{1/p}) < \infty$. Thus it is possible to construct a sequence $\{b_n, n \ge 1\}$ of real numbers such that $0 < b_n \leq 1, b_n \downarrow 0$, and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_n| > b_n n^{1/p}) < \infty.$$

 $d_{n-1}(\frac{n-1}{n})^{1/p}$ for $n \ge 2$. Then $0 < d_n \le 1$, $d_n \downarrow 0$, and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_n| > d_n n^{1/p}) < \infty.$$
(2.5)

For $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1$, define

$$\begin{split} X_{ni}^{(1)} &= -n^{-\rho} I(a_{ni} X_i < -n^{-\rho}) + a_{ni} X_i I(|a_{ni} X_i| \le n^{-\rho}) + n^{-\rho} I(a_{ni} X_i > n^{-\rho}), \\ X_{ni}^{(2)} &= (a_{ni} X_i + n^{-\rho}) I(a_{ni} X_i < -d_n) + (a_{ni} X_i - n^{-\rho}) I(a_{ni} X_i > d_n), \\ X_{ni}^{(3)} &= a_{ni} X_i - X_{ni}^{(1)} - X_{ni}^{(2)}, \\ T_n^{(l)} &= \sum_{i=1}^n X_{ni}^{(l)}, \quad l = 1, 2, 3. \end{split}$$

In order to prove (2.3), it suffices to show that $T_n^{(l)} \to 0$ a.s. $n \to \infty$ for l = 1, 2, 3. For $T_n^{(1)}$, in order to show that $T_n^{(1)} \to 0$ a.s. $n \to \infty$, by the Borel-Cantelli Lemma, it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|T_n^{(1)}| > \epsilon) < \infty, \quad \forall \ \epsilon > 0.$$

$$(2.6)$$

First, we show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(T_n^{(1)} > \epsilon) < \infty, \quad \forall \ \epsilon > 0.$$
(2.7)

By Lemma 1.3, it is clear that for every $n \ge 1$, $\{X_{ni}^{(1)}, i = 1, ..., n\}$ is still a sequence of WOD random variables. Since $|X_{ni}^{(1)}| \le n^{-\rho}$, by Lemma 1.4 and the Markov inequality, we have that

$$P(T_n^{(1)} > \epsilon) \le \exp(-u_n \epsilon) E \exp(u_n T_n^{(1)})$$

$$\le g_U(n) \exp\left(-u_n \epsilon + u_n \sum_{i=1}^n E X_{ni}^{(1)} + \frac{u_n^2}{2} e^{u_n n^{-\rho}} \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_{ni}^{(1)})^2\right), \quad \forall u_n > 0.$$
(2.8)

By (1.1) and (1.2), we get $g_U(n) \ge 1$ and $g_L(n) \ge 1$ for all $n \ge 1$, thus, by (2.2), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} c_n = 0. \tag{2.9}$$

In view of $EX_n = 0$ $(n \ge 1)$, $EX^p < \infty$, p > 2, (2.4), and (2.9), choose ρ small enough such that $1 - 2/p - \rho p > 0$, we get that

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} EX_{ni}^{(1)}\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ E|a_{ni}X_{i}|I(|a_{ni}X_{i}| > n^{-\rho}) + n^{-\rho}P(|a_{ni}X_{i}| > n^{-\rho}) \right\}$$
$$\leq 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} n^{\rho(p-1)}E|a_{ni}X_{i}|^{p}$$
$$\leq Cn^{\rho(p-1)}(\max_{1\leq i\leq n} a_{ni})^{p-2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ni}^{2}$$
$$\leq Cn^{\rho(p-1)-1+2/p}c_{n} \to 0, \quad n \to \infty.$$
(2.10)

We note that $EX^p < \infty$ and p > 2 imply that $EX^2 < \infty$. So

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} E(X_{ni}^{(1)})^2 \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ni}^2 E X_i^2 \le C c_n.$$
(2.11)

Let $u_n \in (0, n^{\rho}]$, from (2.8), (2.10) and (2.11), for sufficiently large n, we have that

$$P(T_n^{(1)} > \epsilon) \le g_U(n) \exp(-\frac{\epsilon}{2}u_n + Cu_n^2 c_n).$$

$$(2.12)$$

Let $u_n = \min\{\epsilon/(4Cc_n), n^{\rho}\}$. If $\epsilon/(4Cc_n) \ge n^{\rho}$, for sufficiently large n, from (2.12), we get that $P(T_n^{(1)} > \epsilon) \le g_U(n) \exp(-\epsilon n^{\rho}/4)$. If $\epsilon/(4Cc_n) < n^{\rho}$, then $P(T_n^{(1)} > \epsilon) \le g_U(n) \exp(-\epsilon^2/(16Cc_n))$. Thus, from (2.1) and (2.2), (2.7) holds. In a similar way, we have $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(-T_n^{(1)} > \epsilon) < \infty$. Therefore, (2.6) holds, we have proved that $T_n^{(1)} \to 0$ a.s. $n \to \infty$.

For $T_n^{(3)}$, choose positive integer N such that $(1 - 2/p - p\rho)N > \theta$. For any fixed $\epsilon > 0$, and for sufficiently large n such that $n^{-\rho} \leq d_n < \epsilon/N$, from the definition of $X_{ni}^{(3)}$, we get that: if $a_{ni}X_i \leq n^{-\rho}$, then $X_{ni}^{(3)} \leq 0$; if $a_{ni}X_i > n^{-\rho}$, then $X_{ni}^{(3)} \leq d_n < \epsilon/N$. So we have that

$$P(T_n^{(3)} > \epsilon) \le P$$
 (there are at least N values of i such that $a_{ni}X_i > n^{-\rho}$)

$$\leq \sum_{1 \leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{N} \leq n} P(a_{ni_{1}}X_{i_{1}} > n^{-\rho}, \dots, a_{ni_{N}}X_{i_{N}} > n^{-\rho})$$

$$\leq \sum_{1 \leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{N} \leq n} g_{U}(n)P(a_{ni_{1}}X_{i_{1}} > n^{-\rho}) \dots P(a_{ni_{N}}X_{i_{N}} > n^{-\rho})$$

$$\leq g_{U}(n) \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n} P(a_{ni}X_{i} > n^{-\rho})\Big)^{N} \leq g_{U}(n) \Big(n^{\rho p} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ni}^{p} E|X_{i}|^{p}\Big)^{N}$$

$$\leq g_{U}(n) \Big(n^{\rho p} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_{ni}^{p-2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ni}^{2}\Big)^{N}$$

$$\leq g_{U}(n)n^{-(1-2/p-p\rho)N}(c_{n})^{N}.$$
(2.13)

Therefore, we get $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(T_n^{(3)} > \epsilon) < \infty$ by (2.1) and (2.13). In a similar way, we have $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(-T_n^{(3)} > \epsilon) < \infty$. Thus $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|T_n^{(3)}| > \epsilon) < \infty$, which implies $T_n^{(3)} \to 0$ a.s. $n \to \infty$.

For $T_n^{(2)}$, by (2.5) and the Borel-Cantelli Lemma, we have $P(|X_n| > d_n n^{1/p} \text{ i.o.}) = 0$. Thus \exists event $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$ such that $P(\Omega_0) = 1$ and for $\forall \ \omega \in \Omega_0, \exists$ positive interger $N(\omega)$ satisfying $|X_k| \leq d_k k^{1/p}, \forall \ k > N(\omega)$. So, from (2.4) and the definition of d_n , we have that $I(|a_{nk}X_k| > d_n) \leq I(|X_k| > d_n n^{1/p}) = 0$ for $\forall \ \omega \in \Omega_0, k \in (N(\omega), n]$. Then we have by the definition of $X_{ni}^{(2)}$ that

$$\left| T_{n}^{(2)} \right| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^{N(\omega)} X_{ni}^{(2)} \right| \le \sum_{i=1}^{N(\omega)} |a_{ni}X_{i}| I(|a_{ni}X_{i}| > d_{n}) \\ \le n^{-1/p} \sum_{i=1}^{N(\omega)} |X_{i}| \to 0, \quad n \to \infty, \ \omega \in \Omega_{0}.$$
(2.14)

Therefore, (2.3) holds. \Box

Corollary 2.2 Let $p, \{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ and $\{a_{ni}, 1 \le i \le k_n, n \ge 1\}$ be as in Theorem 2.1. If (2.1) holds and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k_n} a_{ni}^2 = o\left((\log n)^{-1}\right),\tag{2.15}$$

then (2.3) holds.

Proof Since (2.15) and (2.1) imply (2.2), the result follows from Theorem 2.1. \Box

Corollary 2.3 Let $p > 2, \{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of ENOD random variables with $EX_n = 0, n \ge 1, \{X_n, n \ge 1\} \prec X$, and $EX^p < \infty, \{a_{ni}, 1 \le i \le k_n, n \ge 1\}$ be as in Theorem 2.1. If

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-u/c_n\right) < \infty, \quad \forall u > 0,$$
(2.16)

then (2.3) holds.

Proof Since $g_U(n) = g_L(n) = M$ for all $n \ge 1$ and some finite positive constant $M \ge 1$, (2.1) is satisfied automatically for $\theta > 1$ and (2.16) implies (2.2). Hence the result follows from Theorem 2.1. \Box

Corollary 2.4 Let $p, \{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ and $\{a_{ni}, 1 \le i \le k_n, n \ge 1\}$ be as in Corollary 2.3. If (2.15) holds, then (2.3) holds.

Theorem 2.5 Let $0 be a sequence of WOD random variables with <math>\{X_n, n \geq 1\} \prec X$, and $EX^p < \infty$. Let $\{a_{ni}, 1 \leq i \leq k_n, n \geq 1\}$ be an array of constants such that $\max_{1 \leq i \leq k_n} |a_{ni}| = O(n^{-1/p})$. If p > 1, moreover, we assume that $EX_n = 0, n \geq 1$. If (2.1) holds and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k_n} |a_{ni}|^p = O(n^{-\delta}) \text{ for some } \delta > 0,$$
(2.17)

then (2.3) holds.

Proof The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.1. Define $k_n, a_{ni}, d_n, X_{ni}^{(l)}$ (l = 1, 2, 3) as in

Theorem 2.1. If $1 , in view of <math>EX_n = 0$, we have that

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} EX_{ni}^{(1)}\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ E|a_{ni}X_{i}|I(|a_{ni}X_{i}| > n^{-\rho}) + n^{-\rho}P(|a_{ni}X_{i}| > n^{-\rho}) \right\}$$
$$\leq 2n^{\rho(p-1)}\sum_{i=1}^{n} E|a_{ni}X_{i}|^{p} \leq Cn^{\rho(p-1)-\delta}.$$

Choose ρ small enough such that $\rho p - \delta < 0$, then $\sum_{i=1}^{n} EX_{ni}^{(1)} \to 0$, $n \to \infty$. If 0 ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} EX_{ni}^{(1)} \right| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} E|a_{ni}X_{i}|I(|a_{ni}X_{i}| \leq n^{-\rho}) + n^{-\rho} \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(|a_{ni}X_{i}| > n^{-\rho}) \\ &\leq 2n^{\rho(p-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E|a_{ni}X_{i}|^{p} \\ &\leq Cn^{\rho(p-1)-\delta} \to 0, \quad n \to \infty, \ \forall \rho > 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by the definition of $X_{ni}^{(1)}$ and (2.17), we have

$$n^{\rho} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E(X_{ni}^{(1)})^{2} \leq n^{\rho} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left\{a_{ni}^{2} X_{i}^{2} I(|a_{ni}X_{i}| \leq n^{-\rho}) + n^{-2\rho} I(|a_{ni}X_{i}| > n^{-\rho})\right\}$$
$$\leq C n^{-\delta - \rho(1-p)} \to 0, \quad n \to \infty.$$

Then, take $u_n = n^{\rho}$, from (2.8), for sufficiently large n, we obtain

$$P(T_n^{(1)} > \epsilon) \le g_U(n) \exp(-n^{\rho} \epsilon/2).$$

Therefore, (2.7) remains true. Similarly $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(-T_n^{(1)} > \epsilon) < \infty$. Hence $T_n^{(1)} \to 0$ a.s. $n \to \infty$. Similarly to (2.13) and (2.14), we have $T_n^{(l)} \to 0$ a.s. $n \to \infty, l = 2, 3$. \Box

Corollary 2.6 Let $0 , <math>\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of ENOD random variables with $\{X_n, n \ge 1\} \prec X$, and $EX^p < \infty$. Let $\{a_{ni}, 1 \le i \le k_n, n \ge 1\}$ be as in Theorem 2.5. If p > 1, moreover, we assume that $EX_n = 0, n \ge 1$. If (2.17) holds, then (2.3) holds.

Remark 2.7 When p > 2, the condition (2.15) is weaker than the condition in Theorem C. When 1 , from Lemma 1.4 of Sung [3], the condition (2.17) is equivalent to the conditionin Theorem C. Therefore Corollaries 2.4 and 2.6 not only extend the Theorem C from i.i.d. toENOD random variables, but also extend the corresponding results of Jing and Liang [10] fromNA to ENOD random variables.

Theorem 2.8 Let $0 for <math>0 < \alpha, \beta < \infty$, and let $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of WOD random variables with $\{X_n, n \ge 1\} \prec X$, and $EX_n = 0, n \ge 1, EX^\beta < \infty$. Let $\{b_{ni}, 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1\}$ be an array of constants such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |b_{ni}|^{\alpha} = O(n).$$
(2.18)

Dehua QIU and Tienchung HU

If (2.1) holds, then

$$n^{-1/p} \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{ni} X_i \to 0 \quad a.s. \quad n \to \infty.$$
 (2.19)

Proof We shall apply Corollary 2.2 and Theorem 2.5 to prove Theorem 2.8. Define $a_{ni} = b_{ni}/n^{1/p}$ for $1 \le i \le n$ and $n \ge 1$. Since $\max_{1 \le i \le n} |b_{ni}| \le n^{1/\alpha} (\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |b_{ni}|^{\alpha})^{1/\alpha}$, assumption (2.18) implies that

$$\max_{1 \le i \le n} |a_{ni}| = O(n^{-1/\beta}).$$

By (2.18) and the Hölder's inequality and the C_r -inequality, respectively, we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |b_{ni}|^{\gamma} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |b_{ni}|^{\alpha}\right)^{\gamma/\alpha} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1\right)^{1-\gamma/\alpha} \le Cn, \quad \forall 0 < \gamma < \alpha;$$
(2.20)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |b_{ni}|^{\gamma} \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} (|b_{ni}|^{\alpha})^{\gamma/\alpha} \le \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |b_{ni}|^{\alpha}\right)^{\gamma/\alpha} \le Cn^{\gamma/\alpha}, \quad \forall \gamma \ge \alpha.$$
(2.21)

For the case $\beta > 2$, we show that the condition (2.15) of Corollary 2.2 holds. If $0 < \alpha \le 2$, by (2.21), we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |a_{ni}|^2 \le C n^{2/\alpha} n^{-2/p} = C n^{-2/\beta}.$$
(2.22)

If $\alpha > 2$, by (2.20), we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |a_{ni}|^2 \le C n^{1-2/p}.$$
(2.23)

From (2.22), (2.23) and 0 , we obtain <math>(2.15).

For the case $0 < \beta \leq 2$, we prove that condition (2.17) of Theorem 2.5 holds. If $\beta < \alpha$, by (2.20), we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |a_{ni}|^{\beta} \le Cnn^{-\beta/p} = Cn^{-\beta/\alpha}.$$
(2.24)

If $\beta \geq \alpha$, by (2.21), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |a_{ni}|^{\beta} \le C n^{\beta/\alpha} n^{-\beta/p} = C n^{-1}.$$
(2.25)

Hence, from (2.24) and (2.25), (2.17) holds. Thus, (2.19) holds by Corollary 2.2 and Theorem 2.5. \Box

Corollary 2.9 Let $0 for <math>0 < \alpha, \beta < \infty$, and let $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of ENOD random variables with $\{X_n, n \ge 1\} \prec X$, and $EX_n = 0, n \ge 1, EX^\beta < \infty$. Let $\{b_{ni}, 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1\}$ be as in Theorem 2.8. Then (2.19) holds.

Remark 2.10 Corollary 2.9 extends Theorem D (see also Corollary 3 of Sung [3]) on i.i.d. case to ENOD random variables, and extends the corresponding results of Jing and Liang [10] and Wu [9].

Acknowledgements We thank the referees for their time and comments.

References

- R. THRUM. A remark on almost sure convergence of weighted sums. Probab. Theory Related Fields, 1987, 75(3): 425–430.
- [2] Deli LI, M. B. RAO, T. F. JIANG, et al. Complete convergence and almost sure convergence of weighted sums of random variables. J. Theoret. Probab., 1995, 8(1): 49–76.
- [3] S. H. SUNG. Strong laws for weighted sums of i.i.d. random variables (II). Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 2002, 39(4): 607–615.
- [4] Zhidong BAI, P. E. CHENG. Marcinkiewicz strong laws for linear statistics. Statist. Probab. Lett., 2000, 46(2): 105–112.
- [5] Kaiyong WANG, Yuebao WANG, Qingwu GAO. Uniform asymptotic for the finite-time ruin probability of dependent risk model with a constant interest rate. Methodol. Comput. Appl. Probab., 2013, 15(1): 109–124.
- [6] Li LIU. Precise large deviations for dependent random variables with heavy tails. Statist. Probab. Lett., 2009, 79(9): 1290–1298.
- [7] H. W. BLOCK, T. H. SAVITS, M. SHAKED. Some concept of negative dependence. Ann. Probab., 1982, 10(3): 765-772.
- [8] N. EBRAHIMI, M. GHOSH. Multivariate negative dependence. Comm. Statist. A-Theory Methods, 1981, 10(4): 307–337.
- [9] Qunying WU. A strong limit theorem for weighted sums of sequences of negatively dependent random variables. J. Inequal. Appl. 2010, Art. ID 383805, 8 pp.
- [10] Binyin GING, Hangying LIANG. Strong limit theorems for weighted sums of negatively associated random variables. J. Theoret. Probab., 2008, 21(4): 890–909.
- [11] Li LIU. Necessary and sufficient conditions for moderate deviations of dependent random variables with heavy tails. Sci. China Math., 2010, 53(6): 1421–1434.
- [12] Yiqing CHEN, Anyue CHEN, K. W. NG. The strong law of large numbers for extend negatively dependent random variables. J. Appl. Probab., 2010, 47(4): 908–922.
- [13] Yang CHEN, Yuebao WANG, Kaiyong WANG. Asymptotic results for ruin probability of a two-dimensional renewal risk model. Stoch. Anal. Appl., 2013, 31(1): 80–91.
- [14] Dehua QIU, Pingyan CHEN, R. G. ANTONINI, et al. On the complete convergence for arrays of rowwise extended negatively dependent random variables. J. Korean Math. Soc., 2013, 50(2): 379–392.
- [15] Yang YANG, Yuebao WANG. Tail behavior of the product of two dependent random variables with applications to risk theory. Extremes, 2013, 16(1): 55–74.
- [16] Yuebao WANG, Dongya CHENG. Basic renewal theorems for random walks with widely dependent increments. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2011, 384(2): 597–606.
- [17] Xijun LIU, Qingwu GAO, Yuebao WANG. A note on a dependent risk model with constant interest rate. Statist. Probab. Lett., 2012, 82(4): 707–712.
- [18] Wei HE, Dongya CHENG, Yuebao WANG. Asymptotic lower bounds of precise large deviations with nonnegative and dependent random variables. Statist. Probab. Lett., 2013, 83(1): 331–338.