

# Weighted Representation Asymptotic Basis of Integers

Yujie WANG, Min TANG\*

*School of Mathematics and Computer Science, Anhui Normal University,  
Anhui 241003, P. R. China*

**Abstract** Let  $k_1, k_2$  be nonzero integers with  $(k_1, k_2) = 1$  and  $k_1 k_2 \neq -1$ . Let  $R_{k_1, k_2}(A, n)$  be the number of solutions of  $n = k_1 a_1 + k_2 a_2$ , where  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ . Recently, Xiong proved that there is a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $R_{k_1, k_2}(A, n) = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$  be a function such that  $f^{-1}(0)$  is finite. In this paper, we generalize Xiong's result and prove that there exist uncountably many sets  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $R_{k_1, k_2}(A, n) = f(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Keywords** additive basis; representation function

**MR(2010) Subject Classification** 11B34

## 1. Introduction

For sets  $A$  and  $B$  of integers and integers  $k_1, k_2$ , let

$$k_1 A + k_2 B = \{k_1 a + k_2 b : a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

The counting function for the set  $A$  is

$$A(y, x) = \text{card}\{a \in A : y \leq a \leq x\}.$$

For  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $R_{k_1, k_2}(A, n)$  be the number of solutions of  $n = k_1 a_1 + k_2 a_2$ , where  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ . We call  $A$  a weighted representation asymptotic basis if  $R_{k_1, k_2}(A, n) \geq 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  with at most finite exceptions. In 2003, Nathanson [2] constructed a family of arbitrarily sparse bases  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying  $R_{1,1}(A, n) = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$  be any function such that  $f^{-1}(0)$  is finite. In 2004, Nathanson [3] constructed a family of arbitrarily sparse bases  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying  $R_{1,1}(A, n) = f(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In 2005, Nathanson [4] proved that there exists a family of arbitrarily sparse bases of  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $R_{A,h}(n) = f(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $R_{A,h}(n) = \#\{(a_1, \dots, a_h) \in A^h : n = a_1 + \dots + a_h, a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_h\}$ . In 2011, Tang et al. [5] proved that there exists a family of bases of  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying  $R_{1,-1}(A, n) = 1$  for all  $n \neq 0$ . In 2014, Xiong [7] proved that there exists a family of bases of  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying  $R_{l_1, l_2}(A, n) = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $l_1, l_2$  are nonzero integers with  $(l_1, l_2) = 1$  and  $l_1 l_2 \neq -1$ . We refer to [1,6,8,9] for related problems.

In this paper, we obtain the following result.

---

Received December 26, 2014; Accepted March 20, 2015

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11471017).

\* Corresponding author

E-mail address: tmzzz2000@163.com (Min TANG)

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $k_1, k_2$  be nonzero integers with  $(k_1, k_2) = 1, k_1 k_2 \neq -1$  and  $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$  such that*

$$\Delta = \text{card}(f^{-1}(0)) < \infty.$$

*Then there exist uncountably many weighted representation asymptotic bases  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}$  such that*

$$R_{k_1, k_2}(A, n) = f(n) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

*and*

$$A(-x, x) \geq \left(\frac{x}{c}\right)^{1/3},$$

*where*

$$c = M\left\{16 + \left\lceil \frac{\Delta + 1}{2} \right\rceil\right\}$$

*and  $M$  is a constant depending on integers  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ .*

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

To prove Theorem 1.1, we need the following Lemma:

**Lemma 2.1** ([3, Lemma 1]) *Let  $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$  be a function such that  $f^{-1}(0)$  is finite. Let  $\Delta$  denote the cardinality of the set  $f^{-1}(0)$ . Then there exists a sequence  $U = \{\mu_l\}_{l=1}^\infty$  of integers such that, for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f(n) = \text{card}\{l \geq 1 : \mu_l = n\}$ , and  $|\mu_l| \leq \lceil \frac{l+\Delta}{2} \rceil$ .*

**Proof of Theorem 1.1** By Lemma 2.1, we know there exists a sequence  $U = \{\mu_l\}_{l=1}^\infty$  of integers such that

$$f(n) = \text{card}\{i \in \mathbb{N} : \mu_i = n\} \text{ for all integers } n \tag{1}$$

and

$$|\mu_l| \leq \frac{l + \Delta}{2} \text{ for all } l \geq 1. \tag{2}$$

We shall construct a strictly increasing sequence  $\{i_l\}_{l=1}^\infty$  of positive integers and an sequence  $\{A_l\}_{l=1}^\infty$  of finite sets of integers such that

- (i)  $|A_l| = 2l$ ;
- (ii) there exists a positive number  $c$  such that  $A_l \subseteq [-cl^3, cl^3]$ ;
- (iii)  $R_{k_1, k_2}(A_l, n) \leq f(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ;
- (iv)  $R_{k_1, k_2}(A_l, \mu_j) \geq \text{card}\{i \leq i_l : \mu_i = \mu_j\}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, l$ .

We shall show that the infinite set

$$A = \bigcup_{l=1}^\infty A_l$$

is a  $(k_1, k_2)$ -weighted representation asymptotic basis of  $\mathbb{Z}$  satisfying Theorem 1.1.

We construct  $A_l$  by induction. Since  $(k_1, k_2) = 1$ , there exist integers  $x_1, x_2$  such that  $k_1 x_1 + k_2 x_2 = 1$ . Let  $i_1 = 1$ . Let  $A_1 = \{k_2 a_1 + x_1 \mu_{i_1}, -k_1 a_1 + x_2 \mu_{i_1}\}$ , where integer  $a_1$  is chosen to satisfy the following conditions

- (a)  $(k_1 A_1 + k_2 A_1) \cap f^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$ ,

(b)  $k_2a_1 + x_1\mu_{i_1} \neq -k_1a_1 + x_2\mu_{i_1}$ ,

(c)  $\mu_{i_1}, (k_2^2 - k_1^2)a_1 + (k_2x_1 + k_1x_2)\mu_{i_1}, (k_1 + k_2)(k_2a_1 + x_1\mu_{i_1}), (k_1 + k_2)(-k_1a_1 + x_2\mu_{i_1})$

are pairwise distinct.

The conditions (a)–(c) exclude at most  $7 + 3\Delta$  integers, so there exist more than one choice for the number  $a_1$  such that  $|a_1| \leq 2\Delta + 3$ , and  $a_1$  satisfies (a)–(c).

Since  $|\mu_{i_1}| = |\mu_1| \leq (1 + \Delta)/2$  and

$$|k_2a_1 + x_1\mu_{i_1}| \leq |k_2||a_1| + |x_1||\mu_{i_1}| \leq M_1 \cdot \frac{5\Delta + 7}{2},$$

$$|-k_1a_1 + x_2\mu_{i_1}| \leq |k_1||a_1| + |x_2||\mu_{i_1}| \leq M_2 \cdot \frac{5\Delta + 7}{2},$$

where  $M_1 = \max\{|k_2|, |x_1|\}$ ,  $M_2 = \max\{|k_1|, |x_2|\}$ .

It follows that  $A_1 \subseteq [-c, c]$  for any  $c \geq \max\{M_1(5\Delta + 7)/2, M_2(5\Delta + 7)/2\}$ , and  $A_1$  satisfies conditions (i)–(iv).

Assume that for some  $l$ , we have constructed  $A_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq A_{l-1}$  satisfying (i)–(iv). Now we construct  $A_l$ . Let  $i_l > i_{l-1}$  be the least integer such that

$$R_{k_1, k_2}(A_{l-1}, \mu_{i_l}) < f(\mu_{i_l}).$$

Then if  $n = \mu_{i_{l-1}+1}, \dots, \mu_{i_l-1}$ , by (iii) and (1) we have

$$R_{k_1, k_2}(A_{l-1}, n) = f(n) \geq 1. \tag{3}$$

Thus by the fact that  $A_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq A_{l-1}$  and (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} i_l - 1 &\leq R_{k_1, k_2}(A_1, \mu_{i_1}) + \sum_{j=2}^l \sum_{n \in \{\mu_{i_{j-1}+1}, \dots, \mu_{i_j-1}\}} R_{k_1, k_2}(A_{j-1}, n) \\ &\leq \sum_{n \in \{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{i_l-1}\}} R_{k_1, k_2}(A_{l-1}, n) \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} R_{k_1, k_2}(A_{l-1}, n) \\ &= \binom{2l-1}{2} < 2l^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $i_l \leq 2l^2$ , and  $\mu_{i_l} \leq l^2 + \frac{\Delta}{2}$ . Let

$$A_l = A_{l-1} \cup \{k_2a_l + x_1\mu_{i_l}, -k_1a_l + x_2\mu_{i_l}\}.$$

So

$$k_1A_l + k_2A_l = \bigcup_{i=1}^6 T_i,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= k_1A_{l-1} + k_2A_{l-1}, \quad T_2 = k_1A_{l-1} + k_2(k_2a_l + x_1\mu_{i_l}), \\ T_3 &= k_1A_{l-1} + k_2(-k_1a_l + x_2\mu_{i_l}), \quad T_4 = k_2A_{l-1} + k_1(k_2a_l + x_1\mu_{i_l}), \\ T_5 &= k_2A_{l-1} + k_1(-k_1a_l + x_2\mu_{i_l}), \\ T_6 &= \{\mu_{i_l}, (k_2^2 - k_1^2)a_l + (k_2x_1 + k_1x_2)\mu_{i_l}, \\ &\quad (k_1 + k_2)(k_2a_l + x_1\mu_{i_l}), (k_1 + k_2)(-k_1a_l + x_2\mu_{i_l})\}. \end{aligned}$$

The set  $A_l$  satisfies (i) if  $k_2a_l + x_1\mu_{i_l} \notin A_{l-1}$ ,  $-k_1a_l + x_2\mu_{i_l} \notin A_{l-1}$  and  $k_2a_l + x_1\mu_{i_l} \neq -k_1a_l + x_2\mu_{i_l}$ , and we exclude at most  $4l - 3$  integers as possible choices  $a_l$ .

The set  $A_l$  satisfies (iii), (iv) if

$$(k_1A_l + k_2A_l) \cap f^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$$

and

$$R_{k_1, k_2}(A_l, n) = \begin{cases} R_{k_1, k_2}(A_{l-1}, n), & \text{if } n \in (k_1A_{l-1} + k_2A_{l-1}) \setminus \{\mu_{i_l}\}, \\ R_{k_1, k_2}(A_{l-1}, n) + 1, & \text{if } n = \mu_{i_l}, \\ 1, & \text{if } n \in (k_1A_l + k_2A_l) \setminus ((k_1A_{l-1} + k_2A_{l-1}) \cup \{\mu_{i_l}\}). \end{cases}$$

Since  $k_1A_l + k_2A_l = \bigcup_{i=1}^6 T_i$ , it suffices to require that

- (d)  $(k_1A_l + k_2A_l) \cap f^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$ ,
- (e)  $T_i \cap T_j = \emptyset, 1 \leq i, j \leq 5, i \neq j$ ,
- (f)  $T_i \cap (T_6 \setminus \{\mu_{i_l}\}) = \emptyset, 1 \leq i \leq 5$ ,
- (g)  $\mu_{i_l}, (k_2^2 - k_1^2)a_l + (k_2x_1 + k_1x_2)\mu_{i_l}, (k_1 + k_2)(k_2a_l + x_1\mu_{i_l}), (k_1 + k_2)(-k_1a_l + x_2\mu_{i_l})$  are pairwise distinct.

Noting that  $k_1k_2 \neq -1$ , we know that the numbers of integers excluded as possible choices for  $a_l$  satisfying conditions (d), (e), (f), and (g) are at most  $8(l-1)\Delta + 3\Delta, 32(l-1)^3 + 24(l-1)^2, 12(l-1)^2 + 24(l-1), 6$ , respectively.

**Case 1**  $l = 2$ . Then it excludes at most  $103 + 11\Delta$  integers, so there exist more than one choice for the number  $|a_2| \leq 6\Delta + 51$  to satisfy conditions (d)–(g). So there exist integers  $c$  (depending on integers  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ ) such that  $A_2 \subseteq [-cl^3, cl^3]$ .

**Case 2**  $l \geq 3$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & 32(l-1)^3 + 36(l-1)^2 + 24(l-1) + 8(l-1)\Delta + 3\Delta + 6 + 4l - 3 \\ &= 32l^3 - 60l^2 + (52 + 8\Delta)l - 5\Delta - 17 \\ &\leq (32 + \Delta)l^3 - 8l^2 - 52l(l-1) - 5\Delta - 17. \end{aligned}$$

Write  $M = \max\{|k_1|, |k_2|, |x_1|, |x_2|\}$  and let

$$c = M\{16 + \lceil \frac{\Delta + 1}{2} \rceil\}.$$

Then the number of integers  $a$  with  $|a| \leq (16 + \lceil \frac{\Delta + 1}{2} \rceil)l^3 - l^2 - \lceil \frac{\Delta + 1}{2} \rceil$  is

$$2(16 + \lceil \frac{\Delta + 1}{2} \rceil)l^3 - 2l^2 - 2\lceil \frac{\Delta + 1}{2} \rceil + 1 \geq (32 + \Delta)l^3 - 2l^2 - \Delta.$$

So there exists an integer  $a$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |k_2a_l + x_1\mu_{i_l}| &\leq |k_2||a_l| + |x_1||\mu_{i_l}| \leq M(|a_l| + |\mu_{i_l}|) \leq cl^3, \\ |-k_1a_l + x_2\mu_{i_l}| &\leq |k_1||a_l| + |x_2||\mu_{i_l}| \leq M(|a_l| + |\mu_{i_l}|) \leq cl^3, \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that there exists an integer  $a_l$  such that the set  $A_l$  satisfies conditions (i)–(iv). Since this is true at each step of the induction, there are uncountably many sequences  $\{A_l\}_{l=1}^\infty$  that satisfy conditions (i)–(iv).

Let  $x \geq 8c$ , and let  $l$  be the unique positive integer such that  $cl^3 \leq c < c(l+1)^3$ . Conditions (i) and (ii) imply that

$$A(-x, x) \geq |A_l| = 2l > 2\left(\frac{x}{c}\right)^{1/3} - 2 \geq \left(\frac{x}{c}\right)^{1/3}.$$

By (iv), we have

$$R_{k_1, k_2}(A_l, \mu_j) \geq \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \text{card}\{i \leq l : \mu_i = \mu_j\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, l. \tag{4}$$

Since  $U = \{\mu_l\}_{l=1}^\infty$  is a sequence of integers such that  $f(n) = \text{card}\{i \in \mathbb{N} : \mu_i = n\}$  for all integers  $n$ , it follows that  $n \in U = \{\mu_l\}_{l=1}^\infty$ . By (4) we have

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} R_{k_1, k_2}(A_l, n) \geq \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \text{card}\{i \leq l : \mu_i = n\}. \tag{5}$$

Since

$$f(n) = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \text{card}\{i \leq l : \mu_i = n\},$$

by (iii) and (5), we have

$$R_{k_1, k_2}(A, n) = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} R_{k_1, k_2}(A_l, n) = f(n)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement** We would like to thank the referee for his/her helpful comments.

## References

- [1] Yonggao CHEN. *On the Erdős-Turán conjecture*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, 2012, **350**(21-22): 933–935.
- [2] M. B. NATHANSON. *Unique representation bases for integers*. Acta Arith., 2003, **108**(1): 1–8.
- [3] M. B. NATHANSON. *The Inverse Problem for Representation Functions of Additive Bases*. Springer, New York, 2004.
- [4] M. B. NATHANSON. *Every function is the representation function of an additive basis for the integers*. Port. Math. (N.S.), 2005, **62**(1): 55–72.
- [5] C. W. TANG, Min TANG, Lei WU. *Unique difference bases of  $\mathbb{Z}$* . J. Integer Seq., 2011, **14**(1): 1–6.
- [6] Min TANG, *On the Erdős-Turán*. J. Number Theory, 2015, **150**(5): 74–80.
- [7] Ran XIONG. *Unique weighted representation basis of integers*. J. Math. Res. Appl., 2014, **34**(3): 332–336.
- [8] Ran XIONG, Min TANG. *Unique representation bi-basis for the integers*. Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., 2014, **89**(3): 460–465.
- [9] Quanhui YANG. *A generalization of Chen’s theorem on the Erdős-Turán conjecture*. Int. J. Number Theory, 2013, **9**(7): 1683–1686.