Journal of Mathematical Research with Applications Mar., 2020, Vol. 40, No. 2, pp. 119–126 DOI:10.3770/j.issn:2095-2651.2020.02.002 Http://jmre.dlut.edu.cn

## Central Reflexive Rings with an Involution

Beilei GAO, Gaixia WANG\*

School of Mathematics and Physics, Anhui University of Technology, Anhui 243032, P. R. China

**Abstract** We study the central reflexive properties of rings with an involution. The concept of central \*-reflexive rings is introduced and investigated. It is shown that central \*-reflexive rings are a generalization of reflexive rings, central reflexive rings and \*-reflexive rings. Some characterizations of this class of rings are given. The related ring extensions including trivial extension, Dorroh extension and polynomial extensions are also studied.

**Keywords** \*-reflexive rings; central \*-reflexive rings; central \*-semicommutative ring; Dorroh extension

MR(2010) Subject Classification 16W10; 13B02

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, R denotes an associative ring with identity. We denote by C(R) the centre of R and the ring of integers is denoted by  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Reversible rings were defined by Cohn [1] in 1999. He showed that the Kothe conjecture is ture for the reversible rings. According to [2], a ring R is reflexive if aRb = 0 implies bRa = 0 for all  $a, b \in R$ . It is clear that every reversible ring is reflexive. An involution \* of a ring R is an anti-isomorphism such that  $(a + b)^* = a^* + b^*$ ,  $(ab)^* = b^*a^*$  and  $(a^*)^* = a$  for all  $a, b \in R$ . A ring R with the involution \* is called a \*-ring. A ring R is said to be a \*-reflexive ring if for all  $a, b \in R$ , aRb = 0 implies  $bRa^* = 0$ . According to [3], a ring R is central reflexive if for any  $a, b \in R$ , whenever aRb = 0, then  $bRa \subseteq C(R)$ .

This is a further study of reflexive rings [2–6] and \*-reflexive rings [3,5]. We introduce and study the concept of central \*-reflexive rings, which is a generalization of reflexive rings, central reflexive rings and \*-reflexive rings. A ring R is said to be a central \*-reflexive ring if for all  $a, b \in R, aRb = 0$  implies  $bRa^* \subseteq C(R)$ . The connection among central \*-reversible rings, central \*-reflexive rings and central \*-semicommutative rings are studied. Furthermore, we obtain the related ring extensions including trivial extension, Dorroh extension and polynomial extensions.

### 2. Central \*-reflexive rings

In this section, we define and study central \*-reflexive rings. Some characterizations of this class of rings are given, including four equivalent conditions and two sufficient conditions. Then

\* Corresponding author

Received December 14, 2018; Accepted April 11, 2019

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11601005).

E-mail address: wgx075@163.com (Gaixia WANG)

we discuss the relations among central \*-reversible rings, central \*-reflexive rings and central \*-semicommutative rings.

**Definition 2.1** An involution \* of a ring R is said to be central reflexive, if for all  $a, b \in R$ , aRb = 0 implies  $bRa^* \subseteq C(R)$ . A ring R with a central reflexive involution is said to be a central \*-reflexive ring.

**Proposition 2.2** For a ring *R*, the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) R is a central \*-reflexive;
- (2) ARB = 0 implies  $BRA^* \subseteq C(R)$  for any nonempty subsets A, B of R;
- (3) IJ = 0 implies  $JI^* \subseteq C(R)$  for all right(left) ideals I, J of R;
- (4) IJ = 0 implies  $JI^* \subseteq C(R)$  for all ideals I, J of R.

**Proof** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Let A, B be nonempty subsets of R such that ARB = 0. Then for any  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$ , aRb = 0. Since R is central \*-reflexive,  $bRa^* \subseteq C(R)$ . Thus  $BRA^* = \sum_{a \in A, b \in B} bRa^* \subseteq C(R)$ .

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). Let I, J be two right ideals of R such that IJ = 0. Since IR = I, IRJ = 0. By (2),  $JI^* = JRI^* \subseteq C(R)$ . A similar proof can be given for all left ideals of R.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$  is straightforward.

 $(4) \Rightarrow (1)$ . Let aRb = 0 for  $a, b \in R$ . Then we have RaRbR = 0, and so  $bRa^* \subseteq RbRRa^*R \subseteq C(R)$  by (4). Thus R is central \*-reflexive.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 2.3** Let R and S be rings and  $\tau : R \to S$  be an isomorphism. Then

- (1) \* is an involution on R if and only if  $\tau(*) := \tau \circ * \circ \tau^{-1}$  is an involution on S.
- (2) R is \*-reflexive if and only if S is  $\tau(*)$ -reflexive.
- (3) R is central \*-reflexive if and only if S is central  $\tau(*)$ -reflexive.

**Proof** (1) First note that  $\tau \circ * \circ \tau^{-1} := \tau(*)$  is an anti-automorphism on S of order two and similarly  $\tau^{-1} \circ \tau(*) \circ \tau = *$  is also an anti-automorphism on R of order two. It is easy to check that if \* is an involution on R, then  $\tau(*)$  is an involution on S. Similarly, if  $\tau(*)$  is an involution on S, then \* is an involution on R.

(2) Assume that R is \*-reflexive. Then for any  $a, b \in S$  satisfying aSb = 0, we have  $\tau^{-1}(aSb) = \tau^{-1}(a)R\tau^{-1}(b) = 0$ . Thus  $\tau^{-1}(a)R(\tau^{-1}(b))^* = 0$ . It follows that

$$0 = \tau[\tau^{-1}(a)R(\tau^{-1}(b))^*] = aS\tau[(\tau^{-1}(b))^*] = aSb^*.$$

Hence, S is  $\tau(*)$ -reflexive. The inverse is straightforward.

The proofs of (2) and (3) are analogous.  $\Box$ 

An involution \* of R is said to be proper (resp., semiproper) if  $aa^* = 0$  (resp.,  $aRa^* = 0$ ) implies a = 0 for all  $a \in R$ .

**Proposition 2.4** Let R be a \*-ring. If the involution \* is semiproper, then R is central \*-reflexive.

**Proof** Let R be a ring with a semiproper involution \*. Then for any  $a, b, r \in R$  satisfying aRb = 0, we have  $0 = (ar^*b^*Rb)ra^* = (bra^*)^*Rbra^*$ . It follows that  $bra^* = 0$  since \* is semiproper. Thus  $bRa^* = 0$  and  $bRa^* \subseteq C(R)$ . Therefore, R is central \*-reflexive.  $\Box$ 

For a \*-ring R, if an ideal I is closed under \* (i.e.,  $I^* = I$ ), then I is a \*-ring (possibly without identity). It is clear that  $\overline{*} : R/I \to R/I$  defined by  $(a+I)^{\overline{*}} = a^* + I$  is an involution of R/I.

**Proposition 2.5** Let R be a \*-ring and I be an ideal which is closed under \*. If R/I is  $\overline{*}$ -reflexive and \* is a semiproper involution of I, then R is central \*-reflexive.

**Proof** For any  $a, b \in R$  satisfying aRb = 0, we have  $bRa^* \subseteq I$  since R/I is  $\overline{*}$ -reflexive. And aRb = 0 also implies  $(bra^*)^*R(bra^*) = (ar^*b^*Rb)ra^* = 0$  for any  $r \in R$ . Since  $bra^* \in I$  and \* is a semiproper involution of I, we have  $bra^* = 0$ . Thus  $bRa^* \subseteq C(R)$ , as needed.  $\Box$ 

A ring R is called semicommutative if for all  $a, b \in R$ , ab = 0 implies aRb = 0. It is clear that a ring R is reversible if and only if R is semicommutative and reflexive.

**Definition 2.6** A \*-ring R is said to be central \*-reversible if ab = 0 implies  $ba^* \in C(R)$  for all  $a, b \in R$ .

**Definition 2.7** A \*-ring R is said to be central \*-semicommutative if ab = 0 implies  $bRa^* \subseteq C(R)$  for all  $a, b \in R$ .

**Proposition 2.8** Every \*-reversible is central \*-reflexive.

**Proof** If R is a \*-reversible ring, then R is symmetric by the proof of [2, Proposition 6]. For any  $a, b \in R$  satisfying aRb = 0, we have ab = 0, and thus  $ba^* = 0$ . Then we have  $bRa^* = 0$ since every symmetric ring is semicommutative. This shows that R is a \*-reflexive ring. Thus R is central \*-reflexive.  $\Box$ 

Recall that a \*-ring R is said to be \*-semicommutative if ab = 0 implies  $bRa^* = 0$  for all  $a, b \in R$ . More generally, we give the following

Proposition 2.9 Every \*-semicommutative ring is a central \*-reflexive ring.

**Proof** Let R be a \*-semicommutative ring and let  $a, b \in R$  such that aRb = 0. Then we have ab = 0, and thus  $bRa^* = 0$ . This implies that  $bRa^* \subseteq C(R)$  and thus R is a central \*-reflexive ring.  $\Box$ 

Corollary 2.10 Every \*-semicommutative ring is a central \*-semicommutative ring.

**Proposition 2.11** Let R be a \*-ring. Then

- (1) If R is central \*-reversible, then it is central \*-reflexive.
- (2) If R is central \*-reflexive and semicommutative, then it is central \*-reversible.

**Proof** (1) Let R be a central \*-reversible ring. For any  $a, b \in R$  such that aRb = 0, we have ab = 0. Then  $ba^* \in C(R)$ . Therefore, abr = 0 for all  $r \in R$ . By the central \*-reversible property

of R,  $bra^* \in C(R)$ . Hence,  $bRa^* \subseteq C(R)$ . Therefore, R is central \*-reflexive.

(2) Let R be a central \*-reflexive and semicommutative ring and let  $a, b \in R$  such that ab = 0. Then we have aRb = 0 by the semicommutative property of R. And thus  $bRa^* \subseteq C(R)$ . Then  $ba^* \in C(R)$  since  $1 \in R$ .  $\Box$ 

Corollary 2.12 Every central \*-semicommutative ring is a central \*-reflexive ring.

**Corollary 2.13** Let R be a semicommutative ring. If R is a central \*-reflexive ring, then R is central \*-semicommutative.

The next example shows that a central \*-reflexive ring need not be central \*-semicommutative.

**Example 2.14** Let  $R = (M_n(\mathbb{C}), M_n(\mathbb{C}))$  and  $\overline{*}: R \to R$  defined by  $(A, B)^{\overline{*}} = (A^*, B^*)$  for any  $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , where \* is the conjugate transpose of matrices.  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is central \*-reflexive, then so is R. Now we show that R is not central \*-semicommutative. Let

$$a = \left( \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) 
ight) \in R.$$

Then we have  $a^2 = 0$ . However,

$$aa^{\overline{*}} = \left( \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \right) \notin C(R).$$

It follows that  $aRa^{\overline{*}} \not\subseteq C(R)$ , proving R is not central \*-semicommutative.

#### 3. Extensions of central \*-reflexive rings

An element  $a \in R$  is called self-adjoint if  $a = a^*$ . In particular, an idempotent e of a \*-ring R is called a projection if  $e^* = e = e^2$ .

**Proposition 3.1** For a \*-ring R, the following are equivalent:

- (1) R is central \*-reflexive;
- (2) eRe is central \*-reflexive for any projection  $e \in R$ ;
- (3) eR is central \*-reflexive for any central idempotent  $e \in R$ .

**Proof** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Assume that *R* is a central \*-reflexive ring and there is  $e^2 = e = e^* \in R$ . For any  $eae, ebe \in eRe$  satisfying 0 = eae(eRe)ebe = eaeRebe, we have

$$ebeR(eae)^* = ebeR(eaee)^* = ebeeRe^*(eae)^* = (ebe)(eRe)(eae)^* \subseteq C(R).$$

Thus eRe is central \*-reflexive.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ . This is obvious if we let the projection e = 1.

(1) ⇔(3) is straightforward by the above proof since every central idempotent is a projection.  $\square$ 

Recall that for a ring R and an (R,R)-bimodule M, the trivial extension of R by M is the ring  $T(R,M) = R \oplus M$  with the usual addition and the following multiplication:

$$(r_1, m_1)(r_2, m_2) = (r_1r_2, r_1m_2 + m_1r_2).$$

This is isomorphic to the ring of all matrices of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} r & m \\ 0 & r \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $r \in R$ ,  $m \in M$  and the usual matrix operations are used.

Note that if R is a \*-ring and T(R,R) is the trivial extension of R, then  $\overline{*}$ :  $T(R,R) \to T(R,R)$  defined by

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc}a&b\\0&a\end{array}\right)^* = \left(\begin{array}{cc}a^*&b^*\\0&a^*\end{array}\right)$$

is an involution on T(R, R).

**Proposition 3.2** If the trivial extension T(R, R) is central  $\overline{*}$ -reflexive, then R is central \*-reflexive.

**Proof** Suppose that R is a \*-ring and T(R, R) is central  $\overline{*}$ -reflexive. Let  $a, b \in R$  such that aRb = 0. We have

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc}a&0\\0&a\end{array}\right)T(R,R)\left(\begin{array}{cc}0&b\\0&0\end{array}\right)=0.$$

It follows that

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right) T(R,R) \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{array}\right)^* = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & bRa^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right) \in C(T(R,R))$$

since T(R, R) is central  $\overline{*}$ -reflexive. Thus, we have  $bRa^* \subseteq C(R)$ . Hence R is central \*-reflexive.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 3.3** Let R be a reduced ring. If R is a central \*-reflexive ring, then T(R, R) is central  $\overline{*}$ -reflexive ring.

**Proof** Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \in T(R, R)$$

such that ABC = 0 for every  $B = \begin{pmatrix} m & n \\ 0 & m \end{pmatrix} \in T(R, R)$ . Then we have

$$amc = 0, \tag{3.1}$$

$$amd + amc + bmc = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

In the following, we freely use the fact that R is reduced and every reduced ring is semicommutative. From Eq. (3.1), we see that amc = 0, and so abmc = amdc = 0. Multiplying Eq. (3.2) on the right by c, we have  $amdc + (an + bm)c^2 = (an + bm)c^2 = (an + bm)c = 0$ . This shows that

$$anc + bmc = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Next multiplying Eq. (3.3) on the left side by a, we obtain  $a^2nc + abmc = a^2nc = 0$  and so anc = 0. Hence Eq. (3.2) becomes:

$$amd + bmc = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Multiplying Eq. (3.4) on the left side by a, we obtain  $a^2md + abmc = a^2md = 0$ . This shows that amd = 0, and so bmc = 0. Now we obtain amc = amd = anc = bmc = 0. Since R is

a central \*-reflexive ring, we have  $cma^* \in C(R)$ ,  $dma^* \in C(R)$ ,  $cna^* \in C(R)$ ,  $cmb^* \in C(R)$ . Therefore, we get

$$CBA^{\overline{*}} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} cma^{*} & cmb^{*} + cna^{*} + dma^{*} \\ 0 & cma^{*} \end{array} \right) \in C(T(R,R)),$$

which implies that T(R,R) is central  $\overline{*}$ -reflexive.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 3.4** If  $\{R_i : i \in I\}$  is a class of central \*-reflexive rings, then  $\prod_{i \in I} R_i$  is central \*-reflexive.

**Proof** Let  $R_i$  be central \*-reflexive rings for all  $i \in I$ . Let  $S = \prod_{i \in I} R_i$  and  $(a_i), (b_i) \in S$  such that  $(a_i)S(b_i) = 0$ . This gives  $a_iR_ib_i = 0$  for all  $i \in I$ . Since  $R_i$  is central \*-reflexive for each  $i \in I$ ,  $b_iR_ia_i^* \subseteq C(R_i)$  for all  $i \in I$ . Thus  $(b_i)S(a_i^*) \subseteq C(R)$ . This implies that S is central \*-reflexive.  $\Box$ 

Recall that an element u of a ring R is right regular if ur = 0 implies r = 0 for  $r \in R$ . Left regular elements can be similarly defined. An element is regular if it is both left and right regular. For a \*-ring R, let  $\triangle$  be a multiplicative monoid in R consisting of central regular elements. Then

$$\triangle^{-1}R = \{ u^{-1}a \mid u \in \triangle, a \in R \}$$

is a ring. If  $\triangle$  is closed under \*, then  $\overline{*}: \triangle^{-1}R \rightarrow \triangle^{-1}R$  defined by  $(u^{-1}a)^{\overline{*}} = (u^*)^{-1}a^*$  is an involution of  $\triangle^{-1}R$ .

**Proposition 3.5** For a \*-ring R, R is central \*-reflexive if and only if  $\triangle^{-1}R$  is central  $\overline{*}$ -reflexive.

**Proof** Let R be a central \*-reflexive ring. Let  $u^{-1}a, v^{-1}b \in \triangle^{-1}R$  with  $u, v \in \triangle$  and  $a, b \in R$  such that  $(u^{-1}a) \triangle^{-1} R(v^{-1}b) = 0$ . Then we have aRb = 0. By assumption,  $bRa^* \subseteq C(R)$ . Therefore, we have

$$(v^{-1}b) \bigtriangleup^{-1} R(u^{-1}a)^{\overline{*}} = (v^{-1}b) \bigtriangleup^{-1} R(u^*)^{-1}a^* \subseteq C(\bigtriangleup^{-1}R),$$

and hence  $\triangle^{-1}R$  is central  $\overline{\ast}$ -reflexive. Conversely, assume that  $\triangle^{-1}R$  is central  $\overline{\ast}$ -reflexive. Let  $a, b \in R$  such that aRb = 0. This implies that  $a(\triangle^{-1}R)b = 0$ . Since  $\triangle^{-1}R$  is central  $\overline{\ast}$ -reflexive,  $b(\triangle^{-1}R)a^{\overline{\ast}} = b(\triangle^{-1}R)a^* \subseteq C(\triangle^{-1}R)$ . Therefore, we get  $bRa^* \subseteq C(R)$ . This shows that R is central  $\ast$ -reflexive.  $\Box$ 

The ring of Laurent polynomials in x, over a ring R, consists of all formal sums  $\sum_{i=k}^{n} r_i x^i$  with usual addition and multiplication, where  $r_i \in R$  and  $k, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This ring is denoted by  $R[x; x^{-1}]$  [7]. Moreover, if R is a ring with involution \*, then  $\overline{*} : R[x; x^{-1}] \to R[x; x^{-1}]$  defined by  $(\sum_{i=k}^{n} a_i x^i)^{\overline{*}} = \sum_{i=k}^{n} a_i^* x^i$  extends \* and also is an involution of  $R[x; x^{-1}]$ . Let  $\Delta = \{1, x, x^2, \ldots\}$ . Then clearly  $\Delta$  is a multiplicative monoid in R[x] consisting of central regular elements, and  $\Delta$  is closed under  $\overline{*}$  (in fact,  $x^{\overline{*}} = x$ ). Then we have the following

**Corollary 3.6** R[x] is central  $\overline{*}$ -reflexive if and only if  $\triangle^{-1}R[x]$  is central  $\overline{*}$ -reflexive.

**Corollary 3.7** For a ring R, R[x] is central  $\overline{*}$ -reflexive if and only if  $R[x; x^{-1}]$  is central  $\overline{*}$ -

#### reflexive.

For an algebra R over a commutative ring S, the Dorroh extension of R by S is the Abelian group  $D = R \oplus S$  with multiplication given by

$$(r_1, s_1)(r_2, s_2) = (r_1r_2 + s_1r_2 + s_2r_1, s_1s_2),$$

where  $r_i \in R$  and  $s_i \in R$ . If R is an algebra with involution \*, then \* can induce an involution  $\overline{*}: D \to D$  defined by  $(r, s)^{\overline{*}} = (r^*, s)$ .

**Proposition 3.8** Let R be an algebra over a commutative ring S. Then R is central \*-reflexive if and only if the Dorroh extension D of R by S is central  $\overline{*}$ -reflexive.

**Proof** Since every  $s \in S$  can be written as  $s = s \cdot 1_R$ , we have  $R = \{r + s : (r, s) \in D\}$ . Let R be central \*-reflexive and  $(r_1, s_1)D(r_2, s_2) = 0$ . Then  $(r_1, s_1)(r, s)(r_2, s_2) = 0$  for any  $(r, s) \in D$ . This implies

$$r_1rr_2 + s_1rr_2 + sr_1r_2 + s_2r_1r + s_1sr_2 + s_1s_2r + ss_2r_1 = 0$$
 and  $s_1ss_2 = 0$ .

So  $(r_1, s_1)(r, s)(r_2, s_2) = 0$  is equivalent to  $(r_1 + s_1)(r + s)(r_2 + s_2) = 0$  with  $s_1ss_2 = 0$ . This gives  $(r_1 + s_1)R(r_2 + s_2) = 0$  with  $s_2Ss_1 = 0$ . Since R is central \*-reflexive and S is commutative, we have  $(r_2, s_2)R(r_1, s_1)^* = (r_2, s_2)R(r_1^*, s_1) \subseteq C(R)$  with  $s_2Ss_1 = 0$ . This gives  $(r_2, s_2)(r, s)(r_1^*, s_1) = (r_2, s_2)(r, s)(r_1, s_1)^* \in C(D)$  and so,  $(r_2, s_2)D(r_1, s_1)^* \subseteq C(D)$ . Hence D is central \*-reflexive.

Conversely, suppose D is central  $\overline{*}$ -reflexive. Let  $a, b \in R$  such that aRb = 0. Then (a, 0)D(b, 0) = (aRb + Sab, 0) = 0. By assumption, we have  $(b, 0)D(a, 0)^{\overline{*}} = (b, 0)D(a^*, 0) \subseteq C(D)$ . It follows that  $(b, 0)(R, 0)(a^*, 0) = (bRa^*, 0) \in C(D)$ . Therefore,  $bRa^* \subseteq C(R)$ , proving that R is central \*-reflexive.  $\Box$ 

A ring R is an Armendariz ring if whenever the product of two polynomials in R[x] is zero, each product of their coefficients is zero. Quasi-Armendariz rings are a generalization of Armendariz rings defined in [8]. A ring R is quasi-Armendariz if whenever

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i, \quad g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} b_j x^j$$

satisfy f(x)R[x]g(x) = 0, then  $a_iRb_j = 0$  for all i, j.

**Proposition 3.9** Let R be a quasi-Armendariz ring such that it is also central \*-reflexive. Then R[x] is central  $\overline{*}$ -reflexive.

**Proof** Let  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i$ ,  $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} b_j x^j \in R[x]$  such that f(x)R[x]g(x) = 0. Since R is quasi-Armendariz,  $a_iRb_j = 0$  for all i, j. Since R is central \*-reflexive,  $b_jRa_i^* \subseteq C(R)$ . Thus  $g(x)R[x]f(x)^{\overline{*}} \subseteq C(R[x])$  and hence R[x] is central  $\overline{*}$ -reflexive.  $\Box$ 

Acknowledgements We thank the referees for their time and comments.

# References

- [1] P. M. COHN. Reversible rings. Bull. London Math. Soc., 1999, 31: 641-648.
- [2] G. MASON. Reflexive ideals. Comm. Algebra, 1981, 9(17): 1709–1724.
- [3] U. S. CHAKRABORTY. On some classes of reflexive rings. Asian-Eur. J. Math., 2015, 8: 1–15.
- W. M. FAKIEH, S. K. NAUMAN. Reversible rings with involutions and some minimalities. The Scientific World Journal, 2013, 6: 650702.
- [5] Liang ZHAO, Xiaosheng ZHU, Qinqin GU. Reflexive rings and their extensions. Math. Slovaca, 2013, 63(3): 417–430.
- [6] T. K. KWAK, Y. LEE. Reflexive property of rings. Comm. Algebra, 2012, 40(4): 1576–1594.
- [7] Y. HIRANO. On annihilator ideals of a polynomial ring over a noncommutative ring. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 2002, 168: 45–52.
- [8] N. K. KIM, Y. LEE. Armendariz rings and reduced Rings. J. Algebra, 2000, 223: 477–488.