

# Moore-Smith Convergence in $L$ -Fuzzifying Topological Spaces

Wei YAO<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Ling Xia LU<sup>3</sup>

1. *College of Mathematics and Information Science, Shaanxi Normal University, Shaanxi 710062, P. R. China;*
2. *College of Science, Hebei University of Science and Technology, Hebei 050018, P. R. China;*
3. *School of Mathematics and Science, Shijiazhuang University of Economics, Hebei 050031, P. R. China*

**Abstract** This paper presents a definition of  $L$ -fuzzifying nets and the related  $L$ -fuzzifying generalized convergence spaces. The Moore-Smith convergence is established in  $L$ -fuzzifying topology. It is shown that the category of  $L$ -fuzzifying generalized convergence spaces is a cartesian-closed topological category which embeds the category of  $L$ -fuzzifying topological spaces as a reflective subcategory.

**Keywords**  $L$ -fuzzifying topology;  $L$ -fuzzifying filter;  $L$ -fuzzifying net;  $L$ -fuzzifying generalized convergence space; topological category; cartesian-closed.

**Document code** A

**MR(2010) Subject Classification** 54A40

**Chinese Library Classification** O189.1

## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Convergence of filters and nets, called the Moore-Smith convergence, is an important topic in general topology. For convenience, sometimes we use filters and sometimes use nets to define and study convergence in topology since there is a close relation between them.

In  $L$ -topology theory, the Moore-Smith convergence theory had been completely established by Pu and Liu in [1] by means of  $L$ -fuzzy nets and  $L$ -fuzzy filters (of crisp degree). Analogously, in  $L$ -fuzzifying topology [2], in order to study convergence structures,  $L$ -fuzzifying filters or  $L$ -fuzzifying nets should be used. While there is no proper definition of  $L$ -fuzzifying nets corresponding to  $L$ -fuzzifying filters in fuzzy set theory.

The aim of this paper is to give a definition of  $L$ -fuzzifying nets corresponding to  $L$ -fuzzifying filters and then to establish the Moore-Smith convergence in  $L$ -fuzzifying topology. This paper is arranged as follows. In the rest of this section, we recall some materials which will be used

---

Received April 14, 2010; Accepted April 18, 2011

Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.10926055), the Foundation of Hebei Province (Grant Nos. A2010000826; Z2010297) and Foundation of Shijiazhuang University of Economics (Grant No. XN201003).

\* Corresponding author

E-mail address: yaowei0516@163.com (W. YAO); lulingxia1214@gmail.com (L. X. LU)

throughout this paper. In Section 2, we give definitions of  $L$ -fuzzifying filters and  $L$ -fuzzifying nets and then study the Moore-Smith convergence in  $L$ -fuzzifying topology. In Section 3, we define an  $L$ -fuzzifying generalized convergence spaces and show that the resulting category  $L$ -FYGConv embeds the category of  $L$ -fuzzifying topological spaces as a reflective category. In Section 4, we show that  $L$ -FYGConv is a cartesian-closed topological category.

In the following, we will list some preliminaries which are used in this paper.

An element  $a$  of a lattice is called  $\wedge$ -irreducible if  $a = b \wedge c$  always implies  $a = b$  or  $a = c$  for any elements  $b, c$ . A lattice with a  $\wedge$ -irreducible button  $0$  is called  $0$ - $\wedge$ -inaccessible. For example, the unit interval  $[0, 1]$  is such a lattice. A DeMorgan algebra is a complete lattice equipped with an order-reversing involution.

A complete lattice  $L$  is a frame or a complete Heyting algebra if the binary meets are distributive over arbitrary joins, i.e.,  $a \wedge (\bigvee_i b_i) = \bigvee_i (a \wedge b_i)$  holds for all  $a, b_i (i \in I) \in L$ . For a frame  $L$ , an implicative operator  $\rightarrow: L \times L \rightarrow L$  can be defined as  $a \rightarrow b = \bigvee \{c \in L \mid a \wedge c \leq b\}$  ( $\forall a, b \in L$ ). Then for any  $a, b, c \in L$ ,  $a \wedge c \leq b \iff c \leq a \rightarrow b$ . A frame is called spatial if it is generated by all  $\wedge$ -irreducible elements, that is, any element is the meets of all  $\wedge$ -irreducible elements less than or equal to it. Properties of frames can be found in many literatures, e.g. [3].

In this paper,  $L$  is always assumed to be a  $0$ - $\wedge$  irreducible frame. We put  $L_0 = L - \{0\}$ .

## 2. $L$ -fuzzifying filters, $L$ -fuzzifying nets and their Moore-Smith convergence

**Definition 1** ([4]) We call a map  $\mathcal{F}: 2^X \rightarrow L$  an  $L$ -fuzzifying filter on  $X$  if

$$(LF1) \quad \mathcal{F}(\emptyset) = 0, \mathcal{F}(X) = 1;$$

$$(LF2) \quad \mathcal{F}(A \cap B) = \mathcal{F}(A) \wedge \mathcal{F}(B).$$

An  $L$ -fuzzifying topology on a set  $X$  is a map  $\tau: 2^X \rightarrow L$  satisfying that (1)  $\tau(\emptyset) = \tau(X) = 1$ ; (2)  $\tau(A \cap B) \geq \tau(A) \wedge \tau(B)$ ; (3)  $\tau(\bigcup_i A_i) \geq \bigwedge_i \tau(A_i)$ . The pair  $(X, \tau)$  is called an  $L$ -fuzzifying topological space [2]. A map  $f: (X, \tau_X) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_Y)$  between two  $L$ -fuzzifying topological spaces is called continuous if  $\tau_X(f^{-1}(B)) \geq \tau_Y(B)$  for all  $B \subseteq Y$ . Let  $L$ -FYS denote the category of all  $L$ -fuzzifying topological spaces with continuous maps as morphisms.

Let  $\tau: L^X \rightarrow L$  be an  $L$ -fuzzifying topology and  $x \in X$ . Define  $\mathcal{N}_\tau^x(A) = \bigvee_{x \in B \subseteq A} \tau(B)$ . Then  $\mathcal{N}_\tau^x$  is an  $L$ -fuzzifying filter, which is the neighborhood filter in [5].

**Definition 2** For a directed set  $\Delta$ , we call a map  $\xi = (p, v): \Delta \rightarrow X \times L_0$  an  $L$ -fuzzifying net on  $X$  if

$$(LN1) \quad \bigvee_{d \in \Delta} v(d) = 1;$$

(LN2) For any  $d_1, d_2 \in \Delta$ , there exists an upper bound  $d$  of  $d_1, d_2$  such that  $v(d_1) \wedge v(d_2) \leq v(d)$ .

For  $L = 2$ , an  $L$ -fuzzifying net is just an ordinary net. Let  $\xi = (p, v): \Delta \rightarrow X \times L_0$  be an  $L$ -fuzzifying net. We call  $\xi$  an  $L$ -fuzzifying net of crisp degree if  $v \equiv 1$ . We call  $\xi$  a constant net if  $p: \Delta \rightarrow X$  is a constant map with a value  $x$  and  $v \equiv 1$ , and in this case,  $\xi$  is also denoted by  $\bar{x}$ .

We denote the set of all  $L$ -fuzzifying filters (resp., nets) on a set  $X$  by  $\mathbb{F}(X)$  (resp.,  $\mathbb{N}(X)$ ).

Let  $\xi = (p_1, v_1) : D \rightarrow X \times L_0, \eta = (p_2, v_2) : E \rightarrow X \times L_0$  be two  $L$ -fuzzifying nets. We call  $\eta$  a subnet of  $\xi$  if there is a map  $j : E \rightarrow D$  satisfying that  $p_2 = p_1 \circ j, v_2 = v_1 \circ j$  and for each  $d \in D$ , there exists an  $e_0 \in E$  such that  $v_2(e_0) \geq v_1(d)$  and  $j(e) \geq d$  for all  $e \geq e_0$ .

**Proposition 1** (1) Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a map and  $\xi = (p, v) \in \mathbb{N}(X), \mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{F}(X)$ . Define  $f(\xi) = (f \circ p, v) : \Delta \rightarrow Y \times L_0$  and for all  $B \subseteq Y, f(\mathcal{F})(B) = \mathcal{F}(f^{-1}(B))$ , then  $f(\xi) \in \mathbb{N}(Y), f(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathbb{F}(Y)$ .

(2) Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a map and  $\xi, \eta$  be two  $L$ -fuzzifying nets of  $X$ . If  $\eta$  is a subnet of  $\xi$ , then  $f(\eta)$  is a subnet of  $f(\xi)$ .

**Proof** Straightforward.  $\square$

**Definition 3** Suppose that  $\xi = (p, v) : D \rightarrow X \times L_0$  is an  $L$ -fuzzifying net on  $X$ . Define  $\mathcal{F}_\xi(A) = \bigvee \{v(d) \mid \forall e \geq d, p(e) \in A\}$ , which can be considered as the degree for  $\xi$  eventually belonging to  $A$ .

**Proposition 2**  $\mathcal{F}_\xi$  is an  $L$ -fuzzifying filter.

**Proof** (a)  $\mathcal{F}_\xi(0_X) = \bigvee \emptyset = 0, \mathcal{F}_\xi(X) = \bigvee d \in \Delta v(d) = 1$ . (b) Obviously,  $\mathcal{F}_\xi$  is order-preserving. For any  $A, B \in L^X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_\xi(A) \wedge \mathcal{F}_\xi(B) &= \bigvee \{v(d_1) \mid \forall e \geq d_1, p(e) \in A\} \wedge \bigvee \{v(d_2) \mid \forall e \geq d_2, p(e) \in B\} \\ &= \bigvee \{v(d_1) \wedge v(d_2) \mid \forall e_1 \geq d_1, \forall e_2 \geq d_2, p(e_1) \in A, p(e_2) \in B\} \\ &\leq \bigvee \{v(d) \mid \forall e \geq d, p(e) \in A \cap B\} = \mathcal{F}_\xi(A \cap B). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 3** If  $\eta = (p_2, v_2) : E \rightarrow X \times L_0$  is a subnet of  $\xi = (p_1, v_1) : D \rightarrow X \times L_0$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_\xi \leq \mathcal{F}_\eta$ .

**Proof** For  $A \subseteq X$ , suppose that  $d \in D$  satisfying that  $p_1(d_1) \in A$  for all  $d_1 \geq d$ . Since  $\eta$  is a subnet of  $\xi$ , there is a map  $j : E \rightarrow D$  satisfying that  $p_2 = p_1 \circ j, v_2 = v_1 \circ j$  and for this  $d$ , there exists an  $e_0 \in E$  such that  $v_2(e_0) \geq v_1(d), j(e) \geq d$  and  $p_2(e) = p_1(j(e)) \in A$  for all  $e \geq e_0$ . By  $v_2(e_0) \geq v_1(d)$ , we have  $\mathcal{F}_\xi \leq \mathcal{F}_\eta$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a map. For every  $L$ -fuzzifying net  $\xi$  on a set  $X, \mathcal{F}_{f(\xi)} = f(\mathcal{F}_\xi)$ .

**Proof** For every  $A \subseteq X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{f(\xi)}(A) &= \bigvee \{v(d) \mid \forall e \geq d, f(p(e)) \in A\} = \bigvee \{v(d) \mid \forall e \geq d, p(e) \in f^{-1}(A)\} \\ &= \mathcal{F}_\xi(f^{-1}(A)) = f(\mathcal{F}_\xi)(A). \end{aligned}$$

For  $\mathcal{F}$  an  $L$ -fuzzifying filter on  $X$ , put  $\mathcal{F}^+ = \{A \subseteq X \mid \mathcal{F}(A) \in L_0\}$  and  $\Delta_{\mathcal{F}} = \{(x, A) \mid x \in A \in \mathcal{F}^+\}$ . Define a relation  $\prec$  on  $\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}$  as  $(x, A) \prec (y, B)$  iff  $B \subseteq A$ . Define  $\xi_{\mathcal{F}} = (p_{\mathcal{F}}, v_{\mathcal{F}}) : \Delta_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow X \times L_0$  by  $(x, A) \mapsto (x, \mathcal{F}(A))$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5**  $\xi_{\mathcal{F}}$  is an  $L$ -fuzzifying net.

**Proof** (1)  $\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}$  is a direct set. For  $(x, A), (y, B) \in \Delta_{\mathcal{F}}$ , we have  $\mathcal{F}(A), \mathcal{F}(B) \neq 0$  and then  $\mathcal{F}(A \cap B) = \mathcal{F}(A) \wedge \mathcal{F}(B) \neq 0$ . Then  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$  and there exists  $z \in A \cap B$ . Thus  $(x, A), (y, B) \prec (z, A \cap B) \in \Delta_{\mathcal{F}}$ .

(2)  $\xi_{\mathcal{F}}$  is an  $L$ -fuzzifying net. (LN1)  $\bigvee_{(x,A) \in \Delta_{\mathcal{F}}} v_{\mathcal{F}}(x, A) \geq \mathcal{F}(X) = 1$ . (LN2) For  $(x, A), (y, B) \in \Delta_{\mathcal{F}}$ , then  $(z, A \cap B)$  is an upper bound of  $(x, A), (y, B)$ .  $v_{\mathcal{F}}(x, A) \wedge v_{\mathcal{F}}(y, B) = \mathcal{F}(A) \wedge \mathcal{F}(B) = \mathcal{F}(A \cap B) = v_{\mathcal{F}}(z, A \cap B)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 6** For every  $L$ -fuzzifying filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on a set  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_{\xi_{\mathcal{F}}} = \mathcal{F}$ .

**Proof** Let  $A \subseteq X$ . If  $\mathcal{F}(A) \neq 0$ , then  $A \neq \emptyset$ . Choose  $x \in A$ , we have  $(x, \mathcal{F}(A)) \in \Delta_{\mathcal{F}}$ . For any  $(y, B) \in \Delta_{\mathcal{F}}$  with  $(y, B) \succ (x, \mathcal{F}(A))$ , we have  $\xi_{\mathcal{F}}(y, B) = y \in B \subseteq A$ . Thus  $v_{\mathcal{F}}(x, \mathcal{F}(A)) = \mathcal{F}(A) \in P(\mathcal{F}, A)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\xi_{\mathcal{F}}}(A) \geq \mathcal{F}(A)$ . Conversely, for any  $(x, B) \in \Delta_{\mathcal{F}}$ ,  $p_{\mathcal{F}}(y, C) = y \in A$  for any  $(y, C) \succ (x, B)$ . For  $z \in B$ , we have  $(z, B) \in \Delta_{\mathcal{F}}$  and  $(z, B) \succ (x, B)$ , then  $z \in A$ . Then  $B \subseteq A$ . Hence  $v_{\mathcal{F}}(x, B) = \mathcal{F}(B) \leq \mathcal{F}(A)$ . Consequently we have  $\mathcal{F}_{\xi_{\mathcal{F}}}(A) \leq \mathcal{F}(A)$ .  $\square$

In the rest of this paper, we assume that  $L$  has an order-reversing involution  $*$ . For any  $L$ -fuzzifying topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , define  $\text{cl} : 2^X \rightarrow L^X$  by  $\text{cl}(A)(x) = (\mathcal{N}_{\tau}^x(A'))^*$ . This is a special case of the closure operator  $\text{cl}$  in [6] (see Theorem 5.3).

Define  $L_f : \mathbb{F}(X) \times X \rightarrow L$  by  $L_f(\mathcal{F}, x) = \bigwedge_{A \in L^X} \text{cl}(A)(x) \vee \mathcal{F}(A')$  and  $L_n : \mathbb{N}(X) \times X \rightarrow L$  by  $L_n(\xi, x) = L_f(\mathcal{F}_{\xi}, x)$ . The value  $L_f(\mathcal{F}, x)$  (resp.,  $L_n(\xi, x)$ ) can be considered as the degree of  $x$  to be a limit point of  $\mathcal{F}$  (resp.,  $\xi$ ). By Proposition 6, we have  $L_n(\xi_{\mathcal{F}}, x) = L_f(\mathcal{F}, x)$ .

**Remark 1** In a crisp topological space  $(X, T)$ , a filter  $\mathcal{F}$  is convergent to a point  $x$  iff  $\mathcal{U}(x) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  [7]. In fact, we can show that  $\mathcal{U}(x) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  iff for any  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $x \in A^-$  or  $A' \in \mathcal{F}$ . Thus  $L_f, L_n$  are generalizations of classical convergence in crisp topology.

**Proof** Suppose that  $\mathcal{U}(x) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ . For  $A \subseteq X$ , if  $A' \notin \mathcal{F}$ , then  $A' \notin \mathcal{U}(x)$ . For all  $U \in \mathcal{U}(x)$ , if  $U \cap A = \emptyset$ , then  $U \subseteq A'$ , which implies that  $A' \in \mathcal{U}(x)$ , which is a contradiction to  $A' \notin \mathcal{U}(x)$ . Hence  $x \in A^-$ . Conversely, for any open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$ , if  $U = (U')' \notin \mathcal{F}$ , then  $x \in U'^- = U'$  (notice that  $U$  is open and  $U'$  is closed), which is a contradiction to  $U \in \mathcal{U}(x)$ .

We define  $C_f : \mathbb{F}(X) \times X \rightarrow L$  by  $C_f(\mathcal{F}, x) = \bigwedge_{A \in X} \text{cl}(A)(x) \vee (\mathcal{F}(A))^*$  and  $C_n : \mathbb{N}(X) \times X \rightarrow L$  by  $C_n(\xi, x) = C_f(\mathcal{F}_{\xi}, x)$ . The value  $C_f(\mathcal{F}, x)$  (resp.,  $C_n(\xi, x)$ ) can be considered as the degree of  $x$  to be a cluster point of  $\mathcal{F}$  (resp.,  $\xi$ ). By Proposition 6,  $C_n(\xi_{\mathcal{F}}, x) = C_f(\mathcal{F}, x)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2** In a crisp topological space  $(X, T)$ ,  $x \in X$  is a cluster point of a filter  $\mathcal{F}$  iff for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $x \in A^-$  (see [7]). Thus  $C_f, C_n$  are generalizations of cluster in crisp topology.

**Proposition 7** For every  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{F}(X), \xi \in \mathbb{N}(X)$  and  $x \in X$ , we have  $L_f(\mathcal{F}, \xi) \leq C_f(\mathcal{F}, \xi)$ ,  $L_n(\xi, x) \leq C_n(\xi, x)$ .

**Proof** We only need to show  $L_f \leq C_f$  or just  $\mathcal{F}_{\xi}(A') \leq (\mathcal{F}_{\xi}(A))^*$ . If  $(\mathcal{F}_{\xi}(A))^* \neq 1$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_{\xi}(A) \neq 0$ . While  $\mathcal{F}_{\xi}(A') \wedge \mathcal{F}_{\xi}(A) = \mathcal{F}_{\xi}(A' \cap A) = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_{\xi}(A') = 0$  since  $L$  is 0- $\wedge$ -irreducible.  $\square$

For any  $L$ -fuzzifying topology  $\tau$  on  $X$  and  $p$  a  $\wedge$ -irreducible element of  $L$ , it is easy to check that the family  $\tau_{(p)} = \{A \subseteq X \mid \tau(A) \not\leq p\}$  is a crisp topology on  $X$ . In a topological space  $(X, T)$ , for  $x \in X$ ,  $A \subseteq X$ , we have  $x \in A^-$  if and only if  $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$  for any  $U \in \mathcal{U}(x)$  (see [7]). Let  $\mathcal{U}(x)$  be the neighborhood system of  $x$ . If  $x \in A^-$ , then there exists a net  $\xi : \mathcal{U}(x) \rightarrow X$  such that for any  $U \in \mathcal{U}(x)$ ,  $\xi(U) \in A \cap U$ . We denote such a net by  $\xi_{\mathcal{U}(x)}$ .

Let  $\xi = (p, v) : D \rightarrow X \times L_0$  be an  $L$ -fuzzifying net and  $A \subseteq X$ . For a notation  $\xi \subseteq A$ , we mean  $p(d) \in A$  for all  $d \in D$ . We also denote by  $\mathbb{N}^c(X)$  the set of all  $L$ -fuzzifying nets of crisp degree on  $X$ .

We now give the main results of this section.

**Theorem 1** *Suppose that  $L$  is a spatial frame. For  $A \in L^X, x \in X$ , the following five values are equal to each other:*

- (1)  $\text{cl}(A)(x)$ ; (2)  $\bigvee_{\xi \subseteq A} L_n(\xi, x)$ ; (3)  $\bigvee_{\xi \subseteq A} C_n(\xi, x)$ ;
- (4)  $\bigvee_{\mathbb{N}^c(X) \ni \xi \subseteq A} L_n(\xi, x)$ ; (5)  $\bigvee_{\mathbb{N}^c(X) \ni \xi \subseteq A} C_n(\xi, x)$ .

**Proof** Obviously (4)  $\leq$  (5)  $\leq$  (3).

(3)  $\leq$  (1). First, it is easy to see that if  $\xi \subseteq A$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_\xi(A) = 1$  and  $(\mathcal{F}_\xi(A))^* = 0$ .  $\bigvee_{\xi \subseteq A} C_n(\xi, x) = \bigvee_{\xi \subseteq A} \bigwedge_{B \subseteq X} \text{cl}(B)(x) \vee (\mathcal{F}_\xi(B))^* \leq \bigwedge_{\xi \subseteq A} \text{cl}(A)(x) \vee (\mathcal{F}_\xi(A))^* = \bigwedge_{\xi \subseteq A} \text{cl}(A)(x) \vee 0 = \text{cl}(A)(x)$ .

(1)  $\leq$  (4). We only need to show that

$$\mathcal{N}_\tau^x(A') \geq \bigwedge_{\mathbb{N}^c(X) \ni \xi \subseteq A} \bigvee_{B \in L^X} \mathcal{N}_\tau^x(B) \wedge (\mathcal{F}_\xi(B))^*.$$

In fact, for all prime element  $p \geq \mathcal{N}_\tau^x(A')$ , then  $x \leq \overline{A} \mid \tau_{(p)}$  (otherwise, there exists an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  in  $\tau_{(p)}$  such that  $U \subseteq A'$ , then  $x \in U \subseteq A$  and then  $\tau(U) \leq p$ , which is a contradiction to  $U \in \tau_{(p)}$ ). Let  $\mathcal{U}(x)$  be the neighborhood system of  $x$  in  $\tau_{(p)}$ . Clearly,  $\xi_{\mathcal{U}(x)} \subseteq A$ . Consider  $\xi$  as an  $L$ -fuzzifying net of crisp degree, then the value  $(\mathcal{F}_\xi(B))^*$  is 0 or 1. If  $(\mathcal{F}_{\xi_{\mathcal{U}(x)}}(B))^* = 1$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_{\xi_{\mathcal{U}(x)}}(B) = 0$ . Then for all  $U \in \mathcal{U}(x)$ , there exists  $V \in \mathcal{U}(x)$  such that  $V \subseteq U$  and  $\xi_{\mathcal{U}(x)}(V) \notin B$ . In order to complete the proof, we only need to show that for all  $x \in C \subseteq B$ ,  $\tau(C) \leq p$ . If not, then  $C \in \tau_{(p)}$ , and  $C \in \mathcal{U}(x)$ . For this  $C$ , there exists  $D \subseteq C$  such that  $\xi_{\mathcal{U}(x)}(D) \notin B$ , while  $\xi_{\mathcal{U}(x)}(D) \in D \subseteq C \subseteq B$ , leading to a contradiction.  $\square$

### 3. Embed $L$ -FYS in the category of $L$ -fuzzifying generalized convergence spaces

A pair of functors  $(F, G)$  is called an adjunction [8] between two categories  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  if for any  $A \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{A}), B \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{B})$ , there is a bijection between  $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, G(B))$  and  $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(F(A), B)$ . The functor  $F$  is called the left adjoint of  $G$  and  $G$  the right adjoint of  $F$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a subcategory of  $\mathcal{B}$  and the inclusion functor  $i : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  has a left (resp., right) adjoint, then  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a reflective (resp., coreflective) subcategory of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

In this section, we will show that the category of  $L$ -fuzzifying topological spaces can be embedded in the category of net-theoretical  $L$ -fuzzifying generalized convergence spaces as a

reflective subcategory.

**Definition 4** We call a map  $S : \mathbb{N}(X) \times X \longrightarrow L$  an  $L$ -fuzzifying generalized convergence structure on  $X$  if it satisfies

(LC1) For all  $x \in X$ ,  $S(\bar{x}, x) = 1$ ;

(LC2) If  $\eta$  is a subnet of  $\xi$ , then for any  $x \in X$ ,  $S(\xi, x) \leq S(\eta, x)$ .

The pair  $(X, S)$  is called an  $L$ -fuzzifying generalized convergence space.

For two  $L$ -fuzzifying generalized convergence spaces  $(X, S_1)$  and  $(Y, S_2)$ , a map  $f : X \longrightarrow Y$  is called continuous if for any  $(\xi, x) \in \mathbb{N}(X) \times X$ ,  $S_1(\xi, x) \leq S_2(f(\xi), f(x))$ . Denote by  $L$ -FYGConv the category of  $L$ -fuzzifying generalized convergence spaces with continuous maps as morphisms.

Let  $(X, S)$  be an  $L$ -fuzzifying generalized convergence space. Define  $\mathcal{U}_S^x : L^X \longrightarrow L$  by

$$\mathcal{U}_S^x(A) = \begin{cases} \bigwedge_{\xi \in \mathbb{N}(X)} S(\xi, x) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\xi(A), & x \in A; \\ 0, & x \notin A. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 1** (1) For all  $x \in X$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_S^x$  is an  $L$ -fuzzifying filter.

(2) Let  $f : (X, S_1) \longrightarrow (Y, S_2)$  be a continuous map. Then  $f(\mathcal{U}_{S_1}^x) \geq \mathcal{U}_{S_2}^{f(x)}$ .

**Proof** (1)  $\mathcal{U}_S^x(\emptyset) = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_S^x(X) = 1$  are obvious. For all  $A, B \subseteq X$ ,  $x \in A \cap B$  iff  $x \in A, x \in B$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_S^x(A) \wedge \mathcal{U}_S^x(B) &\leq \bigwedge_{\xi \in \mathbb{N}(X)} (S(\xi, x) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\xi(A)) \wedge (S(\xi, x) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\xi(B)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{\xi \in \mathbb{N}(X)} S(\xi, x) \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}_\xi(A) \wedge \mathcal{F}_\xi(B)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{\xi \in \mathbb{N}(X)} S(\xi, x) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\xi(A \cap B) \\ &= \mathcal{U}_S^x(A \cap B). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $\mathcal{U}_S^x$  is order-preserving. Hence  $\mathcal{U}_S^x(A \cap B) = \mathcal{U}_S^x(A) \wedge \mathcal{U}_S^x(B)$ .

(2) For all  $B \subseteq Y$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathcal{U}_{S_1}^x)(B) &= \mathcal{U}_{S_1}^x(f^{-1}(B)) = \bigwedge_{\xi \in \mathbb{N}(X)} S_1(\xi, x) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\xi(f^{-1}(B)) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{\xi \in \mathbb{N}(X)} S_2(f(\xi), f(x)) \rightarrow f(\mathcal{F}_\xi)(B) = \bigwedge_{\xi \in \mathbb{N}(X)} S_2(f(\xi), f(x)) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{f(\xi)}(B) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{\eta \in \mathbb{N}(Y)} S_2(\eta, f(x)) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\eta(B) \\ &= \mathcal{U}_{S_2}^{f(x)}(B). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Define  $\tau_S : 2^X \longrightarrow L$  by

$$\tau_S(A) = \bigwedge_{x \in A} \mathcal{U}_S^x(A), \quad \forall A \subseteq X.$$

**Proposition 8** The map  $\tau_S$  is an  $L$ -fuzzifying topology on  $X$ .

**Proof** (O1) Obviously,  $\tau_S(\emptyset) = \tau_S(X) = 1$ .

(O2) For any  $A, B \subseteq X$ , if  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , then  $\tau_S(A \cap B) = 1 \geq \tau_S(A) \wedge \tau_S(B)$ . Otherwise,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_S(A) \wedge \tau_S(B) &= \bigwedge_{x \in A} \mathcal{U}_S^x(A) \wedge \bigwedge_{y \in B} \mathcal{U}_S^y(B) \leq \bigwedge_{z \in A \cap B} \mathcal{U}_S^z(A) \wedge \mathcal{U}_S^z(B) \\ &= \bigwedge_{z \in A \cap B} \mathcal{U}_S^z(A \cap B) = \tau_S(A \cap B). \end{aligned}$$

(O3)

$$\tau_S\left(\bigcup_i A_i\right) = \bigwedge_{x \in \bigcup_i A_i} \mathcal{U}_S^x\left(\bigcup_i A_i\right) \geq \bigwedge_{\exists i, x \in A_i} \mathcal{U}_S^x(A_i) = \bigwedge_i \bigwedge_{x \in A_i} \mathcal{U}_S^x(A_i) = \bigwedge_i \tau_S(A_i). \quad \square$$

**Proposition 9** If  $f : (X, S_1) \rightarrow (Y, S_2)$  is continuous, then  $f : (X, \tau_{S_1}) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_{S_2})$  is continuous.

**Proof** For all  $B \subseteq Y$ ,

$$\tau_{S_1}(f^{-1}(B)) = \bigwedge_{x \in f^{-1}(B)} \mathcal{U}_{S_1}^x(f^{-1}(B)) = \bigwedge_{f(x) \in B} f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_{S_1}^x)(B) \geq \bigwedge_{f(x) \in B} \mathcal{U}_{S_2}^{f(x)}(B) \geq \tau_{S_2}(B). \quad \square$$

By Propositions 8 and 9, we obtain a concrete functor  $T_S$  from  $L$ -FYS to  $L$ -FYGConv transferring an  $L$ -fuzzifying generalized convergence structure  $S$  to  $\tau_S$ .

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an  $L$ -fuzzifying topological space. Define  $S_\tau : \mathbb{N}(X) \times X \rightarrow L$  by

$$S_\tau(\xi, x) = \bigwedge_{x \in A} \tau(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\xi(A), \quad \forall (\xi, x) \in \mathbb{N}(X) \times X.$$

**Proposition 10** For an  $L$ -fuzzifying topology  $\tau$  on  $X$ , we have

- (1)  $S_\tau$  is an  $L$ -fuzzifying generalized convergence structure on  $X$ .
- (2) For each  $x \in X$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_{S_\tau}^x \geq \mathcal{U}_\tau^x$ .

**Proof** (1) (LC1) is straightforward and (LC2) can be implied by Proposition 3.

(2) For all  $x \in A \subseteq X$  and all  $x \in B \subseteq A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_{S_\tau}^x(A) &\geq \mathcal{U}_{S_\tau}^x(B) = \bigwedge_{\xi \in \mathbb{N}(X)} S_\tau(\xi, x) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\xi(B) \\ &= \bigwedge_{\xi \in \mathbb{N}(X)} \left( \bigwedge_{x \in C} (\tau(C) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\xi(C)) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\xi(B) \right) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{\xi \in \mathbb{N}(X)} (\tau(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\xi(B)) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\xi(B) \geq \tau(B). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mathcal{U}_{S_\tau}^x \geq \mathcal{U}_\tau^x$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 11** If  $f : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  is continuous, then so is  $f : (X, S_{\tau_1}) \rightarrow (Y, S_{\tau_2})$ .

**Proof** For all  $(\xi, x) \in \mathbb{N}(X) \times X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\tau_2}(f(\xi), f(x)) &= \bigwedge_{B \ni f(x)} \tau_2(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{f(\xi)}(B) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{B \ni f(x)} \tau_1(f^{-1}(B)) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\xi(f^{-1}(B)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\geq \bigwedge_{A \ni x} \tau_1(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\xi(A) = S_{\tau_1}(\xi, x). \quad \square$$

By Propositions 10 and 11, we obtain a concrete functor  $S_T$  from  $L$ -FYS to  $L$ -FYGConv transferring an  $L$ -fuzzifying topology  $\tau$  to  $S_\tau$ .

**Proposition 12** *Let  $\tau$  be an  $L$ -fuzzifying topology and  $S$  be an  $L$ -fuzzifying generalized convergence space. Then  $S_{\tau_S} \geq S$ ,  $\tau_{S_\tau} \geq \tau$ .*

**Proof** (1) For all  $(\xi, x) \in \mathbb{N}(X) \times X$ ,

$$S_{\tau_S}(\xi, x) = \bigwedge_{x \in A} \tau_S(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\xi(A) \geq \bigwedge_{x \in A} (S(\xi, x) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\xi(A)) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\xi(A) \geq S(\xi, x).$$

(2) For all  $A \subseteq X$ ,

$$\tau_{S_\tau}(A) = \bigwedge_{x \in A} \mathcal{U}_{S_\tau}^x(A) \geq \bigwedge_{x \in A} \mathcal{U}_\tau^x(A) \geq \tau(A). \quad \square$$

**Theorem 2** *The category  $L$ -FYS can be embedded in  $L$ -FYGConv as a reflective subcategory.*

#### 4. $L$ -FYGConv is a cartesian-closed topological category

A construct  $\mathcal{C}$  over Set ( $U$  is the forgetful functor) is called topological [8] if for any  $U$ -source  $(f_i \rightarrow (X_i, \xi_i))_{i \in I}$ , there exists a unique initial  $U$ -lift  $(X, \xi)$ , that is for any  $\mathcal{C}$ -object  $(Y, \eta)$ , a map  $g : (Y, \eta) \rightarrow (X, \xi)$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -morphism if and only if for any  $i \in I$ ,  $f_i \circ g : (Y, \eta) \rightarrow (X_i, \xi_i)$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -morphism.

A category with finite products is called cartesian-closed [8] if for each pair  $(A, B)$  of objects there exists an object  $[A \rightarrow B]$  and an evaluation morphism  $ev : [A \rightarrow B] \times A \rightarrow B$  with the following universal property: for each morphism  $f : C \times A \rightarrow B$  there exists a unique morphism  $\hat{f} : C \rightarrow [A \rightarrow B]$  such that  $ev \circ (\hat{f} \times id) = f$ .

**Theorem 3**  *$L$ -FYGConv is a topological category.*

**Proof** Let  $U : L$ -FYGConv  $\rightarrow$  Set be the forgetful functor and  $(X, f_i, (X_i, S_i))_{i \in I}$  be a  $U$ -source. Define  $S : \mathbb{N}(X) \times X \rightarrow L$  by  $S(\xi, x) = \bigwedge_i S_i(f_i(\xi), f_i(x))$ . It is routine to show that  $(X, S)$  is an  $L$ -fuzzifying generalized convergence space.

Suppose that  $(Y, S_Y)$  is an  $L$ -fuzzifying generalized convergence space. A map  $g : (Y, S_Y) \rightarrow (X, S)$  is an  $L$ -FYGconv-morphism if and only if  $\forall (\xi, y) \in \mathbb{N}(Y) \times Y$ ,  $S_Y(\xi, y) \leq S(g(\xi), (g(y)))$  if and only if  $\forall (\xi, y) \in \mathbb{N}(Y) \times Y$ ,  $\forall i \in I$ ,  $S_Y(\xi, y) \leq S_i((f_i g)(\xi), ((f_i g)(y)))$  if and only if  $\forall i \in I$ ,  $f_i g : (Y, S_Y) \rightarrow (X_i, S_i)$  is an  $L$ -FYGConv-morphism. Hence  $(X, S)$  is a unique initial  $U$ -lift for the given  $U$ -source (the uniqueness is obvious).  $\square$

Since  $L$ -FYGConv is topological, there exist products in  $L$ -FYGConv [8]. By Theorem 3, let  $\{(X_i, S_i) \mid i \in I\}$  be a nonempty family of  $L$ -fuzzifying generalized convergence spaces and  $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$ . For all  $(\xi, x) \in \mathbb{N}(X) \times X$ , let  $S(\xi, x) = \bigwedge_{i \in I} S_i(p_i(\xi), (p_i(x)))$ . Then  $(X, S)$  is the product of  $\{(X_i, S_i) \mid i \in I\}$  in  $L$ -FYGConv. For an  $L$ -fuzzifying net  $\xi = (p, v) : \Delta \rightarrow X = \prod_i X_i$ , we have  $p_i \circ \xi = (p_i \circ p, v) : \Delta \rightarrow X_i$  is an  $L$ -fuzzifying net for any  $i \in I$ .

**Proposition 13** (Product of two  $L$ -fuzzifying nets) Let  $\xi = (p_1, v_1) : D \rightarrow X \times L_0$ ,  $\eta = (p_2, v_2) : E \rightarrow Y \times L_0$  be two  $L$ -fuzzifying nets. Define  $\xi \times \eta : D \times E \rightarrow (X \times Y) \times L_0$  by

$$(\xi \times \eta)(d, e) = ((p_1(d), p_2(e)), v_1(d) \wedge v_2(e)), \quad \forall (d, e) \in D \times E.$$

Then  $\xi \times \eta$  is an  $L$ -fuzzifying net of  $X \times Y$ .

**Proof** Since  $L$  is  $0$ - $\wedge$ -inaccessible, for any  $(d, e) \in D \times E$ ,  $h_1(d) \wedge h_2(e) \in L_0$ ,  $v_1(d) \wedge v_2(e) \in L_0$ .

$$(LN1) \quad \bigvee_{(d,e) \in D \times E} v_1(d) \wedge v_2(e) = \bigvee_{d \in D} v_1(d) \wedge \bigvee_{e \in E} v_2(e) = 1 \wedge 1 = 1.$$

(LN2) For all  $(d_1, e_1), (d_2, e_2) \in D \times E$ , there exists an upper bound  $d$  of  $d_1, d_2$  such that  $v_1(d_1) \wedge v_1(d_2) \leq v_1(d)$  and an upper bound  $e$  of  $e_1, e_2$  such that  $v_2(e_1) \wedge v_2(e_2) \leq v_2(e)$ . Then  $(d, e)$  is an upper bound of  $(d_1, e_1), (d_2, e_2)$  such that  $(v_1(d_1) \wedge v_2(e_1)) \wedge (v_1(d_2) \wedge v_2(e_2)) \leq v_1(d) \wedge v_2(e)$ .  $\square$

Let  $(X, S_X)$  and  $(Y, S_Y)$  be two  $L$ -fuzzifying generalized convergence spaces and  $[X \rightarrow Y]$  the set of all continuous maps from  $(X, S_X)$  to  $(Y, S_Y)$ . For any  $(\xi, f) \in \mathbb{N}([X \rightarrow Y]) \times [X \rightarrow Y]$ , define

$$S_{[X \rightarrow Y]}(\xi, f) = \bigwedge_{(\eta, x) \in \mathbb{N}(X) \times X} S_X(\eta, x) \rightarrow S_Y(ev(\xi \times \eta), f(x)).$$

**Lemma 2** For all  $f \in [X \rightarrow Y]$ ,  $\eta \in \mathbb{N}(X)$ ,  $a \in L_0$ ,  $ev(\bar{f} \times \eta)$  is a subnet of  $f(\eta)$ , where  $\bar{f}$  is a constant net on  $[X \rightarrow Y]$ .

**Proof** Let  $\bar{f} : D \rightarrow [X \rightarrow Y] \times L_0$ ,  $\eta = (p, v) : E \rightarrow X \times L_0$  be two  $L$ -fuzzifying nets. Then the net  $ev(\bar{f} \times \eta) : D \times E \rightarrow Y \times L_0$  is defined by  $(d, e) \mapsto (f(\eta(e)), v(e))$  and  $[f(\eta)] : E \rightarrow Y$  by  $e \mapsto (f(\eta(e)), v(e))$ . Define  $j : D \times E \rightarrow E$  by  $(d, e) \mapsto e$ . Then  $ev(\bar{f} \times \eta)$  is a subnet of  $[f(\eta)]$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3** If  $\xi_1, \xi_2$  are two  $L$ -fuzzifying nets on  $X$  and  $\xi_1$  is a subnet of  $\xi_2$ . Then for any  $L$ -fuzzifying net  $\eta$  on  $Y$  and any map  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ ,  $\xi_1 \times \eta$  is a subnet of  $\xi_2 \times \eta$  and consequently  $f(\xi_1 \times \eta)$  is a subnet of  $f(\xi_2 \times \eta)$ .

**Proof** Straightforward.  $\square$

**Proposition 14**  $S_{[X \rightarrow Y]}$  is an  $L$ -fuzzifying convergence structure on  $[X \rightarrow Y]$ .

**Proof** (LC2) can be easily derived by Lemma 3.

(LC1) By Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{[X \rightarrow Y]}(\bar{f}, f) &= \bigwedge_{(\eta, x) \in \mathbb{N}(X) \times X} S_X(\eta, x) \rightarrow S_Y(ev(\bar{f} \times \eta), f(x)) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{(\eta, x) \in \mathbb{N}(X) \times X} S_X(\eta, x) \rightarrow S_Y(f(\eta), f(x)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{(\eta, x) \in \mathbb{N}(X) \times X} S_X(\eta, x) \rightarrow S_Y(f(\eta), f(x)) = 1. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 15** The evaluation  $ev : ([X \rightarrow Y], S_{[X \rightarrow Y]}) \times (X, S_X) \rightarrow (Y, S_Y)$  is a continuous map.

**Proof** For any  $(\xi, f) \in \mathbb{N}([X \rightarrow Y]) \times [X \rightarrow Y]$  and any  $(\eta, x) \in \mathbb{N}(X) \times X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{[X \rightarrow Y]}(\xi, f) &= \bigwedge_{(\beta, x) \in \mathbb{N}(X) \times X} S_X(\beta, x) \rightarrow S_Y(\text{ev}(\xi \times \beta), f(x)) \\ &\leq S_X(\eta, x) \rightarrow S_Y(\text{ev}(\xi \times \eta), f(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$S_{[X \rightarrow Y] \times X}((\xi, \eta), (f, x)) = S_{[X \rightarrow Y]}(\xi, f) \wedge S_X(\eta, x) \leq S_Y(\text{ev}(\xi \times \eta), f(x)).$$

That is,  $\text{ev}$  is continuous.  $\square$

Now let us consider the following situation. Let  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  be a map. Define for  $x \in X$  the map  $f_x : Y \rightarrow Z, y \mapsto f(x, y)$  and with this the map  $f^* : X \rightarrow Z^Y, x \mapsto f_x$ . The map  $\varphi : Z^{X \times Y} \rightarrow (Z^Y)^X, f \mapsto f^*$  is called the exponential map.

**Lemma 4** Let  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  be a map,  $\xi = (p, v) : D \rightarrow Y \times L_0$  an  $L$ -fuzzifying net and  $\bar{x} : E \rightarrow X \times L_0$  a constant  $L$ -fuzzifying net on  $X$ . Then  $f_x(\xi)$  is a subnet of  $f(\bar{x} \times \xi)$ .

**Proof** We suppose that  $E$  has a top element  $t$ . Otherwise, we first do a transformation for  $\bar{x}$ . Put  $E_t = E \cup \{t\}$  such that  $t$  is the top of  $E$ , then  $E_t$  is also a direct set. Define  $(\bar{x})^* : E_t \rightarrow X \times L_0$  by  $e \mapsto (x, 1)$  for all  $e \in E_t$ . Thus  $(\bar{x})^*$  is also a constant net on  $X$ , which has hardly difference from  $\bar{x}$ . Now we consider  $(\bar{x})^*$  and  $\bar{x}$  are the same, that is,  $E$  has a top element  $t$ .

The net  $f(\bar{x} \times \xi) : E \times D \rightarrow Z \times L_0$  is defined by  $f(\bar{x} \times \xi)(e, d) = (f(x, p(d)), v(d))$  and the net  $f_x(\xi) : D \rightarrow Z \times L_0$  is defined by  $f_x(\xi)(d) = (f(x, p(d)), v(d))$ . Define  $j : D \rightarrow E \times D$  by  $j(d) = (t, d)$ . Then we have  $f_x(\xi) = f(\bar{x} \times \xi) \circ j$ , and for any  $(e, d) \in E \times D, v_{[f_x(\xi)]}(d) = v(d) = v_{f(\bar{x} \times \xi)}$  and  $j(d_1) = (t, d_1) \geq (e, d)$  for any  $d_1 \geq d$ . Hence  $f_x(\xi)$  is a subnet of  $f(\bar{x} \times \xi)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5** Let  $f : (X, S_X) \times (Y, S_Y) \rightarrow (Z, S_Z)$  be a continuous map. Then for each  $x \in X, f_x : (Y, S_Y) \rightarrow (Z, S_Z)$  is also continuous.

**Proof** For any  $(\xi, y) \in \mathbb{N}(Y) \times Y$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} S_Z(f_x(\xi), f_x(y)) &\geq S_Z(f(\bar{x} \times \xi), f(x, y)) \geq S_{X \times Y}(\bar{x} \times \xi, (x, y)) \\ &= S_X(\bar{x}, x) \wedge S_Y(\xi, y) = S_Y(\xi, y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $f_x$  is continuous.  $\square$

**Lemma 6** For all  $\xi \in \mathbb{N}(X), \eta \in \mathbb{N}(Y), f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ , we have  $\text{ev}(\varphi(f)(\xi) \times \eta) = f(\xi \times \eta)$ .

**Proof** Let  $\xi = (p_1, v_1) : D \rightarrow X \times L_0$  and  $\eta = (p_2, v_2) : E \rightarrow Y \times L_0$  be two  $L$ -fuzzifying nets. The net  $\varphi(f)(\xi) : D \rightarrow Z^Y \times L_0$  is defined by  $d \mapsto (f_{p_1(d)}, v_1(d))$ . And  $\text{ev}(\varphi(f)(\xi) \times \eta) : D \times E \rightarrow Z \times L_0$  is defined by  $(d, e) \mapsto (\text{ev}(f_{p_1(d)}, p_2(e)), v_1(d) \wedge v_2(e)) = (f(p_1(d), p_2(e)), v_1(d) \wedge v_2(e))$ . Therefore,  $\text{ev}(\varphi(f)(\xi) \times \eta) = f(\xi \times \eta)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 16** If the map  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  is continuous, then so is  $\varphi(f) : X \rightarrow [Y \rightarrow Z]$ .

**Proof** If  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  is continuous, then by Lemma 5, for any  $x \in X, \varphi(f)(x) = f_x :$

$Y \longrightarrow Z$  is continuous and then  $\varphi(f)$  is a well-defined map. For any  $(\xi, x) \in \mathbb{N}(X) \times X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & S_{[Y \rightarrow Z]}(\varphi(f)(\xi), \varphi(f)(x)) \\
 &= \bigwedge_{(\eta, y) \in \mathbb{N}(Y) \times Y} S_Y(\eta, y) \rightarrow S_Z(\text{ev}(\varphi(f)(\xi) \times \eta), f_x(y)) \\
 &= \bigwedge_{(\eta, y) \in \mathbb{N}(Y) \times Y} S_Y(\eta, y) \rightarrow S_Z(f(\xi \times \eta), f(x, y)) \\
 &\geq \bigwedge_{(\eta, y) \in \mathbb{N}(Y) \times Y} S_Y(\eta, y) \rightarrow S_{X \times Y}(\xi \times \eta, (x, y)) \\
 &\geq \bigwedge_{(\eta, y) \in \mathbb{N}(Y) \times Y} S_Y(\eta, y) \rightarrow (S_X(\xi, x) \wedge S_Y(\eta, y)) \\
 &\geq S_X(\xi, x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $S_{[Y \rightarrow Z]}(\varphi(f)(\xi), \varphi(f)(x)) \geq S_X(\xi, x)$ . Therefore,  $\varphi(f)$  is continuous.  $\square$

By Propositions 14, 15 and 16, we have

**Theorem 4** *L-FYGC*onv is cartesian-closed.

## References

- [1] PU Paoming, LIU Yingming. *Fuzzy topology (I): Neighborhood structure of a fuzzy point and Moore-Smith convergence* [J]. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 1980, **76**(2): 571–599.
- [2] YING Mingsheng. *A new approach for fuzzy topology (I)* [J]. Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 1991, **39**(3): 303–321.
- [3] HÁJEK P. *Metamathematics of Fuzzy Logic* [M]. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1998.
- [4] HÖHLE U. *Upper semicontinuous fuzzy sets and applications* [J]. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 1980, **78**(2): 659–673.
- [5] ZHANG Dexue, XU Luoshan. *Categories isomorphic to FNS* [J]. Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 1999, **104**(3): 373–380.
- [6] SHI Fugui. *L-fuzzy interiors and L-fuzzy closures* [J]. Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 2009, **160**(9): 1218–1232.
- [7] ENGELKING R. *General Topology* [M]. Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [8] ADAMEK J, HERRLICH H, STRECKER G E. *Abstract and Concrete Categories* [M]. Wiley, New York, 1990.