

Goodearl-Menal Pairs of Linear Transformations

Chunna LI

*Department of Science, Zhejiang College of Zhejiang University of Technology,
 Zhejiang 310024, P. R. China*

Abstract In this short note we discuss the GM property of some special linear transformation pairs over infinite-dimensional vector spaces. In particular, it is proved that if $R = \text{End}(V_D)$ is the endomorphism ring of an infinite-dimensional right vector space V over a division ring D with $|C(D)| > 3$ and $g \in R$, then $(a_0 + a_1g, g)$ is a GM pair for any $a_0, a_1 \in C(D)$. Furthermore, two existing results are obtained as immediate consequences.

Keywords Goodearl-Menal condition; infinite-dimensional vector space; linear transformation; GM pair.

MR(2010) Subject Classification 16S50; 16U99

1. Introduction

Let R be a ring and $U(R)$ the group of units in R . Recall that R is said to satisfy unit 1-stable range if whenever $aR + bR = R$, there exists $u \in U(R)$ such that $a + bu \in U(R)$. This condition has been studied extensively by many authors. In particular, Menal and Mocasi [1] proved that if R satisfies unit 1-stable range, then $K_1(R) = U(R)/V(R)$, where $K_1(R)$ is the K_1 group of R and $V(R)$ is the subgroup of $U(R)$ generated by $\{(ab + 1)(ba + 1)^{-1} : ab + 1 \in U(R)\}$. A ring R satisfies unit 1-stable range provided that for any $x, y \in R$, there exists $u \in U(R)$ such that $x - u, y - u^{-1} \in U(R)$ (see [2]). The latter condition is called the Goodearl-Menal condition by Chen [3], and has been discussed in [2–7]. In the rest of the paper we will use the term GM condition instead of the Goodearl-Menal condition for brevity.

In [7, Corollary 2.9], the authors proved that in general, the endomorphism ring of an infinite-dimensional vector space over a division ring does not satisfy the GM condition. Precisely, they proved that if R is the ring of linear transformations of a right vector space V over a division ring D , then R satisfies the GM condition if and only if V_D is finitely dimensional and V_D is not isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}_2)_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ or $(\mathbb{Z}_3)_{\mathbb{Z}_3}$ or $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2)_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$. But some elements in $\text{End}(V_D)$ do have the GM property. In this paper we will discuss the GM property of a class of special linear transformation pairs over an infinite-dimensional vector space V_D . Furthermore, two existing results (see [5, Theorem 5] and [8, Theorem 1(2),(3)]) are obtained as corollaries.

Throughout the paper, all rings are associative with identity and modules are unitary right modules. For a right module M over a ring R , denote the endomorphism ring of M_R by $\text{End}(M_R)$

or $\text{End}(M)$. For a ring R , denote by $C(R)$ the set of central elements in R . Write \mathbb{Z}_n for the ring of integers modulo n and $|X|$ for the cardinal of a set X .

2. Main results

Let R be a ring and $x, y \in R$. (x, y) is called a Goodearl-Menal (or GM) pair of R if there exists $u \in U(R)$ such that $x - u, y - u^{-1} \in U(R)$. Obviously, the ring R satisfies the GM condition if and only if for any $x, y \in R$, (x, y) is a GM pair. For a vector space V_D , let $g \in \text{End}(V_D)$ and $a_0, a_1 \in C(D)$. Then $f := a_0 + a_1g$ is an element of $\text{End}(V_D)$ which is defined by $f(v) = va_0 + g(v)a_1$, $v \in V$. For a countably infinite-dimensional vector space V_D , a linear transformation $f \in \text{End}(V_D)$ is called a shift operator if there exists a basis $\{v_1, v_2, \dots\}$ of V such that $f(v_i) = v_{i+1}$ for all i .

Theorem 2.1 *Let $R = \text{End}(V_D)$ and $f, g \in R$, where V is an infinite-dimensional right vector space over a division ring D with $|C(D)| > 3$. If $f = a_0 + a_1g$, where $a_0, a_1 \in C(D)$, then (f, g) is a GM pair.*

Proof Let $S = \{(W, u) | W \text{ is an } f\text{-and } g\text{-invariant subspace of } V, u, f|_W - u, g|_W - u^{-1} \in U(\text{End}(W))\}$. It is obvious that $((0), 1) \in S$. Define $(W', u') \leq (W, u)$ by $W' \subseteq W$ and $u' = u|_{W'}$. This gives a partial order on the set S , and under this order S is an inductive set. Thus, by Zorn's Lemma, there exists a maximal element $(T, h) \in S$. We need only to prove that $T = V$. Suppose on the contrary that $T \neq V$.

Let $0 \neq x \in V \setminus T$ and write $K = \text{span}\{x, g(x), g^2(x), \dots\}$. Note that $f = a_0 + a_1g$. Then K is an f and g -invariant subspace of V . Let $V_0 := T + K$ and write $V_0 = T \oplus N$ for some $0 \neq N \leq V_0$. For $v = t + n \in V_0$, $t \in T$, $n \in N$, we define the following homomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}, \bar{g} : V_0/T &\rightarrow V_0/T \text{ with } \bar{f}(\bar{v}) = \overline{f(v)}, \bar{g}(\bar{v}) = \overline{g(v)}, \\ \pi : V_0 &\rightarrow N \text{ with } \pi(v) = n, \\ \varphi : V_0/T &\rightarrow N \text{ with } \varphi(\bar{v}) = \pi(v), \\ \theta_1 &:= \varphi \bar{f} \varphi^{-1} : N \rightarrow N, \\ \theta_2 &:= \varphi \bar{g} \varphi^{-1} : N \rightarrow N. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $V_0/T = \text{span}\{\bar{x}, \bar{g}(\bar{x}), \bar{g}^2(\bar{x}), \dots\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \text{span}\{\varphi(\bar{x}), \varphi(\bar{g}(\bar{x})), \varphi(\bar{g}^2(\bar{x})), \dots\} \\ &= \text{span}\{\varphi(\bar{x}), \theta_2 \varphi(\bar{x}), \theta_2^2 \varphi(\bar{x}), \dots\}. \end{aligned}$$

Claim In the endomorphism ring $\text{End}(N_D)$ of N_D , (θ_1, θ_2) is a GM pair.

Proof of Claim It suffices to find some $\alpha \in U(\text{End}(N_D))$ such that $\theta_1 - \alpha, \theta_2 - \alpha^{-1} \in U(\text{End}(N_D))$. Denote $\omega_i = \theta_2^i \varphi(\bar{x})$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. It can be seen that N_D is finitely dimensional or $\theta_2 \in \text{End}(N_D)$ is a shift operator.

If $\dim N_D < \infty$, since $D \not\cong \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3$, there exists $\alpha \in U(\text{End}(N_D))$ such that $\theta_1 - \alpha, \theta_2 - \alpha^{-1} \in$

$U(\text{End}(N_D))$ by [7, Corollary 2.9].

If $\dim N_D = \infty$, then $\{\varphi(\bar{x}), \theta_2 \varphi(\bar{x}), \theta_2^2 \varphi(\bar{x}), \dots\}$ is a basis of N and hence θ_2 is a shift operator with respect to this basis. We discuss this situation by two cases.

Case 1 $a_1 = 0$. Since $|C(D)| > 3$, we may take $b \in C(D)$ such that $b \neq 0$ and $b \neq a_0$. Note the fact that $\theta_1 = a_0 + a_1 \theta_2$ since $f = a_0 + a_1 g$.

For $k \geq 1$, define $\alpha : N \rightarrow N$ by

$$\alpha(\omega_{2k-1}) = \omega_{2k-1}b - \omega_{2k}b^2, \quad \alpha(\omega_{2k}) = \omega_{2k}b.$$

Then $\alpha \in U(\text{End}(N_D))$ with

$$\alpha^{-1}(\omega_{2k-1}) = \omega_{2k-1}b^{-1} + \omega_{2k}, \quad \alpha^{-1}(\omega_{2k}) = \omega_{2k}b^{-1}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

It follows that $\theta_1 - \alpha, \theta_2 - \alpha^{-1} \in U(\text{End}(N_D))$. In fact, it can be computed that for $k \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta_1 - \alpha)(\omega_{2k-1}) &= \omega_{2k-1}(a_0 - b) + \omega_{2k}b^2, \\ (\theta_1 - \alpha)(\omega_{2k}) &= \omega_{2k}(a_0 - b) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta_1 - \alpha)^{-1}(\omega_{2k-1}) &= \omega_{2k-1}(a_0 - b)^{-1} - \omega_{2k}(a_0 - b)^{-1}b^2(a_0 - b)^{-1}, \\ (\theta_1 - \alpha)^{-1}(\omega_{2k}) &= \omega_{2k}(a_0 - b)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta_2 - \alpha^{-1})(\omega_{2k-1}) &= -\omega_{2k-1}b^{-1}, \\ (\theta_2 - \alpha^{-1})(\omega_{2k}) &= -\omega_{2k}b^{-1} + \omega_{2k+1} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta_2 - \alpha^{-1})^{-1}(\omega_{2k-1}) &= -\omega_{2k-1}b, \\ (\theta_2 - \alpha^{-1})^{-1}(\omega_{2k}) &= -\omega_{2k}b - \omega_{2k+1}b^2. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2 $a_1 \neq 0$. Since $|C(D)| > 3$, we may take $b \in C(D)$ such that $b \neq 0$, $b \neq a_0$ and if in addition $a_0 \neq 0$, let $b \neq -a_0^{-1}a_1$. It follows that $a_1b^{-1} \neq 0$ and $a_0 + a_1b^{-1} \neq 0$.

For $k \geq 1$, define $\alpha : N \rightarrow N$ by

$$\alpha(\omega_{2k-1}) = \omega_{2k-1}b + \omega_{2k}a_1, \quad \alpha(\omega_{2k}) = -\omega_{2k}a_1b^{-1}.$$

Then $\alpha \in U(\text{End}(N_D))$ with

$$\alpha^{-1}(\omega_{2k-1}) = \omega_{2k-1}b^{-1} + \omega_{2k}, \quad \alpha^{-1}(\omega_{2k}) = -\omega_{2k}ba_1^{-1}.$$

It follows that $\theta_1 - \alpha, \theta_2 - \alpha^{-1} \in U(\text{End}(N_D))$. In fact, it can be computed that for $k \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta_1 - \alpha)(\omega_{2k-1}) &= \omega_{2k-1}(a_0 - b), \\ (\theta_1 - \alpha)(\omega_{2k}) &= \omega_{2k}(a_0 + a_1b^{-1}) + \omega_{2k+1}a_1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(\theta_1 - \alpha)^{-1}(\omega_{2k-1}) = \omega_{2k-1}(a_0 - b)^{-1},$$

$$(\theta_1 - \alpha)^{-1}(\omega_{2k}) = \omega_{2k}(a_0 + a_1b^{-1})^{-1} - \omega_{2k+1}(a_0 - b)^{-1}a_1(a_0 + a_1b^{-1})^{-1}.$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}(\theta_2 - \alpha^{-1})(\omega_{2k-1}) &= -\omega_{2k-1}b^{-1}, \\ (\theta_2 - \alpha^{-1})(\omega_{2k}) &= \omega_{2k}ba_1^{-1} + \omega_{2k+1}\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}(\theta_2 - \alpha^{-1})^{-1}(\omega_{2k-1}) &= -\omega_{2k-1}b, \\ (\theta_2 - \alpha^{-1})^{-1}(\omega_{2k}) &= \omega_{2k}a_1b^{-1} + \omega_{2k+1}ba_1b^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus the claim is proved. \square

Let $s : V_0 \rightarrow V_0$ be given by $s(t+n) = h(t) + \alpha(n)$, $t \in T$, $n \in N$, where α is given as in the proof of Claim accordingly. Then $s \in U(\text{End}(V_0))$. We next show that $f - s, g - s^{-1} \in U(\text{End}(V_0))$.

For $t \in T$, $n \in N$, $(f - s)(t+n) = (f - s)(t) + [f(n) - \alpha(n)]$. Applying π to both sides of the equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(f - s)(t+n) &= \pi[f(n) - \alpha(n)] = \pi f(n) - \pi\alpha(n) \\ &= \varphi(\bar{f}(\bar{n})) - \alpha(n) = \varphi\bar{f}(\bar{n}) - \alpha(n) \\ &= \theta_1\varphi(\bar{n}) - \alpha(n) = \theta_1\pi(n) - \alpha(n) \\ &= (\theta_1 - \alpha)(n).\end{aligned}$$

We now prove that $f - s$ is an isomorphism of V_0 .

To see that $f - s$ is a monomorphism, let $(f - s)(t+n) = 0$. Then $(\theta_1 - \alpha)(n) = 0$. Since $\theta_1 - \alpha \in U(\text{End}(N))$, $n = 0$. This gives $(f - s)(t) = 0$, and hence $t = 0$ since $(f - s)|_T = f|_T - h \in U(\text{End}(T))$.

To see that $f - s$ is an epimorphism, note that $T \subseteq \text{Im}(f - s)$. For any $\omega \in N$, there exists $n \in N$ such that $\omega = (\theta_1 - \alpha)(n) = \pi(f - s)(t+n) \in \text{Im}(f - s)$ since $T \subseteq \text{Im}(f - s)$. Thus $V_0 = T \oplus N \subseteq \text{Im}(f - s)$.

Hence $f - s$ is an isomorphism.

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(g - s^{-1})(t+n) &= (g - s^{-1})(t) + [g(n) - \alpha^{-1}(n)], \\ \pi(g - s^{-1})(t+n) &= (\theta_2 - \alpha^{-1})(n),\end{aligned}$$

and we can prove that $g - s^{-1} \in U(\text{End}(V_0))$.

Thus, $(V_0, s) \in S$, $(T, h) \leq (V_0, s)$ and $(T, h) \neq (V_0, s)$, which is a contradiction. This implies that $V = T$ and hence the proof is complete. \square

Following [8], a ring R is said to satisfy condition (P) if for any $a \in R$, there exists $u \in U(R)$ such that $a + u, a - u^{-1} \in U(R)$. Let $a_0 = 0$ and $a_1 = -1$, that is, let $f = -g$ in Theorem 2.1. Since $-1 \in C(D)$, by letting $b = -1$ in the proof of Case 2 in Theorem 2.1, we get that (f, g) is a GM pair. In this situation, we need only to assume that $D \not\cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ or \mathbb{Z}_3 rather than $|C(D)| > 3$.

Thus, we have [5, Theorem 5] and [8, Theorem 1(2)] as corollaries.

Corollary 2.2 *Let $R = \text{End}(V_D)$, where V is a vector space over a division ring D with $D \not\cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ or \mathbb{Z}_3 . Then R satisfies condition (P).*

Following [8], a ring R is said to satisfy condition (Q) if for any $a \in R$, there exists $u \in U(R)$ such that $a - u, a - u^{-1} \in U(R)$. Let $a_0 = 0$ and $a_1 = 1$, that is, let $f = g$ in Theorem 2.1. Since $1 \in C(D)$, by letting $b = 1$ in the proof of Case 2 in Theorem 2.1, we get that (f, g) is a GM pair. In this situation, we need only to assume that $D \not\cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ rather than $|C(D)| > 3$. In fact, if $\dim N_D < \infty$ in the proof of Claim in Theorem 2.1 and $D \not\cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, then there exists $\alpha \in U(\text{End}(N_D))$ such that $\theta_1 - \alpha, \theta_2 - \alpha^{-1} \in U(\text{End}(N_D))$ by [7, Proposition 4.2]. Thus, we have [8, Theorem 1(3)] as a corollary.

Corollary 2.3 *Let $R = \text{End}(V_D)$, where V is a vector space over a division ring D with $D \not\cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Then R satisfies condition (Q).*

A ring R is called 2-good [9] if every element of R can be written as a sum of two units. By Corollary 2.3, the following result is obvious.

Corollary 2.4 *Let $R = \text{End}(V_D)$, where V is a vector space over a division ring D with $D \not\cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Then R is a 2-good ring.*

3. Discussion for D with $C(D) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ or \mathbb{Z}_3

For D with $C(D) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, we do not know if Theorem 2.1 is true. But if V is a countably infinite-dimensional right vector space over D and g is a shift operator, Theorem 2.1 is true (See Proposition 3.1). Thus, if $C(D) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\dim N \geq 3$, Theorem 2.1 holds by the above statement and [7, Corollary 2.9].

Proposition 3.1 *Let $R = \text{End}(V)$ and $g \in R$ be a shift operator, where V is a countably infinite-dimensional right vector space over a division ring D with $C(D) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. If $f = a_0 + a_1g$, where $a_0, a_1 \in C(D)$, (f, g) is a GM pair.*

Proof Let $\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots\}$ be a basis of V . Since $2 = 0$ in $C(D) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, the proof of Theorem 2.1 is not working. We discuss this situation by three cases. If $f = 1$, for $k \geq 1$, define $\alpha \in U(\text{End}(V))$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(\omega_{3k-2}) &= \omega_{3k-1} + \omega_{3k}, \\ \alpha(\omega_{3k-1}) &= \omega_{3k-2} + \omega_{3k-1} + \omega_{3k}, \\ \alpha(\omega_{3k}) &= \omega_{3k-2} + \omega_{3k}\end{aligned}$$

with its inverse defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^{-1}(\omega_{3k-2}) &= \omega_{3k-2} + \omega_{3k-1}, \\ \alpha^{-1}(\omega_{3k-1}) &= \omega_{3k-1} + \omega_{3k}, \\ \alpha^{-1}(\omega_{3k}) &= \omega_{3k-2} + \omega_{3k-1} + \omega_{3k}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}(\theta_1 - \alpha)(\omega_{3k-2}) &= \omega_{3k-2} + \omega_{3k-1} + \omega_{3k}, \\(\theta_1 - \alpha)(\omega_{3k-1}) &= \omega_{3k-2} + \omega_{3k}, \\(\theta_1 - \alpha)(\omega_{3k}) &= \omega_{3k-2}\end{aligned}$$

with its inverse given by

$$\begin{aligned}(\theta_1 - \alpha)^{-1}(\omega_{3k-2}) &= \omega_{3k}, \\(\theta_1 - \alpha)^{-1}(\omega_{3k-1}) &= \omega_{3k-2} + \omega_{3k-1}, \\(\theta_1 - \alpha)^{-1}(\omega_{3k}) &= \omega_{3k-1} + \omega_{3k}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(\theta_2 - \alpha^{-1})(\omega_{3k-2}) &= \omega_{3k-2}, \\(\theta_2 - \alpha^{-1})(\omega_{3k-1}) &= \omega_{3k-1}, \\(\theta_2 - \alpha^{-1})(\omega_{3k}) &= \omega_{3k-2} + \omega_{3k-1} + \omega_{3k} + \omega_{3k+1}\end{aligned}$$

with its inverse given by

$$(\theta_2 - \alpha^{-1})^{-1} = \theta_2 - \alpha^{-1}.$$

Similarly, define α as $\alpha(\omega_{2k-1}) = \omega_{2k-1} + \omega_{2k}$, $\alpha(\omega_{2k}) = \omega_{2k}$ with its inverse given by $\alpha^{-1} = \alpha$ for $k \geq 1$ when $f = g$ and define α as $\alpha(\omega_{3k-2}) = \omega_{3k-2}$, $\alpha(\omega_{3k-1}) = \omega_{3k-2} + \omega_{3k-1} + \omega_{3k}$, $\alpha(\omega_{3k}) = \omega_{3k-2} + \omega_{3k-1}$ with its inverse given by $\alpha^{-1}(\omega_{3k-2}) = \omega_{3k-2}$, $\alpha^{-1}(\omega_{3k-1}) = \omega_{3k-2} + \omega_{3k}$, $\alpha^{-1}(\omega_{3k}) = \omega_{3k-1} + \omega_{3k}$ for $k \geq 1$ when $f = 1 + g$. \square

For D with $C(D) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$, we do not know if Theorem 2.1 is true. But if V is a countably infinite-dimensional right vector space over D and g is a shift operator, then Theorem 2.1 is true (see Proposition 3.2). Thus, if $C(D) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$ and $\dim N \geq 2$, Theorem 2.1 holds by the above statement and [7, Corollary 2.9].

Proposition 3.2 *Let $R = \text{End}(V)$ and $g \in R$ be a shift operator, where V is a countably infinite-dimensional right vector space over a division ring D with $C(D) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$. If $f = a_0 + a_1g$, where $a_0, a_1 \in C(D)$, (f, g) is a GM pair.*

Proof Let $\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots\}$ be a basis of V . Without loss of generality, we may assume that $C(D) = \mathbb{Z}_3$. By the proof of Theorem 2.1, we need only to prove the situation when $a_0 = 1$ or 2 and $a_1 = 1$, that is, $f = 1 + g$ or $f = 2 + g$. Take $\alpha \in U(\text{End}(V))$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(\omega_{3k-2}) &= \omega_{3k-2}, \\\alpha(\omega_{3k-1}) &= \omega_{3k-2} + \omega_{3k-1} + \omega_{3k}, \\\alpha(\omega_{3k}) &= \omega_{3k-2} + \omega_{3k-1}\end{aligned}$$

with its inverse given by

$$\alpha^{-1}(\omega_{3k-2}) = \omega_{3k-2},$$

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^{-1}(\omega_{3k-1}) &= 2\omega_{3k-2} + \omega_{3k}, \\ \alpha^{-1}(\omega_{3k}) &= \omega_{3k-1} + 2\omega_{3k}.\end{aligned}$$

Then it can be verified that $f - \alpha$ and $g - \alpha^{-1}$ are units of $\text{End}(N)$, and hence (f, g) is a GM pair. \square

Acknowledgements The author is grateful to Prof. Yiqiang ZHOU for introducing her to this topic. Thanks also go to the referees for their valuable comments which have largely improved the presentation of this work.

References

- [1] P. MENAL, J. MONCASI. K_1 of von Neumann regular rings. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 1984, **33**(3): 295–312.
- [2] K. R. GOODEARL, P. MENAL. Stable range one for rings with many units. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 1988, **54**(2-3): 261–287.
- [3] Huanyin CHEN. Units, idempotents, and stable range conditions. Comm. Algebra, 2001, **29**(2): 703–717.
- [4] Huanyin CHEN. On SB-rings. J. Korean Math. Soc., 2008, **45**(3): 741–756.
- [5] Huanyin CHEN. Decompositions of countable linear transformations. Glasg. Math. J., 2010, **52**(3): 427–433.
- [6] Huanyin CHEN. *Rings Related to Stable Range Conditions*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2011.
- [7] Chunna LI, Lu WANG, Yiqiang ZHOU. On rings with the Goodearl-Menal condition. Comm. Algebra, 2012, **40**(12): 4679–4692.
- [8] Lu WANG, Yiqiang ZHOU. Decomposing linear transformations. Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., 2011, **83**(2): 256–261.
- [9] P. VÁMOS. 2-good rings. Q. J. Math., 2005, **56**(3): 417–430.