

Stable Hypersurfaces in a 4-Dimensional Sphere

Peng ZHU^{1,*}, Shouwen FANG²

1. School of Mathematics and physics, Jiangsu University of Technology, Jiangsu 213001, P. R. China;
2. School of Mathematical Sciences, Yangzhou University, Jiangsu 225002, P. R. China

Abstract We study complete noncompact 1-minimal stable hypersurfaces in a 4-dimensional sphere \mathbb{S}^4 . We show that there is no complete noncompact 1-minimal stable hypersurfaces in \mathbb{S}^4 with polynomial volume growth and the restriction of the mean curvature and Gauss-Kronecker curvature. These results are partial answers to the conjecture of Alencar, do Carmo and Elbert when the ambient space is a 4-dimensional sphere.

Keywords constant scalar curvature; 1-minimal stable hypersurfaces in space forms

MR(2010) Subject Classification 53C21; 54C42

1. Introduction

Cheng and Yau [1] proved that any complete noncompact hypersurface in the Euclidean space with constant scalar curvature and nonnegative sectional curvature must be a generalized cylinder. It is natural to study the global properties of hypersurfaces in space forms with constant scalar curvature. Alencar, do Carmo and Elbert posed the following question: Is there any complete 1-minimal stable hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^4 with nonzero Gauss-Kronecker curvature? In [2], it was proved that there is no complete noncompact 1-minimal stable hypersurface M in \mathbb{R}^4 with nonzero Gauss-Kronecker curvature and finite total curvature. Silva Neto [3] showed that there is no complete 1-minimal stable hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^4 with zero scalar curvature, polynomial volume growth and the restriction of the mean curvature and the Gauss-Kronecker curvature.

Motivated by our recent work of hypersurfaces in spheres in [4,5], we study the global properties of complete noncompact 1-minimal stable hypersurfaces in a 4-dimensional sphere \mathbb{S}^4 in this paper. A Riemannian manifold M^3 has polynomial volume growth, if there exists $\gamma \in (0, 3]$ such that $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{vol}B_r(p)}{r^\gamma} < +\infty$, for all $p \in M$, where $B_r(p)$ is the geodesic ball of radius r in M . We show two non-existence results as follows:

Theorem 1.1 *There is no stable complete noncompact 1-minimal hypersurface M^3 in \mathbb{S}^4 with polynomial volume growth and such that the mean curvature H satisfying*

$$|H| \leq \delta_1, \quad \left| \nabla \left(\frac{1}{H} \right) \right| \leq \delta_2,$$

Received April 21, 2015; Accepted October 12, 2016

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11471145; 11401514) and Qing Lan Projects.

* Corresponding author

E-mail address: zhupeng2004@126.com (Peng ZHU)

for any positive constants δ_1 and δ_2 .

Theorem 1.2 *There is no stable complete noncompact 1-minimal hypersurface M^3 in \mathbb{S}^4 with polynomial volume growth and such that*

$$\frac{-K}{H^3} \geq \delta_1, \left| \nabla \left(\frac{1}{H} \right) \right| \leq \delta_2,$$

for any positive constants δ_1 and δ_2 , where H and K are the mean curvature and the Gauss-Kronecker curvature, respectively.

2. Preliminaries

Let M^3 be a complete Riemannian manifold and let $x : M^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^4$ be an isometric immersion into the sphere \mathbb{S}^4 with constant scalar curvature. We choose a unit normal field N to M and define the shape operator A associated with the second fundamental form of M , i.e., for any $p \in M$

$$A : T_p M \rightarrow T_p M$$

satisfies $\langle A(X), Y \rangle = -\langle \bar{\nabla}_X N, Y \rangle$, where $\bar{\nabla}$ is the Riemannian connection in \mathbb{S}^4 . Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ denote the eigenvalues of A . The r -th symmetric function of $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$, denoted by S_r , is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3, \\ S_2 &= \lambda_1 \lambda_2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_3 + \lambda_2 \lambda_3, \\ S_3 &= \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3. \end{aligned}$$

With the above notations, we call $H_r = \frac{S_r}{C_r^3}$ the r -mean curvature of the immersion. Obviously, $H_1 = H$ is the mean curvature and $K = H_3$ is the Gauss-Kronecker curvature. H_2 is, modulo a constant 1, the scalar curvature of M . The hypersurface M is called r -minimal if $H_{r+1} \equiv 0$.

It is well known that hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature in space forms are critical point for a geometric variational problem, namely, that associated to the functional

$$\mathcal{A}_1(M) = \int_M S_1$$

under compactly supported variations that preserves the volume. Let

$$P_1 = S_1 Id - A : T_p M \rightarrow T_p M.$$

Obviously,

$$\text{trace}(P_1) = 2S_1.$$

We obtain the second variational formula for hypersurfaces in \mathbb{S}^4 with constant 2-mean curvature [6]:

$$\frac{d^2 \mathcal{A}_1}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} = \int_M \langle P_1(\nabla f), \nabla f \rangle - \int_M (S_1 S_2 - 3S_3 + 2S_1) f^2,$$

for each $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$. It is known that M^3 is stable if and only if

$$\int_M (S_1 S_2 - 3S_3 + 2S_1) f^2 \leq \int_M \langle P_1(\nabla f), \nabla f \rangle, \tag{2.1}$$

for each $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$.

3. Proof of main results

In this section, we will give the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Suppose by contradiction there exists a complete noncompact stable hypersurface satisfying the condition of Theorem 1.1. By assumption, $S_1 = 3H$ is nonzero. We can choose an orientation such that $S_1 = 3H > 0$. There is a fact that $2S_1S_3 \leq S_2^2$ which implies that $S_3 \leq 0$. The operator P_1 is positive definite since H is positive [7]. Stability and 1-minimality of the hypersurface M imply that there is the following inequality:

$$\int_M (2S_1 - 3S_3)f^2 \leq \int_M \langle P_1(\nabla f), \nabla f \rangle, \tag{3.1}$$

for each $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$. Choose $f = S_1^q \varphi$ for a positive constant q to be determined and $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(M)$. Since

$$\nabla f = qS_1^{q-1} \varphi \nabla S_1 + S_1^q \nabla \varphi,$$

we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P_1(\nabla f), \nabla f \rangle &= \langle qS_1^{q-1} \varphi P_1(\nabla S_1) + S_1^q P_1(\nabla \varphi), (1+q)S_1^{q-1} \varphi \nabla S_1 + S_1^q \nabla \varphi \rangle \\ &= q^2 S_1^{2q-2} \varphi^2 \langle P_1(\nabla S_1), \nabla S_1 \rangle + 2q S_1^{2q-1} \varphi \langle P_1(\nabla S_1), \nabla \varphi \rangle + \\ &\quad S_1^{2q} \langle P_1(\nabla \varphi), \nabla \varphi \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Since P_1 is positive definite, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} 2q S_1^{2q-1} \varphi \langle P_1(\nabla S_1), \nabla \varphi \rangle &= S_1^{2q-2} \langle P_1(\varphi \nabla S_1), S_1 \nabla \varphi \rangle \\ &= 2q S_1^{2q-2} \langle \sqrt{P_1}(\varphi \nabla S_1), \sqrt{P_1}(S_1 \nabla \varphi) \rangle \\ &\leq q S_1^{2q-2} (|\sqrt{P_1}(\varphi \nabla S_1)|^2 + |\sqrt{P_1}(S_1 \nabla \varphi)|^2) \\ &= q S_1^{2q-2} \varphi^2 \langle P_1(\nabla S_1), \nabla S_1 \rangle + q S_1^{2q} \langle P_1(\nabla \varphi), \nabla \varphi \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

By (3.1)–(3.3) and the fact $\langle P_1(X), X \rangle \leq 2S_1|X|^2$, we get the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M (2S_1 - 3S_3) S_1^{2q} \varphi^2 &\leq (q^2 + q) \int_M S_1^{2q-2} \varphi^2 \langle P_1(\nabla S_1), \nabla S_1 \rangle + \int_M (1+q) S_1^{2q} \langle P_1(\nabla \varphi), \nabla \varphi \rangle \\ &\leq 2(q^2 + q) \int_M S_1^{2q-1} \varphi^2 |\nabla S_1|^2 + 2(1+q) \int_M S_1^{2q+1} |\nabla \varphi|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

We choose $\varphi = \phi^{\frac{3+2q}{2}}$ and get that

$$|\nabla \varphi|^2 = \frac{(3+2q)^2}{4} \phi^{1+2q} |\nabla \phi|^2. \tag{3.5}$$

Combining (3.4) with (3.5), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M (2S_1 - 3S_3) S_1^{2q} \phi^{3+2q} &\leq 2(q^2 + q) \int_M S_1^{2q-1} \phi^{3+2q} |\nabla S_1|^2 + \\ &\quad \frac{(1+q)(3+2q)^2}{2} \int_M S_1^{1+2q} \phi^{1+2q} |\nabla \phi|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Using Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_1^{1+2q}\phi^{1+2q}|\nabla\phi|^2 &= (bS_1^{1+2q}\phi^{1+2q}) \cdot \left(\frac{|\nabla\phi|^2}{b}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1+2q}{3+2q} b^{\frac{3+2q}{1+2q}} S_1^{3+2q} \phi^{3+2q} + \frac{2}{3+2q} b^{-\frac{3+2q}{2}} |\nabla\phi|^{3+2q}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

for a positive constant b to be determined. Combining with (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_M (2S_1 - 3S_3) S_1^{2q} \phi^{3+2q} - 2(q^2 + q) \int_M S_1^{2q-1} \phi^{3+2q} |\nabla S_1|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{(1+q)(3+2q)}{2} \int_M ((1+2q)b^{\frac{3+2q}{1+2q}} S_1^{3+2q} \phi^{3+2q} + 2b^{-\frac{3+2q}{2}} |\nabla\phi|^{3+2q}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

That is,

$$\int_M \mathcal{A} S_1^{3+2q} \phi^{3+2q} \leq \mathcal{B} \int_M |\nabla\phi|^{3+2q}, \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{2}{S_1^2} + \frac{-3S_3}{S_1^3} - 2(q^2 + q) \left| \nabla \left(\frac{1}{S_1} \right) \right|^2 - \frac{(1+q)(3+2q)(1+2q)b^{\frac{3+2q}{1+2q}}}{2}$$

and

$$\mathcal{B} = (1+q)(3+2q)b^{-\frac{3+2q}{2}} > 0.$$

Since

$$|H| \leq \delta_1, \quad \left| \nabla \left(\frac{1}{H} \right) \right| \leq \delta_2,$$

we have

$$|S_1| \leq 3\delta_1, \quad \left| \nabla \left(\frac{1}{S_1} \right) \right| \leq \frac{\delta_2}{3},$$

which imply that

$$\mathcal{A} \geq \frac{2}{9\delta_1^2} + \frac{-3S_3}{S_1^3} - \frac{2(q^2 + q)\delta_2^2}{9} - \frac{(1+q)(3+2q)(1+2q)b^{\frac{3+2q}{1+2q}}}{2}. \tag{3.10}$$

Choosing q and b sufficiently small such that

$$\frac{2}{9\delta_1^2} - \frac{2(q^2 + q)\delta_2^2}{9} - \frac{(1+q)(3+2q)(1+2q)b^{\frac{3+2q}{1+2q}}}{2} > 0.$$

Combining (3.10) with the fact that $\frac{-3S_3}{S_1^3} \geq 0$, we get

$$\mathcal{A} > 0.$$

Let ϕ be a function depending on the distance r with respect to a fixed point p ,

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{on } B(R), \\ \frac{2R-r}{R}, & \text{on } B(2R) \setminus B(R), \\ 0, & \text{on } M \setminus B(2R). \end{cases}$$

Combining with (3.9), we obtain that

$$\int_{B(R)} \mathcal{A} S_1^{3+2q} \leq \mathcal{B} \int_{B(2R) \setminus B(R)} \frac{1}{R^{3+2q}} \leq \mathcal{B} \frac{\text{vol}(B(2R))}{R^{3+2q}}. \tag{3.11}$$

Noting that M has polynomial volume growth and taking $R \rightarrow +\infty$, we obtain that $S_1 = 0$. This contradicts $S_1 \neq 0$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2 Suppose by contradiction there exists a complete noncompact stable hypersurface satisfying the condition of Theorem 1.2. Following the same step as proof of Theorem 1.1, we still obtain the inequality (3.9). Since

$$\frac{-K}{H^3} \geq \delta_1, \left| \nabla \left(\frac{1}{H} \right) \right| \leq \delta_2,$$

we get

$$\frac{-S_3}{S_1^3} \geq \frac{\delta_1}{27}, \left| \nabla \left(\frac{1}{S_1} \right) \right| \leq \frac{\delta_2}{3}.$$

Thus,

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{2}{S_1^2} + \frac{\delta_1}{9} - \frac{2(q^2 + aq)\delta_2}{3} - \frac{(a+q)(3+2q)(1+2q)b^{\frac{3+2q}{1+2q}}}{2a}.$$

Choosing q and b sufficiently small such that

$$\frac{\delta_1}{9} - \frac{2(q^2 + aq)\delta_2}{3} - \frac{(a+q)(3+2q)(1+2q)b^{\frac{3+2q}{1+2q}}}{2a} > 0.$$

Thus $\mathcal{A} > 0$. Let ϕ be a function depending on the distance r with respect to a fixed point p ,

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{on } B(R), \\ \frac{2R-r}{R}, & \text{on } B(2R) \setminus B(R), \\ 0, & \text{on } M \setminus B(2R). \end{cases}$$

Combining with (3.9), we obtain that

$$\int_{B(R)} \mathcal{A} S_1^{3+2q} \leq \mathcal{B} \int_{B(2R) \setminus B(R)} \frac{1}{R^{3+2q}} \leq \mathcal{B} \frac{\text{vol}(B(2R))}{R^{3+2q}}. \quad (3.12)$$

Noting that M has polynomial volume growth and taking $R \rightarrow +\infty$, we obtain that $S_1 = 0$. This contradicts $S_1 \neq 0$. \square

Acknowledgements Both authors would like to thank professors Hongyu WANG and Detang ZHOU for useful discussion.

References

- [1] S. Y. CHENG, S. T. YAU. *Hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature*. Math. Ann., 1977, **225**(3): 195–204.
- [2] H. ALENCAR, W. SANTOS, Detang ZHOU. *Stable hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 2010, **138**(9): 3301–3312.
- [3] G. SILVA NETO. *On stable hypersurfaces with vanishing scalar curvature*. Math. Z., 2014, **277**(1-2): 481–497.
- [4] Peng ZHU, Shouwen FANG. *A gap theorem on submanifolds with finite total curvature in spheres*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2014, **413**(1): 195–201.
- [5] Peng ZHU, Shouwen FANG. *Finiteness of non-parabolic ends on submanifolds in spheres*. Ann. Global Anal. Geom., 2014, **46**(2): 103–115.
- [6] H. ALENCAR, M. P. DO CARMO, A. G. COLARES. *Stable hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature*. Math. Z., 1993 **213**(1): 117–131.
- [7] J. HOUNIE, M. L. LEITE. *Two-ended hypersurfaces with zero scalar curvature*. Indiana Univ. Math. J., 1999, **48**(3): 867–882.