

Automorphism Groups of Some Graphs for the Ring of Gaussian Integers Modulo p^s

Hengbin ZHANG*, Jizhu NAN

School of Mathematical Sciences, Dalian University of Technology, Liaoning 116024, P. R. China

Abstract In this paper, the automorphism group is completely determined, of the unitary Cayley graph, the unit graph and the total graph, over the ring of Gaussian integers modulo a prime power.

Keywords automorphism; unit graph; unitary Cayley graph; Gaussian integers

MR(2010) Subject Classification 13A50; 20B25; 05C25

1. Introduction

Given a ring R , by $D(R)$ and $U(R)$ we denote the set of zero-divisors and the group of units, respectively. Then the unitary Cayley graph G_R , the unit graph $G(R)$ and the total graph $T(\Gamma(R))$ of the ring R are defined to be simple graphs with the same vertex set R and with the edge $\{a, b\}$, where $a - b \in U(R)$, $a + b \in U(R)$ and $a + b \in D(R)$, respectively. Obviously, $T(\Gamma(R))$ is the complement of $G(R)$, provided R is a finite ring.

For a graph G , a bijection σ on vertex set is called an automorphism of G if σ preserves adjacency. Note that the set of all automorphisms of G forms a group under usual composition of functions. Using the algebraic structure to determine the automorphisms of a family of graph has attracted considerable attention during the past decades [1–3]. In 1995, Dejter and Giudici defined the unitary Cayley graph in [4]. They proved that $G_{\mathbb{Z}_n}$ is a bipartite graph when n is even, where \mathbb{Z}_n is the additive cyclic group of integers mod n . Grimaldi defined the unit graph $G(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ in [5]. The total graph was introduced and investigated by Anderson and Badawi in [6]. They also studied the three induced subgraphs $\text{Nil}(\Gamma(R))$, $Z(\Gamma(R))$, and $\text{Reg}(\Gamma(R))$ of $T(\Gamma(R))$, with vertices $\text{Nil}(R)$, $Z(R)$, and $\text{Reg}(R)$, respectively. Here, R is a commutative ring, $\text{Nil}(R)$ is the ideal of nilpotent elements, $Z(R)$ is the set of zero-divisors, and $\text{Reg}(R)$ is the set of regular elements. For some other recent papers on these graphs [7–9].

In this paper, we shall focus on the unit graph, the unitary Cayley graph and the total graph, over the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]$ of Gaussian integers mod p^s . Recall that the ring $\mathbb{Z}_n[i]$ of Gaussian integers modulo n is the set $\{a + bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}$ with ordinary addition and multiplication of complex numbers, and Euclidian norm $N(a + ib) = a^2 + b^2$, where $i^2 = -1$. Let $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]$ be the ring of Gaussian integers modulo p^s , where p is prime and s is a positive integer.

Received December 17, 2018; Accepted October 9, 2019

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11771176).

* Corresponding author

E-mail address: zhbb210@163.com (Hengbin ZHANG); jznan@163.com (Jizhu NAN)

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some preliminaries, notation and lemmas. In Section 3, we show that $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i]}$ is a complete bipartite graph. Then, we get the automorphism groups of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i]}$, $G(\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i])$ and $T(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i]))$. In Section 4, we show that $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]}$ is a complete multipartite graph, then it is easy to have the automorphism groups of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i]}$, where $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. We use regular graph of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]$ to determine the automorphism groups of $G(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])$ and $T(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]))$. In Section 5, after defining some automorphisms, we show the automorphism groups of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]}$, $G(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])$ and $T(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]))$, where $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

2. Preliminaries

We use $D(R)$ and $U(R)$ to denote the set of zero-divisors and the group of units of a ring R , respectively. For a set T , T^* denotes the non-zero elements of T , $|T|$ denotes the size of T , $T \setminus S$ denotes the set of elements that belong to T and not to set S . We will use $V(G)$ to denote the vertex set of a graph G . Let $x, y \in V(G)$. If x and y are adjacent vertices, then they are called the neighbors of each other. We write $N_G(x)$ for the set of neighbors of x in G .

Lemma 2.1 ([10, Theorem 2]) *Let p be a prime and s be a positive integer.*

- (i) *Let $p = 2$ and $a + bi \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]$. Then $a + bi \in U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])$ if and only if $a \not\equiv b \pmod{2}$.*
- (ii) *Let $p = 3 \pmod{4}$ and $a + bi \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]$. Then $a + bi \in U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])$ if and only if one of a and b is prime to p .*
- (iii) *Let $p = 1 \pmod{4}$, $p = \pi\bar{\pi}$ for some π in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}[i]/(\pi^s)$, where $\bar{\pi}$ is the complex conjugate of π . Then $a \in U(\mathbb{Z}[i]/(\pi^s))$ if and only if a is prime to p .*

If G_2 is a permutation group on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, then the wreath product $G_1 \wr G_2$ is generated by the direct product of n copies of G_1 , together with the elements of G_2 acting on these n copies of G_1 .

Lemma 2.2 ([11, P.139, P.188]) (i) *A graph and its complement have the same automorphism group.*

(ii) *For $n \geq 2$, let $K_{n,n}$ be the complete bipartite graph of degree n . Then $\text{Aut}(K_{n,n}) = S_n \wr S_2$.*

(iii) *Let the connected components of G consist of n_1 copies of G_1 , n_2 copies of G_2, \dots, n_r copies of G_r , where G_1, G_2, \dots, G_r are pairwise non-isomorphic. Then $\text{Aut}(G) = (\text{Aut}(G_1) \wr S_{n_1}) \times (\text{Aut}(G_2) \wr S_{n_2}) \times \dots \times (\text{Aut}(G_r) \wr S_{n_r})$.*

Lemma 2.3 ([7, Theorem 2.6]) *Let R be a finite ring. Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) *If R is a local ring of even order, then $\text{Aut}(G_R) \cong \text{Aut}(G(R))$.*
- (ii) *If R is a ring of odd order, then $\text{Aut}(G_R) \not\cong \text{Aut}(G(R))$.*

3. Automorphisms of some graphs for $\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i]$

In this section, we determine the automorphism groups of the unit graph, the unitary Cayley graph and the total graph of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i]$. We first prove some lemmas about these graphs. From

the definitions of the unit graph and the unitary Cayley graph, it is easy to have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $a + bi \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i]$, where s is a positive integer. Then,*

- (i) $N_{G_{\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i]}}(a + bi) = (a + bi) + U(\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i]);$
- (ii) $N_{G(\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i])}(a + bi) = -(a + bi) + U(\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i]).$

Lemma 3.2 *Let s be a positive integer. Then $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i]}$ and $G(\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i])$ are the union of some independent sets. In particular,*

$$V(G_{\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i]}) = V(G(\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i])) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \{0,1\}} (\alpha + D(\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i])).$$

Proof From Lemma 2.1 (i), $a + bi \in D(\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i])$ if and only if $a \equiv b \pmod{2}$. Suppose that $\alpha = a + bi$, $\beta = c + di \in D(\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i])$ and $\alpha \neq \beta$, then $a \equiv b \pmod{2}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{2}$. So $a - c \equiv b - d \pmod{2}$ and $\alpha - \beta \in D(\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i])$. It means that α is not connected to β in $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i]}$. Furthermore, the set $D(\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i])$ is an independent set in $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i]}$. It is easy to check that $1 + D(\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i]) = U(\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i])$. Similarly, the set $1 + D(\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i])$ is an independent set in $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i]}$. The proof for the case $G(\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i])$ is similar. \square

Theorem 3.3 *Let s be a positive integer. Then*

$$\text{Aut}(G_{\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i]}) \cong \text{Aut}(G(\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i])) \cong \text{Aut}(T(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i]))) \cong S_{2^{2s-1}} \wr S_2.$$

Proof From Lemmas 2.2 (i) and 2.3 (i), we know that $\text{Aut}(G_{\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i]}) \cong \text{Aut}(T(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i])))$ and $\text{Aut}(G(\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i])) \cong \text{Aut}(G(\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i]))$. We only need to show that $\text{Aut}(G_{\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i]}) \cong S_{2^{2s-1}} \wr S_2$. From Lemma 2.1 (i), it is immediate that $|D(\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i])| = |1 + D(\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i])| = |U(\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i])| = 2^{2s-1}$. By Lemma 2.2 (ii), what is left is to show that $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i]}$ is a complete bipartite graph of degree 2^{2s-1} . Suppose that $\alpha = a + bi \in 1 + D(\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i])$, $\beta = c + di \in D(\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i])$, then $a \not\equiv b \pmod{2}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{2}$ by Lemma 2.1 (i). So $a - c \not\equiv b - d \pmod{2}$ and $\alpha - \beta \in 1 + D(\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i]) = U(\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i])$. It means that α is connected to β in $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i]}$. Furthermore, every vertex in the set $D(\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i])$ is connected to all vertices in the set $1 + D(\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i])$. Then by Lemma 3.2, $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i]}$ is a complete bipartite graph of degree 2^{2s-1} , which completes the proof. \square

4. Automorphisms of some graphs for $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]$, $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$

In this section, we determine the automorphism groups of the unit graph, the unitary Cayley graph and the total graph of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]$, where $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Similarly, from the definitions of the unit graph and the unitary Cayley graph, it is easy to have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1 *Let $a + bi \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]$, where $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and s is a positive integer. Then,*

- (i) $N_{G_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]}}(a + bi) = (a + bi) + U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]);$
- (ii) *If $a + bi \in D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])$, then $N_{G(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])}(a + bi) = -(a + bi) + U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]);$*
- (iii) *If $a + bi \in U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])$, then $N_{G(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])}(a + bi) = (-(a + bi) + U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])) \setminus \{a + bi\}.$*

Lemma 4.2 *Let $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and s be a positive integer. Then $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]}$ and $G(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])$ are the*

union of some independent sets. In particular,

$$V(G_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]}) = V(G(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])) = \bigcup_{a,b=0}^{p-1} ((a+bi) + D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])).$$

Proof Let $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and s be a positive integer. By Lemma 2.1 (ii), $a+bi \in D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])$ if and only if a and b are not prime to p . Suppose that $\alpha = a+bi$, $\beta = c+di \in D(\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i])$ and $\alpha \neq \beta$, then $p|a$, $p|b$, $p|c$ and $p|d$. So $p|(a-c)$, $p|(b-d)$ and $(\alpha-\beta) \in D(\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}[i])$. It means that α is not connected to β in $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]}$. Furthermore, the set $D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])$ is an independent set in $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]}$. It is easy to check that $\bigcup_{a,b=0}^{p-1} ((a+bi) + D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])) \setminus D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]) = U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])$. Similarly, for $a, b \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$, the set $(a+bi) + D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])$ is an independent set in $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]}$. The proof for the case $G(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])$ is similar. \square

Recall that the total graph of ring R is a graph with all elements of R as vertices, and two distinct vertices α, β are adjacent if and only if $\alpha + \beta \in D(R)$. It is denoted by $T(\Gamma(R))$. Let regular graph of R , $\text{Reg}(\Gamma(R))$, be the induced subgraph of $T(\Gamma(R))$ on the regular elements of R . For a finite ring, the regular elements are the unit elements. So $\text{Reg}(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]))$ is an induced subgraph of $T(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]))$ on the unit elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]$. We first determine the automorphism group of $\text{Reg}(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]))$.

Theorem 4.3 *Let $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and s be a positive integer. Then,*

$$\text{Aut}(\text{Reg}(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]))) \cong (S_{p^{2s-2}} \wr S_2) \wr S_{\frac{p^2-1}{2}}.$$

Proof Let us denote by R_p the set $\{a+bi \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i] \mid 0 \leq a, b \leq p-1\}$. From Lemma 2.1 (ii), $a+bi \in D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])$ if and only if a and b are not prime to p . Then there exists only one zero divisor 0 in R_p .

Suppose that $0 \neq \alpha \in R_p$, then there exists a unique $0 \neq \beta \in R_p$ such that $\alpha + \beta = p+pi$. We next show that the subgraph of $\text{Reg}(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]))$ induced by $\alpha + D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]) \cup \beta + D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])$ is a complete bipartite connected components of $\text{Reg}(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]))$. Since $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, we know that $(p, 2\alpha) = 1$ and $(p, 2\beta) = 1$. Therefore, $\alpha + D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])$ and $\beta + D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])$ are the independent sets in $\text{Reg}(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]))$. And by $\alpha + \beta = p+pi$, it is obvious that $\alpha + D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]) \cup \beta + D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])$ is a complete bipartite subgraph of $\text{Reg}(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]))$. For $\gamma \in R_p \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}$, it is clear that $\alpha + \gamma \neq p+pi$ and $\beta + \gamma \neq p+pi$. Thus, $(p, \alpha + \gamma) = 1$ and $(p, \beta + \gamma) = 1$. Hence, for any $a+bi \in D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])$, $(p, \alpha + \gamma + a+bi) = 1$ and $(p, \beta + \gamma + a+bi) = 1$, this means that $\alpha + \gamma + a+bi \in U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])$ and $\beta + \gamma + a+bi \in U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])$. Therefore, all vertices in $\alpha + D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]) \cup \beta + D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])$ are not adjacent to $\bigcup_{\gamma \in R_p \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}} (\gamma + D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]))$. From Lemma 2.1 (ii), $|D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])| = p^{2s-2}$. Consequently, the subgraph of $\text{Reg}(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]))$ induced by $\alpha + D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]) \cup \beta + D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])$ is a complete bipartite connected components of $\text{Reg}(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]))$, which gives $\text{Aut}(\text{Reg}(\Gamma(\alpha + D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]) \cup \beta + D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]))) \cong S_{p^{2s-2}} \wr S_2$.

Since $(p, 2) = 1$, the equation $X + Y = p+pi$ has $\frac{p^2-1}{2}$ distinct pairs of solutions in R_p . Thus, $\text{Reg}(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]))$ consist of $\frac{p^2-1}{2}$ copies of $\alpha + D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]) \cup \beta + D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])$. By Lemma 2.2 (iii), we get $\text{Aut}(\text{Reg}(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]))) \cong (S_{p^{2s-2}} \wr S_2) \wr S_{\frac{p^2-1}{2}}$. \square

Now we determine the automorphism groups of the unit graph, the unitary Cayley graph

and the total graph of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]$, where $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Theorem 4.4 *Let $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and s be a positive integer. Then*

$$\text{Aut}(G_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]}) \cong S_{p^{2s-2}} \wr S_{p^2}$$

and

$$\text{Aut}(G(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])) \cong \text{Aut}(T(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]))) \cong ((S_{p^{2s-2}} \wr S_2) \wr S_{\frac{p^2-1}{2}}) \times S_{p^{2s-2}}.$$

Proof The proof for $\text{Aut}(G_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]}) \cong S_{p^{2s-2}} \wr S_{p^2}$ is similar to Theorem 3.3. In fact, $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]}$ is a complete p^2 -partite graph $K_{p^{2s-2}, p^{2s-2}, \dots, p^{2s-2}}$.

By Lemma 2.2 (i), we get $\text{Aut}(G(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])) \cong \text{Aut}(T(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])))$. We only need to show that $\text{Aut}(T(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]))) \cong ((S_{p^{2s-2}} \wr S_2) \wr S_{\frac{p^2-1}{2}}) \times S_{p^{2s-2}}$. From Lemma 4.1 (ii) and (iii), we know that the unit elements and zero divisors have different degrees in graph $T(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]))$. It is obvious that $D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])$ and $U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])$ are two connected components of $T(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]))$ and $D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i])$ is closed under addition. Hence, the connected component of $T(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]))$ induced by the zero divisors is a complete subgraph. By Theorem 4.3, $\text{Aut}(\text{Reg}(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]))) \cong (S_{p^{2s-2}} \wr S_2) \wr S_{\frac{p^2-1}{2}}$. Therefore, $\text{Aut}(T(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]))) \cong ((S_{p^{2s-2}} \wr S_2) \wr S_{\frac{p^2-1}{2}}) \times S_{p^{2s-2}}$, by Lemma 2.2 (iii). \square

5. Automorphisms of some graphs for $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]$, $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$

Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then $p = \pi\bar{\pi}$ for some π in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, where $\bar{\pi}$ is the complex conjugate of π . In [10], we know that $\mathbb{Z}[i]/(\pi^s) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$. Then by Chinese remainder theorem,

$$\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i] \cong \mathbb{Z}[i]/(p^s) \cong \mathbb{Z}[i]/(\pi^s) \times \mathbb{Z}[i]/(\bar{\pi}^s) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}.$$

In this section, we use $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ instead of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]$. It is well known that $U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}) = U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}) \times U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s})$. Then by Lemma 2.1 (iii) and the definitions of the unit graph, the unitary Cayley graph, it is easy to have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1 *Let $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$, where $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and s is a positive integer. Then,*

- (i) $N_{G_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}}}(a, b) = (a, b) + U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s})$;
- (ii) If $(a, b) \in D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s})$, then $N_{G(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s})}(a, b) = -(a, b) + U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s})$;
- (iii) If $(a, b) \in U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s})$, then $N_{G(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s})}(a, b) = (-(a, b) + U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s})) \setminus \{(a, b)\}$.

Lemma 5.2 *Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and s be a positive integer. Then $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}}$ and $G(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s})$ are the union of some independent sets. In particular,*

$$V(G_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}}) = V(G(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s})) = \bigcup_{a, b=0}^{p-1} ((a, b) + D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}) \times D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s})).$$

Proof The proof is similar to Lemma 4.2. \square

In order to get the automorphism groups of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}}$, $G(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s})$ and $T(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}))$, we need to define the following mappings. Let

$$\begin{aligned} f : \mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s} &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \\ (a, b) &\mapsto (b, a). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\{f, f^2 = e\}$ is a cycle group with order 2, denoted by S_2 . Let Z_p be a subset of \mathbb{Z}_{p^s} , set $Z_p = \{a \mid 0 \leq a \leq p-1\}$. Let S_p be the symmetric group over the set Z_p and $g \in S_p$, define

$$\begin{aligned} h_{e,g} : Z_p \times Z_p &\rightarrow Z_p \times Z_p \\ (a, b) &\mapsto (a, g(b)). \end{aligned}$$

Set $H_p = \{h_{e,g} \mid g \in S_p\}$. Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_{g,e} : Z_p \times Z_p &\rightarrow Z_p \times Z_p \\ (a, b) &\mapsto (g(a), b). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $h_{g,e} = fh_{e,g}f$.

We will denote by $\text{Aut}(G_{Z_p \times Z_p})$ the automorphism group of subgraph of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}}$ induced by $Z_p \times Z_p$. It is easy to check that the restriction of S_2 to $Z_p \times Z_p$ and H_p are subgroups of $\text{Aut}(G_{Z_p \times Z_p})$. Let $\langle S_2 \cup H_p \rangle$ denote the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(G_{Z_p \times Z_p})$ generated by $S_2 \cup H_p$.

Let $S_2 \wr S_{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ be the symmetric group over a partition $Z_p^* = \cup_{a+b=p} \{a, b\}$, where $Z_p^* = Z_p \setminus \{0\}$ and $g \in S_2 \wr S_{\frac{p-1}{2}}$, define

$$\begin{aligned} k_{e,g} : Z_p \times Z_p &\rightarrow Z_p \times Z_p \\ (a, b) &\mapsto (a, g(b)), \quad b \neq 0, \\ (a, b) &\mapsto (a, b), \quad b = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Set $K_p = \{k_{e,g} \mid g \in S_2 \wr S_{\frac{p-1}{2}}\}$. Similar to $h_{g,e}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} k_{g,e} : Z_p \times Z_p &\rightarrow Z_p \times Z_p \\ (a, b) &\mapsto (g(a), b), \quad a \neq 0, \\ (a, b) &\mapsto (a, b), \quad a = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $k_{g,e} = fk_{e,g}f$. We will denote by $\text{Aut}(G(Z_p \times Z_p))$ the automorphism group of subgraph of $G(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s})$ induced by $Z_p \times Z_p$. It is easy to check that the restriction of S_2 to $Z_p \times Z_p$ and K_p are subgroups of $\text{Aut}(G(Z_p \times Z_p))$. Let $\langle S_2 \cup K_p \rangle$ denote the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(G(Z_p \times Z_p))$ generated by $S_2 \cup K_p$.

Theorem 5.3 *Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then*

$$\text{Aut}(G_{Z_p \times Z_p}) = \langle S_2 \cup H_p \rangle$$

and

$$\text{Aut}(G(Z_p \times Z_p)) = \langle S_2 \cup K_p \rangle.$$

Proof It is obvious that $\text{Aut}(G_{Z_p \times Z_p}) \supseteq \langle S_2 \cup H_p \rangle$. Let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(G_{Z_p \times Z_p})$. We next show that σ can be generated by finite composite of elements in $S_2 \cup H_p$. Suppose that $\sigma(0, 0) = (a, b)$. Then there exist $g_1, g_2 \in S_p$ such that $g_1(a) = 0$ and $g_2(b) = 0$. Thus, $h_{g_2, e} h_{e, g_1} \sigma(0, 0) = h_{g_2, e} h_{e, g_1}(a, b) = (0, 0)$.

Set $\sigma_1 = h_{g_2, e} h_{e, g_1} \sigma$. Since automorphisms preserve adjacency and $(0, 1) \notin N_{G_{Z_p \times Z_p}}(0, 0)$, we know that $\sigma_1(0, 1) \notin N_{G_{Z_p \times Z_p}}(0, 0)$. Then $\sigma_1(0, 1) \in \{(a, b) \in Z_p \times Z_p \mid a = 0, b \neq 0 \text{ or } a \neq$

0, $b = 0$ }. Without loss of generality we can assume $\sigma_1(0, 1) = (a_1, 0)$. Then there exists $g_3 \in S_p$ such that $g_3(0) = 0$ and $g_3(a_1) = 1$. Thus, we get $fh_{g_3,e}\sigma_1(0, 0) = fh_{g_3,e}(0, 0) = (0, 0)$ and $fh_{g_3,e}\sigma_1(0, 1) = fh_{g_3,e}(a_1, 0) = f(1, 0) = (0, 1)$.

Set $\sigma_2 = fh_{g_3,e}\sigma_1$. Since $\sigma_2(N_{G_{Z_p \times Z_p}}(0, 0)) = N_{G_{Z_p \times Z_p}}(0, 0)$ and $\sigma_2(N_{G_{Z_p \times Z_p}}(0, 1)) = N_{G_{Z_p \times Z_p}}(0, 1)$, we know that $\sigma_2(Z_p \times Z_p \setminus N_{G_{Z_p \times Z_p}}(0, 0)) = Z_p \times Z_p \setminus N_{G_{Z_p \times Z_p}}(0, 0)$ and $\sigma_2(Z_p \times Z_p \setminus N_{G_{Z_p \times Z_p}}(0, 1)) = Z_p \times Z_p \setminus N_{G_{Z_p \times Z_p}}(0, 1)$. In fact,

$$(Z_p \times Z_p \setminus N_{G_{Z_p \times Z_p}}(0, 0)) \cap (Z_p \times Z_p \setminus N_{G_{Z_p \times Z_p}}(0, 1)) = \{(0, b) \mid 0 \leq b \leq p-1\}.$$

Then there exists $g_4 \in S_p$ such that $h_{e,g_4}\sigma_2(0, b) = (0, b)$, where $0 \leq b \leq p-1$.

Set $\sigma_3 = h_{e,g_4}\sigma_2$. Similarly, there exists $g_5 \in S_p$ such that $h_{g_5,e}\sigma_3(a, 0) = (a, 0)$ and $h_{g_5,e}\sigma_3(0, b) = (0, b)$, where $0 \leq a, b \leq p-1$.

Set $\sigma_4 = h_{g_5,e}\sigma_3$. Since automorphisms preserve adjacency and

$$(Z_p \times Z_p \setminus N_{G_{Z_p \times Z_p}}(0, b)) \cap (Z_p \times Z_p \setminus N_{G_{Z_p \times Z_p}}(a, 0)) = \{(0, 0), (a, b)\},$$

we can get $\sigma_4(a, b) = (a, b)$, where $0 \leq a, b \leq p-1$. Therefore, σ_4 is the identity element e of $\text{Aut}(G_{Z_p \times Z_p})$. This gives $e = h_{g_5,e}h_{e,g_4}fh_{g_3,e}h_{g_2,e}h_{e,g_1}\sigma$. Hence $\sigma = h_{e,g_1}^{-1}h_{g_2,e}^{-1}h_{g_3,e}^{-1}fh_{e,g_4}^{-1}h_{g_5,e}^{-1}$, which gives σ can be generated by finite composite of elements in $S_2 \cup H_p$.

For any $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(G(Z_p \times Z_p))$, by Lemma 5.1, we know that $N_{G(Z_p \times Z_p)}(0, 0) = Z_p^* \times Z_p^*$ and $\sigma(Z_p^* \times Z_p^*) = Z_p^* \times Z_p^*$. Since automorphism preserves adjacency, $N_{G(Z_p \times Z_p)}(\sigma(0, 0)) = \sigma(N_{G(Z_p \times Z_p)}(0, 0)) = \sigma(Z_p^* \times Z_p^*) = Z_p^* \times Z_p^* = N_{G(Z_p \times Z_p)}(0, 0)$. Then, $\sigma(0, 0) = (0, 0)$. Similar to the proof of $\text{Aut}(G_{Z_p \times Z_p})$, $\text{Aut}(G(Z_p \times Z_p)) \cong \langle S_2 \cup K_p \rangle$, which completes the proof. \square

Since every non-zero element in \mathbb{Z}_{p^s} can be written uniquely as $t_0 + t_1p + \dots + t_{s-1}p^{s-1}$, where $t_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$, $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, s-1\}$, and $U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}) = U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}) \times U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s})$, it is easy to get the following lemma.

Lemma 5.4 *Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and s be a positive integer. Then for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$, the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) $N_{G_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}}}(\alpha) = N_{G_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}}}(\beta)$.
- (ii) $\alpha, \beta \in (a, b) + D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}) \times D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s})$ for some $a, b \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$.
- (iii) $N_{G(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s})}(\alpha) = N_{G(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s})}(\beta)$.

Now we show the automorphism groups of the unit graph, the unitary Cayley graph and the total graph of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[i]$, where $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

Theorem 5.5 *Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and s be a positive integer. Then,*

$$\text{Aut}(G_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}}) \cong (S_{p^{2s-2}})^{p^2} \rtimes \langle S_2 \cup H_p \rangle,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Aut}(G(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s})) &\cong \text{Aut}(T(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}))) \\ &\cong (S_{p^{2s-2}})^{p^2} \rtimes \langle S_2 \cup K_p \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Proof Recall that $|D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}) \times D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s})| = p^{2s-2}$. Let $(S_{p^{2s-2}})^{p^2}$ be a product of symmetric groups over $\bigcup_{a,b=0}^{p-1} ((a, b) + D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}) \times D(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}))$. We claim that $\text{Aut}(G_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}})/(S_{p^{2s-2}})^{p^2} \cong \text{Aut}(G_{Z_p \times Z_p})$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi : \text{Aut}(G_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}}) &\rightarrow \text{Aut}(G_{Z_p \times Z_p}) \\ \sigma &\mapsto \sigma|_{Z_p \times Z_p},\end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma|_{Z_p \times Z_p}$ is the restriction of σ to $Z_p \times Z_p$. By Lemma 5.4, it is easily seen that φ is an epimorphism and $\ker(\varphi) = (S_{p^{2s-2}})^{p^2}$. Therefore, $\text{Aut}(G_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}}) \cong (S_{p^{2s-2}})^{p^2} \rtimes \langle S_2 \cup H_p \rangle$ by Theorem 5.3.

The proof for the case $G(\mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^s})$ is similar. \square

Acknowledgements We thank the referees for their time and comments.

References

- [1] D. F. ANDERSON, P. S. LIVINGSTON. *The zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring*. J. Algebra, 1999, **217**(2): 434–447.
- [2] Jinming ZHOU, Dein WONG, Xiaobin MA. *Automorphisms of the zero-divisor graph of the full matrix ring*. Linear Multilinear Algebra, 2017, **65**(5): 991–1002.
- [3] M. MIRZARGAR, P. P. PACH, A. R. ASHRAFI. *The automorphism group of commuting graph of a finite group*. Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 2014, **51**(4): 1145–1153.
- [4] I. J. DEJTER, R. E. GIUDICI. *On unitary Cayley graphs*. J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput, 1995, **18**: 121–124.
- [5] R. P. GRIMALDI. *Graphs from rings*. Congr. Numer, 1990, **71**: 95–104.
- [6] D. F. ANDERSON, A. BADAWI. *The total graph of a commutative ring*. J. Algebra, 2008, **320**(7): 2706–2719.
- [7] K. KHASHYARMANESH, M. R. KHORSANDI. *A generalization of the unit and unitary Cayley graphs of a commutative ring*. Acta Math. Hungar, 2012, **137**(4): 242–253.
- [8] A. BAHRAMI, R. JAHANI-NEZHAD. *Unit and unitary Cayley graphs for the ring of Gaussian integers modulo n* . Quasigroups Related Systems, 2017, **25**: 189–200.
- [9] S. AKBARI, D. KIANI, F. MOHAMMADI, et al. *The total graph and regular graph of a commutative ring*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 2009, **213**(12): 2224–2228.
- [10] J. T. CROSS. *The Euler ϕ -function in the Gaussian integers*. Amer. Math. Monthly, 1983, **90**(8): 518–528.
- [11] L. W. BEINEKE, J. W. ROBIN, J. C. PETER. *Topics in Algebraic Graph Theory*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.