

## Coincidence Points and Common Fixed Points for Compatible Maps of Type (A) on Saks Spaces \*

*P.P. Murthy, B.K. Sharma, Y.J. Cho*

**Abstract** In this paper, we introduce the concepts of compatible and compatible maps of type (A) in Saks spaces and prove a coincidence and common fixed point theorem for these maps. Our theorem includes several fixed point theorems for three and four maps.

**Keywords** compatible and compatible of type (A), coincidence and common fixed points.

**Classification** AMS(1991)54H25, 47H10/CCL O177.91

### 1. Introduction

Goebel<sup>[16]</sup> proved a remarkable coincidence theorem in 1968. After a wide gap, Okado<sup>[34]</sup>, Singh-Virendra<sup>[52]</sup>, Kulshrestha<sup>[28]</sup> and Nimpally-Singh and Whitfield<sup>[33]</sup> have extended Goebel's results to  $L$ -space, metric spaces, 2-metric spaces and multi-valued contraction maps on metric spaces, respectively.

In [19] Jungck contraction principle (JCP) appeared for a pair of continuous and commuting self maps. After Jungck, a spate of research papers appeared using this concept in various ways with several contractive type, see [4]–[14], [18], [22]–[27], [29], [38], [39], [40], [41], [43], [45], [46], [48], [50]–[52]. On the other hand, in [21], Jungck, Murthy and Cho introduced the concept of compatible mappings of type (A) in metric spaces and gave some fixed point theorems for these mappings.

In this paper, we first prove a coincidence point theorem for four self maps of Saks spaces and then we derive a common fixed point theorem for two pairs of compatible mappings of type (A) in Saks spaces which are not necessarily continuous. Our theorem extend the theorems of Greguš<sup>[16]</sup>, Fisher and Sessa<sup>[15]</sup>, Diviccaro et al<sup>[10]</sup>, Mukherjee et al<sup>[29]</sup>, Jungck<sup>[20]</sup> and others.

We need the following definitions and Lemmas for our main theorems:

**Definition (1.1)** Let  $X$  be a linear space. A real-valued function  $f$  defined on  $X$  is called a  $B$ -norm if it satisfies the following conditions.

- (1)  $f(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ,
- (2)  $f(x + y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$ ,

---

\*Received Nov.23, 1993.

(3)  $f(ax) = |a|f(x)$ , where  $a$  is any real number.

**Definition (1.2)** Let  $X$  be a linear space. A real valued- function  $f$  defined on  $X$  is called an  $F$ -norm if it satisfies

(1) and (2) of definition (1.1) and the following:

(I) If the sequence  $\{a_n\}$  of real numbers converges to  $a$  and then  $f(a_n x_n - ax) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

A two-norm space is a linear space  $X$  with two norms, a  $B$ -norm  $N_1$  and  $F$ -norm  $N_2$ , and denoted by  $(X, N_1, N_2)$ . If we let  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  be two norms defined on  $X$  and  $x_n \in X, N_1(x_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  implies  $N_2(x_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then the norm  $N_1$  is called non-weaker than  $N_2$  in  $X$  and is denoted by  $N_2 \leq N_1$ . The two norms  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  of  $X$  are equivalent if  $N_1 \leq N_2$  and  $N_2 \leq N_1$ .

**Definition (1.3)** Let  $(X, N_1, N_2)$  be a two-norm space. A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  is said to be an  $r$ -convergent to a point  $x$  in  $X$  if  $\sup_n N_1(x_n) < \infty$  and  $\lim_n N_2(x_n - x) = 0$  (denoted by  $x_n \rightarrow x$ ).

**Definition (1.4)** Let  $(X, N_1, N_2)$  be a two norm space. A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  is said to be an  $r$ -Cauchy if  $N_2(x_{p_n} - x_{q_n}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $p_n, q_n \rightarrow \infty$ .

A two norm space  $(X, N_1, N_2)$  is said to be  $r$ -complete if every  $r$ -Cauchy sequence in  $X$  is a  $r$ -convergent sequence in  $X$ .

**Definition (1.5)** Let  $X$  be a linear set with two norms  $\beta$ -norm  $N_1$  and  $F$ -norm  $N_2$  on  $X$ , respectively. Let  $X_s = \{x \in X : N_1(x) < 1\}$  and  $d(x, y) = N_2(x - y)$  for an  $x, y \in X_s$ . Then  $d$  is a metric on  $X_s$  and the metric space  $(X_s, d)$  is called a Saks set.

**Definition (1.6)** A complete Saks set  $(X_s, d)$  is called a Saks space and denoted by  $(X, N_1, N_2)$

The following lemma due to Orlicz<sup>[35]</sup> is useful for the proof of our main theorem:

**Lemma (1.1)** Let  $(X_s, d) = (X, N_1, N_2)$  be a Saks space. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(I)  $N_1$  is equivalent to  $N_2$  on  $X$ .

(II)  $(X, N_1)$  is a Banach space and  $N_1 \leq N_2$  on  $X$ .

(III)  $(X, N_2)$  is a Frechet space and  $N_2 \leq N_1$  on  $X$ .

The general information for Saks spaces may be found in ([1],[35]-[37]).

## 2. Compatible Mapping of Type (A)

The concept of compatible mapping of type (A) was investigated by Jungck, Murthy and Cho<sup>[21]</sup> in metric spaces.

On the other hand, Murthy and Sharma<sup>[32]</sup> Cho and Singh<sup>[2],[3]</sup> and many authors have studied the aspects of coincidence and common fixed point theorems in the setting of Saks space. They have been motivated by various concepts already known in ordinary metric spaces and have thus introduced analogue of various concepts in the frame work of the Saks spaces. Especially, Cho and Singh<sup>[2]</sup> and Murty and Sharma<sup>[32]</sup> introduced the concepts of commuting and weakly uniformly contraction pair of mappings, respectively,

and they have proved several fixed point theorems by using these concepts.

In this paper, we extend the concepts of weakly uniformly contraction, compatible and compatible pair of type (A) of metric spaces in the setting of Saks spaces and give some relationship between these mappings. Of course, any commuting mappings are weakly commuting but the converse is not true as shown in Example [45]. In turn, any weakly commuting mappings are compatible but the converse is not true [20].

Now, we shall give some definitions and propositions of compatible mappings and compatible mappings of type (A) on Saks spaces.

Throughout this paper, we shall define  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} = \lim$  as the situation demands and  $(X, d) = (X, N_1, N_2)$  be a Saks space where  $N_1$  is equivalent to  $N_2$  on  $X$ . In short, we shall define  $X$  as a Saks space.

**Definition (2.1)** Let  $S$  and  $T$  be mappings of Saks space  $X$  into itself. Then  $S$  and  $T$  are compatible mapping if

$$\lim N_2(STx_n - TSx_n) = 0$$

whenever  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$  such that  $\lim Sx_n = \lim Tx_n = t$  for some  $t \in X$ .

**Definition (2.2)** Let  $S$  and  $T$  be mappings of a Saks space  $X$  onto itself. Then  $S$  and  $T$  are compatible mappings of type (A) if

$$\lim N_2(STx_n - TTx_n) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim N_2(TSx_n - SSx_n) = 0,$$

whenever  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$  such that  $\lim Sx_n = \lim Tx_n = t$  for some  $t$  in  $X$ .

The proofs of the following propositions follow from the same lines in [21] and so we omit here.

**Proposition (2.1)** Let  $S$  and  $T$  be continuous mappings of a Saks space  $X$  into itself. If a pair  $\{S, T\}$  is compatible on  $X$ , then it is compatible of type (A) on  $X$ .

**Proposition (2.2)** Let  $S$  and  $T$  be mappings from a Saks space  $X$  into itself and let a pair  $\{S, T\}$  be compatible of type (A) on  $X$ . If one of  $S$  and  $T$  is continuous, then the pair  $\{S, T\}$  is compatible on  $X$ .

The following proposition is a direct consequence of Prop. (2.1) and Prop. (2.2):

**Proposition (2.3)** Let  $S$  and  $T$  be as in Proposition (2.1). Then a pair  $\{S, T\}$  is compatible on  $X$  if and only if it is compatible of type (A) on  $X$ .

**Remark 2** In [21], we may find two examples to show the fact that Proposition (2.3) is not true if  $S$  and  $T$  are not continuous.

Now, we give some properties of compatible mappings of type (A) for our main theorems.

**Proposition (2.4)** Let  $S$  and  $T$  be compatible of type (A) from a Saks space  $X$  into itself. If  $S(t) = T(t)$  for some  $t \in X$ , then  $ST(t) = TT(t) = TS(t) = SS(t)$ .

**Proposition (2.5)** Let  $S$  and  $T$  be compatible mappings of type (A) from a Saks space  $X$  into itself. Suppose that  $\lim Sx_n = \lim Tx_n = t$  for some  $t \in X$ . Then we have the following:

- (1)  $\lim TSx_n = St$  if  $S$  is continuous at  $t$ .  
(2)  $STt = TSt$  and  $St = Tt$  if  $S$  and  $T$  are continuous at  $t$ .

### 3. Coincidence Points

Let  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  be mappings from a Saks space  $X$  into itself such that

$$A(x) \cup B(x) \subset S(x) \cap T(x), \quad (3.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} N_2(Ax - By) &\leq \alpha N_2(Sx - Ty) + \beta \max\{N_2(Ax - Sx), \\ N_2(By - Ty), 1/2[N_2(Ax - Ty) + N_2(By - Sx)]\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  and  $\alpha + \beta < 1$ . Then by (3.1), since  $A(X) \subset T(X)$ , for any arbitrary point  $x_0 \in X$ , there exists a point  $x_1 \in X$ , such that  $Ax_0 = Tx_1$ . Since  $B(X) \subset S(X)$ , for this point  $x_1$ . We can choose a point  $x_2 \in X$  such that  $Bx_1 = Sx_2$  and so on. Inductively, we can define a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  such that.

$$y_{2n} = Sx_{2n} = Bx_{2n-1} \text{ and } y_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n} \text{ for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.3)$$

Then we have the following lemma for our main theorem:

**Lemma (3.1)** *Let  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  be mappings from a Saks space  $X$  into itself satisfying the conditions (3.1) and (3.2). Then the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  defined by (3.3) is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ .*

**Proof** By (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_2(y_{2n+1} - y_{2n}) &= N_2(Ax_{2n} - Bx_{2n-1}) \leq \alpha N_2(Sx_{2n} - Tx_{2n-1}) \\ &+ \beta \max\{N_2(Sx_{2n} - Ax_{2n})N_2(Tx_{2n-1} - Bx_{2n-1}), 1/2N_2(Ax_{2n} - Tx_{2n-1}) \\ &+ N_2(Bx_{2n-1} - Sx_{2n})\} = \alpha N_2(y_{2n} - y_{2n-1}) \\ &+ \beta \max\{N_2(y_{2n} - y_{2n+1})N_2(y_{2n-1} - y_{2n}), 1/2N_2(y_{2n+1} - y_{2n-1}) \\ &+ N_2(y_{2n} - y_{2n})\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

If  $N_2(y_{2n+1} - y_{2n}) > N_2(y_{2n} - y_{2n-1})$  in (3.4), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_2(y_{2n+1} - y_{2n}) &\leq (\alpha + \beta)N_2(y_{2n+1} - y_{2n}) \\ &< N_2(y_{2n+1} - y_{2n}), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction since  $\alpha + \beta < 1$  and so

$$N_2(y_{2n+1} - y_{2n}) \leq (\alpha + \beta)N_2(y_{2n} - y_{2n-1}).$$

Similary, we have

$$N_2(y_{2n} - y_{2n-1}) \leq (\alpha + \beta)N_2(y_{2n-1} - y_{2n-2}).$$

Therefore, we have

$$N_2(y_{n+1} - y_n) \leq (\alpha + \beta)N_2(y_n - y_{n-1}) \leq \dots \leq (\alpha + \beta)^n N_2(y_1 - y_0). \quad (3.5)$$

If  $m \geq n$ , then the repeated use of (3.5) yields

$$\begin{aligned} N_2(y_m - y_n) &\leq N_2(y_m - y_{m-1}) + N_2(y_{m-1} - y_{m-2}) + \cdots + N_2(y_{n+1} - y_n) \\ &\leq \{(\alpha + \beta)^{m-2} + (\alpha + \beta)^{m-3} + \cdots + (\alpha + \beta)^{n-1}\} N_2(y_1 - y_0) \\ &= \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^{n-1}}{1 - (\alpha + \beta)} N_2(y_1 - y_0) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since  $0 < \alpha + \beta < 1$ , the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $S(X) \cap T(X)$  with respect to  $N_1$  since  $N_1$  is equivalent to  $N_2$  on  $X$ .

**Theorem (3.1)** Let  $(X_s, d) = (X, N_1, N_2)$  be a Saks space in which  $N_1$  is equivalent to  $N_2$  on  $X$ . Let  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  be self mappings of  $X$  satisfying the conditions (3.1), (3.2) and the following:

$$S(X) \cap T(X) \text{ is a closed subspace of } X \text{ with respect to } N_1 \quad (3.6)$$

Then

- (i)  $A$  and  $S$  have a coincidence point in  $X$ , and
- (ii)  $B$  and  $T$  have a coincidence point in  $X$ .

**Proof** From Lemma (3.1) the sequence  $\{Y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $S(X) \cap T(X)$  with respect to  $N_1$ . Since  $N_1$  is equivalent to  $N_2$  on  $X$ . So, by Lemma (1.1),  $(X, N_1)$  is Banach space and hence converges to a point  $w$  in  $S(X) \cap T(X)$ . The subsequences  $\{Y_{2n}\}$  and  $\{Y_{2n+1}\}$  of  $\{Y_n\}$  are also Cauchy sequences and converge to  $z$ . Thus there exist two points  $u$  and  $v$  in  $X$  such that  $Su = w$ , and  $Tv = w$  respectively.

Putting  $x = u$  and  $y = x_{2n+1}$  in (3.2). Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_2(Au - Bx_{2n+1}) &\leq \alpha N_2(Su - Tx_{2n+1}) \\ &\quad + \beta \max\{N_2(Su - Au), N_2(Tx_{2n+1} - Bx_{2n+1}), 1/2[N_2(Su - Bx_{2n+1}) \\ &\quad + N_2(Tx_{2n+1} - Au)]\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.7),  $N_2(Au - w) \leq \beta N_2(Au - w)$ , a contradiction. Hence  $Au = w = Su$ . Similarly, we have  $Bv = w = Tv$ .

As an immediate consequence of Theorem (3.1) we have the following corollary:

**Corollary (3.2)** Let  $A = B, S$  and  $T$  be self maps of a Saks space  $X$  in which  $N_1$  is equivalent to  $N_2$  on  $X$  satisfying the condition (3.1), (3.2) and (3.6):

Then

- (i)  $A$  and  $S$  have a coincidence point,
- (ii)  $A$  and  $T$  have a coincidence point.

Indeed  $A, S$  and  $T$  have a coincidence point if and only if  $A$  is One-to-One.

#### 4. Common Fixed Points

**Theorem (4.1)** Let  $(X_s, d) = (X, N_1, N_2)$  be a Saks space in which  $N_1$  is equivalent to  $N_2$  on  $X$ . Let  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  be self mappings of a Saks space  $X$  satisfying the condition (3.1), (3.2), (3.6) and (4.1):

$$\{A, S\} \text{ and } \{B, T\} \text{ are compatible pair of type (A) on } X \quad (4.1)$$

Then  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  have a unique common fixed point on  $X$ .

**Proof** In Theorem (3.1), we have shown that  $Su = Au = w$  and  $Tv = Bv = w$ . Now suppose that  $A$  and  $S$  are compatible maps of type (A). Hence by Proposition (2.4), we have

$$ASu = SSu = AAu \Rightarrow Aw = Sw.$$

Now suppose  $Aw \neq w$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} N_2(Aw - Bx_{2n+1}) &\leq \alpha N_2(Sw - Tx_{2n+1}) \\ &+ \beta \max\{N_2(Sw - Aw), N_2(Tx_{2n+1} - Bx_{2n+1}), 1/2[N_2(Sw - Bx_{2n+1}) \\ &+ N_2(Tx_{2n+1} - Aw)]\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (4.2), we have

$$N_2(Aw - w) \leq (\alpha + \beta)N_2(Aw - w) < N_2(Aw - w) \quad (\text{since } \alpha + \beta < 1)$$

which implies that  $Aw = w$ . Hence,  $w$  is a common fixed point of  $A$  and  $S$ . If we argue for  $B$  and  $T$  by assuming that they are as a compatible maps of type (A), then we must have  $Tw = Bw = w$ .

Now suppose that  $w$  is common fixed point of  $A, B, S$  and  $T$ . If  $Aw = Sw = w$  and  $Bz = Tz = z$ , then from condition (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_2(w - z) &= N_2(Aw - Bz) \leq \alpha N_2(Sw - Tz) \\ &+ \beta \max\{N_2(Sw - Aw), N_2(Tz - Bz) + 1/2(Sw - Bz) + N_2(Tz - Aw)\} \\ &= (\alpha + \beta)N_2(w - z) < N_2(w - z) \quad (\text{since } \alpha + \beta < 1), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence,  $w$  is a common fixed point of  $A, B, S$  and  $T$ . Uniqueness of  $w$  follows easily from (3.2).

**Remark 3** (1) Compatibility of type (A) for  $B$  and  $T$  can be replaced from the condition (4.11) by the following condition:

$$N_2(x - Tx) \leq N_2(x - Sx)$$

for some  $x$  in  $X$ .

Since it is possible to replace the condition of weak commutativity or compatibility by compatibility of type (A), our theorem is an extension, generalization and improvement of several theorems already known in ordinary metric space.

(2) Our theorem includes Cho and Singh<sup>[2]</sup> for  $S = T$  and the condition (3.2) is replaced by the following condition.

$$N_2(Ax - By) \leq f(N_2(Sx - Ax), N_2(Sy - By), N_2(Sx - Sy), N_2(Sy - Ax), N_2(Sx - By)) \quad (4.3)$$

for every  $x, y$  in  $X$  where  $f : (R^+)^5 \rightarrow R^+$ , which is nondecreasing in each coordinate variable and  $f(t, t, t, a_1t, a_2t) < t$  for  $t > 0$  where  $a_1 \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  with  $a_1 + a_2 = 2$ .

(3) Our theorem includes Kang, Cho and Jungck<sup>[31]</sup> if  $X$  is a metric space and condition (3.2) is replaced by

$$d(Ax, By) \leq \phi(d(Sx, Ty), d(Sx, Ax), d(Ty, By), d(Sx, By), d(Ty, Ax)) \quad (4.4)$$

for all  $x, y$  in  $X$  where  $\phi : [0, \infty)^5 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a function such that

- (i)  $\phi$  is non-decreasing and upper-semi continuous in each coordinate variable,
- (ii) for each  $t > 0, \Gamma(t) = \max\{\phi(0, 0, t, t, t), \phi(t, t, t, 2t, 0), \phi(t, t, t, 0, 2t)\} < t$ .

(4) Our theorem also includes Murthy and Sharma<sup>[32]</sup> if condition (3.2) in Theorem (4.1) is replaced by the following condition.

$$N_2^2(Ax, By) \leq \phi(\max\{N_2^2(Sx - Ty), N_2(Sx - Ax)N_2(Ty - By), N_2(Sx - By)N_2(Ty - Ax), N_2(Sx - Ax)N_2(Ty - Ax), N_2(Sx - By)N_2(Ty - By)\}) \quad (4.5)$$

for all  $x, y$  in  $X$  where  $\phi : R^+ \rightarrow R^+$  satisfying

- (i)  $\phi$  is non decreasing
- (ii)  $\phi(t) < t$  for each  $t > 0$ .

As an immediate consequence of theorem (4.1) we have the following corollary:

**Corollary (4.2)** Let  $(X_s, d) = (X, N_1, N_2)$  be a Saks space in which  $N_1$  is equivalent to  $N_2$  on  $X$ . Let  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  be self maps of  $X$  satisfying (3.1), (3.6), (4.1) and (4.6):

$$(N_2(Ax - By))^p \leq \alpha(N_2(Sx - Ty))^p \quad (4.6)$$

for all  $x, y$  in  $X$ , where  $\alpha \in (0, 1), p \geq 1$ .

Then  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

## References

- [1] A.Alexiewicz, *The two-norm space*, Stud. Math. Special Vol. (1963), 17–20.
- [2] Y.J.Cho and S.L.Singh, *A coincidence theorem and fixed point theorems in Saks spaces*, Kobe J. Math., **3**(1986), 1–6.
- [3] Y.J.Cho and S.L.Singh, *An approach to fixed points in Saks spaces*, Annalesde la Soc. Sci. de Bruxelles, T. **98**, II–III, (1984), 80–84.
- [4] C.C.Chang, *On a fixed point theorem of contractive type*, Comm. Math. Univ. St. Paul., **32**(1983), 15–19.
- [5] S.S.Chang, *A common fixed point for commuting mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **83**(1981), 645–652.
- [6] S.S.Chang, *On common fixed point theorems for a family of  $\phi$ -contraction mapping*, Math. Japonica., **29**(1984), 527–536.
- [7] V.Conserva, *Common fixed point theorem for commuting on a metric space*, Pub. Inst. Masth., **32**(46)(1982), 37–43.
- [8] K.M.Das and K.V.Naik, *Common fixed point theorems for commuting maps on metric spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **77**(1979), 369–373.
- [9] X.P.Ding, *Some common fixed point theorems of commuting mappings II*, Math. Som. Notes., **11**(1983), 301–305.

- [10] M.L.Diviccaro, B.Fisher and S.Sessa, *A common fixed point theorem of gregus type* , Pub. Inst. Math., **34**(1984), 83–89.
- [11] B.Fisher, *Mappings with a common fixed point* , Math. Sem. Notes., **7**(1979), 81–84.
- [12] B.Fisher, *Common fixed points of commuting mappings* , Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Scincia., **9**(1981), 399–406.
- [13] B.Fisher, *Three mappings with a common fixed point* , Math. Sem. Notes., **10**(1982), 293–302.
- [14] B.Fisher, *Common fixed points of four mappings* , Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Scincia., **11**(1983), 103–113.
- [15] B.Fisher and S.Sessa, *On a fixed point theorem of Gregus* , Internat J. Math. Math. Sci., **9**(1986), 23–28.
- [16] K.Goebel, *A coincidence theorem* , Bull. Acad. Polin. Sci. Ser. Math., **16**(1968), 733–735.
- [17] M.Greguš Jr, *A fixed point theorem in Banach Spaces* , Bull. Un. Mat. Ital., **(5)17(A)**(1980), 193–198.
- [18] O.Hadžič, *Common fixed point theorems for a family of mappings in complete metric spaces* , Math. Japonica, **29**(1984), 127–134.
- [19] G.Jungck, *Commuting maps and fixed points* , Amer. Math. monthly, **83**(1976), 261–263.
- [20] G.Jungck, *Compatible mappings and common fixed points* , Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., **9**(1986), 771–779.
- [21] G.Jungck, P.P.Murthy and Y.J.Cho, *Compatible mappings of type (A) and common fixed points* , Math. Japon., **38(2)**(1993), 381–390.
- [22] G.Jungck, *Periodic and fixed points and commuting mapping* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **76**(1979), 333–338.
- [23] S.Kasahara, *On some recent results on fixed points (II)* , Math. Seminar Notes, **7**(1979), 123–131.
- [24] M.S.Khan, *Common fixed point theorems for a family of mappings* , Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **12**(1981), 305–311.
- [25] M.S.Khan, *Remarks, On some fixed point theorems* , ibid, **15**(1982), 375–379.
- [26] M.S.Khan and B.Fisher, *Some fixed point theorem for commuting mapping* , Math. Naliar, **106**(1982), 323–326.
- [27] M.S.Khan and M.Imdad, *Some common fixed point theorems* , Glasnik Mat. Sci., **18**(1983), 321–326.
- [28] C.Kulshrestha, *Single-valued mapping, multi-valued mappings and fixed point theorem in metric spaces* , Doctoral Thesis, Garhwal Univ. (Srinagar) 1983.
- [29] R.N.Mukherjee and V.Verma, *A note on a fixed point theorem of Greguš* , Math. Japon., **33(5)**(1988), 745–749.
- [30] R.N.Mukherjee, *Common fixed point of some nonlinear mapping* , Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **12**(1981), 930–933.
- [31] S.M.Kang, Y.J.Cho and G.Jungck, *Common fixed point of compatible mappings* , Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., **13**(1990), 61–66.
- [32] P.P.Murthy and B.K.Sharma, *Some fixed point theorems on Saks space* , Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., **84**(1992), 289–293.
- [33] S.A.Naipally, S.L.Singh and J.H.M.Whitfield, *Coincedence theorems* , (preprint).
- [34] T.Okada, *Coincedence theorems on L-spaces* , Math. Japon., **28**(1981), 291–295.
- [35] W.Orlicz, *Linear operations in Saks spaces (I)* , Stud. Math., **11**(1950), 237–272.
- [36] W.Orlicz, *Linear operations in Saks spaces (II)* , Stud. Math., **15**(1955), 1–25.
- [37] W.Orlicz and V.Ptak, *Some results on Saks spaces* , Stud. Math., **16**(1957), 56–68.
- [38] S.Park and B.E.Rhoades, *Meer-Keeler type contractive conditions* , Math. Japonica, **26**(1981), 13–20.



- [39] R.P. Pant, *Common fixed points of two pairs of commuting mappings* , **17**(1986), 187–192.
- [40] S.Park, *A generalization of a theorem of Janos and Edelstein* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **66**(1977), 344–346.
- [41] S.Park, *Fixed points of  $f$ -contractive type maps* , Rocky Moun. J. Math., **8**(1978), 743-750.
- [42] S.Park and B.E. Rhoades, *Extension of some fixed point theorems of Hegedus and Kasahara*, Math. Sem. Notes., **9**(1981), 113–118.
- [43] B.K.Ray, *Remarks on a fixed point theorem of Gerald Jungck* , J. Univ. Kuwait (Sci), **12**(1985), 169–171.
- [44] B.E.Rhoades, *Contractive definitions revisited* , topological methods in non linear functional analysis contemporary Math. A.M.S., **21**(1983), 189–205.
- [45] S.Sessa, *On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point considerations* , Publ. Inst. Math., **32**(1982), 149–153.
- [46] S.L.Singh, *On common fixed points of commuting mappings* , Math. Sem. Notes., **5**(1977), 131–134.
- [47] S.L.Singh, *A note on common fixed point theorems for commuting mappings on a metric space* , Anusandhan Patrika (1983).
- [48] S.L.Singh and S.P.Singh, *A fixed point theorem*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **11**(1980), 1584–1586.
- [49] S.L.Singh and S.N. Mishra, *Common fixed points and convergence theorem in uniform spaces*, Mat. Vashnik, **5(18)(33)**(1981), 403–410.
- [50] S.L.Singh and B.Ram, *Common fixed points of commuting mappings in 2-metric spaces* , Math. Sem. Notes., **10**(1982), 197–208.
- [51] S.L.Singh and Virendra, *Coincedence theorems on 2-metric spaces* , Indian J. Phy. Mat. Sci., **2(B)**(1982), 32–35.
- [52] C.C.Yeh, *On common fixed point theorems of continuous mapping* , Indian J. Pure. Appl. Math., **10**(1979), 415–420.

P.P. Murthy  
 Plot No.32 Nehru Nagar Post  
 Model Town (East) Bhilai  
 (M.P.). 490 020 (India).

Y.J. Cho  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Gyeongsang National University  
 Chinju 660-701 (Korea).

B.K. Sharma  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Ravishankar University  
 Raipur (M.P.)-492 010 (India).