

Some Characterization of Semi-Fredholm Operators*

Du Hongke

(Dept. Math, Shanxi Normal University, Xi'an)

Let $B(H)$ be the set of all linear bounded operators on a Hilbert space H . For $A \in B(H)$, let $R(A)$ and $N(A)$ denote the range and null space of A , respectively. A is said to be semi-Fredholm if $R(A)$ is closed and $\dim N(A) < \infty$ or $\dim N(A^*) < \infty$, where $\dim N$ denotes the dimension of subspace N , A^* is the adjoint of A . Define the minimum modulus of A by

$$r(A) = \inf\{\|Ax\| : \text{dist}(x, N(A)) = 1\}.$$

It is well known that $r(A) > 0$ if and only if $R(A)$ is closed.

In the present note we shall study some characterization of semi-Fredholm operators. It is itself interesting and useful to use for perturbations of generalized inverse. We obtain main results as following.

Theorem 1 For $A \in B(H)$, A is semi-Fredholm if and only if one of the following statements holds.

(1) There is a positive number $\delta > 0$ such that $R(E)$ is closed for each $E \in B(H)$ with $\|A - E\| < \delta$.

(2) For every compact operator $K \in B(H)$, $R(A + K)$ is closed.

Theorem 2 If $A \in B(H)$, A is semi-Fredholm if and only if there is a ball $B(A, \varepsilon) \subset B(H)$ with center A and radius ε such that $\lambda_0 = \{\lambda : \lambda = \min\{\dim N(E), \dim N(E^*)\}, E \in B(A, \varepsilon)\}$ is bounded.

Proof of Theorems

Proof of Theorem 1 (1) Denote the set of all semi-Fredholm operators in $B(H)$ by \mathcal{S} . It is well known that the set \mathcal{S} is an open set in $B(H)$. Hence there is a positive number $\delta(A) > 0$ for each A , such that E with $\|A - E\| < \delta$ is semi-Fredholm too. Of course, $R(E)$ is closed.

Conversely, for an operator $A \in B(H)$ if A is not semi-Fredholm and $R(A)$ is not closed, then we have nothing to prove. If $R(A)$ is closed, we shall point out that for any $\delta > 0$ there is an operator B with $\|A - B\| < \delta$ such that $R(B)$ is not closed. In this case, since A is not semi-Fredholm, we have $\dim N(A) = \dim N(A^*) = \infty$. Suppose that $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal basis of $N(A)$ and $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$

* Received Mar. 22, 1989.

is an orthonormal basis of $N(A^*)$ define an operator K by

$$\begin{cases} Ke_i = (1/i)f_i, \\ Kx = 0, \quad x \in \{V\{e_i\}_{i=1}^\infty\}^\perp, \end{cases}$$

where $\{V\{e_i\}_{i=1}^\infty\}^\perp$ is the orthogonal complement of $V\{e_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$. We first show that

$R(K)$ is not closed. In fact, it is easy to know that $y_n = \sum_{i=0}^n (1/i)f_i \in R(K)$, but

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = \sum_{i=1}^\infty (1/i)f_i \notin R(K)$. Put $A_\delta = A - (1/2)\delta K$. In this case, it is clear that

$R(A_\delta) = R(A) \oplus R(K)$, hence $R(A_\delta)$ is not closed. Observe that $\|A - A_\delta\| < \delta$, then A_δ is as desired.

(2) Observe that the set $\varphi \mathcal{F}$ is invariant under compact perturbation, for any compact operator K , $R(A+K)$ is closed.

On the other hand, if $R(A)$ is not closed, we are done. If A is not semi-Fredholm and $R(A)$ is closed. We can use the operator K as defined in the proof of (1). It is easy to see that K is compact, but $R(A+K)$ is not closed. This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 2 “ \Rightarrow ” From Proposition XI 3.20 (a) in [1], it is evident that $\lambda_0 = \min\{\dim N(A), \dim N(A^*)\}$.

“ \Leftarrow ” Assume that for an operator A there is a ball $B(A, \varepsilon) \subset B(H)$ and a constant M such that $\min\{\dim N(B), \dim N(B^*)\} < M$ for each $B \in B(A, \varepsilon)$.

To prove that A is semi-Fredholm it is enough to prove that $R(A)$ is closed.

Let $A = UP$ be the standard polar decomposition of A , assume by way of contradiction that $R(A)$ is not closed, then it is easy to know that 0 is an accumulation point of $\sigma(P)$. If $P = \int \lambda dE_\lambda$ is the spectral decomposition of P , then for $\varepsilon > 0$, $\dim(E([0, \varepsilon])) = \infty$. Put $P_\varepsilon = \int_\varepsilon^\infty \lambda dE_\lambda$, and denote $A_\varepsilon = UP_\varepsilon$, it is clear that

$$\|A - A_\varepsilon\| = \|U \int_0^\varepsilon \lambda dE\| < \varepsilon,$$

and $\dim N(A_\varepsilon) = \dim(E([0, \varepsilon])H) = \infty$. This contradicts the assumption. The proof is finished.

Here it is valuable to point out that boundness of dimension of compact perturbation for semi-Fredholm does not hold.

Next we shall give a result about an operator with closed range.

Proposition 3 Let $A \in B(H)$ be an operator with closed range, then for each operator B with $\|A - B\| < r(A)$,

$$(1) \quad \dim R(B) \geq \dim R(A),$$

$$(2) \quad \dim N(B) \leq \dim N(A).$$

Proof (1) If $\dim R(B) = \infty$, we are done. Assume that $\dim R(B) = n < \infty$. By the way of contradiction, if $\dim R(B) < \dim R(A)$ and note $\dim R(A) = \dim R(A^*)$,

we can choose an orthonormal set $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, e_{n+1}\}$ of $R(A^*)$. In this case, $\{Be_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1}$ is linearly dependent, therefore there are complex numbers $\lambda_i, i=1, 2, \dots, n+1$, which are not all equal to zero and satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i Be_i = 0$. Of course, we can choose λ_i such that $\|\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i e_i\| = 1$. In this case,

$$\|A - B\| \geq \|(A - B) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i e_i \right)\| = \left\| A \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i e_i \right) \right\| \geq r(A),$$

it is a contradiction.

(2) If $\dim N(A) = \infty$, we are done. Next we assume that $\dim N(A) = n < \infty$. By way of contradiction, if $\dim N(B) > \dim N(A)$, then there is an orthonormal set $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, f_{n+1}\} \subset N(B)$. If $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $N(A)$, then the following system of equations

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i (f_i, e_j) = 0, \quad j=1, 2, \dots, n,$$

has a nonzero solution, this shows that there is a nonzero vector $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i f_i$ which is orthogonal to $N(A)$. Choosing λ_i such that $\|\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i f_i\| = 1$, we have

$$\|A - B\| \geq \|(A - B) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i f_i \right)\| = \left\| A \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i f_i \right) \right\| \geq r(A)$$

a contradiction, the proof is finished.

Reference

- [1] Conway, J.E., A course in functional analysis, Springer-Verlag, New York Heidelberg Berlin, 1985.

半 Fredholm 算子的一些特征

杜 鸿 科

(陕西师范大学数学系, 西安)

本文给出了希尔伯特空间中的半 Fredholm 算子的一些特征, 证明了三个算子是半 Fredholm 算子, 当且仅当它的值域在紧扰动和小扰动下均为闭的, 并且还证明一个算子是半 Fredholm 的当且仅当在小扰动中零空间的维数是有界的.