

Lacunary Interpolation by Splines (II)*

Zhurui Guo (郭竹瑞) Maodong Ye (叶懋冬)

(Zhejiang University)

Let $\Delta: 0 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = 1$ be a subdivision of $[0, 1]$, $T = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, $z_{1i}, z_{2i} \in T$, $z_{1i} < z_{2i}$ and $S_\Delta = \{s(x) \mid s(x) \in C^3[0, 1]; s(x) \in \pi_5, x \in [x_i, x_{i+1}], i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. For $s(x) \in S_\Delta$, denote the interpolation conditions

$$s^{(z_{1i})}(x_i) = f^{(z_{1i})}(x_i), \quad s^{(z_{2i})}(x_i) = f^{(z_{2i})}(x_i), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n$$

by $\binom{z_{20}z_{21}\dots z_{2n}}{z_{10}z_{11}\dots z_{1n}}$ and denote two additional interpolation conditions $s^{(z')}(x_i) = f^{(z')}(x_i)$, $s^{(z'')}(x_j) = f^{(z'')}(x_j)$ by $b(x_i, z'; x_j, z'')$, where $z' \in T \setminus \{z_{1i}, z_{2i}\}$, $z'' \in T \setminus \{z_{1j}, z_{2j}\}$. Now, we call the following interpolation problems

$$\binom{z_{20}z_{21}\dots z_{2n}}{z_{10}z_{11}\dots z_{1n}} + b(x_0, z'; x_n, z''), \quad \binom{z_{20}z_{21}\dots z_{2n}}{z_{10}z_{11}\dots z_{1n}} + b(x_i, z'; x_j, z''), \quad 0 < i < j < n$$

(but two equalities do not hold simultaneously), and

$$\binom{z_{20}z_{21}\dots z_{2n}}{z_{10}z_{11}\dots z_{1n}} + b(x_i, z'; x_i, z'')$$

the type I, type II, type III respectively.

Recently, the authors^[1] considered the existence and uniqueness of the interpolation problems of these three types. For fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$W = \binom{z_{20}z_{21}\dots z_{2n}}{z_{10}z_{11}\dots z_{1n}}$. In this paper we consider the convergent problems of the recurrent interpolation. By a recurrent interpolation we mean the interpolation:

$$Z = \left(\overbrace{W, W, \dots, W}^n, \binom{z_{20}}{z_{10}} \right) = \binom{z_{20}\dots z_{2, k-1} z_{20}\dots z_{2, k-1} \dots z_{20}\dots z_{2, k-1} z_{20}}{z_{10}\dots z_{1, k-1} z_{10}\dots z_{1, k-1} \dots z_{10}\dots z_{1, k-1} z_{10}}$$

with two additional interpolation conditions such that the interpolation to be regular of type I, type II or type III, and

$$\Delta: 0 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_k < x_{k+1} < \dots < x_{kn} = 1$$

to be equidistant, i.e., $x = ih$, $h = \frac{1}{kn}$.

$$\text{Let } B_{\overline{w}} = B \binom{z_{20}z_{21}}{z_{10}z_{11}} B \binom{z_{21}z_{22}}{z_{11}z_{12}} \dots B \binom{z_{2, k-2}z_{2, k-1}}{z_{1, k-2}z_{1, k-1}} B \binom{z_{2, k-1}z_{20}}{z_{1, k-1}z_{10}}, \quad (1)$$

* Received July 14, 1983.

where $B_{\begin{smallmatrix} z_2 z_4 \\ z_1 z_3 \end{smallmatrix}}$ is so called T-matrix^[1].

It is easy to verify that

$$|\det B_{\begin{smallmatrix} z_2 z_4 \\ z_1 z_3 \end{smallmatrix}}| = |\det B_{\begin{smallmatrix} z_2 1 \\ z_1 0 \end{smallmatrix}} + \det B_{\begin{smallmatrix} z_4 1 \\ z_3 0 \end{smallmatrix}}|, \text{ thus } |\det B_{\begin{smallmatrix} z_2 z_4 \\ z_1 z_3 \end{smallmatrix}} \cdot \det B_{\begin{smallmatrix} z_4 z_6 \\ z_3 z_5 \end{smallmatrix}}| = |\det B_{\begin{smallmatrix} z_2 z_6 \\ z_1 z_5 \end{smallmatrix}}|.$$

Because of $|\det B_{\begin{smallmatrix} z_2 z_2 \\ z_1 z_1 \end{smallmatrix}}| = 1$ for all $z_1, z_2 \in T$, consequently $|\det B_{\bar{w}}| = 1$. According-

ly we have

Lemma 1 The eigenvalues λ_1, λ_2 of $B_{\bar{w}}$ satisfy $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 = \pm 1$.

Suppose that $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ are the eigenvalues of $B_{\bar{w}}$, then there exists nonsingular matrix $P = \begin{pmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} \\ p_{21} & p_{22} \end{pmatrix}$, $\det P = 1$ such that

$$B_{\bar{w}} = P \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}. \quad (2)$$

Furthermore, if any element of P is zero then the corresponding element of P' which keeps (2) validity would be zero also.

In the following theorems, we assume that $W = \begin{pmatrix} z_{20} z_{21} \cdots z_{2, k-1} \\ z_{10} z_{11} \cdots z_{1, k-1} \end{pmatrix}$

$$Z = \left(\overbrace{W, W, \dots, W}^n, \begin{matrix} z_{20} \\ z_{10} \end{matrix} \right) \quad (3)$$

and $s^{(r)}(x_i) = \frac{1}{2}(s^{(r)}(x_i, +) + s^{(r)}(x_i, -))$, $r = 4, 5$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, kn - 1$

Theorem 1 Let $f(x) \in C^6[0, 1]$, Z be defined in (3) and $\{m_1, m_2\} = T \setminus \{z_{10}, z_{20}\}$, $m_1 < m_2$. Suppose the following conditions hold:

(i) the eigenvalues of $B_{\bar{w}}$ satisfy $|\lambda_1| > 1 > |\lambda_2|$;

(ii) $s(x) \in S_{\Delta}$ is determined by the regular interpolation conditions of type I: $z + b(x_0, z'; x_{kn}, z'')$;

(iii) $p_{12} p_{21} \neq 0$, when $z' = m_1, z'' = m_2$; $p_{11} p_{22} \neq 0$, when $z' = m_2, z'' = m_1$.

Then there exist constants C_r depending on \bar{W} only such that

$$\|s^{(r)}(x) - f^{(r)}(x)\|_{\infty} \leq C_r \|f^{(6)}\|_{\infty} h^{6-r}, \quad r = 0, 1, \dots, 5.$$

Theorem 2 Let $f(x) \in C^6$, Z be defined in (3) and $s(x) \in S_{\Delta}$ be determined by the regular interpolation conditions

$$\tilde{z} = z + b(x_i, z'; x_j, z'') \quad (4)$$

of type I, type II or type III. If $\lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = -1$, then there exist constants C'_r depending on \bar{W} only such that

$$\|s^{(r)}(x) - f^{(r)}(x)\|_{\infty} \leq C'_r \|f^{(6)}\|_{\infty} h^{5-r}, \quad r = 0, 1, \dots, 5.$$

Theorem 3 Let $f(x) \in C^6$, and $s(x) \in S_{\Delta}$ be determined by the regular interpolation conditions (4) of type I, type II or type III. If $B_{\bar{w}} \neq \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ or

$\pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1$, then

$$\|s^{(r)}(x) - f^{(r)}(x)\|_{\infty} \leq C_r'' \|f^{(6)}\|_{\infty} h^{4-r}, \quad r = 0, 1, \dots, 4.$$

If $B_{\bar{w}} = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ or $\pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then

$$\|s^{(r)}(x) - f^{(r)}(x)\|_{\infty} \leq C_r''' \|f^{(6)}\|_{\infty} h^{5-r}, \quad r = 0, 1, \dots, 5.$$

Some examples.

1. $W = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. This is the case that^[2] considered. This time

$$B_{\bar{w}} = B_{\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{3}{2} & 30 \\ -\frac{1}{24} & \frac{3}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

and its eigenvalues $\lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = -1$. Because of $B_{\bar{w}}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, we have $B_{\bar{w}}^n = B_{\bar{w}}$ for odd n and $B_{\bar{w}}^n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ for even n . Therefore, for odd n and the recurrent interpolation of type I, the boundary interpolation conditions may be arbitrarily selected. For even n , the boundary interpolation conditions may be selected to be $b(x_0, 1; x_{kn}, 3)$ or $b(x_0, 3; x_{kn}, 1)$. For all these cases as well as for the recurrent interpolation of type III, the degree of approximation is $O(h^5)$ and can not be improved.

2. $W = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $B_{\bar{w}} = B_{\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{7}{3} & -\frac{20}{3} \\ -\frac{2}{3} & -\frac{7}{3} \end{pmatrix}$,

its eigenvalues $\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{-7 \pm 2\sqrt{10}}{3}$ and any element of $B_{\bar{w}}$ does not vanish. So the degree of approximation by the recurrent interpolation of type I attains $O(h^6)$, no matter what boundary interpolation conditions are chosen. Similarly, if

$W = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ then the degree of approximation by the recurrent interpolation of type I also attains $O(h^6)$.

3. $W = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $B_{\bar{w}} = B_{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}} B_{\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} = \begin{pmatrix} 13 & 84 \\ 2 & 13 \end{pmatrix}$, $\lambda_{1,2} = 13 \pm \sqrt{168}$,

and so the degree of approximations by any recurrent interpolation of type I attains $O(h^6)$.

Before proving the theorems mentioned above, we give some lemmas.

Lemma 2 Let $Q_u(x; t) = \begin{cases} -(x-t)^5, & t < x < u; \\ (x-t)^5, & u < t < x; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Suppose that $f(x) \in C^6[0, 1]$ and $S_{\tilde{z}}(x; f) \in S_{\Delta}$ be determined by the regular interpolation conditions (4), then

$$s_{\tilde{z}}^{(r)}(u; f) - f^{(r)}(u) = \frac{1}{5!} \int_0^1 f^{(6)}(t) s_{\tilde{z}}^{(r)}(u; Q_u(\cdot; t)) dt. \quad (5)$$

Proof For $u \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^5 \frac{1}{i!} f^{(i)}(u)(x-u)^i + \frac{1}{5!} \int_0^1 f^{(6)}(t) Q_u(x; t) dt.$$

According to the regularity of \tilde{Z} , linear functional $S_{\tilde{z}}(u; f) - f(u)$ vanishes as $f \in \pi_5$. Noting that $Q_u(u; t) = 0$, we have

$$s_{\tilde{z}}(u; f) - f(u) = \frac{1}{5!} \int_0^1 f^{(6)}(t) s_{\tilde{z}}(u; Q_u(\cdot; t)) dt.$$

Thus we obtain (5) as $r < 4$. When $r = 4, 5$, we can process in subintervals and obtain (5) similarly. Lemma 2 established.

Now we denote the set of quintic lacunary interpolation splines with all of knots locate at integers lie in $[0, n]$ (or $[0, kn]$ according to the number of knots) and denote the set of all this kind of splines by \bar{S}_n . We have

Lemma 3 Let $f(x) \in C^6[0, 1]$ and $s_{\tilde{z}}(x; f) \in S_{\Delta}$ be determined by the regular interpolation conditions (4), then

$$\|s_{\tilde{z}}^{(r)}(u; f) - f^{(r)}(u)\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{h^{6-r}}{5} \|f^{(6)}\|_{\infty} \max_{u \in [0, n]} \int_0^1 |s_{\tilde{z}}^{(r)}(u; Q_u(\cdot; t))| dt$$

Proof Put $u = vh$, from Lemma 2 we have

$$s_{\tilde{z}}(vh; f) - f(vh) = \frac{h^6}{5!} \int_0^n f^{(6)}(th) \bar{s}_{\tilde{z}}(u, Q_v(\cdot; t)) dt$$

thus

$$\|s_{\tilde{z}}^{(r)}(\cdot; f) - f^{(r)}(\cdot)\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{h^{6-r}}{5!} \|f^{(6)}\|_{\infty} \max_{v \in [0, n]} \int_0^n |s_{\tilde{z}}^{(r)}(v; Q_v(\cdot; t))| dt$$

and lemma 3 established.

Set cardinal functions of the regular interpolation conditions

$$\tilde{z} = z + b(i', z'; i'', z'') \quad (6)$$

to be $L_{\eta i}(x) \in \bar{S}_n$, ($\eta = 1, 2, i = 0, 1, \dots, n+1$) satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\eta i}(x) \Big|_{b(i', z', i'', z'')} &= 0, \quad L_{\eta i}^{(z'')} (j) = 0, \quad (\eta \neq i), \\ L_{\eta i}^{(z'')} (j) &= \delta_{ij}, \quad \eta = 1, 2; \quad i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n, \\ L_{1, n+1}(x) \Big|_z &= 0, \quad L_{1, n+1}^{(z')} (i') = 1, \quad L_{1, n+1}^{(z'')} (i'') = 0, \\ L_{2, n+1}(x) \Big|_z &= 0, \quad L_{2, n+1}^{(z')} (i') = 0, \quad L_{2, n+1}^{(z'')} (i'') = 1, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} z_{20} & z_{21} & \cdots & z_{2n} \\ z_{10} & z_{11} & \cdots & z_{1n} \end{pmatrix}$$

Lemma 4 Suppose that cardinal functions for the regular interpolation condi-

tions (6) for $x \in [j, j+1]$, $\eta = 1, 2$, $i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n$. satisfy

$$|L_{\eta i}^{(r)}(x)| < C_6 \lambda^{|i-j|}, \quad |L_{1, n+1}^{(r)}(x)| < C_6 \lambda^{|i'-j|}, \quad |L_{2, n+1}^{(r)}(x)| < C_6 \lambda^{|i''-j|}, \quad (7)$$

where $0 < \lambda < 1$, C_6 is a constant independent of n then there exists constant C_7 , independent of n , such that

$$\int_0^h |\overline{s}^{(r)}(u; Q_u(\cdot; t))| dt < C_7 \quad (8)$$

holds for all $u \in [0, n]$.

Proof We abbreviate \overline{s} as \overline{s} . From the definition of $L_{\eta i}(x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{s}^{(r)}(u; Q_u(\cdot; t)) &= \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{\eta=1}^2 D_i^{\overline{s}} Q_u(x; t) L_{\eta i}^{(r)}(u) + D_{i'}^{\overline{s}} Q_u(x; t) L_{1, n+1}(u) \\ &\quad + D_{i''}^{\overline{s}} Q_u(x; t) L_{2, n+1}(u), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$D_j^{\overline{s}} Q_u(x; t) = \frac{\partial^{\overline{s}_n}}{\partial x^{\overline{s}_n}} Q_u(x; t) \Big|_{x=j}.$$

Suppose that $u \in [n_0, n_0+1]$, as $t < u$, $t \in [j, j+1]$. For definiteness, assume $i' < u < i''$, from (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_j^{j+1} |\overline{s}^{(r)}(u; Q_u(\cdot; t))| dt &\leq \sum_{\eta=1}^2 \sum_{i=0}^j 60 |L_{\eta i}^{(r)}(u)| \cdot \int_j^{j+1} (t-i)^5 dt + \\ &\quad + 60 |L_{1, n+1}^{(r)}(u)| \int_j^{j+1} (t-i)^5 dt < 40 C_6 \lambda^{n_0-j} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i^6 \lambda^i = C_6 C_8 \lambda^{n_0-j}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Similarly, as $t > u$, $t \in [j, j+1]$, we have

$$\int_j^{j+1} |\overline{s}^{(r)}(u; Q_u(\cdot; t))| dt < C_6 C_8 \lambda^{j_1-n_0}. \quad (10)$$

When t, u lie in the same interval $[n_0, n_0+1]$ we can discuss in the intervals $[n_0, u]$ and $[u, n_0+1]$ respectively and obtain the same results as (9) and (10), thus

$$\int_0^n |\overline{s}^{(r)}(u; Q_u(\cdot; t))| dt < 2C_6 C_8 (1 + \lambda + \lambda^2 + \dots) < C_7, \quad u \in [0, n].$$

Lemma 4 established.

Remark For fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider the situation that all of knots locate at integers lie in $[0, kn]$. If

$$|L_{\eta i}^{(r)}(x)| < \lambda^{|n_1-n_0|} \quad (11)$$

holds for $x \in [kn_0, k(n_0+1)]$, $i = kn_1 + r_1$, $0 \leq r_1 < k$, we can prove similarly that (8) still holds for C_7 depending on k .

Lemma 5 Suppose that there exists constant C_9 such that

$$|L_{\eta i}^{(r)}(x)| < C_9, \quad \eta = 1, 2, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n+1, \quad x \in [0, n],$$

then there exists constant C_{10} , independent of n , such that

$$\int_0^n \left| \frac{d^r}{dt^r} (u; Q_u(\cdot; t)) \right| dt < C_{10} n.$$

Proof We consider the situation $r=0$ at first. If $t \in [j, j+1]$, $j+1 < u$ we have

$$\bar{s}(u; Q_u(\cdot; t)) = \bar{s}(u; (t-x)_+^5)$$

Put $t = j + \tau$, $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$, then

$$(t-x)_+^5 = (j-x)_-^5 + 5(j-x)_-^4 \tau + 10(j-x)_-^3 \tau^2 + 10(j-x)_-^2 \tau^3 + 5(j-x)_- \tau^4 + (j-x)_+^0 \tau^5, \quad (13)$$

where

$$(\varphi(x))_- = \begin{cases} \varphi(x), & x \in [0, j+\tau] \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Because of $(j-x)_-^5$ and its derivatives equal to $(j-x)_+^5$ and its derivatives respectively at the integer knots, therefore, by the regularity of interpolation, we have

$$\bar{s}(u; (j-x)_-^5) = \bar{s}(u; (j-x)_+^5) = (j-u)_+^5 = 0. \quad (14)$$

Similarly

$$\bar{s}(u; (j-u)_-^4) = \bar{s}(u; (j-x)_+^4) = (j-u)_+^4 = 0 \quad (15)$$

and

$$\bar{s}(u; (j-x)_-^v) = \bar{s}(u; (j-x)_+^v), \quad v=0, 1, 2, 3. \quad (16)$$

In the above equalities, we mean the derivatives of the right hand side at the discontinuous point to be left derivatives. Put

$$s_0(x) = \begin{cases} (j-x)^0 & x \in [0, j-1], \\ \frac{3}{4}\mu^5 - \frac{5}{4}\mu^4 + 1, & x \in [j-1, j] \quad \mu = x - (j-1), 0 < \mu < 1, \\ -\frac{3}{4}(1-\mu)^5 + \frac{5}{4}(1-\mu)^4, & x \in [j, j+1] \quad \mu = x - j, 0 < \mu < 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

$$s_1(x) = \begin{cases} (j-x), & x \in [0, j-1], \\ -\frac{1}{10}\mu^5 + \frac{1}{4}\mu^4 - \mu + 1, & x \in [j-1, j], \mu = x - (j-1), 0 < \mu < 1, \\ -\frac{1}{10}(1-\mu)^5 + \frac{1}{4}(1-\mu)^4, & x \in [j, j+1], \mu = x - j, 0 < \mu < 1. \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

$$s_2(x) = \begin{cases} (j-x)^2, & x \in [0, j-1], \\ -\frac{5}{8}\mu^5 + \frac{1}{8}\mu^4 + \mu^2 - 2\mu + 1, & x \in [j-1, j], \mu = x - (j-1), 0 < \mu < 1, \\ \frac{1}{8}(1-\mu)^5 - \frac{1}{8}(1-\mu)^4, & x \in [j, j+1], \mu = x - j, 0 < \mu < 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

$$s_3(x) = \begin{cases} (j-x)^3, & x \in [0, j-1], \\ \frac{1}{10}\mu^5 - \frac{1}{8}\mu^4 - \mu^3 + 3\mu^2 - 3\mu + 1, & x \in [j-1, j], \mu = x - (j-1), 0 < \mu < 1, \\ \frac{1}{10}(1-\mu)^5 - \frac{1}{8}(1-\mu)^4, & x \in [j, j+1], \mu = x - j, 0 < \mu < 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Obviously, $s_r(x) \in \bar{\mathbf{S}}_n$, $r=0, 1, 2, 3$. According to the regularity of interpolation and $\mu > j+1$, we obtain

$$\bar{s}(u; s_r(x)) = s_r(\mu) = 0, \quad v=0, 1, 2, 3. \quad (17)$$

For $v=0, 1, 2, 3$, at knots $s_r(x)$ and its derivatives equal to $(j-x)_+^v$ and its derivatives respectively but $x=j$. Thus from (16)(17) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{s}(u; (j-x)_+^v) &= \bar{s}(u; (j-x)_+^v - s_r(x)) \\ &= \mathbf{D}_j^{z_j}((j-x)_+^v - s_r(x))L_{1,j}(u) + \mathbf{D}_j^{z_j}((j-x)_+^v - s_r(x))L_{2,j}(u), \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

when the additional condition just locates in the knot $x=j$, the right hand side of (18) must add the corresponding term $L_{1,n+1}(u)$ or $L_{2,n+1}(u)$. From (12), (13), (14), (15), (18), when $t=j+\tau$, $0 < \tau < 1$, $j+1 < u$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{s}(u; Q_u(\cdot; t)) &= 10\tau^2 \sum_{\eta=1}^2 \mathbf{D}_j^{z_\eta}((j-x)_+^3 - s_3(x))L_{\eta,j}(u) + 10\tau^3 \sum_{\eta=1}^2 \mathbf{D}_j^{z_\eta}((j-x)_+^2 - s_2(x))L_{\eta,j}(u) \\ &+ 5\tau^4 \sum_{\eta=1}^2 \mathbf{D}_j^{z_\eta}((j-x)_+ - s_1(x))L_{\eta,j}(u) + \tau^5 \sum_{\eta=1}^2 \mathbf{D}_j^{z_\eta}((j-x)_+^0 - s_0(x))L_{\eta,j}(u), \end{aligned}$$

perhaps with the linear combination of $L_{1,n+1}(u)$ or $L_{2,n+1}(u)$. Thus, from the hypotheses of Lemma 5 we obtain

$$\int_j^{j+1} |\bar{s}(u; Q_u(\cdot; t))| dt < C_9 C_{11}, \quad (19)$$

where C_{11} is a constant. As $u < j < t \leq j+1$, $t \in [j, u]$ and $t \in [u, [u]+1]$ (19) holds similarly. Therefore

$$\int_0^n |\bar{s}(u; Q_u(\cdot; t))| dt < C_{10} n.$$

Thus, as $r=0$, Lemma 5 established. In the case of $r>0$, we can prove similarly. We finish the proof of Lemma 5.

Lemma 6 Put $W = \begin{pmatrix} z_{20} & z_{21} & \cdots & z_{2,k-1} z_{2k} \\ z_{10} & z_{11} & \cdots & z_{1,k-1} z_{1k} \end{pmatrix}$, $z_{2k} = z_{20}$, $z_{1k} = z_{10}$, and

$$\{m_{1i}, m_{2i}\} = \mathbf{T} \setminus \{z_{1i}, z_{2i}\}, \quad m_{1i} < m_{2i}, \quad i=0, 1, \dots, k. \quad (20)$$

Suppose $\bar{s}(x) \in \bar{\mathbf{S}}_n$ satisfies $\bar{s}(x)|_{\bar{w}} = 0$, $x \in [j, j+k]$. Set

$$a_i = \bar{s}^{(m_{1i})}(j+i), \quad \beta_i = \bar{s}^{(m_{2i})}(j+i), \quad i=0, 1, \dots, k,$$

$$\gamma_i = \max\{\bar{s}^{(4)}(j+i+), \bar{s}^{(4)}(j+i-)\},$$

$$\delta_i = \max\{\bar{s}^{(5)}(j+i+), \bar{s}^{(5)}(j+i-)\}, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, k-1,$$

and $\gamma_0, \delta_0, \gamma_k, \delta_k$ mean the onside derivatives in the interval $[j, j+k]$. Then

there exists a constant C_{12} depending on \bar{W} only such that

$$\max_{i=0,1,\dots,k} \{ |a_i|, |\beta_i|, |\gamma_i|, |\delta_i| \} < C_{12} \max\{ |a_0|, |\beta_0| \}, \quad (21)$$

$$\max_{\substack{x \in [j, j+k] \\ r=0,1,\dots,5}} |s^{(r)}(x)| < C_{12} \max\{ |a_0|, |\beta_0| \}. \quad (22)$$

Instead of a_0, β_0 , by a_k, β_k , (21), (22) still hold.

Proof According to the definition of T-matrix, we have

$$(a_i, \beta_i) = (a_0, \beta_0) B_{\begin{pmatrix} z_{20} & z_{21} \\ z_{10} & z_{11} \end{pmatrix}} \cdots B_{\begin{pmatrix} z_{2,i-1} & z_{2i} \\ z_{1,i-1} & z_{1i} \end{pmatrix}}.$$

Because of this kind of matrices have only in totality k and γ_i, δ_i are determined by $a_{i-1}, \beta_{i-1}, a_i, \beta_i, a_{i+1}$ and β_{i+1} , thus (21) holds. Besides, it is obvious that a polynomial in an interval is uniquely determined by its values and derivatives at the end of the interval. Thus (22) holds and Lemma 6 established.

Now we turn to prove theorems mentioned above.

Proof of Theorem 1 By Lemma 3, it is sufficient to prove that $\int_0^{kn} |\bar{s}_z^{(r)}(u; Q_u(\cdot; t))| dt < C_{13}$, where C_{13} is independent of n . By Lemma 4 and the remark after it, we need only to verify that the inequalities (11) hold.

Set $\{m_{1i}, m_{2i}\} = T \setminus \{z_{1i}, z_{2i}\}$, $m_{1i} < m_{2i}$. Suppose that in the interval $[0, 1]$.

$P_0(t) \in \pi_5$ satisfies

$$P_0(t) \Big|_{\begin{pmatrix} z_{20} & z_{21} \\ z_{10} & z_{11} \end{pmatrix}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{or } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}), \quad (23)$$

$$P_0^{(m_{10})}(0) = a_0', \quad P_0^{(m_{20})}(0) = \beta_0'. \quad (23)$$

It is obvious that $a_1 = P_0^{(m_{11})}(1)$, $\beta_1 = P_0^{(m_{21})}(1)$ satisfy

$$(a, \beta_1) = (a_0, \beta_0) B_{\begin{pmatrix} z_{20} & z_{21} \\ z_{10} & z_{11} \end{pmatrix}} + (a', b'). \quad (24)$$

Furthermore, in the interval $[1, 2]$, $P_1(t) \in \pi_5$ is determined uniquely by the following interpolation conditions:

$$P_1(t) \Big|_{\begin{pmatrix} z_{21} & z_{22} \\ z_{11} & z_{12} \end{pmatrix}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{or } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}), \quad (25)$$

$$P_1^{(m_{11})}(1) = a_1, \quad P_1^{(m_{21})}(1) = \beta_1.$$

Set $a_2 = P_1^{(m_{12})}(2)$, $\beta_2 = P_1^{(m_{22})}(2)$, noting (24) we obtain

$$(a_2, \beta_2) = (a_0, \beta_0) B_{\begin{pmatrix} z_{20} & z_{21} \\ z_{10} & z_{11} \end{pmatrix}} B_{\begin{pmatrix} z_{21} & z_{22} \\ z_{11} & z_{12} \end{pmatrix}} + (a, b). \quad (26)$$

Because the variation of all these 8 z_{ij} are finite, so all of a, b are bounded. On account of the polynomials $P_0(t)$, $P_1(t)$ determined by (23), (25) are unique, and so it is true in any interval $[i, i+2]$.

Now suppose that $i = kn_1 + l$, $0 \leq l < k$. Put

$$B_1 = B \begin{pmatrix} z_{20} & z_{21} \\ z_{10} & z_{11} \end{pmatrix} \cdots B \begin{pmatrix} z_{2 \ l-2} & z_{2 \ l-1} \\ z_{1 \ l-2} & z_{1 \ l-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_2 = B \begin{pmatrix} z_{2 \ l+1} & z_{2 \ l+2} \\ z_{1 \ l+1} & z_{1 \ l+2} \end{pmatrix} \cdots B \begin{pmatrix} z_{2 \ k-1} & z_{20} \\ z_{1 \ k-1} & z_{10} \end{pmatrix},$$

$a_j = L_{\eta_i}^{(m_1)}(j)$, $\beta_j = L_{\eta_i}^{(m_2)}(j)$ and $n_2 = n - n_1 - 1$. From the definition of T-matrix and (26) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a_{i-1}, \beta_{i-1}) &= (a_0, \beta_0) B_{\overline{W}} B_1, \\ (a_{i+1}, \beta_{i+1}) &= (a_{i-1}, \beta_{i-1}) B \begin{pmatrix} z_{2 \ l-1} & z_{2l} \\ z_{1 \ l-1} & z_{1l} \end{pmatrix} B \begin{pmatrix} z_{2l} & z_{2 \ l+1} \\ z_{1l} & z_{1 \ l+1} \end{pmatrix} + (a, b), \\ (a_{kn}, \beta_{kn}) &= (a_{i+1}, \beta_{i+1}) B_2 B_{\overline{W}}^{n_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $B_1 B \begin{pmatrix} z_{2 \ l-1} & z_{2l} \\ z_{1 \ l-1} & z_{1l} \end{pmatrix} B \begin{pmatrix} z_{2l} & z_{2 \ l+1} \\ z_{1l} & z_{1 \ l+1} \end{pmatrix} B_2 = B_{\overline{W}}$, we have

$$(a_{kn}, \beta_{kn}) = (a_0, \beta_0) B_{\overline{W}}^n + (a_1, b_1) B_{\overline{W}}^{n_2}, \quad (27)$$

where $(a_1, b_1) = (a, b) B_2$. Because the variations of B_2 caused by the variations of l have only k different kinds, and so $\{(a, b)\}$ is a bounded set.

According to the hypothesis of Theorem 1 that the eigenvalues of $B_{\overline{W}}$ satisfying $|\lambda_1| > 1 > |\lambda_2|$, so there exists a nonsingular matrix $P = \begin{pmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} \\ p_{21} & p_{22} \end{pmatrix}$, $\det P = 1$, such that

$$B_{\overline{W}} = P \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}$$

This time (27) becomes

$$(a_{kn}, \beta_{kn}) = (a_0, \beta_0) P \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^n & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2^n \end{pmatrix} P^{-1} + (a_1, b_1) P \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^{n_2} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2^{n_2} \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}, \quad (28)$$

i. e.

$$\begin{aligned} a_{kn} &= a_0(\lambda_1^n p_{11} p_{22} - \lambda_2^n p_{12} p_{21}) + \beta_0(\lambda_1^n - \lambda_2^n) p_{21} p_{22} + \\ & a_1(\lambda_1^{n_2} p_{11} p_{22} - \lambda_2^{n_2} p_{12} p_{21}) + b_1(\lambda_1^{n_2} - \lambda_2^{n_2}) p_{21} p_{22}, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{kn} &= a_0(-\lambda_1^n + \lambda_2^n) p_{11} p_{12} + \beta_0(-\lambda_1^n p_{12} p_{21} + \lambda_2^n p_{11} p_{22}) + \\ & + a_1(-\lambda_1^{n_2} + \lambda_2^{n_2}) p_{11} p_{12} + b_1(-\lambda_1^{n_2} p_{12} p_{21} + \lambda_2^{n_2} p_{11} p_{22}). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

As the boundary interpolation conditions are $b(0, m_1; kn, m_1)$, we have $a_0 = a_{kn} = 0$. On account of the regularity of the interpolation problem, it is obvious that $(\lambda_1^n - \lambda_2^n) p_{21} p_{22} \neq 0$. Noting that $|\lambda_1 \lambda_2| = 1$, we can solve β_0 from (29). Substituting β_0 in (30) we obtain β_{kn} . Then we have

$$|\beta_0| < C_{14} |\lambda_2|^{n-n_2}, \quad |\beta_{kn}| < C_{14} |\lambda_2|^{n_2}, \quad (31)$$

where C_{14} is a constant independent of n .

As the boundary interpolation conditions are $b(0, m_1; kn, m_2)$ we have $a_0 = \beta_{kn} = 0$. On account of the regularity of the interpolation problem, it is obvious that $-\lambda_1^n p_{12} p_{21} + \lambda_2^n p_{11} p_{22} \neq 0$. By the hypothesis (iii) of Theorem 1, $p_{12} p_{21} \neq 0$, from (29), (30) we obtain

$$|\beta_0| < C_{14} |\lambda_2|^{n-n_2}, \quad |a_{kn}| < C_{14} |\lambda_2|^{n_2}$$

similarly. As for the other two types of boundary interpolation conditions and

the situations for $L_{\eta_0}(x)$, $L_{\eta, k\eta}(x)$ and $L_{\eta, k\eta+1}(x)$ can be discussed similarly. Therefore from $(a_{kj}, \beta_{kj}) = (a_0, \beta_0) B_{\overline{w}}^j$ we conclude that there exists constant C_{15} such that

$$|a_{kj}| < C_{15} |\lambda_2|^{n_1-j+1}, \quad |\beta_{kj}| < C_{15} |\lambda_2|^{n_1-j+1}, \quad kj < i \quad (33)$$

$$|a_{kj}| < C_{15} |\lambda_2|^{j-n_1-1}, \quad |\beta_{kj}| < C_{15} |\lambda_2|^{j-n_1-1}, \quad kj > i. \quad (34)$$

From Lemma 6 we have

$$|L_{\eta_i}^{(r)}(x)| < C_{16} |\lambda_2|^{|n_1-j|}, \quad x \in [kj, k(j+1)]$$

Using the similar proof of Lemma 6, from (33), (34) we can deduce that $|L_{\eta_i}^{(r)}(x)| < C_{16}$ holds for $x \in [kn_1, k(n_1+1)]$. Thus, we complete the proof for Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 2 On account of Lemma 5, it is sufficient to prove that the cardinal functions $L_{\eta_i}(x)$ at integer knots determined by the recurrent interpolation \tilde{z} satisfy the following inequalities

$$|L_{\eta_i}^{(r)}(x)| < C_{17}.$$

As the interpolation condition is of type I, because of $\lambda_1^n = 1$, $\lambda_2^n = \pm 1$ the boundedness of $L_{\eta_i}^{(5)}(x)$ is deduced from (29) and (30) as well as the proof of Theorem 1. As the interpolation condition is of type III, the boundedness of $L_{\eta_i}^{(5)}(x)$ follows from (28) immediately. As the interpolation condition is of type II, the same conclusion holds just as the analysis we give above for type I and type III.

Proof of Theorem 3 is similar to that of Theorem 2 and 3.

References

- [1] Zhu-rui Guo and Maodong Ye, Lacunary interpolation by splines, this Journal, Vol.5 (1985), No. 1, 93—96.
- [2] A. Meir and A. Sharma, Lacunary interpolation by splines, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 10(1973), 433—442.