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Abstract Let $\epsilon: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a parameter function satisfying the condition $\epsilon(k)+k+1>0$ and let $T_{\epsilon}:(0,1] \rightarrow(0,1]$ be a transformation defined by

$$
T_{\epsilon}(x)=\frac{-1+(k+1) x}{1+k-k \epsilon x} \text { for } x \in\left(\frac{1}{k+1}, \frac{1}{k}\right] .
$$

Under the algorithm $T_{\epsilon}$, every $x \in(0,1]$ is attached an expansion, called generalized continued fraction $\left(\mathrm{GCF}_{\epsilon}\right)$ expansion with parameters by Schweiger. Define the sequence $\left\{k_{n}(x)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ of the partial quotients of $x$ by $k_{1}(x)=\lfloor 1 / x\rfloor$ and $k_{n}(x)=k_{1}\left(T_{\epsilon}^{n-1}(x)\right)$ for every $n \geq 2$. Under the restriction $-k-1<\epsilon(k)<-k$, define the set of non-recurring $\mathrm{GCF}_{\epsilon}$ expansions as

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\epsilon}=\left\{x \in(0,1]: k_{n+1}(x)>k_{n}(x) \text { for infinitely many } n\right\} .
$$

It has been proved by Schweiger that $\mathcal{F}_{\epsilon}$ has Lebesgue measure 0 . In the present paper, we strengthen this result by showing that

$$
\begin{cases}\operatorname{dim}_{H} \mathcal{F}_{\epsilon} \geq \frac{1}{2}, & \text { when } \epsilon(k)=-k-1+\rho \text { for a constant } 0<\rho<1 \\ \frac{1}{s+2} \leq \operatorname{dim}_{H} \mathcal{F}_{\epsilon} \leq \frac{1}{s}, & \text { when } \epsilon(k)=-k-1+\frac{1}{k^{s}} \text { for any } s \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

where $\operatorname{dim}_{H}$ denotes the Hausdorff dimension.
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## 1. Introduction

In 2003, Schweiger [1] introduced a new class of continued fractions with parameters, called generalized continued fractions $\left(\mathrm{GCF}_{\epsilon}\right)$, which are induced by the transformations $T_{\epsilon}:(0,1] \rightarrow$ $(0,1]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\epsilon}(x):=\frac{-1+(k+1) x}{1+\epsilon-k \epsilon x} \text { when } x \in\left(\frac{1}{k+1}, \frac{1}{k}\right]=: B(k) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the parameter $\epsilon: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon(k)+k+1>0, \text { for all } k \geq 1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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For any $x \in(0,1]$, its partial quotients $\left\{k_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ in the $\mathrm{GCF}_{\epsilon}$ expansion are defined as

$$
k_{1}=k_{1}(x):=\left\lfloor\frac{1}{x}\right\rfloor, \quad \text { and } \quad k_{n}=k_{n}(x):=k_{1}\left(T_{\epsilon}^{n-1}(x)\right) .
$$

By the algorithm (1), it follows [1] that

$$
x=\frac{A_{n}+B_{n} T_{\epsilon}^{n}(x)}{C_{n}+D_{n} T_{\epsilon}^{n}(x)} \text { for all } n \geq 1,
$$

where the numbers $A_{n}, B_{n}, C_{n}, D_{n}$ are given by the recursive relations

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
C_{n} & D_{n} \\
A_{n} & B_{n}
\end{array}\right)= & \left(\begin{array}{cc}
C_{n-1} & D_{n-1} \\
C_{n-1} & B_{n-1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
k_{n}+1 & k_{n} \epsilon\left(k_{n}\right) \\
1 & 1+\epsilon\left(k_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right) n \geq 1  \tag{3}\\
& \text { with }\left(\begin{array}{cc}
C_{0} & D_{0} \\
A_{0} & B_{0}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

A well known example of the generalized continued fraction is in the case that the parameter function $\epsilon \equiv 0$. In this case, the algorithm (1) becomes

$$
T(x)=-1+(k+1) x \text { when } x \in\left(\frac{1}{k+1}, \frac{1}{k}\right]
$$

Then every $x \in(0,1]$ can be expanded into a series with the form

$$
x=\frac{1}{k_{1}(x)+1}+\cdots+\frac{1}{\left(k_{1}+1\right)\left(k_{2}(x)+1\right) \cdots\left(k_{n}(x)+1\right)}+\cdots .
$$

Actually this is the Engel series expansion which was well studied in the literature, see Erdös, Rényi \& Szüsz [2], Rényi [3], Galambos [4] and Liu, Wu [5], etc.

Schweiger [1] studied the arithmetical as well as the ergodic properties of $\mathrm{GCF}_{\epsilon}$ map. At the same time, he showed that with different choices of the parameter functions $\epsilon$, the stochastic properties of the partial quotients differ greatly. Concerning the properties of the partial quotients, by the condition shared by the parameter $\epsilon(k)$ (see (2)), it is clear that

$$
k_{n+1}(x) \geq k_{n}(x) \text { for all } n \geq 1
$$

i.e., the partial quotients sequence of $x$ is non-decreasing. We investigated the metrical properties of $\left\{k_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ further in [8] and proved that when $-1<\epsilon(k) \leq 1$, for almost all $x \in(0,1]$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log k_{n}(x)}{n}=1
$$

and when $\epsilon(k)=-1$, this equality is no longer true. It was also shown [7] that the partial quotients in the $\mathrm{GCF}_{\epsilon}$ expansions share a $0-1$ law and the central limit theorem under the restriction of $-1<\epsilon(k) \leq 1$. These results showed that when $-1<\epsilon(k) \leq 1$, the metric properties of $\mathrm{GCF}_{\epsilon}$ and Engel series expansion are very similar. However, in this paper we will see that the situation changes radically when $-k-1<\epsilon(k)<-k-\rho$ for a constant $0<\rho<1$. This is because in this case, $T_{\epsilon}$ has two fixed points $-\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ and $\frac{1}{k}$ in every interval $B(k):=\left(\frac{1}{k+1}, \frac{1}{k}\right]$. So all $B(k)$ can be divided into two subintervals as:

$$
B\left(k^{-}\right)=:\left[\frac{1}{k+1},-\frac{1}{\epsilon(k)}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{k}^{+}\right)=:\left(-\frac{1}{\epsilon(\mathrm{k})}, \frac{1}{\mathrm{k}}\right] .
$$

such that $T B\left(k^{+}\right)=B\left(k^{+}\right)$. Therefore if $\left(k_{1}^{-}, k_{2}^{-}, \ldots, k_{n}^{-}, k^{+}\right)$is an admissible block, then $k_{n}<k$. And it is easy to see that, the set defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\epsilon}=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k_{1} \leq \cdots \leq k_{n}} B\left(k_{1}^{-}, k_{2}^{-}, \ldots, k_{n}^{-}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a complementary set of the ultimately recurring $\mathrm{GCF}_{\epsilon}$ expansion. That is

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\epsilon}:=\left\{x \in(0,1]: k_{n+1}(x)>k_{n}(x) \text { for infinitely many } n\right\} .
$$

We define the cylinder set as follows. For any non-decreasing integer vector $\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right)$, define the $n$-th order cylinders as follows

$$
B\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right)=\left\{x \in(0,1]: k_{j}(x)=k_{j}, \forall 1 \leq j \leq n\right\}
$$

an $n$th order cylinder, which is the set of points whose partial quotients begin with $\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right)$. Then the following results have been obtained in section 3 of [1]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|B\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{n}\right)\right|=\frac{B_{n} C_{n}-A_{n} D_{n}}{C_{n}\left(k_{n} C_{n}+D_{n}\right)}  \tag{5}\\
\left|B\left(k_{1}^{-}, k_{2}^{-}, \ldots, k_{n}^{-}\right)\right|=\frac{B_{n} C_{n}-A_{n} D_{n}}{C_{n}\left(-\epsilon\left(k_{n}\right) C_{n}+D_{n}\right)}  \tag{6}\\
\lambda\left(\mathcal{F}_{\epsilon}\right)=\lambda\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k_{1}<\cdots<k_{n}} B\left(k_{1}^{-}, k_{2}^{-}, \ldots, k_{n}^{-}\right)\right)=0 \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $-k-1<\epsilon(k)<-k-1+\rho$ for a constant $0<\rho<1$.
In this paper, we strengthen the result (7) by showing that
Theorem 1.1 Let $\mathcal{F}_{\epsilon}$ be the set defined above. Then

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\operatorname{dim}_{H} \mathcal{F}_{\epsilon} \geq \frac{1}{2}, & \text { when } \epsilon(k)=-k-1+\rho \text { for a constant } 0<\rho<1 \\
\frac{1}{s+2} \leq \operatorname{dim}_{H} \mathcal{F}_{\epsilon} \leq \frac{1}{s}, & \text { when } \epsilon(k)=-k-1+\frac{1}{k^{s}} \text { for any } s \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\operatorname{dim}_{H}$ denotes the Hausdorff dimension.

## 2. Preliminary

In this section, we present some simple facts about the generalized continued fractions for later use.

The first lemma concerns the relationships between $A_{n}, B_{n}, C_{n}, D_{n}$ which are recursively defined by (3).

Lemma $2.1([1,8])$ For all $n \geq 1$,
(i) $C_{n}=\left(k_{n}+1\right) C_{n-1}+D_{n-1}>0$;
(ii) $D_{n}=k_{n} \epsilon\left(k_{n}\right) C_{n-1}+\left(1+\epsilon\left(k_{n}\right)\right) D_{n-1}$, and $D_{n} \geq 0$ when $\epsilon \geq 0$; $D_{n}<0$ when $\epsilon<0$;
(iii) $k_{n} C_{n}+D_{n}=\left(k_{n} C_{n-1}+D_{n-1}\right)\left(k_{n}+1+\epsilon\left(k_{n}\right)\right)$;
(iv) $B_{n} C_{n}-A_{n} D_{n}=\left(B_{N} C_{N}-A_{N} D_{N}\right) \prod_{i=N+1}^{n}\left(k_{i}+1+\epsilon\left(k_{i}\right)\right)>0, \forall 0 \leq N<n$.

The following lemmas are especially aimed for $\epsilon(k)=-k-1+\frac{1}{k^{s}}$.

Lemma 2.2 If $\epsilon(k)=-k-1+\frac{1}{k^{s}}$, then when $k_{n} \geq 2$,

$$
k_{n} C_{n}+D_{n}=\frac{k_{n} C_{n-1}+D_{n-1}}{k_{n}^{s}}>0 ; \quad-\epsilon\left(k_{n}\right) C_{n}+D_{n} \geq \frac{C_{n}}{2} \geq 1
$$

Proof By Lemma 2.1 (iii) and the condition $\epsilon(k)=-k-1+\frac{1}{k^{s}}$, noticing that $k_{n} \geq k_{n-1}$, we have

$$
k_{n} C_{n}+D_{n}=\frac{k_{n} C_{n-1}+D_{n-1}}{k_{n}^{s}} \geq \frac{k_{n-1} C_{n-1}+D_{n-1}}{k_{n}^{s}} \geq \cdots \geq \frac{k_{1} C_{1}+D_{1}}{k_{n}^{s} k_{n-1}^{s} \cdots k_{2}^{s}}>0 .
$$

This also gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{n} \geq-k_{n} C_{n} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma 2.1 (i) and (8), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{n} & \geq\left(k_{n}+1\right) C_{n-1}-k_{n-1} C_{n-1} \geq\left(k_{n}+1-k_{n-1}\right) C_{n-1} \\
& \geq C_{n-1} \geq \cdots \geq C_{1}=k_{1}+1 \geq 2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\frac{C_{n}}{2} \geq 1$ is proved.
Using (8) again, we can find that when $k_{n} \geq 2$,

$$
-\epsilon\left(k_{n}\right) C_{n}+D_{n} \geq\left(k_{n}+1-\frac{1}{k_{n}^{s}}\right) C_{n}-k_{n} C_{n}=\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{n}^{s}}\right) C_{n} \geq \frac{1}{2} C_{n} .
$$

The next lemma will be used for estimating the lower bound of $\operatorname{dim}_{H} \mathcal{F}_{\epsilon}$.
Lemma $2.3([1,8])$ Let $\epsilon(k)=-k-1+\frac{1}{k^{s}}$. Then when $k_{n} \geq 2$,

$$
C_{n}+D_{n} \leq 0 ; \quad k_{n} C_{n}+D_{n} \leq-\epsilon\left(k_{n}\right) C_{n}+D_{n} \leq C_{n} \leq k_{n} k_{n-1} \cdots k_{1} .
$$

Proof By Lemma 2.1 (i) (ii), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{n}+D_{n} & =\left(k_{n}+1\right) C_{n-1}+D_{n-1}+k_{n}\left(-k_{n}-1+\frac{1}{k_{n}^{s}}\right) C_{n-1}+\left(-k_{n}+\frac{1}{k_{n}^{s}}\right) D_{n-1} \\
& =C_{n-1}+D_{n-1}+\left(-k_{n}+\frac{1}{k_{n}^{s}}\right)\left(k_{n} C_{n-1}+D_{n-1}\right) \\
& \leq C_{n-1}+D_{n-1}-\left(k_{n} C_{n-1}+D_{n-1}\right) \leq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by Lemma 2.1 (i), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n}=k_{n} C_{n-1}+\left(C_{n-1}+D_{n-1}\right) \leq k_{n} C_{n-1} \leq \cdots \leq k_{n} k_{n-1} \cdots k_{1} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the condition $\epsilon(k)=-k-1+\frac{1}{k^{s}}$, we have,

$$
k_{n}<-\epsilon\left(k_{n}\right)=-k_{n}-1+\frac{1}{k_{n}^{s}} .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{n} C_{n}+D_{n} \leq-\epsilon\left(k_{n}\right) C_{n}+D_{n}=\left(k_{n} C_{n}+D_{n}\right)+\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{n}^{s}}\right) C_{n} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then using the first equality of Lemma 2.2 , we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\epsilon\left(k_{n}\right) C_{n}+D_{n}=\frac{k_{n} C_{n-1}+D_{n-1}}{k_{n}^{s}}+\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{n}^{s}}\right) C_{n}=C_{n}-\frac{C_{n-1}}{k_{n}^{s}} \leq C_{n} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

So the second result follows from (10), (11) and (9).

Now we focus on the properties of the point set $\mathcal{F}_{\epsilon}$ with $\epsilon(k)=-k-1+\frac{1}{k_{n}^{s}}$ for any $s \geq 1$. From now on until the end of this paper, we fix a point $x \in \mathcal{F}_{\epsilon}$ and let $k_{n}=k_{n}(x)$ be the $n$th partial quotient of $x$. The numbers $A_{n}, B_{n}, C_{n}, D_{n}$ are recursively defined by (3) for $x$.

## 3. The Hausdorff dimension of $E_{\epsilon}(\alpha)$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is divided into two parts: one for upper bound, the other for lower bound.

### 3.1. Upper bound

Fix $\delta>0$. Since $\sum_{k_{n}=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{k_{n}^{s}}\right)^{\frac{1+\delta}{s}}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\delta}}$ converges, there exists $M$ large enough so that for all $k_{j} \geq M$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k_{n}=k_{j}}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{k_{n}^{s}}\right)^{\frac{1+\delta}{s}} \leq 1 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4), we can see that $\bigcup_{k_{1} \leq \cdots \leq k_{n}} B\left(k_{1}^{-}, k_{2}^{-}, \ldots, k_{n}^{-}\right)$is a natural covering of $\mathcal{F}_{\epsilon}$ for any $n \geq 1$. Then the $\frac{1+\delta}{s}$-dimensional Hausdorff measure of $\mathcal{F}_{\epsilon}$ can be estimated as

$$
\mathcal{H}^{\frac{1+\delta}{s}}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\epsilon}\right) \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{k_{i+1} \geq k_{i} \\ 1 \leq i \leq n-1}}\left|B\left(k_{1}^{-}, k_{2}^{-}, \ldots, k_{n}^{-}\right)\right|^{\frac{1+\delta}{s}} .
$$

Under the condition $\epsilon(k)=-k-1+\frac{1}{k_{s}}$, by Lemma 2.1 (iv), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n} C_{n}-A_{n} D_{n}=\frac{1}{\left(k_{1} k_{2} \cdots k_{n}\right)^{5}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.2, we have $C_{n}\left(-\epsilon\left(k_{n}\right) C_{n}+D_{n}\right) \geq 2$. Then using (6), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|B\left(k_{1}^{-}, k_{2}^{-}, \ldots, k_{n}^{-}\right)\right| & =\frac{B_{n} C_{n}-A_{n} D_{n}}{C_{n}\left(-\epsilon\left(k_{n}\right) C_{n}+D_{n}\right)} \leq \frac{1}{2\left(k_{1} k_{2} \cdots k_{n}\right)^{s}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2\left(k_{1} k_{2} \cdots k_{N}\right)^{s}} \frac{1}{k_{N+1}^{s}} \frac{1}{k_{N+2}^{s}} \cdots \frac{1}{k_{n}^{s}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus by (12), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{H}^{\frac{1+\delta}{s}}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\epsilon}\right) \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{k_{i+1} \geq k_{i} \\
1 \leq i \leq n-1}}\left|B\left(k_{1}^{-}, k_{2}^{-}, \ldots, k_{n}^{-}\right)\right|^{\frac{1+\delta}{s}} \\
& \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{k_{i+1} \geq k_{i} \\
1 \leq i \leq N-1}}\left(\frac{1}{2\left(k_{1} \cdots k_{N}\right)^{s}}\right)^{\frac{1+\delta}{s}} \sum_{k_{N+1} \geq k_{N}}\left(\frac{1}{k_{N+1}^{s}}\right)^{\frac{1+\delta}{s}} \cdots \sum_{k_{n} \geq k_{n-1}}\left(\frac{1}{k_{n-1}^{s}}\right)^{\frac{1+\delta}{s}} \\
& \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{k_{i+1} \geq k_{i} \\
1 \leq i \leq N-1}}\left(\frac{1}{2\left(k_{1} \cdots k_{N}\right)^{s}}\right)^{\frac{1+\delta}{s}}<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives that $\operatorname{dim}_{H} E_{\epsilon}(\alpha) \leq \frac{1+\delta}{s}$. Since this is true for all $\delta>0$, we get $\operatorname{dim}_{H} E_{\epsilon}(\alpha) \leq \frac{1}{s}$ for $\epsilon(k)=-k-1+\frac{1}{k^{s}}$ and any $s \geq 1$.

### 3.2. Lower bound

In order to estimate the lower bound, we recall the classical dimensional result concerning a specially defined Cantor set.

Lemma $3.1([6])$ Let $I=E_{0} \supset E_{1} \supset E_{2} \supset \cdots$ be a decreasing sequence of sets, with each $E_{n}$, a union of a finite number of disjoint closed intervals. If each interval of $E_{n-1}$ contains at least $m_{n}$ intervals of $E_{n}(n=1,2, \ldots)$ which are separated by gaps of at least $\eta_{n}$, where $0<\eta_{n+1}<\eta_{n}$ for each $n$. Then the lower bound of the Hausdorff dimension of $E$ can be given by the following inequality:

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{H}\left(\cap_{n \geq 1} E_{n}\right) \geq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \left(m_{1} m_{2} \cdots m_{n-1}\right)}{-\log \left(m_{n} \eta_{n}\right)}
$$

Now for each $n \geq 1$, let $E=\left\{x \in(0,1]: 2^{n}<k_{n}(x)<2^{n+1}, \forall n \geq 1\right\}$. Clearly, if $x \in E$, then $k_{n}(x)>k_{n-1}(x)$ for all $n \geq 1$. This implies that $E \subset \mathcal{F}_{\epsilon}$.

For each $n \geq 1$, let $E_{n}$ be the collection of cylinders

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}=\left\{B_{n}\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right): 2^{i}<k_{i}(x)<2^{i+1}, 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $E=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n}$, and $E$ fulfills the construction of the Cantor set in Lemma 3.1. Now we specify the integers $\left\{m_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ and the real numbers $\left\{\eta_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$.

Due to the definition of $E_{n}$, each interval of $E_{n-1}$ contains $m_{n}=2^{n}-1 \geq 2^{n-1}$ intervals of $E_{n}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1} m_{2} \cdots m_{n-1}=2^{1+2+\cdots+(n-2)}=2^{\frac{(n-2)(n-1)}{2}} ; \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and any two of intervals in $E_{n}$ are separated by at least one interval $B\left(k_{1}^{-}, \ldots, k_{n-1}^{-}, k_{n}^{+}\right)$.
By (5) and (6), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|B\left(k_{1}^{-}, \ldots, k_{n-1}^{-}, k_{n}^{+}\right)\right| & =\left|B\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n-1}, k_{n}\right)\right|-B\left|\left(k_{1}^{-}, \ldots, k_{n-1}^{-}, k_{n}^{-}\right)\right| \\
& =\frac{B_{n} C_{n}-A_{n} D_{n}}{C_{n}\left(k_{n} C_{n}+D_{n}\right)}-\frac{B_{n} C_{n}-A_{n} D_{n}}{C_{n}\left(-\epsilon\left(k_{n}\right) C_{n}+D_{n}\right)} \\
& =\frac{\left(B_{n} C_{n}-A_{n} D_{n}\right)\left(-\epsilon\left(k_{n}\right)-k_{n}\right)}{\left(k_{n} C_{n}+D_{n}\right)\left(-\epsilon\left(k_{n}\right) C_{n}+D_{n}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.3 and the equality (13), the above equality gives that

$$
\left|B\left(k_{1}^{-}, \ldots, k_{n-1}^{-}, k_{n}^{+}\right)\right| \geq \frac{1}{\left(k_{1} k_{2} \cdots k_{n}\right)^{s+2}}
$$

In view of (14), the partial quotients $k_{n}$ satisfy that $2^{n}<k_{n}(x)<2^{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B\left(k_{1}^{-}, \ldots, k_{n-1}^{-}, k_{n}^{+}\right)\right| \geq \frac{1}{\left(2^{2+3+\cdots+(n+1)}\right)^{s+2}}=\frac{1}{2^{\frac{n(n+1)(s+2)}{2}}}=: \eta_{k} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result of (15) and (16), we get

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log _{2}\left(m_{1} \cdots m_{n-1}\right)}{-\log _{2}\left(m_{n} \eta_{n}\right)}=\frac{1}{s+2}
$$

Combining this with Lemma 3.1, we get when $\epsilon(k)=-k-1+\frac{1}{k^{s}}$ for any $s \geq 1$,

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{H} \mathcal{F}_{\epsilon} \geq \operatorname{dim}_{H} E \geq \frac{1}{s+2}
$$

Using the same method of proof, we can get $\operatorname{dim}_{H} \mathcal{F}_{\epsilon} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ when $\epsilon(k)=-k-1+\rho$ for a constant $0<\rho<1$.
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