Existence of Positive Solutions for a Class of Kirchhoff Type Systems

Xiaomin LIU¹, Zuodong YANG^{2,*}

- 1. Institute of Mathematics, School of Mathematics Science, Nanjing Normal University, Jiangsu 210023, P. R. China;
- 2. School of Teacher Education, Nanjing Normal University, Jiangsu 210097, P. R. China

Abstract In this paper, we are interested in the existence of positive solutions for the Kirchhoff type problems

$$\begin{cases}
-(a_1 + b_1 M_1(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx)) \triangle_p u = \lambda f(u, v), & \text{in } \Omega, \\
-(a_2 + b_2 M_2(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^q dx)) \triangle_q v = \lambda g(u, v), & \text{in } \Omega, \\
u = v = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega,
\end{cases}$$

where $1 < p, q < N, Mi: R_0^+ \to R^+ \ (i = 1, 2)$ are continuous and increasing functions. λ is a parameter, $f, g \in C^1((0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)) \times C([0, \infty) \times [0, \infty))$ are monotone functions such that $f_s, f_t, g_s, g_t \ge 0$, and f(0, 0) < 0, g(0, 0) < 0 (semipositone). Our proof is based on the sub- and super-solutions techniques.

Keywords positive solutions; existence; Kirchhoff type systems

MR(2010) Subject Classification 35J92; 35J57

1. Introduction and main result

In this article, we are interested in the existence of positive solutions for a class of Kirchhoff type systems of the form

$$\begin{cases}
-(a_1 + b_1 M_1(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx)) \triangle_p u = \lambda f(u, v), & \text{in } \Omega, \\
-(a_2 + b_2 M_2(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^q dx)) \triangle_q v = \lambda g(u, v), & \text{in } \Omega, \\
u = v = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega,
\end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where Ω is a bounded smooth domain of \mathbb{R}^N , p, q > 1, $\lambda > 0$, a_i , b_i (i = 1, 2) are real parameters and $b_i \neq 0$. The functions M_i (i = 1, 2), f(u, v) and g(u, v) satisfy the following conditions:

- (H₁) $M_i: \mathbb{R}_0^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ (i = 1, 2) are continuous and increasing functions and there exist constants m_i (i = 1, 2) such that $M_i(t) \ge m_i > -\frac{a_i}{b_i}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$, where $\mathbb{R}_0^+ = [0, +\infty)$.
- (H₂) $f, g \in C^1((0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)) \times C([0, \infty) \times [0, \infty))$ are monotone functions such that f_s , $f_t, g_s, g_t \ge 0$, and f(0, 0) < 0, g(0, 0) < 0 (semipositone).
 - (H₃) There exist $r > \alpha > 0$ such that $f(s,t) \ge 0$, $g(s,t) \ge 0$ for $s,t \in (\alpha,r]$.

Received August 9, 2017; Accepted March 1, 2018

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11571093; 11471164).

* Corresponding author

E-mail address: Zdyang_jin@263.net (Zuodong YANG)

Since the first two equations in (1.1) contain an integral over Ω , it is no longer a pointwise identity; therefore it is often called nonlocal problem. This problem models several physical and biological systems, where u describes a process which depends on the average of itself, such as the population density [1]. Moreover, problem (1.1) is related to the stationary version of the Kirchhoff equation

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - \left(\frac{P_0}{h} + \frac{E}{2L} \int_0^L \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 dx \right) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = 0 \tag{1.2}$$

presented by Kirchhoff in 1883 (see [2]). This equation is an extension of the classical d'Alembert's wave equation by considering the effects of the changes in the length of the string during the vibrations. The parameters in (1.2) have the following meanings: L is the length of the string, h is the area of the cross-section, E is the Young modulus of the material, ρ is the mass density, and P_0 is the initial tension.

In recent years, problems involving Kirchhoff type operators have been studied in many papers, we refer to [3–13], in which the authors have used variational method and topological method to get the existence of solution. In this paper, motivated by the ideas introduced in [14–18] and the properties of Kirchhoff type operators in [19–21], we study problem (1.1) in the semipositone case. Using the sub and supersolutions techniques, we prove the existence of a positive solution for the problem without assuming any condition on f and g at infinity. Using an argument inspired by [18], we obtain the following main results which complement and extend the corresponding results in [19,22].

In order to state precisely our main result, we first consider the following eigenvalue problem for the r-Laplace operator $-\triangle_r u$:

$$\begin{cases}
-\triangle_r u = \lambda |u|^{r-2} u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\
u = 0, & \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\end{cases}$$
(1.3)

Let $\phi_{1,r} \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ be the eigenfunction corresponding to the first eigenvalue $\lambda_{1,r}$ of (1.3) such that $\phi_{1,r} > 0$ in Ω and $\|\phi_{1,r}\|_{\infty} = 1$. It can be shown that $\frac{\partial \phi_{1,r}}{\partial \eta} < 0$ on $\partial \Omega$ and hence, depending on Ω , there exist positive constants m, δ, σ such that

$$\begin{cases}
|\nabla \phi_{1,r}|^r - \lambda_{1,r} \phi_{1,r}^r \ge m, & \text{in } \overline{\Omega}_{\delta}, \\
\phi_{1,r} \ge \sigma, & \text{in } \Omega \backslash \overline{\Omega}_{\delta},
\end{cases}$$
(1.4)

where $\overline{\Omega}_{\delta} := \{x \in \Omega : d(x, \partial\Omega) \leq \delta\}$. We will also consider the unique solution $e \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ of the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases}
-\triangle_r e = 1, & \text{in } \Omega, \\
e = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega
\end{cases}$$
(1.5)

to discuss our result. It is known that e > 0 in Ω and $\frac{\partial e}{\partial \eta} < 0$ on $\partial \Omega$. For our main result we also assume that there exist positive constants $l_1, l_2 \in (\alpha, r]$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\mathrm{H}_4) & \frac{\lambda_{1,p}}{f(l_1,l_2)} < \frac{m}{|f(0,0)|}, \ \frac{\lambda_{1,q}}{g(l_1,l_2)} < \frac{m}{|g(0,0)|}. \\ (\mathrm{H}_5) & \lambda_{1,p}(\frac{p}{p-1})^{p-1} < \frac{\sigma^p}{\|e\|_p^{p-1}}, \ \lambda_{1,q}(\frac{q}{q-1})^{q-1} < \frac{\sigma^q}{\|e\|_q^{q-1}}. \end{array}$$

Our main result is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 Under assumptions (H_1) – (H_5) , there exist two positive constants λ_* and λ^* such that (1.1) has a positive solution for all $\lambda \in (\lambda_*, \lambda^*)$.

2. Preliminaries

We will prove our result by using the method of sub and supersolutions. We refer the readers to recent paper [18,19] on the topic. A pair of functions (ψ_1, ψ_2) is said to be a subsolution of problem (1.1) if it is in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\left(a_1 + b_1 M_1 \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi_1|^p dx \right) \right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi_1|^{p-2} \nabla \psi_1 \cdot \nabla w dx \le \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(\psi_1, \psi_2) w dx, \quad \forall w \in W,$$

and

$$\left(a_2 + b_2 M_2 \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi_2|^q dx\right)\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi_2|^{q-2} \nabla \psi_2 \cdot \nabla w dx \le \lambda \int_{\Omega} g(\psi_1, \psi_2) w dx, \quad \forall w \in W,$$

where $W := \{ w \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega) : w \geq 0 \text{ in } \Omega \}$. A pair of functions $(\phi_1, \phi_2) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ is said to be a supersolution of (1.1) if

$$\left(a_1 + b_1 M_1 \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi_1|^p dx\right)\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi_1|^{p-2} \nabla \phi_1 \cdot \nabla w dx \ge \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(\phi_1, \phi_2) w dx, \quad \forall w \in W,$$

and

$$\left(a_2 + b_2 M_2 \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi_2|^q dx\right)\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi_2|^{q-2} \nabla \phi_2 \cdot \nabla w dx \ge \lambda \int_{\Omega} g(\phi_1, \phi_2) w dx, \quad \forall w \in W.$$

The following result plays an important role in our arguments. Its detail proof is similar to the proof in [18, 19], so we will omit it.

Lemma 2.1 Assume that $M: \mathbb{R}_0^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is continuous and increasing function, and there exists $m_0 > -\frac{a}{b} \ (b \neq 0)$ such that $M(t) \geq m_0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$. If the functions $u, v \in W_0^{1,r}(\Omega)$ satisfy

$$\left(a + bM\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^r dx\right)\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{r-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi dx
\leq \left(a + bM\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^r dx\right)\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{r-2} \nabla v \cdot \nabla \varphi dx$$

for all $\varphi \in W_0^{1,r}(\Omega)$, $\varphi \geq 0$, then $u \leq v$ in Ω .

From Lemma 2.1, we can establish the following basic principle of the sub and supersolutions method for nonlocal systems. Indeed, we consider the following system

$$\begin{cases}
-(a_1 + b_1 M_1(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx)) \triangle_p u = h(x, u, v), & \text{in } \Omega, \\
-(a_2 + b_2 M_2(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^q dx)) \triangle_q v = k(x, u, v), & \text{in } \Omega, \\
u = v = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega,
\end{cases}$$
(2.1)

where Ω is a bounded smooth domain of \mathbb{R}^N and $h, k : \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the following conditions:

(HK1) h(x, s, t) and k(x, s, t) are Caratheodory functions and they are bounded if s, t belong to bounded sets.

(HK2) There exists a function $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ being continuous, nondecreasing with g(0) = 0,

 $0 \le g(s) \le C(1+|s|^{\min\{p,q\}-1})$ for some C>0, and applications $s\mapsto h(x,s,t)+g(s)$ and $t\mapsto k(x,s,t)+g(t)$ are nondecreasing for a.e. $x\in\Omega$.

If $u, v \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with $u(x) \leq v(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, we denote by [u, v] the set $\{w \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) : u(x) \leq w(x) \leq v(x) \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega\}$. Using Lemma 2.1, we can establish a version of the abstract lower and upper-solution method for our class of the operators as follows.

Proposition 2.2 Let $M_1, M_2 : \mathbb{R}_0^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be two functions satisfying the condition (H_1) and the functions h, k satisfy the conditions (HK1) and (HK2). Assume that $(\underline{u}, \underline{v}), (\overline{u}, \overline{v})$ are respectively, a weak subsolution and a weak supersolution of system (2.1) with $\underline{u}(x) \leq \overline{u}(x)$ and $\underline{v}(x) \leq \overline{v}(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Then there exists a minimal weak solution (u_*, v_*) (respectively, a maximal weak solution (u^*, v^*)) for system (2.1) in the set $[\underline{u}, \overline{u}] \times [\underline{v}, \overline{v}]$. In particular, every weak solution $(u, v) \in [\underline{u}, \overline{u}] \times [\underline{v}, \overline{v}]$ of (2.1) satisfies $u_*(x) \leq u(x) \leq u^*(x)$ and $v_*(x) \leq v(x) \leq v^*(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$.

3. Proof of main result

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1 by using the sub and super-solutions method.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 First we construct a positive subsolution of problem (1.1). For this purpose, let $\lambda_{1,r}$, $\phi_{1,r}$ (r=p,q), and δ , m, σ , Ω_{δ} be as described in Section 2. We now shall verify that $(\psi_1,\psi_2)=(l_1\sigma^{\frac{p}{1-p}}\phi_{1,p}^{\frac{p}{p-1}},\ l_2\sigma^{\frac{q}{1-q}}\phi_{1,q}^{\frac{q}{q-1}})$ in which $l_1,l_2\in(\alpha,r]$, is a subsolution of problem (1.1). Let $w\in W$. Since

$$\nabla \psi_1 = \frac{p}{p-1} l_1 \sigma^{\frac{p}{1-p}} \phi_{1,p}^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \nabla \phi_{1,p},$$

we deduce by (H_1) that

$$\begin{split} &\left(a_1+b_1M_1\Big(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla\psi_1|^p\mathrm{d}x\Big)\right)\int_{\Omega}|\nabla\psi_1|^{p-2}\nabla\psi_1\cdot\nabla w\mathrm{d}x\\ &=\left(\frac{pl_1}{p-1}\right)^{p-1}\sigma^{-p}\Big(a_1+b_1M_1\Big(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla\psi_1|^p\mathrm{d}x\Big)\Big)\int_{\Omega}\phi_{1,p}|\nabla\phi_{1,p}|^{p-2}\nabla\phi_{1,p}\cdot\nabla w\mathrm{d}x\\ &=\left(\frac{pl_1}{p-1}\right)^{p-1}\sigma^{-p}\Big(a_1+b_1M_1\Big(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla\psi_1|^p\mathrm{d}x\Big)\Big)\int_{\Omega}|\nabla\phi_{1,p}|^{p-2}\nabla\phi_{1,p}\cdot[\nabla(\phi_{1,p}w)-w\nabla\phi_{1,p}]\mathrm{d}x\\ &=\left(\frac{pl_1}{p-1}\right)^{p-1}\sigma^{-p}\Big(a_1+b_1M_1\Big(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla\psi_1|^p\mathrm{d}x\Big)\Big)\int_{\Omega}|\nabla\phi_{1,p}|^{p-2}\nabla\phi_{1,p}\cdot\nabla(\phi_{1,p}w)\mathrm{d}x-\\ &\qquad (\frac{pl_1}{p-1})^{p-1}\sigma^{-p}\Big(a_1+b_1M_1\Big(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla\psi_1|^p\mathrm{d}x\Big)\Big)\int_{\Omega}|\nabla\phi_{1,p}|^pw\mathrm{d}x-\\ &=\left(\frac{pl_1}{p-1}\right)^{p-1}\sigma^{-p}\Big(a_1+b_1M_1\Big(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla\psi_1|^p\mathrm{d}x\Big)\Big)\int_{\Omega}\lambda_{1,p}|\phi_{1,p}|^{p-2}\phi_{1,p}(\phi_{1,p}w)\mathrm{d}x\\ &\qquad (\frac{pl_1}{p-1})^{p-1}\sigma^{-p}\Big(a_1+b_1M_1\Big(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla\psi_1|^p\mathrm{d}x\Big)\Big)\int_{\Omega}|\nabla\phi_{1,p}|^pw\mathrm{d}x\\ &=\left(\frac{pl_1}{p-1}\right)^{p-1}\sigma^{-p}\Big(a_1+b_1M_1\Big(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla\psi_1|^p\mathrm{d}x\Big)\Big)\int_{\Omega}[\lambda_{1,p}\phi_{1,p}^p-|\nabla\phi_{1,p}|^p]w\mathrm{d}x\\ &\leq\left(\frac{pl_1}{p-1}\right)^{p-1}\sigma^{-p}\Big(a_1+b_1M_1\Big(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla\psi_1|^p\mathrm{d}x\Big)\Big)\int_{\Omega}[\lambda_{1,p}\phi_{1,p}^p-|\nabla\phi_{1,p}|^p]w\mathrm{d}x. \end{split}$$

Similarly, we also have

$$\left(a_2 + b_2 M_2 \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi_2|^q dx\right)\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi_2|^{q-2} \nabla \psi_2 \cdot \nabla w dx
\leq \left(\frac{ql_2}{q-1}\right)^{q-1} \sigma^{-q} (a_2 + b_2 m_2) \int_{\Omega} [\lambda_{1,q} \phi_{1,q}^q - |\nabla \phi_{1,q}|^q] w dx.$$

Now, by (1.4), we have in $\overline{\Omega}_{\delta}$,

$$\lambda_{1,p}\phi_{1,p}^p - |\nabla\phi_{1,p}|^p \le -m, \quad \lambda_{1,q}\phi_{1,q}^q - |\nabla\phi_{1,q}|^q \le -m.$$

It follows that in $\overline{\Omega}_{\delta}$,

$$\left(\frac{pl_1}{p-1}\right)^{p-1}\sigma^{-p}(a_1+b_1m_1)[\lambda_{1,p}\phi_{1,p}^p-|\nabla\phi_{1,p}|^p]\leq -\left(\frac{pl_1}{p-1}\right)^{p-1}\sigma^{-p}m(a_1+b_1m_1),$$

and

$$\left(\frac{ql_2}{q-1}\right)^{q-1}\sigma^{-q}(a_2+b_2m_2)[\lambda_{1,q}\phi_{1,q}^q-|\nabla\phi_{1,q}|^q]\leq -\left(\frac{ql_2}{q-1}\right)^{q-1}\sigma^{-q}m(a_2+b_2m_2).$$

By the monotone properties of f, g and $\psi_1, \psi_2 \geq 0$, if

$$\lambda \le \overline{\lambda}_1 := \frac{m(a_1 + b_1 m_1)}{\sigma^p |f(0,0)|} (\frac{pl_1}{p-1})^{p-1},$$

and

$$\lambda \le \overline{\lambda}_2 := \frac{m(a_2 + b_2 m_2)}{\sigma^q |g(0,0)|} (\frac{ql_2}{q-1})^{q-1},$$

we have

$$\left(\frac{pl_1}{p-1}\right)^{p-1}\sigma^{-p}(a_1+b_1m_1)[\lambda_{1,p}\phi_{1,p}^p-|\nabla\phi_{1,p}|^p] \le \lambda f(\psi_1,\psi_2),$$

and

$$\left(\frac{ql_2}{q-1}\right)^{q-1}\sigma^{-q}(a_2+b_2m_2)[\lambda_{1,q}\phi_{1,q}^q-|\nabla\phi_{1,q}|^q] \le \lambda g(\psi_1,\psi_2).$$

Next, in $\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{\delta}$, we have $\phi_{1,p} \geq \sigma > 0$ and $\phi_{1,q} \geq \sigma > 0$. Thus

$$\psi_1 = l_1 \sigma^{\frac{p}{1-p}} \phi_{1,p}^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \ge l_1, \quad \psi_2 = l_2 \sigma^{\frac{q}{1-q}} \phi_{1,q}^{\frac{q}{q-1}} \ge l_2,$$

and

$$f(\psi_1, \psi_2) \ge f(l_1, l_2) \ge 0, \quad g(\psi_1, \psi_2) \ge g(l_1, l_2) \ge 0.$$

Therefore, if

$$\lambda \ge \lambda_{*1} := \frac{\lambda_{1,p}(a_1 + b_1 m_1)}{\sigma^p f(l_1, l_2)} \left(\frac{p l_1}{p - 1}\right)^{p - 1},$$

and

$$\lambda \geq \lambda_{*2} := \frac{\lambda_{1,q}(a_2 + b_2 m_2)}{\sigma^q g(l_1, l_2)} (\frac{q l_2}{q-1})^{q-1},$$

we have

$$(\frac{pl_1}{p-1})^{p-1}\sigma^{-p}(a_1+b_1m_1)[\lambda_{1,p}\phi_{1,p}^p-|\nabla\phi_{1,p}|^p]$$

$$\leq (\frac{pl_1}{p-1})^{p-1}\sigma^{-p}(a_1+b_1m_1)\lambda_{1,p} \leq \lambda f(\psi_1,\psi_2),$$

416

and

$$\left(\frac{ql_2}{q-1}\right)^{q-1}\sigma^{-q}(a_2+b_2m_2)\left[\lambda_{1,q}\phi_{1,q}^q-|\nabla\phi_{1,q}|^q\right]
\leq \left(\frac{ql_2}{q-1}\right)^{q-1}\sigma^{-q}(a_2+b_2m_2)\lambda_{1,q} \leq \lambda g(\psi_1,\psi_2).$$

Moreover, let $\lambda_* = \min\{\lambda_{*1}, \lambda_{*2}\}$ and $\overline{\lambda} = \max\{\overline{\lambda}_1, \overline{\lambda}_2\}$. By condition (H₄), we have $\lambda_* < \overline{\lambda}$. Hence, (ψ_1, ψ_2) is a subsolution of problem (1.1).

Next, we construct a supersolution of (1.1). Let

$$(\phi_1, \phi_2) = (\frac{l_1}{\|e\|_{\infty}} e, \frac{l_2}{\|e\|_{\infty}} e),$$

in which e is defined by (1.5). Since

$$\left(a_1 + b_1 M_1 \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi_1|^p dx\right)\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi_1|^{p-2} \nabla \phi_1 \cdot \nabla w dx
= \left(\frac{l_1}{\|e\|_{\infty}}\right)^{p-1} \left(a_1 + b_1 M_1 \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi_1|^p dx\right)\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla e|^{p-2} \nabla e \cdot \nabla w dx
\ge \left(\frac{l_1}{\|e\|_{\infty}}\right)^{p-1} (a_1 + b_1 m_1) \int_{\Omega} w dx, \quad \forall w \in W,$$

and

$$\left(a_2 + b_2 M_2 \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi_2|^q dx\right)\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi_2|^{q-2} \nabla \phi_2 \cdot \nabla w dx
\geq \left(\frac{l_2}{\|e\|_{\infty}}\right)^{q-1} (a_2 + b_2 m_2) \int_{\Omega} w dx, \quad \forall w \in W,$$

if

$$\lambda \leq \hat{\lambda}_1 := \left(\frac{l_1}{\|e\|_{\infty}}\right)^{p-1} \frac{a_1 + b_1 m_1}{f(l_1, l_2)}, \quad \lambda \leq \hat{\lambda}_2 := \left(\frac{l_2}{\|e\|_{\infty}}\right)^{q-1} \frac{a_2 + b_2 m_2}{g(l_1, l_2)},$$

we have

$$\left(a_1 + b_1 M_1 \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi_1|^p dx\right)\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi_1|^{p-2} \nabla \phi_1 \cdot \nabla w dx \ge \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(l_1, l_2) w dx,$$

and

$$\left(a_2 + b_2 M_2 \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi_2|^q dx\right)\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi_2|^{q-2} \nabla \phi_2 \cdot \nabla w dx \ge \lambda \int_{\Omega} g(l_1, l_2) w dx.$$

Moreover, by $\phi_1 \leq l_1$, $\phi_2 \leq l_2$, we obtain $f(\phi_1, \phi_2) \leq f(l_1, l_2)$, and $g(\phi_1, \phi_2) \leq g(l_1, l_2)$. So

$$\left(a_1 + b_1 M_1 \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi_1|^p dx\right)\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi_1|^{p-2} \nabla \phi_1 \cdot \nabla w dx \ge \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(\phi_1, \phi_2) w dx,$$

and

$$\left(a_2 + b_2 M_2 \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi_2|^q dx\right)\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi_2|^{q-2} \nabla \phi_2 \cdot \nabla w dx \ge \lambda \int_{\Omega} g(\phi_1, \phi_2) w dx.$$

Let $\hat{\lambda} = \max{\{\hat{\lambda}_1, \hat{\lambda}_2\}}$, by condition (H₅), we have $\lambda_* < \hat{\lambda}$. Therefore, (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) is a supersolution of problem (1.1).

In addition, we have

$$-\triangle_p \psi_1 = (\frac{pl_1}{p-1})^{p-1} \sigma^{-p} (\lambda_{1,p} \phi_{1,p}^p - |\nabla \phi_{1,p}|^p) \le \lambda_{1,p} (\frac{pl_1}{p-1})^{p-1} \sigma^{-p},$$

and

$$-\Delta_p \phi_1 = -(\frac{l_1}{\|e\|_{\infty}})^{p-1} \Delta_p e = (\frac{l_1}{\|e\|_{\infty}})^{p-1},$$

by condition (H₅) and Lemma 2.1, we have $\psi_1 \leq \phi_1$ in Ω . Similarly, we have $\psi_2 \leq \phi_2$ in Ω . Set $\lambda^* := \min\{\overline{\lambda}, \hat{\lambda}\}$, by Proposition 2.1, we conclude that problem (1.1) has a positive solution for any $\lambda \in (\lambda_*, \lambda^*)$. \square

Acknowledgements We thank the referees for their time and comments.

References

- M. CHIPOT, B. LOVAT. Some remarks on nonlocal elliptic and parabolic problems. Nonlinear Anal., 1997, 30(7): 4619–4627.
- [2] G. KIRCHHOFF. Mechanik. Teubner, Leipzig, Germany, 1883.
- [3] C. O. ALVES, F. J. S. A. CORREA, T. M. MA. Positive solutions for a quasilinear elliptic equation of Kirchhoff type. Comput. Math. Appl., 2005, 49(1): 85–93.
- [4] A. BENSEDIK, M. BOUCHEKIF. On an elliptic equation of Kirchhoff-type with a potential asymptotically linear at infinity. Math. Comput. Modelling, 2009, 49(5-6): 1089–1096.
- [5] Caisheng CHEN, Jincheng HUANG, Lihua LIU. Multiple solutions to the nonhomogeneous p-Kirchhoff elliptic equation with concave-convex nonlinearities. Appl. Math. Lett., 2013, 26(7): 754-759.
- [6] N. T. CHUNG. Multiple solutions for a p(x)-Kirchhoff-type equation with sign-changing nonlinearities. Complex Var. Elliptic Equ., 2013, 58(12): 1637-1646.
- [7] N. T. CHUNG. Multiplicity results for a class of p(x)-Kirchhoff type equations with combined nonlinearities.
 Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ., 2012, 42: 1–13.
- [8] Bitao CHENG, Xian WU, Jun LIU. Multiplicity of solutions for nonlocal elliptic system of (p, q)-Kirchhoff type. Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2011, Art. ID 526026, 13 pp.
- [9] F. J. S. A. CORREA, G. M. FIGUEIREDO. On an elliptic equation of p-Kirchhoff type via variational method. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 2006, 74(2): 263–277.
- [10] S. HEIDARKHANI, J. HENDERSON. Infinitely many solutions for nonlocal elliptic systems of (p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n) -Kirchhoff type. Electron. J. Differential Equations, 2012, **69**: 1–15.
- [11] T. F. MA. Remarks on an elliptic equation of Kirchhoff type. Nonlinear Anal., 2005, 63: 1967-1977.
- [12] K. PERERA, Zhitao ZHANG. Nontrivial solutions of Kirchhoff-type problems via the Yang index. J. Differential Equations, 2006, 221(1): 246–255.
- [13] Zhitao ZHANG, K. PERERA. Sign changing solutions of Kirchhoff type problems via invariant sets of descent flow. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2006, 317(2): 456–463.
- [14] J. ALI, R. SHIVAJI. On positive solutions for a class of strongly coupled p-Laplacian systems. Electron. J. Diff. Equ., 2007, (2007): 29–34.
- [15] A. CANADA, P. DRABEK, J. L. GAMEZ. Existence of positive solutions for some problems with nonlinear diffusion. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 1997, 349(10): 4231–4249.
- [16] O. H. MIYAGAKII, R. S. RODRIGUES. On positive solutions for a class of singular quasilinear elliptic systems. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2007, 334(2): 818–833.
- [17] S. ORUGANTI, R. SHIVAJI. Existence results for classes of p-Laplacian semipositone equations. Bound. Value Probl. 2006, Art. ID 87483, 7 pp.
- [18] G. A. AFROUZI, N. T. CHUNG, S. SHAKERI. Existence of positive solutions for Kirchhoff type equations. Electron. J. Differential Equations, 2013, 180: 1–8.
- [19] N. T. CHUNG. An existence result for a class of Kirchhoff type systems via sub and supersolutions method. Appl. Math. Lett., 2014, 35: 95–101.
- [20] Guowei DAI. Three solutions for a nonlocal Dirichlet boundary value problem involving the p(x)-Laplacian. Appl. Anal., 2013, 92(1): 191–210.
- [21] Xiaoling HAN, Guowei DAI. On the sub-supersolution method for p(x)-Kirchhoff type equations. J. Inequal. Appl., 2012, 2012: 283, 11 pp.
- [22] Zhangping LIANG, Fuyi LI, Junping SHI. Positive solutions to Kirchhoff type equations with nonlinearity having prescribed asymptotic behavior. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 2014, 31(1): 155–167.